

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN GLASS SUBSTRATES FOR
LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAYS, PRODUCTS
CONTAINING THE SAME, AND METHODS
FOR MANUFACTURING THE SAME**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1433

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION PARTIALLY TERMINATING THE
INVESTIGATION AS TO ASSERTED U.S. PATENT NO. 7,851,394**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“the Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 7) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting an unopposed joint motion to terminate the investigation as to asserted U.S. Patent No. 7,851,394 (“the ’394 patent”) based on partial withdrawal of the complaint as to that patent.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 18, 2024, the Commission instituted the present investigation based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed by Corning, Inc. of Corning, New York (“Corning”), alleging violations of section 337 of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, due to the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of the ’394 patent and U.S. Patent Nos. 8,627,684 and 9,512,025. 90 FR 8140-41 (Jan. 24, 2025). The complaint, as supplemented, also alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation and sale of certain glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure

a domestic industry. *Id.* The complaint alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names the following respondents: Caihong Display Devices Co. of Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province, China; Hisense USA Corp. of Suwanee, Georgia; HKC Corporation Ltd. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; HKC Overseas Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey; TCL China Star Optoelectronics Technology Co. of Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China; TTE Technology, Inc., d/b/a TCL North America of Irvine, California; VIZIO, Inc. of Irvine, California; and Xianyang CaiHong Optoelectronics, Technology Co. of Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province, China. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party to this investigation.

On February 5, 2025, Corning filed an unopposed motion to partially terminate the investigation by withdrawing the complaint with respect to all of the asserted claims of the ’394 patent. On February 17, 2025, OUII filed a response in support of the motion to terminate the ’394 patent. No other responses to the motion were received.

On February 17, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 7), pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1), 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1), granting Corning’s unopposed motion to terminate the ’394 patent. Order No. 7 (Feb. 17, 2025). The subject ID finds there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of this investigation, and there are no extraordinary circumstances that prevent terminating the investigation as to the ’394 patent, in accordance with Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1). The subject ID further finds that termination of the ’394 patent would be in the public interest, as it would conserve public and private resources.

No party filed a petition for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review, and thereby adopts, the subject ID. Accordingly, this investigation is terminated with respect to the ’394 patent.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on February 26, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: February 26, 2025