In the Matter of
CERTAIN CASUAL FOOTWEAR
AND PACKAGING THEREOF

NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION PARTIALLY TERMINATING THE INVESTIGATION WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN RESPONDENTS BASED ON CONSENT ORDERS


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 46) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting an unopposed motion to partially terminate the investigation with respect to respondents Maxhouse Rise Ltd. of Hong Kong, China ("Maxhouse") and Fujian Wanjiaxin Industrial Developing, Inc. a/k/a Fujian Wanjiaxin Light Industrial Developing, Inc. ("Wanjiaxin") based on consent orders and consent order stipulations. Maxhouse and Wanjiaxin are hereby terminated from this investigation and the consent orders are issued thereto.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 9, 2021, based on a complaint filed by Crocs, Inc. of Broomfield, Colorado ("Crocs"). 86 FR 36303-304 (July 9, 2021). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("Section 337"), in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain casual footwear and packaging thereof by reason of infringement of one of more of U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 3,836,415; 5,149,328; and 5,273,875. Id. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. Id.

The Commission’s notice of investigation named twenty-three respondents: Maxhouse; Skechers USA, Inc. of Manhattan Beach, California ("Skechers"); SG Footwear Meser Grp. Inc. a/k/a Goldberg & Co. of Hackensack, New Jersey ("SG Footwear"); Cape Robbin Inc. of
Pomona, California (“Cape Robbin”); Dr. Leonard’s Healthcare Corp. d/b/a Carol Wright of Edison, New Jersey (“Dr. Leonard’s”); Fullbeauty Brands Inc. of New York, New York (“Fullbeauty”); Legend Footwear, Inc. d/b/a/ Wild Diva of City of Industry, California (“Wild Diva”); Fujian Huayan Well Import and Export Trade Co., Ltd. of Fuzhou, Fujian Province, China (“Fujian”); Yoki Fashion International LLC of New York, New York (“Yoki”); Bijora, Inc. d/b/a Akira of Chicago, Illinois (“Akira”); Hawkins Footwear, Sports, Military & Dixie Store of Brunswick, Georgia (“Hawkins”); Shoe-Nami Inc. of Gretna, Louisiana (“Shoe-Nami”); PW Shoes, Inc. of Maspeth, New York (“PW”); 718Closeouts of Brooklyn, New York (“718Closeouts”); Crocsky of Austin, Texas (“Crocsky”); Hobibear Shoes and Clothes Ltd. of Brighton, Colorado (“Hobibear”); Ink Tee of Los Angeles, California (“Ink Tee”); Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; La Modish Boutique of West Covina, California; Loeffler Randall Inc. of New York, New York; Star Bay Group Inc. of Hackensack, New Jersey; Quanzhou ZhengDe Network Corp. of Quanzhou, Fujian Province, China; and Royal Deluxe Accessories, LLC of New Providence, New Jersey. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also named as a party to this investigation.


On November 17, 2021, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to add certain new respondents, including Wanjiaxin, Dongguan Eastar Footwear Enterprises Co., Ltd. (“Eastar”), and KGS Sourcing Ltd. (“KGS”), and to terminate the investigation with respect to Crocsky, Hobibear, and Ink Tee. Order No. 30 (Oct. 21, 2021), \textit{unreviewed by Comm’n Notice (Nov. 17, 2021)}.

The Commission subsequently terminated the investigation with respect to Eastar based on a consent order and terminated it with respect to KGS for good cause. Order No. 39 (Jan. 11, 2022) (Eastar), \textit{unreviewed by Comm’n Notice (Feb. 4, 2022)}; Order No. 40 (Feb. 1, 2022) (KGS), \textit{unreviewed by Comm’n Notice (Feb. 22, 2022)}.

On February 14, 2022, Maxhouse and Wanjiaxin moved to terminate the investigation with respect to themselves based on consent order stipulations and proposed consent orders. On February 24, 2022, Crocs filed a response stating it did not oppose the termination motion but it
did oppose a stay because it has served discovery requests on both Moving Respondents that are relevant to the requested general exclusion order. On February 24, 2022, OUII filed a response in support of both the termination motion and the requested stay. The non-moving respondents informed the Moving Respondents that they do not oppose the motion for termination or the stay.

The presiding ALJ has deferred a decision on the Moving Respondents’ request for a stay pending further briefing by those respondents in response to Crocs’ arguments. Order No. 45 (March 3, 2022); Order No. 46 (March 3, 2022).

On March 3, 2022, the presiding ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 46) granting the motion to terminate Maxhouse and Wanjiaxin. The ALJ found that the respondents’ proposed consent orders and consent order stipulations satisfy the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(c)(3), (4) (19 CFR 210.21(c)(3), (4)) and that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the parties regarding the subject matter of this investigation, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a) (19 CFR 210.21(a)). The ALJ also found that termination of Maxhouse and Wanjiaxin would avoid needless litigation and conserve public resources, and would not adversely impact public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of similar or directly competitive articles in the United States, or U.S. consumers, pursuant to 19 USC 1337(d)(1) and Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)).

No party filed a petition for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. Maxhouse and Wanjiaxin are hereby terminated from this investigation, and the consent orders are issued thereto.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on March 18, 2022.


By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: March 18, 2022
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