

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN SEMICONDUCTOR  
DEVICES, INTEGRATED CIRCUITS,  
AND CONSUMER PRODUCTS  
CONTAINING THE SAME**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-1149**

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO  
REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION GRANTING A MOTION TO  
AMEND THE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 24) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), granting a motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on April 3, 2019, based on a complaint filed by Innovative Foundry Technologies LLC of Portsmouth, New Hampshire (“IFT”). 84 FR 13065. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor devices, integrated circuits, and consumer products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,583,012; 6,797,572; 7,009,226; 7,880,236; and 9,373,548. *Id.* The

Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents BBK Communication Technology Co., Ltd., of Dongguan, China; Vivo Mobile Communication Co., Ltd., of Dongguan, China; OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., of Shenzhen, China; Guangdong OPPO Mobile Telecommunications Co., Ltd., of Dongguan, China; Hisense Electric Co., Ltd. of Qingdao, China; Hisense USA Corporation of Suwanee, Georgia; Hisense USA Multimedia R & D Center Inc. of Suwanee, Georgia; TCL Corporation of Huizhou City, China, TCL Communication, Inc. of Irvine, California, TTE Technology, Inc. (d/b/a TCL America) of Wilmington, Delaware, and TCT Mobile (US) Inc. of Irvine, California (collectively, the "TCL Respondents"); VIZIO, Inc. of Irvine, California; MediaTek Inc. of Hsinchu City, Taiwan; MediaTek USA Inc. of San Jose, California; Mstar Semiconductor, Inc. of Chupei City, Taiwan; Qualcomm Incorporated of San Diego, California; Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. of San Diego, California; Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited of Hsinchu City, Taiwan; TSMC North America of San Jose, California; and TSMC Technology, Inc. of San Jose, California. *Id.* at 13066. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is participating in this investigation. *Id.*

On July 1, 2019, IFT moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add two respondents: TCL Mobile Communication (HK) Co., Ltd., and Huizhou TCL Mobile Communication Co. Ltd. IFT stated that good cause exists to amend the complaint and notice of investigation because it recently learned through discovery the entities had a role in the production of the TCL Respondents' accused products. No party opposed the motion. On July 9, 2019, OUII filed a response in support of the motion.

On July 22, 2019, the ALJ, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b) (19 CFR 210.14(b)), issued the subject ID, granting the motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were received.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.



Lisa R. Barton  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: August 13, 2019