



Networks, Inc. of Campbell, CA (“Barracuda”); Panda Software International S.L. of Spain; and Panda Distribution, Inc. of Glendale, CA (collectively “Panda”). The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

On March 14, 2008, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID granting Trend Micro’s and Panda’s joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Panda on the basis of a settlement agreement. On July 11, 2008, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID granting Trend Micro’s motion to terminate the investigation in part on the basis of withdrawal of claims 2, 5-8, 12, 16-17, 20, and 22 of the ‘600 patent. On September 29, 2008, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID granting Trend Micro’s motion to terminate the investigation in part on the basis of withdrawal of claims 14, and 18-19 of the ‘600 patent.

On October 16, 2008, Trend Micro and Barracuda filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a cross-licensing agreement.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on December 10, 2008, granting the joint motion to terminate. No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.44. The Commission has determined not to review the ID, and to terminate the investigation in its entirety.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in sections 210.21(a) and 210.42(h)(3) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.21(a), 210.42(h)(3)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/  
Marilyn R. Abbott  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: January 8, 2009