

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN MEMORY DEVICES AND  
ELECTRONIC DEVICES CONTAINING  
THE SAME**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-1406**

**NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF INVESTIGATION**

Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission

**ACTION:** Notice

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 3, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of MimirIP LLC of Dallas, Texas. Supplements to the complaint were filed on June 21, 2024, and June 24, 2024. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain memory devices and electronic devices containing the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,468,928 (“the ’928 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,579,846 (“the ’846 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,036,053 (“the ’053 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**AUTHORITY:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.10 (2024).

**SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 3, 2024, ORDERED THAT –

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-3 of the ’928 patent; claims 1-28 of the ’846 patent; and claims 1-9 of the ’053 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “certain DRAM memory, DRAM modules, DRAM Components, and Design-in DRAM; and smart devices, augmented and virtual reality products, automotive computers, automotive media control units, computers, laptops, desktops, workstations, tablets, and servers containing the same”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 C.F.R. § 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. §§ 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

MimirIP LLC  
9330 LBJ Freeway, Suite 900  
Dallas, TX

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Micron Technology Inc.  
6360 South Federal Way  
Post Office Box 6

Boise ID 83716

Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co.  
1701 E Mossy Oaks Rd  
Spring, TX 77389

HP, Inc.  
1501 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Kingston Technology Company, Inc.  
17600 Newhope Street  
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

Lenovo Group Limited  
23rd Floor, Lincoln House, Taikoo Place  
979 King's Road, Quarry Bay  
Hong Kong, S.A.R. of China

Lenovo (United States) Inc.  
8001 Development Drive,  
Morrisville, NC 27560

Tesla Inc.  
1 Tesla Road  
Austin, TX 78725

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Suite 401, Washington, D.C. 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.13. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 Fed. Reg. 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lisa R. Barton', enclosed within a large, loopy oval flourish.

Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: July 3, 2024