

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN ELECTRIC SHAVERS AND
COMPONENTS AND ACCESSORIES
THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-1230

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION GRANTING A JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE THE
INVESTIGATION AS TO MAGICFLY BASED UPON SETTLEMENT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 22) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), granting a joint motion by Complainant Skull Shaver (“Skull Shaver”) of Moorestown, New Jersey and Respondent Magicfly LLC (“Magicfly”) of Hong Kong to terminate the investigation as to Magicfly based upon settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 18, 2020, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Skull Shaver. 85 FR 73510-11 (Nov. 18, 2020). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electric shavers and components and accessories thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,726,528 and D672,504. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following eleven entities as respondents: Rayenbarny Inc. (“Rayenbarny”) of New York, New York; Bald Shaver Inc. of Toronto, Canada; Suzhou Kaidiya Garments Trading Co., Ltd. d.b.a. “Digimator” of Suzhou, China; Shenzhen Aiweilai Trading Co., Ltd. d.b.a. “Teamyo” of Shenzhen, China; Wenzhou Wending Electric Appliance Co., Ltd. of Yueqing City, China; Shenzhen Nukun Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. “OriHea” of Shenzhen, China; Yiwu Xingye Network Technology Co. Ltd. d.b.a.

“Roziapro” of Yiwu, China; Magicfly LLC of Hong Kong; Yiwu City Qiaoyu Trading Co., Ltd. of Yiwu, China; Shenzhen Wantong Information Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. “WTONG” of Shenzhen, China; and Shenzhen Junmao International Technology Co., Ltd. d.b.a. “Homeas” of Shenzhen, China. The notice of investigation also named the Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) as a party. *Id.*

On November 30, 2020, the ALJ granted an unopposed motion (1) allowing Benepuri LLC (“Benepuri”) of Menands, New York to intervene in this investigation as a respondent and (2) terminating the investigation as to Rayenbarny. The Commission determined not to review. Order No. 4 (Nov. 30, 2020), *unreviewed* by Comm’n Notice (Dec. 15, 2020).

On April 21, 2021, Complainant Skull Shaver and Respondent Magicfly jointly moved to terminate the investigation as to Magicfly based upon a settlement agreement. On May 3, 2021, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. No party opposed terminating Magicfly from the investigation.

On May 3, 2021, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 22) granting the motion. The subject ID found that the joint motion complies with Commission Rule 210.21(a)(2), which provides that “[a]ny party may move at any time to terminate an investigation in whole or in part as to any or all respondents on the basis of a settlement, a licensing or other agreement” 19 CFR 210.21(a)(2). ID at 2. The ID further found that in compliance with 19 CFR 210.21(b), Skull Shaver and Magicfly “represent that the Settlement Agreement ‘settles the disputes between Skull Shaver and Magicfly in this Investigation,’ and that ‘[t]here are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, regarding the subject matter of this Investigation.’” In addition, the parties provided confidential and public versions of the settlement agreement. The ID also found that terminating Magicfly from the investigation is in the public interest and will conserve public and private resources. *Id.* at 4. No one petitioned for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is hereby terminated as to Magicfly.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 19, 2021.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 19, 2021