

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN ELECTRONIC DEVICES AND  
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES HAVING  
WIRELESS COMMUNICATION  
CAPABILITIES AND COMPONENTS  
THEREOF**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1367**

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW  
AN INITIAL DETERMINATION GRANTING-IN-PART A MOTION TO TERMINATE  
THE INVESTIGATION BASED ON WITHDRAWAL OF THE COMPLAINT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 52) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting-in-part an unopposed motion for termination of the investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 27, 2023, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Bell Northern Research, LLC (“Complainant”) of Chicago, Illinois. 88 FR 48493-494 (Jul. 27, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices and semiconductor devices having wireless communication capabilities and components thereof by way of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,416,862 (“the ’862 patent”); RE 48,629 (“the ’629 patent”); and 7,564,914 (“the ’914 patent”). *Id.* at 48493. The complaint also alleges a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation names eight (8) respondents: NXP Semiconductors, N.V. of Eindhoven, Netherlands; NXP USA, Inc. of Austin, Texas (collectively, “NXP”); Laird Connectivity, LLC (“Laird”) of Akron, Ohio; Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. (“Qualcomm”) of San Diego, California; MediaTek Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; MediaTek USA Inc. of San Jose,

California (collectively, “MediaTek”); ASUSTek Computer Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; and ASUS Computer International of Fremont, California (collectively, “ASUS”). *Id.* at 48494. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also participating in this investigation. *Id.*

The investigation was terminated as to Respondents Qualcomm and Laird and as to the ’914 and ’862 patents based on withdrawal of the complaint. *See* Order No. 12 (Sept. 1, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 22, 2023); Order No. 33 (Jan. 30, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Feb. 29, 2024); Order No. 37 (Feb. 27, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Mar. 25, 2024).

On April 25, 2024, Complainant moved to terminate the investigation as to all remaining Respondents based on withdrawal of the complaint. OUII filed a response supporting the motion to terminate as to NXP and opposing the motion to terminate as to MediaTek and ASUS. With regard to MediaTek and ASUS, OUII’s response stated that the motion does not comply with Commission Rule 210.21(a), 19 CFR 210.21(a). In particular, the investigation has been stayed as to MediaTek and ASUS based on settlement; however, the motion does not identify any settlement agreement. No other party opposed the motion.


On May 1, 2024, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 52) granting the motion to terminate as to NXP. The ID found the motion complies with the Commission Rules with regard to NXP. ID at 2. The ID also observed that the motion comes before the issuance of any initial determination on violation of section 337. *Id.* No party filed a petition for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated with respect to NXP.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 22, 2024.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 22, 2024