

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20436**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN DIGITAL MULTIMETERS,  
AND PRODUCTS WITH MULTIMETER  
FUNCTIONALITY**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-588**

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION FINDING THREE RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the above-captioned investigation finding three respondents in default, and to have waived their respective rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on November 13, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Fluke Corporation of Everett, Washington. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and/or the sale within the United States after importation of certain articles by reason of infringement of United States Trademark Registration No. 2,796,480 and trade dress used with and embodied in articles manufactured by the complainant. The complainant requests that the ITC issue a permanent general exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order barring future importation and sale of the accused products. The complaint further alleges that an industry exists as required by subsection (a)(2)

of section 337. The complaint named Altadox, Inc. of Arcadia, California; Circuit Specialists, Inc. of Mesa, Arizona; Digitek Instruments Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Electronic Specialties, Inc. of Spring Grove, Illinois; Electronix Express of Avenel, New Jersey; Elenco Electronics, Inc. of Wheeling, Illinois; HandsOnTools of Fort Collins, Colorado; Harbor Freight Tools of Camarillo, California; Jameco Electronics of Belmont, California; Kaito Electronics, Inc. of Montclair, California; Parts Express of Springboro, Ohio; Precision Mastech Enterprises Co. of Hong Kong; Shenzhen Everbest Machinery Industry Co., Ltd. of China; Shenzhen Hongda Electronic Co., Ltd. of China; Shenzhen Victor Hi-Tech Co., Ltd. of China; Sinometer Instruments Co., Ltd. of China; TechBuys, LLC of LaVerne, California; and Velleman Inc. of Fort Worth, Texas as respondents in this investigation.

On January 5, 2007, the complainant filed a motion pursuant Commission rule 210.16, 19 C.F.R. § 210.16, for an order to show cause and entry of a default judgment against three respondents: HandsOnTools, Shenzhen Hongda Electronic Co., Ltd., and Sinometer Instruments Co. Ltd. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion. None of the respondents filed a response to the motion. The ALJ issued a show cause order (Order No. 6) on February 13, 2007. The order required the three respondents to show cause why they should not be held in default, having not responded to the complaint and notice of investigation or the motion for a show cause order. None of the three respondents responded to Order No. 6.

The ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 8) on March 1, 2007. The ALJ states in the ID that the three respondents did not respond to the complaint, notice of investigation, or the order to show cause. Consequently, the ALJ found the three respondents in default, and pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(b)(3), 19 C.F.R. § 210.16(b)(3), to have waived their right to appear, be served with documents, or contest the allegations in the complaint. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. § 210.42.

By order of the Commission.

/s/

Marilyn R. Abbott  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: March 28, 2007

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20436**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN DIGITAL MULTIMETERS,  
AND PRODUCTS WITH MULTIMETER  
FUNCTIONALITY**

**Investigation No. 337-TA-588**

**NOTICE OF A COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL  
DETERMINATION FINDING THREE RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the above-captioned investigation finding three respondents in default, and to have waived their respective rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations at issue in the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael K. Haldenstein, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on November 13, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Fluke Corporation of Everett, Washington. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and/or the sale within the United States after importation of certain articles by reason of infringement of United States Trademark Registration No. 2,796,480 and trade dress used with and embodied in articles manufactured by the complainant. The complainant requests that the ITC issue a permanent general exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order barring future importation and sale of the accused products. The complaint further alleges that an industry exists as required by subsection (a)(2)

of section 337. The complaint named Altadox, Inc. of Arcadia, California; Circuit Specialists, Inc. of Mesa, Arizona; Digitek Instruments Co., Ltd. of Hong Kong; Electronic Specialties, Inc. of Spring Grove, Illinois; Electronix Express of Avenel, New Jersey; Elenco Electronics, Inc. of Wheeling, Illinois; HandsOnTools of Fort Collins, Colorado; Harbor Freight Tools of Camarillo, California; Jameco Electronics of Belmont, California; Kaito Electronics, Inc. of Montclair, California; Parts Express of Springboro, Ohio; Precision Mastech Enterprises Co. of Hong Kong; Shenzhen Everbest Machinery Industry Co., Ltd. of China; Shenzhen Hongda Electronic Co., Ltd. of China; Shenzhen Victor Hi-Tech Co., Ltd. of China; Sinometer Instruments Co., Ltd. of China; TechBuys, LLC of LaVerne, California; and Velleman Inc. of Fort Worth, Texas as respondents in this investigation.

On January 5, 2007, the complainant filed a motion pursuant Commission rule 210.16, 19 C.F.R. § 210.16, for an order to show cause and entry of a default judgment against three respondents: HandsOnTools, Shenzhen Hongda Electronic Co., Ltd., and Sinometer Instruments Co. Ltd. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion. None of the respondents filed a response to the motion. The ALJ issued a show cause order (Order No. 6) on February 13, 2007. The order required the three respondents to show cause why they should not be held in default, having not responded to the complaint and notice of investigation or the motion for a show cause order. None of the three respondents responded to Order No. 6.

The ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 8) on March 1, 2007. The ALJ states in the ID that the three respondents did not respond to the complaint, notice of investigation, or the order to show cause. Consequently, the ALJ found the three respondents in default, and pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16(b)(3), 19 C.F.R. § 210.16(b)(3), to have waived their right to appear, be served with documents, or contest the allegations in the complaint. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. § 210.42.

By order of the Commission.

/s/  
Marilyn R. Abbott  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: March 28, 2007