

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN FLASH-SPUN NONWOVEN
MATERIALS AND PRODUCTS
CONTAINING SAME**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1424

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION AS TO CERTAIN
RESPONDENTS BASED ON CONSENT ORDERS**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 36) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the investigation as to respondents: (1) Dawnsens New-Materials (Xiamen) Co. Ltd. (formerly known as Xiamen Dangs New-Materials Co., Ltd.) (“Dawnsens New-Materials”) of Xiamen, Fujian, China; (2) Beijing Dangsheng Technology Co., Ltd. (“Beijing Dangsheng”) of Beijing, Beijing, China; and (3) Xiamen Dangsheng Technology Co., Ltd. (“Xiamen Dangsheng”) of Xiamen, Fujian, China (collectively, “the Dangs Respondents”) based on a consent order stipulation and consent orders. Dawnsens New-Materials, Beijing Dangsheng, and Xiamen Dangsheng are terminated from the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 21, 2024, based on a complaint filed by DuPont de Nemours, Inc., DuPont Safety & Construction, Inc., and DuPont Specialty Products USA, LLC (collectively, “DuPont”), all of Wilmington, Delaware. 89 FR 92159-60 (Nov. 21, 2024). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section

337”), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain flash-spun nonwoven materials and products containing the same by reason of (i) misappropriation of trade secrets and wrongful use and exploitation of stolen confidential and proprietary information, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States, and (ii) infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 817,194; 818,688; 818,737; and 7,370,316. *Id.* at 92159. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.*

The NOI names 18 respondents: (1) the Dangs Respondents; (2) Kingwills New Material Technology Co., Ltd. of Nantong, Jiangsu, China; Zhejiang Qingyun New Material Co., Ltd. of Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China; Jiangsu Qingyun New Materials Co., Ltd. AKA Jiangsu Kingwills New Materials Co., Ltd. of Nantong, Jiangsu, China; Shanghai Qingyun New Material Technology Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, Shanghai, China; and Kingwills International Ltd. of Kowloon, Hong Kong, China (collectively, “the Kingwills Respondents”); (3) Harbourpoint Innovations Inc. (“Harbourpoint”) of Raleigh, North Carolina; (4) Impak Corporation (“Impak”) of Los Angeles, California; (5) Shenzhen Zhengming Science and Technology Co., Ltd. (“Shenzhen Zhengming”) of Huizhou, Guangdong, China; (6) Weifang Konzer Safety Protective Equipment Co., Ltd. of Anqiu, Shandong, China; (7) Jiangsu Tubo New Material Co., Ltd. (“Jiangsu Tubo”) of Kunshan, Jiangsu, China; (8) Emedia Group. Inc. (“Emedia”) of Greenville, South Carolina; (9) endur-tec, LLC (“endur-tec”) of Anderson, South Carolina; (10) Hangzhou Several Sets of Electronic Commerce Co., Ltd. (“JGT Live”) of Yuhang, Hangzhou, China; (11) Hangzhou Qiao Shell Digital Technology Co., Ltd. of Yuhang, Hangzhou, China; and (12) Zhenping County Weihe Commerce and Trade Co., Ltd. (“County Weihe”) of Zhenping, Nanyang, China. *Id.* at 92159-60. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party to this investigation. *Id.* at 92160.

On February 21, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to Harbourpoint, Shenzhen Zhengming, Emedia, and endur-tec based on consent orders. Order Nos. 10 (Jan. 22, 2025) (as to Harbourpoint), 11 (Jan. 22, 2025) (as to Shenzhen Zhengming), 12 (Jan. 22, 2025) (as to Emedia and endur-tec), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Feb. 21, 2025).

On May 13, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to County Weihe based on withdrawal of the complaint. Order No. 24 (Apr. 22, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (May 14, 2025).

On May 13, 2025, the Commission amended the complaint and NOI to (i) add allegations of trade secret misappropriation and wrongful use and exploitation of proprietary information against respondents Impak and Jiangsu Tubo, (ii) add TOBO Group of Shanghai, China, as a new respondent, (iii) update the addresses for Jiangsu Tubo and JGT Live to reflect where service was effected, and (iv) make certain non-substantive amendments to the complaint. Order No. 25 (Apr. 22, 2025), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (May 14, 2025).

On July 17, 2025, the Dangs Respondents filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to themselves based on a consent order stipulation and a proposed consent order. On July 28,

2025, DuPont filed a response stating that it takes “no position” on the motion. That same day, OUII filed a response in support of the motion and the Kingwills Respondents filed a response stating that they do not oppose the motion. No other responses to the motion were filed.

On July 30, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 36) granting the unopposed motion. The ID notes, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(c), 19 CFR 210.21(c), that “there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation.” ID at 2. The ID also finds that the signed consent order stipulation and proposed consent order satisfy the requirements of Commission Rules 210.21(c)(3) and (c)(4) (19 CFR 210.21(c)(3), (c)(4)). *Id.* The ID further finds “no evidence of any adverse impact on the public interest” from terminating the Dangs Respondents from the investigation based on consent orders. *Id.* at 3. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The Dangs Respondents, *i.e.*, Dawnsens New-Materials, Beijing Dangsheng, and Xiamen Dangsheng, are hereby terminated from the investigation. The Commission has issued consent orders to the Dangs Respondents.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 28, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'L. R. Barton', enclosed within a large, loopy oval shape.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: August 28, 2025