#### UNITED STATES TARIFF COMMISSION

# SUMMARIES OF TRADE AND TARIFF

# **INFORMATION**

Prepared in Terms of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS)

Schedule 1

Animal and Vegetable Products
(In 14 volumes)

VOLUME 2

Fish: Fresh, Chilled, Frozen, or Cured

TC Publication 254 Washington, D.C. 1968

# SUMMARIES OF TRADE AND TARIFF INFORMATION BY SCHEDULES

- Schedule 1 Animal and Vegetable Products
  (In 14 volumes)
- Schedule 2 Wood and Paper; Printed Matter (In 5 volumes)
- Schedule 3 Textile Fibers and Textile Products
  (In 6 volumes)
- Schedule 4 Chemicals and Related Products
  (In 12 volumes)
- Schedule 5 Nonmetallic Minerals and Products
  (In 5 volumes)
- Schedule 6 Metals and Metal Products
  (In 11 volumes)
- Schedule 7 Specified Products; Miscellaneous and Nonenumerated Products (In 8 volumes)
- Schedule 8 Special Classification Provisions
  (In 1 volume)

#### Schedule 1 Volumes

- 1 Animals and Meats
- 2 Fish, Fresh, Chilled, Frozen, or Cured
- 3 Fish Products, Shellfish, and Shellfish Products
- 4 Dairy Products and Birds' Eggs
- 5 Live Plants and Seeds
- 6 Cereal Grains, Malts, Starches, and Animal Feeds
- 7 Vegetables and Edible Nuts
- 8 Edible Fruits and Fruit Products
- 9 Sugar, Cocoa, Confectionery, Coffee, Tea, and Spices
- 10 Beverages
- 11 Tobacco and Tobacco Products
- 12 Animal and Vegetable Oils
- 13 Hides, Skins, Leather, Feathers, and Miscellaneous Articles of Animal Origin
- 14 Edible Preparations, Natural Resins, and Miscellaneous Articles of Vegetable Origin

#### FOREWORD

In an address delivered in Boston on May 18, 1917, Frank W. Taussig, distinguished first chairman of the Tariff Commission, delineated the responsibility of the newly established Commission to operate as a source of objective, factual information on tariffs and trade. He stated that the Commission was already preparing a catalog of tariff information—

designed to have on hand, in compact and simple form, all available data on the growth, development and location of industries affected by the tariff, on the extent of domestic production, on the extent of imports, on the conditions of competition between domestic and foreign products.

The first such report was issued in 1920. Subsequently three series of summaries of tariff information on commodities were published—in 1921, 1929, and 1948-50. The current series, entitled Summaries of Trade and Tariff Information, presents the information in terms of the tariff items provided for in the eight tariff schedules of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (abbreviated to TSUS in these volumes), which on August 31, 1963, replaced the 16 schedules of the Tariff Act of 1930.

Through its professional staff of commodity specialists, economists, lawyers, statisticians, and accountants, the Commission follows the movement of thousands of articles in international commodity trade, and during the years of its existence, has built up a reservoir of knowledge and understanding, not only with respect to imports but also regarding products and their uses, techniques of manufacturing and processing, commercial practices, and markets. Accordingly, the Commission believes that, when completed, the current series of summaries will be the most comprehensive publication of its kind and will present benchmark information that will serve many interests. This project, although encyclopedic, attempts to conform with Chairman Taussig's admonition to be "exhaustive in inquiry, and at the same time brief and discriminating in statement."

This series is being published in 62 volumes of summaries, each volume to be issued as soon as completed. Although the order of publication may not follow the numerical sequence of the items in the TSUS, all items are to be covered. As far as practicable, each volume reflects the most recent developments affecting U.S. foreign trade in the commodities included.



# SUMMARIES OF TRADE AND TARIFF INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE 1

## Volume 2

# CONTENTS

	Page
Foreward	iii
Introduction	1
Smelts, fresh, chilled, or frozen	5
Smelts, fresh, chilled, or frozen	11
Sea herring, fresh, chilled, or frozen	21
Fresh-water fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen	
Fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, not elsewhere enumerated	
Halibut, fresh, chilled, or frozen	
Salmon, fresh, chilled, or frozen	
Swordfish, fresh, chilled, or frozen	65
Scaled fish	73
Fish blocks	
Fillets of cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, and Atlantic	
ocean perch	85
Fresh-water fish fillets	
Fillets of flatfish, except halibut	111
Halibut steaks and fillets	
Swordfish steaks and fillets	_
Fillets of fish, not elsewhere enumerated	131
Dried fish	
Salted or pickled cod and related species	143
Herring, salted or pickled	149
Salted or pickled mackerel	
Salmon, salted or pickledOther fish, salted or pickled	161
Other fish, salted or pickled	167
Cod and related fish, smoked or kippered	
Smoked or kippered herring	
Mackerel, salmon, and certain other fish, smoked or kippered	193
Products of American fisheries	199
Appendixes:	
Appendix A. Tariff Schedules of the United States	
Annotated (1968):	
General headnotes and rules of interpretation, and ex-	
cerpts relating to the items included in this volume	A-l
Appendix B. Value of U.S. imports for consumption, by TSUS	
items included in the individual summaries of this	
volume, total and from the 3 principal suppliers, 1967	B-1

WILL CONTENTS

# Numerical List of TSUS Items in This Volume

<u> </u>	age		Page
110.10	21 37 55 37 37 65 65 65 37 73 73 79 85 85 97 103 131	111.28	143 149 149 157 157 161 167 167 175 183 183 193 193
111.15	137 137	180.10	199

This volume, identified as volume 1:2, covers fish, live or dead (including portions), fit for human consumption, classifiable under parts 3A and 3B of schedule 1 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS). It also includes products of American fisheries provided for under part 15A of schedule 1 of the TSUS. Part 3A of schedule 1 covers fresh, chilled, or frozen fish; part 3B covers cured fish that has been dried, salted, pickled, smoked, or kippered.

Wherever used, the term "fish" in this volume does not include shellfish or whales or other mammals. The data and information in the individual summaries cover only commercial operations and do not cover, for instance, fish caught by sportsmen, including those brought back free of duty under item 813.20.

The summaries in this volume appear in the numerical order of the TSUS item numbers and, whenever a summary contains more than one TSUS item, the first number of the summary controls the sequence of that summary in the volume. The data presented in the discussion that follows are all in terms of live weight equivalents.

The United States is one of the leading producers of the fish included in this volume. Nevertheless, it depends upon imports for a substantial part of its requirements. In the period 1962-66 total annual U.S. production (landings) of the fish covered herein declined by nearly 11 percent from about 2.3 billion pounds to 2.0 billion pounds. In the same period aggregate annual domestic consumption increased by close to 5 percent from about 3.8 billion pounds to 3.9 billion pounds. The rise in consumption reflects the growth in population as the per capita consumption of 2 pounds in 1966 was the same as in 1962. Exports of fish have been very small in relation to domestic production and imports.

Fresh, chilled, or frozen fish represent the bulk of the fish and marine products covered here. In 1962-66 they accounted for about 95 percent of total production and 93 percent of consumption. Domestic landings of such fish declined by 10 percent from about 2.2 billion pounds in 1962 to 1.9 billion pounds in 1966. The most important types of fish caught were salmon, tuna, fresh-water fish, and sea herring. Together these four kinds of fish accounted for 47 percent of the total domestic catch. Although annual domestic landings of fresh fish declined during 1962-66, annual consumption rose by about 6 percent.

Cured fish, which have not been important in U.S. trade for many years, have continued their longtime downward trend both with respect to domestic production and consumption. Between 1962 and 1966 annual domestic output dropped from 123 million pounds to 101 million pounds, or by about 18 percent. Similarly, annual domestic consumption in this period declined by 6 percent, compared with an increase in consumption of fresh fish as shown above of about 6 percent.

Because of the decline in domestic output, imports of fish covered by this volume have supplied an increasing share of domestic consumption. Between 1962 and 1966 the ratio of imports to consumption increased from 40 percent to 49 percent. In absolute terms annual aggregate imports increased from about 1.5 billion pounds in 1962 to 1.9 billion pounds in 1966, or by nearly 30 percent. Imports consisted predominantly (about 90 percent) of fresh or frozen fish. In the period under review such imports increased by almost 34 percent, whereas imports of cured fish rose by 3 percent. During 1962-66 about 64 percent of the domestic consumption of cured fish was supplied by imports while the corresponding ratio for fresh fish was 42 percent. Canada and Japan have been the principal sources of imports.

The United States is a party to several international agreements designed to conserve fish resources in international waters. Among the more important of these treaties are those concerned with yellowfin and skipjack tuna, salmon, halibut, and herring.

Appendix A to this volume reproduces pertinent segments of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968) relating to the items covered by this volume. It includes the general headnotes to the TSUS, a list of products covered by schedule 1, the headnotes to part 3 and relevant subparts, and the individual product descriptions. The shaded portions of Appendix A denote those provisions not covered by this volume. Appendix A also shows the rates of duty applicable to the individual TSUS items, including the staged annual rate modifications that resulted from concessions granted by the United States in the sixth (Kennedy) round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Historical notes in the appendix document the changes in the text of the tariff schedules after these schedules went into effect on August 31, 1963.

The following tabulation shows the number of TSUS items included in this volume and the actions taken on them at the trade conference under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 872), in terms of number of items affected and value of 1967 imports thereof.

Action taken	Number	<u>Value of</u>
<u>at trade</u>	of items	1967 imports
conference	affected	(1,000 dollars)
No concessions grante 50 percent reduction	d 7	80.6
in duty	16	5.3
Duty eliminated	<u>-</u>	115.6
Total	<u> 40</u>	201.5

Appendix B to this volume shows the value of U.S. imports in 1967 for the TSUS items included in this volume. The data also show the percentage change from imports in 1966 and the three principal supplying countries.

# Commodity

TSUS item

Smelts, fresh, chilled, or frozen,
whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved----- 110.10 (pt.)

Note.--For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

#### U.S. trade position

In recent years, about 70 percent of domestic consumption of smelts has been supplied by imports. Exports of smelts have been insignificant.

#### Description and uses

Smelt or smelts is the common name of a number of species of small slender fish weighing a few ounces each. American smelt, which is found in both the Atlantic Ocean and the Great Lakes, is the principal commercial species in the United States. The American smelt of the Great Lakes tends to be smaller than those taken elsewhere. Pacific smelt, jack smelt, surf smelt, and eulachon, all of which are found in the Pacific Ocean, are included here.

Smelts are usually marketed fresh, chilled, or frozen, without further preparation other than beheading or eviscerating, for immediate human consumption. Insignificant amounts are further processed by filleting, curing, or canning. At times considerable quantities of Great Lakes smelts are used for animal feed.

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rate of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) is as follows:

TSUS item Commodity Rate of duty

110.10 (pt.) Smelts, fresh, chilled, or frozen, Free whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved.

The duty-free treatment of smelts was provided for in the original Tariff Act of 1930 and has been bound since January 1, 1948 in a concession granted by the United States in the General Agreement on

Tariffs and Trade. The duty-free status was not affected by the 1964-67 trade conference.

#### U.S. consumption

U.S. consumption of smelt has shown a strong downward trend in recent years. From a peak of 21 million pounds in 1962, annual domestic consumption dropped to 15 million pounds in 1966 on a live-weight basis (table 1), or by nearly 30 percent. To a large extent this decline is attributable to a change in consumers' preference. With a rise in the level of economic activity and an expansion of personal disposable incomes, there has been a shift by consumers of fish to higher-priced, fancier fish products.

#### U.S. producers and production

Smelt fishing is seasonal and no data are available on the number of fishermen engaged in catching smelts. Statistics on the catch of smelt in the United States cover only the commercial catch, as landed. No data are available on the quantities of smelt that are regularly caught for personal use. The amounts caught privately each year are known to be very substantial and are believed to exceed 1 million pounds.

The commercial catch of smelt in the United States has almost steadily declined, from 5.5 million pounds in 1961 to 3.0 million pounds in 1966 (table 2). The greater part of the catch is regularly landed in the Great Lakes area. In the 1961-66 period that area accounted for about 59 percent of the total domestic catch. The Pacific area accounted for '36 percent, and the New England area for 5 percent.

Smelt caught on the Atlantic Coast generally sell at substantially higher prices than those obtained in the Great Lakes and Pacific areas. Atlantic Coast smelt are said to be larger in size and of better quality than those caught in the other areas.

#### U.S. exports and imports

- U.S. exports of smelts are not separately shown in official statistics; they are believed to be negligible.
- U.S. imports of smelts reached a high of about 12.0 million pounds (net-weight basis), valued at \$1.7 million in 1962 (table 3) and thereafter irregularly declined to 7.8 million pounds, valued at \$1.3 million in 1967. In the period 1961-67 imports supplied nearly three-fourths of domestic consumption of commercially caught smelts. Canada was virtually the only source of supply.

Table 1.--Smelts, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (landings), imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

(Weights shown are live-weight equivalents) Ratio : Production : : Apparent of imports Imports 2/ Year (land-: consumpto conings) 1/tion sumption 1,000 1,000 1,000 pounds pounds pounds Percent 1961-----5,484 : 18,502 13,018: 70 1962-----4,953: 15,558 : 20,511 76 4,894: 11,415 : 16,309: 70 5,360: 11,228: 16,588 : 68 11,440: 1965-----4,119: 15,559 74 1966-----2,963 11,783 14,746 80

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

Note. -- Exports, which are not separately shown in official statistics, have been negligible or nil.

<sup>1/</sup> Statistics cover only the commercial catch, as landed; they do not include smelts caught by noncommercial fishermen.

<sup>2/</sup> Converted to live-weight equivalent at the rate of 1.30 pounds for each pound imported.

Table 2.--Smelts, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (landings), by region, 1961-66

Region	1961	1962	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966
	Quar	ntity (1	,000 pc	un	ds, li	ve	weigh	t)	
Great Lakes Pacific New England Total	1/3,541 1,804 139 5,484	2,179 170 4,953	: 1,663	:	1,635 257 5,360	:	1,606 312 4,119	:	1,113 359
Great Lakes Pacific New England Total	1/ 134 : 193 : 41 : 368 :	193	: 132 : 65	:	138 155 70 363	: :	93 169 85 347	:	91 104 87 282

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 1 thousand pounds, valued at less than 5 hundred dollars, landed in Mississippi River region.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table	3Smelts,	fresh,	chilled,	or frozen:	U.S. imports	for
	consumpt	tion, by	y principa	al sources,	1961-67	

Source	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
:			Quantity	(1,000	pounds)		
Canada Japan All other Total	156 : - :	391 48	: 12 : 1	: 8,623 : 2 : 12 : 8,637	: 1 : 3	: 4 : 26	: : 7,763 : - : 7,763
:			Value (	1,000 do	llars)		·
Canada: Japan: All other	1,180	74 9	: 4: 1	: 1,319 : 1 : 1	$\frac{1}{1}$	: 1 : 4	: -
Total: : 1/ Less than		1,651	: 1,166	: 1,321	: 1,308	1,465	: 1,286

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--The official import data shown in this table are on a netweight basis (eviscerated and with heads removed) and differ from those given in table 1 which show the same data on a live-weight basis.



#### Commodity

TSUS item

Tuna, fresh, chilled, or frozen-- 110.10 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

#### U.S. trade position

The United States depends upon imports for a substantial part of its requirements of fresh or frozen tuna. In the period 1962-66, imports supplied about 52 percent of annual U.S. consumption. Exports have been negligible.

#### Description and uses

The term "tuna" is used more restrictively in the United States than in most other countries. Under trade practice rules of the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, the name "tuna" may only be used in labeling certain species when canned, and this practice is followed with respect to the fish marketed fresh or frozen. These species are albacore, yellowfin tuna, skipjack tuna, bluefin tuna (including oriental tuna), blackfin tuna, bigeye tuna, and little tuna. The tunalike fish bonito Sarda and yellowtail Seriola may not be labeled as tuna although in many foreign countries either one, or both, are marketed as such. Bonito and yellowtail are included elsewhere (see summary which includes items 110.15 (pt.), 110.25, 110.28, 110.35, and 110.36. It is understood that the same distinctions are made as to imports.

In the trade a distinction is made between white-meat tuna, which refers to albacore, and light-meat tuna, which covers all other species. White-meat tuna is less plentiful than light-meat tuna and, as a rule, commands a higher price than the other species.

Tuna migrate in schools over wide areas. They sometimes are found near shore and sometimes no closer than hundreds of miles from shore. Some of the species, such as albacore and bluefin, are caught only seasonally in waters of the temperate zone but are caught throughout the year in tropical and subtropical waters. Yellowfin and skipjack are found in greatest abundance in the warm waters of the tropical latitudes.

Tuna is marketed in several forms, either fresh, frozen, canned, salted, or boiled and dried. In the United States, substantially all tuna is canned (see items 112.30, 112.34, and 112.90). Small quantities are marketed as tuna pies (see item 182.95 (pt.)) and as smoked tuna (see item 111.92 (pt.)). Waste from the processing and packing

plants is utilized in the production of fish meal (see item 184.55 (pt.) and fish oil (see item 177.26 (pt.)).

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rate of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) is as follows:

TSUS Commodity Rate of duty

110.10 (pt.) Tuna, fresh, chilled, or frozen, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved.

The duty-free treatment was provided for in the original Tariff Act of 1930. The duty-free treatment of albacore has been bound since September 10, 1955, in a concession granted by the United States in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. The duty-free treatment of the tuna other than albacore has not been subject to a trade agreement.

The Tariff Commission completed two investigations of the domestic tuna industry under section 332 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, pursuant to resolutions of the Committee on Finance, United States Senate, to determine the effect of imports of fresh or frozen tuna on the livelihood of American fishermen. 1/

#### U.S. consumption

The United States is the world's second largest consumer of fresh tuna after Japan. During 1962-66, annual U.S. consumption averaged 731 million pounds (live weight) (table 1), approximately 20 percent larger than in the preceding 5-year period. Substantially all of the raw tuna consumed in the United States was used for canning. Of the total consumption of tuna during 1962-66, about 75 percent consisted of light-meat tunas, predominantly of yellowfin and skipjack. Virtually all of the remainder consisted of white-meat tuna (albacore).

The increase in domestic consumption of tuna in 1962-66 compared with earlier years reflects in part population growth but also the continued popularity and rising demand for tuna. Per capita

June 1968

<sup>1/</sup> U.S. Tariff Commission, Tuna Fish, report on investigation conducted pursuant to a resolution by the Committee on Finance of the United States Senate dated June 26, 1952 (processed), Washington, March 1953; and U.S. Tariff Commission, Tuna Fish, report on investigation conducted pursuant to a resolution by the Committee on Finance of the United States Senate dated August 20, 1957 (processed), Washington, May 1958.

consumption of canned tuna rose from 1.6 pounds in 1956 to 2.2 pounds in 1966. A factor that contributed to this development was the availability of tuna as a result of an increase in the world catch.

#### U.S. producers

The tuna fishery is one of the country's leading fisheries and by far the most important one on the Pacific Coast. Three categories of vessels are employed in tuna fishing: purse seiners, albacore craft, and bait boats. Purse seiners, which account for the major share of total U.S. landings of tuna, derive their name from the purse seine, a strong nylon net used to encircle a school of fish. After the fish are encircled, the bottom of the net is closed (pursed) and the net is hauled in by a power winch.

Purse seiners are of various sizes; the larger ones are equipped with refrigeration facilities and have a cruising range of 10,000 miles or more. All, or almost all, of the largest tuna purse seine vessels fish exclusively for tuna, mostly yellowfin and skipjack. According to official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, in 1964 the domestic purse seine fleet consisted of 115 vessels manned by about 1,300 men.

The albacore fleet consists predominantly of small- and mediumsize craft much smaller than tuna purse seiners. Typically, each vessel is manned by 2 or 3 fishermen. Albacore are caught with a fishing pole and short line to which is attached a barbless, unbaited lure, or with trolling lures from outrigger poles. Because albacore are generally available off U.S. coasts for less than 6 months of the year, most of these craft are used in other fisheries such as the salmon fishery. In 1965 the domestic albacore fleet numbered about 1,200 vessels, manned by almost 3,000 fishermen.

The use of bait boats in the tuna fishery has declined greatly during recent years. The bait-boat method of fishing is to scatter small live fish as bait beside the boat when a school of tuna is encountered. The tuna are caught with pole and short line on a barbless, unbaited lure similar to the ones used by albacore boats. Since the late 1950's, many bait boats have been converted to purse seiners, largely because the latter are more efficient and more economical to operate. The number of bait boats of 50 gross tons or more declined from a total of 135 in 1958 to 30 in 1965.

# U.S. production (landings)

Annual U.S. landings of tuna during 1962-66 fluctuated within narrow limits. They ranged from 334 million pounds in 1966 to a high of 373 million pounds in 1965 and averaged 352 million pounds

(table 1). At that level they were 12 percent larger than the average in the 5-year period immediately preceding, despite the decline in the total number of tuna fishing vessels between 1960 and 1964 from a total of 1,081 ships, with a gross tonnage of about 64,500 tons, to 1,033 ships, with 62,500 tons.

The annual fluctuations in tuna landings primarily reflect changes in the catch which vary largely with the abundance of the fish and market conditions. Although average landings in 1962-66 exceeded those in the corresponding earlier period, U.S. fishermen provided a smaller proportionate share of the total domestic consumption. Compared to 60 percent during 1956-60, the share of domestic production in consumption was 48 percent in 1962-66.

The U.S. catch of tuna consists predominantly of the light meat tuna species--yellowfin and skipjack. Aggregate landings of these two species in 1962-66 represented about three-fourths of the total landings of tuna (table 3). The remainder of the domestic catch was made up in about equal proportion of albacore and bluefin. Virtually all the albacore is caught by albacore craft whereas the other species are caught mostly by purse seiners; the remainder is caught almost exclusively by bait boats.

Nearly all of the domestic catch of tuna is taken off the Pacific coast of North and South America in an area extending from the coast of Washington to the northern coast of Chile. Some of the catch, though taken off the Pacific coast, is landed in Puerto Rico. In 1964 and 1965, landings on the Pacific coast accounted for 80 percent of the total and those in Puerto Rico for about 14 percent; landings in Hawaii accounted for an additional 4 percent and those in Atlantic and gulf coast ports, for 2 percent.

#### U.S. exports and imports

Exports of fresh, chilled, or frozen tuna are not separately reported in official statistics; they are known to be small.

During 1962-67, annual U.S. imports of tuna fluctuated between 231 million pounds, valued at \$30.6 million in 1963 and 307 million pounds, valued at \$60.6 million in 1966, and averaged 272 million pounds, valued at \$43.2 million (table 4). By quantity, annual imports during 1962-67 were on the average about 40 percent larger than during the preceding 5-year period and also supplied a larger share of domestic consumption than formerly. On a live-weight basis, imports accounted for about 40 percent of consumption in 1957-61; this proportion increased to 52 percent in 1962-66.

Most of the imports consisted of albacore. In 1962-67 entries of this species averaged 112 million pounds a year and accounted for about two-fifths of total imports (tables 4 and 5). On a live-weight basis, they supplied about three-fourths of the domestic consumption of albacore (table 2). Aggregate imports of all other species in recent years averaged about 160 million pounds. On a live-weight basis, such imports supplied 42 percent of the total consumption of the light meat tuna species.

Japan has been by far the principal source of supply. During 1962-67 imports from that country accounted for more than half of total imports and for two-thirds of total albacore imports.

#### World production and trade

Total world catch of tuna in 1965 amounted to about 2 billion pounds. Japan has traditionally been the largest producer, accounting for about two-thirds of the world catch. The U.S. catch amounted to a little less than one-fifth of the total and a large number of countries made up the remainder.

Nearly all of the Japanese catch of skipjack and about 70 percent of the catch of all tuna is consumed in Japan and the remainder is exported. Most of Japan's tuna exports consist of frozen tuna for which the United States is the principal market. In recent years Japan's tuna exports to the United States represented about half of that country's total tuna exports.

Since March 1950, the United States has been a party to an international convention designed in part to conserve the population of yellowfin and skipjack tuna in the eastern Pacific Ocean. At present the other parties to the convention are Costa Rica, Panama, Ecuador, Mexico, and Canada. Under the terms of the convention, the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission is authorized to recommend from time to time actions for the purpose of keeping the population of the fishes covered at those levels of abundance which will permit the maximum sustained catch.

Table 1.--Albacore and other tuna, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

(Weight	s shown are	live-weight e	quivalents)	
Year	Production (landings)		Apparent consump- tion	Ratio of imports to consumption
	1,000 pounds	: 1,000 : pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962	340,947 358,644 354,222	: 320,910	: 679,554 :	: 47
1965 1966	373,471 333,878	: 378,637	: 752,108 :	50

1/ Imports in other tables are as reported in official statistics. Imports in this table have been adjusted to a live-weight basis and include the live weight of foreign-caught fish delivered by fishing vessels to American Samoa.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Note.--Exports are not separately reported but they are known to be negligible.

Table 2.--Albacore tuna, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

(Weights shown are live-weight equivalents) Apparent Ratio of : Production Year Imports 1 consumpimports to : (landings) tion consumption 1,000 1,000 1,000 Percent pounds pounds pounds 116,936: 45,955: 1962----162,891 72 60,802: 1963----: 120,204: 181,006 66 169,827 1964-----48,070: 217,897 78 37,220: 191,586 : 84 228,806 84 201,447: 238,438 : 1966-----36,991:

1/ Imports in other tables are as reported in official statistics. Imports in this table have been adjusted to a live-weight basis and include the live weight of foreign-caught fish delivered by fishing vessels to American Samoa.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Note.--Exports are not separately reported but they are known to be negligible.

Table 3.--Albacore and other tuna, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (landings), 1/ by kinds, 1962-66

(In thousands of pounds, live weight)

Kind	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966
	122,307 41,172 45,955 30	: 139,451 : 43,807 : 60,802 : 83	: 107,797 : 34,774 : 48,070 : 162	169,163 142,986 23,814 37,220 288 373,471	98,523 39,709 36,991

<sup>1/</sup> Includes landings in Puerto Rico.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 4.--Albacore and other tuna, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Country :	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967			
;	Quantity (1,000 pounds)								
•	<del></del>	<del></del>				<del></del>			
Japan:	150,730 :	112.98և ։	163.95և ։	157.386 :	173,534	130,451			
British Western :	1			:	,				
Pacific Islands-:	17,469 :	5,835 :	4,304:	9,158:	19,763 :	18,341			
Malaysia:	895 :				19,624:	16,967			
Peru:	33,460 :				20,828 :				
Ecuador:	11,686:				11,087 :	19,610			
<pre>Ivory Coast:</pre>	- :	:	11,337 :	14,108:	7,311 :	6,376			
Canary Islands :	:		•	:	:				
(Spanish):	4,674 :			589 :	8,228 :	1,898			
All other:	55,230 :	53,040 :							
Total:	274,144:	230,776:	287,851:	274,083:	306,818:	261,018			
:`	Value (1,000 dollars)								
Japan:	25,785 :	17,718:	25,597	22,594:	36,127	26,871			
British Western :		_,,,	:	:					
Pacific Islands -:	3,075 :	863 :	683 :	1,400:	4,312 :	3,766			
Malaysia:	158 :	373 :	1,231 :	1,510:	4,320 :	3,689			
Peru:	4,140 :	4,433 :	2,250:	2,174:	2,842 :				
Ecuador:	1,156 :	217 :	696 :	1,165:	1,435 :	2,049			
<pre>Ivory Coast:</pre>	<b>.</b>	- :	1,563 :	2,005:	1,497 :	1,382			
Canary Islands :		:		:	:				
(Spanish):	621 :								
All other:	7,106:								
Total:	42,041:	30,577:	40,619:	36,931 :	60,616	48,237			
	:	:	:	:					

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 5.--Albacore tuna, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Country	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
		Qt	antity (1,	,000 pounds	5)	
Japan:	54,539 :	54,484	81,770	86,372	73,327	74,802
British Western :	:	;	• • • •	. :		
Pacific Islands-: Malaysia:	14,090 :	3,925	3,000 : 3,466 :			
Republic of South:		;		•		•
Africa: Ivory Coast:	3,651 : - :	<del>-</del> :	384 ; 6,747 ;	,		
Netherlands :	3	•				;
Antilles: Canary Islands :	-:	- ;	651	2,326	2,505	2,827
(Spanish) :	1,499 :	4,264	9,101		- /	
Mauritius: All other:	- : - 10.155 :	12.673	19,922	: 1,064 : : 16,972 :		
Total:						
		٧̈́٤	lue (1,000	O dollars)		
Japan:	9,759:	9,091:	13,373	12,743	16,230 :	16,923
British Western : Pacific Islands-:	2,522 :	616	. 474	1,121	3,421 :	2,941
Malaysia:	- :	- :	552			
Republic of South: Africa:	546 <b>:</b>	~ ;	55	402	506	915
Ivory Coast:	-:	- :	1,079 :			• •
Netherlands : Antilles:		- :	105	338 :	619	578
Canary Islands :		(00	:		:	
(Spanish): Mauritius:	182 :	623 :	1,342 : - :	։ 86 ։ 146 ։		
All other:		1,896		2,424	2,141 :	3,417
Total:	14,590:	12,226	20,084	19,895	27,629	28,311

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

### Commodity

TSUS item

Sea herring, fresh, chilled, or frozen---- 110.10 (pt.)

Note.--For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

#### U.S. trade position

In recent years rising imports and declining domestic landings have resulted in a sharp increase to 62 percent in the ratio of imports to annual domestic consumption of sea herring.

#### Description and uses

Sea herring move in vast schools and are one of the most abundant of ocean fish. The principal commercial species are Atlantic sea herring and Pacific sea herring, both of the genus Clupea.

Very little sea herring is used for human consumption in the fresh or frozen state; virtually all of the catch is used as the raw material for several important fish-preparing and fish-preserving operations. In the United States most sea herring is canned (see items 112.08, etc. in volume 1:3) or used in the production of fish meal (see item 184.55 in volume 1:6) and herring oil (see item 177.22 in volume 1:12). Lesser quantities are smoked or kippered (see items 111.72-111.80), and used as bait and in the manufacture of pet food (see item 184.55 in volume 1:6). Herring scales are used to obtain guanine, an irridescent substance, the source of pearl essence (see item 473.82 in volume 4:10).

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rate of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) is as follows:

TSUS item

Commodity

Rate of duty

110.10 (pt.) Sea herring, fresh, chilled or frozen, Free whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved.

The duty-free treatment of sea herring was provided for in the original Tariff Act of 1930. The dutiable status has been bound since January 1, 1948 in a concession granted by the United States in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

#### U.S. consumption

During 1962-66 annual domestic consumption of sea herring averaged 236 million pounds and ranged between 211.4 million pounds (1964) and 258.3 million pounds (1962) (table 1). In recent years slightly over half of the consumption was used in the manufacture of fish meal for use in animal feed and fish oil; about one-third was canned; and most of the remainder was used in the preparation of canned pet food and for fish bait.

#### U.S. producers and production

The U.S. sea herring industry is concentrated in Maine and Alaska, although some herring are caught also in waters of other Atlantic and Pacific Coast States. The fishing season for sea herring is in the summer and fall. According to data published by the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, 733 fishermen were employed in the industry in 1965. Of these, 658 were in Maine and 75 in Alaska.

The annual catch of sea herring in the United States has steadily declined from 200.1 million pounds in 1962 to 96.4 million pounds in 1966 (table 2). The annual catch of herring depends upon the run of fish, but to a large extent also upon markets for the various herring products. Most of the U.S. catch of sea herring is landed in the Atlantic Coast States, predominantly in Maine. During 1962-66 landings in the Atlantic Coast States accounted for close to three-fourths of the total domestic catch. Nearly all of the remainder was landed in Alaska.

#### U.S. exports

U.S. exports of sea herring are not separately shown in official statistics. It is known, however, that sea herring are regularly shipped to Canada, although such exports are small compared with domestic production and imports. As reported in official Canadian import statistics, annual shipments of sea herring from the United States have shown marked fluctuations. During 1962-66 they ranged from 258,000 pounds in 1966 to 5 million pounds in 1963 (table 1).

#### U.S. imports

U.S. imports of sea herring dropped from about 62.5 million pounds in 1962 to 45.4 million pounds in 1963 and then rose to 155.1 million pounds in 1966. The increase in imports, accompanied by a substantial decline in domestic landings, resulted in a sharp increase in the ratio of imports to annual domestic consumption, from 19 percent in 1963 to 62 percent in 1966.

Virtually all imports consist of whole sea herring imported from Canada and entered through Maine customs' ports. Imports of sea herring at other customs ports have been negligible.

Table 1.--Sea herring, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (landings), imports for consumption, exports of domestic merchandise, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

			·	tion	consumption
:	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962 1963 1964 1965		45,455	5,031 : 1,837 : 3,634 :	211,372 224,652	19 46 53

<sup>1/</sup> Catch as landed.

Source: Production and imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; exports compiled from official statistics of Canada.

Table 2.--Sea herring, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (landings), areas, and principal States, 1962-66

Area and State	1962	1963	:	1964	1965	1966	
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)						
Atlantic Coast States:  Maine All other	156,699 1,837	152,317 2,453		60,866 2,817		: : 58,299 : 14,000	
Total		154,770		63,683		72,299	
	33,876 7,694	7,618	:	47,904	8,907		
TotalGrand total	41,570 200,106	193,604	:	115,929	110,293	: 24,107 : 96,406	
	Value (1,000 dollars)						
Atlantic Coast States:  Maine All other Total Pacific Coast States: Alaska All other	2,375 51	•		1,275 59	•	•	
	2,426		:	1,334	•	:	
	379 : 312 :		•	719 172	256	: 302	
TotalGrand total	691 3 <b>,</b> 117	656 2,360	<u>:</u> :	891 2,225	617 1,876	: 591 : 2,025	

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

		,

# Commodity

TSUS item

Fresh-water fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen------ 110.15 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

#### U.S. trade position

Fresh-water fish comprise a wide variety of species and although in the aggregate imports in recent years supplied only one-fifth of consumption, the ratio varied greatly between the species. The proportion of consumption supplied by imports has generally been large for species obtained from the Great Lakes; fish of the species caught in U.S. inland waters either were not imported at all or were imported in insignificant quantities.

#### Description and uses

Fresh-water fish live in lakes or streams. They comprise a wide variety of species, more than 30 of which enter international trade. Among the most important fresh-water fish are those shown in tables 2 and 3.

In the United States the commercial catch of fresh-water fish comes mostly from the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River and its tributaries.

The great bulk of these fishes are marketed without being advanced beyond the removal of heads, viscera, and fins. Important exceptions are yellow perch and pike-perch (yellow pike), which are marketed as fillets; chubs, which usually are smoked; and lake herring which usually are salted. About 90 percent of the catch of freshwater fish is used for human consumption. The remainder, even though fit for human consumption and within this summary, is used primarily for animal feed.

Statistics of the catch of fresh-water fish and the subsequent discussion cover only the commercial catch, as landed. No data are available either on the quantity of fish that is regularly caught by private fishermen for personal use or that which comes from fish farms. The amounts so caught each year are known to be substantial for most of the fishes covered by this summary and for some of them it probably exceeds the commercial catch.

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS' : item :	Commodity	Rate prior to Jan. 1,	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade conference (Kennedy Round)		
			First stage, effective Jan. 1, 1968	Final stage, effective Jan. 1, 1972	
110.15(pt.)	Fresh-water fish, whole, or proc- essed by removal of heads, viscera, fins, or any com- bination thereof, but not otherwise processed.	1b.	0.4¢ per lb.	Free 1/	

1/ The final rate for this item will become effective Jan. 1, 1971, at the fourth stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fourth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty in effect on December 31, 1967, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 1.3 percent.

#### U.S. consumption

U.S. consumption of fresh-water fish during 1961-66 declined from 192 million pounds in 1961 to 159 million pounds in 1966 (table 1). Consumption consisted mostly of catfish, carp, buffalo fish, lake herring, and whitefish. Aggregate consumption of these five fishes accounted in 1963-65 for about two-thirds of the total.

Most fresh-water fish are consumed in the Great Lakes area and the Mississippi River region near where they are caught.

#### U.S. producers

In 1965, about 12,000 fishermen operating over 9,000 fishing craft were engaged in catching fresh-water fish. Most of the men and craft were based along the Mississippi River and its tributaries. The remainder of the men and craft were in the Great Lakes area or in the streams of the South Atlantic and Gulf States. Catching fresh-water fish was a full-time occupation for less than one-third of the men engaged in U.S. fresh-water fishing.

#### U.S. production

Annual U.S. commercial landings of fresh-water fish declined from 151 million pounds in 1961 to an estimated 128 million pounds in 1966 (tables 1 and 2). About half of the annual catch is ordinarily obtained from the Mississippi River and its tributaries; an additional 30 percent is caught in the Great Lakes, and the remainder comes from other inland waters, principally in the South Atlantic and Gulf States.

The domestic catch of fresh-water fish includes a wide variety of species. The most important of these on the basis of quantity marketed are catfish, carp, buffalo fish, and chubs. Aggregate landings of these species in recent years accounted for about three-fourths of the total domestic catch (table 2).

#### U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports of fresh-water fish are not separately shown in official statistics. They are known to be negligible.

Annual imports of fresh-water fish declined from 41 million pounds, valued at \$14 million in 1961 to 27 million pounds, valued at \$11 million in 1967 (table 3).

For the most part imports consisted of fishes native to the Great Lakes, the common source of fresh-water fish for much of both the United States and the Canadian catch. The principal fishes imported were whitefish, yellow pike and other pike, and lake trout and other trout. The aggregate quantity of these fishes imported in recent years accounted for 75 percent of total imports. The majority of species caught in the United States, i.e., virtually all of those obtained from the Mississippi River and its tributaries and the inland waters of the South Atlantic and Gulf States, were not imported at all or were imported only in insignificant quantities.

Imports supplied about one-fifth of total domestic consumption, but this ratio has differed greatly among the various fishes. For

some of them, e.g., catfish, buffalo fish, and carp, there was virtually no import competition, whereas imports of whitefish, lake trout, pike, and pickerel supplied all or nearly all of the domestic consumption.

Canada has been virtually the only source of supply for all freshwater fish except other trout (rainbow trout) (table 4). Japan and Denmark have been the predominant sources of rainbow trout (table 5).

Table 1.--Fresh-water fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

(Weights shown are live-weight equivalents) Ratio of : Apparent : Production imports to Year Imports: consump-(landings) 1/ consumption tion 1,000 1,000 1,000 pounds pounds pounds Percent 150,916: 40,945 191,861 21 1962-----144,836: 40,709: 185,545 : 22 142,750: 179,492 1963----: 36,742: 20 1964----: 135,671 : 36,175 : 171,846 21 1965----: 35,436 : 164,305 128,869: 22 2/ 128,000 : 31,346 :2/159,346 : 20

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--Exports are not separately recorded; they are believed to be negligible.

<sup>1/</sup> Does not include farm-raised trout and catfish, fresh-water fish caught by noncommercial fishermen, and tullibees and fresh-water ale-wives.

<sup>2/</sup> Estimated.

Table 2.--Fresh-water fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (catch), by kinds, 1961-65

Kind :	1961	:	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965
:	Quanti	Lt:	y (1,000	)	pounds,	1:	ive weig	;h	t)
Catfish and bullheads:	38,468	:	37,619	:	38,614	:	38,062	:	36,002
Lake herring, ciscoes, and chubs: Buffalo fish:		:					13,487 18,475		
Yellow perch: Carp:	9,694	:	12,467	:	11,743	:	8,803 33,146	:	
Whitefish: Yellow pike:	1,389	:	1,116	:	1,082	:	1,634 1,543	:	
Sheepshead, fresh-water: All other 1/:	11,919	:	7,321 11,379	:	10,791	:	10,258 10,263	:	9,032 10,298
Total:	150,916	:			142,750 1,000 da			:	128,869
Catfish and bullheads:	6,352	:					6,879	:	6,860
Lake herring, ciscoes, and chubs: Buffalo fish:	2,784 1,837		2,268				1,531		2,296
Yellow perch:	1,277 1,098	:	1,913 1,108 1,047	:	1,107	:		:	2,027 1,084 1,005
Whitefish: Yellow pike:	696 556	:	561 491	:	492 488	:	910 516	:	847 448
Sheepshead, fresh-water: All other 1/:	523	:	333 1,205	:	425	:	442 1,032	:	407 1,067
Total:	16,426	:	15,104	:	15,353	; ;	15,773	_	16,041

<sup>1/</sup> Does not include farm-raised trout and catfish, fresh-water fish caught by noncommercial fishermen, and tullibees and fresh-water alewives.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Note. -- Totals for some varieties include fish used for animal food.

Table 3.--Fresh-water fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by kinds, 1961-67

Kind	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
:			Quantity	(1,000	pounds)		
:		:			;	;	
Whitefish:							
Yellow pike:							
Trout, other:							
Pike, other: Lake trout:		$\frac{1}{2}$ :	$\frac{1}{1}$	3,183			
Lake herring,:	1,710	2,230:	1,941 :	1,929	: 1,734	: 1,655 :	1,470
ciscoes, and:		•	•		•	•	
chubs:		1,864:	1.530	1,543	488	975	459
All other:				5,449			
Total:							
:				1,000 do	<del></del>		*
:							
Whitefish:							
Yellow pike:				2,583			
Trout, other:	1,919 :						
Pike, other:	<u>1</u> /:	<i>=</i> / . `		868			•-
Lake trout:	784 :	926 :	712 :	711	: 701 :	741:	631
Lake herring, :		:	:		:	:	
ciscoes, and:	100	100	220	200	: 706	•	7.07
chubs:	493			378			
All other: Total:				1,122		1,533 : 12,061 :	
10.97:	14,217	، رر∪ور⊥	4. 427 و عد	12,012	• 12,900	. TC) OOT :	10,000
		·	<del> </del>		·	·•	

<sup>1/</sup> Included with "All other."

Table 4.--Fresh-water fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, total and from Canada, 1966 and 1967

	196	6	1967		
Species	Total	Canada	Total	Canada	
	Qua	intity (1,	,000 poun	ds)	
Whitefish	4,612 3,104 2,165 1,655 975 7,539 31,346	4,607 140 2,164 1,535 975 5,660 26,366	3,782 4,114 1,437 1,470 459 5,638 26,937	: 3,782 : 226 : 1,434 : 1,307 : 448 : 3,883 : 21,117	
	va	lue (1,00	o dollar	·s)	
Whitefish	2,495 : 1,335 : 968 : 741 : 206 : 1,533 :	58 : 967 : 694 : 206 : 982 :	1,634 1,977 466 631 107 1,343	: 1,634 : 105 : 466 : 553 : 102 : 828	

Table 5.--Trout, other, except lake trout, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1966 and 1967

Country	1966 1 196	57
	Quantity (1,000 pounds	3)
Japan	840: 140: 52: 17: 6:	2,374 1,433 226 51 22 8
10031	Value (1,000 dollars)	<u>4,114</u> ا
Japan Denmark Canada West Germany Netherlands Total	58 : 26 : 13 :	1,129 703 105 24 12 4



# Commodity TSUS item

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

U.S. consumption of the fishes here considered is virtually all supplied from domestic sources; both imports and exports are relatively small.

#### Description and uses

This summary covers all fresh, chilled, or frozen fish fit for human consumption, except sea herring, smelts, and tuna (item 110.10), fresh-water fish (item 110.15 (pt.)), halibut and salmon (item 110.20), and swordfish (items 110.30 to 110.33). It includes more than 85 kinds of fishes, the most important of which are shown in tables 2 and 3.

Some of the fishes herein covered, because of limited supplies, are marketed primarily near the ports where they are landed. The bulk of the catch, which is distributed as fresh or frozen fish, is not marketed as fish for consumption as such, but is further processed into fillets and steaks. Substantially, the entire catch of Atlantic ocean perch and most of that of flounder, cod, and haddock are used in the production of fillets. Many other fishes covered here also are so used. On the other hand, appreciable quantities of fishes here under consideration are canned, pickled, or salted. Some of the fishes covered by this summary, although fit for human consumption, are used for animal feed.

## U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

: : TSUS	('AMMAGITI	Rate			
item : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		Jan. 1, 1968	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972		
::I :: :: :: :: :: :: :: ::	Fish, whole; or processed by removal of heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof, but not otherwise processed:  Cod, cusk, eels, haddock, hake, pollock, shad, sturgeon, fresh, chilled, or frozen.  Mackerel:	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ Free <u>1</u> / per lb.		
110.25	Fresh or chilled	: per	: 0.4¢ : Free : per : : lb. :		
110.28 :	Frozen	: per	: 0.65¢ : 0.35¢ : per : per : 1b. : 1b.		
110.35 :	Other, fresh, chilled or frozen.	: l¢ per : lb.	: 0.9¢ per : 0.5¢ per : 1b. : 1b.		
110.36 :	If products of Cuba (except Atlantic ocean perch (rosefish) and totoaba or white sea bass).	0.4¢ per lb. 2/	: : : :		

<sup>1/</sup> Final rate will become effective Jan. 1, 1971.

2/ Rate suspended May 24, 1962.

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tarifi's and Trade. Only

the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The rate shown for item 110.36 is a preferential rate for products of Cuba. Imports from Cuba have been prohibited since February 7, 1962.

The average ad valorem equivalents of the specific rates of duty, based on 1967 imports, were as follows:

TSUS item	Commodity	Percent
110.15 (pt.) 110.15 (pt.)	SturgeonCod, cusk, eels, haddock, hake, pollock, and shad.	1.3 3.6
110.25 110.28 110.35	Mackerel, fresh or chilled Mackerel, frozen Other	6.4

#### U.S. consumption and production

Since U.S. consumption of the fishes covered here is almost entirely supplied from domestic sources, consumption is discussed in terms of production. During 1961-65, annual U.S. landings declined by about 100 million pounds, from a little over 1.1 billion pounds to about 1 billion pounds.

Nearly half of the total domestic catch in recent years consisted of haddock, flatfish, ocean perch, and whiting (tables 2 and 3). As a rule, the bulk of the U.S. landings are made at Atlantic coast ports, principally those of New England. In 1965 such landings accounted for 44 percent of the total domestic catch; Middle and South Atlantic ports accounted for 24 percent; Pacific coast ports, for 18 percent; and ports in the Gulf States, for 14 percent.

#### U.S. producers

All but a small part of the catch of the fishes herein covered is taken in inshore waters and waters close to the U.S. coast by fishing vessels known as otter trawlers. Trawlers are so named for the conical net, known as a trawl, which they drag on the sea bottom, engulfing fish with the large open end and trapping them in the small end of the net. Most of these trawlers are small craft having a crew of from 2 to 5 men.

In 1965, the latest year for which statistics are available, there were 1,392 trawlers manned by 5,422 fishermen. Of these, 590 trawlers and 2,949 crewmen were operating in New England; 490 trawlers and 1,450 fishermen, in the Middle and South Atlantic Coast States; 220 trawlers and 803 crewmen, in the Pacific Coast States; and 92 trawlers and 220 crewmen, in the Gulf States.

#### U.S. exports

Exports of the fishes covered by this summary are not separately shown in official statistics, except that aggregate exports of ground-fish (cod, cusk, haddock, hake, ocean perch, and pollock) have been separately reported since January 1965. Such exports amounted to 500,000 pounds, valued at \$113,000, in 1965 and 824,000 pounds, valued at \$208,000, in 1966. Exports of the other fish are known to be larger than those of groundfish but considerably less than imports. Canada has been the chief outlet.

#### U.S. imports

Aggregate annual U.S. imports of the fishes covered by this summary increased irregularly from about 12.6 million pounds in 1961 to 20.3 million pounds in 1967 (table 4). In the aggregate, they supplied about 2 percent of U.S. consumption on a live-weight basis (table 1). Substantially all the imported fish are marketed in the condition in which they are imported, i.e., they are not further processed in this country. An important exception is sturgeon, most of which is prepared by smoking before being marketed. Imports consist mostly of a large variety of fish not separately shown in official statistics. In recent years such imports accounted for about 62 percent of total imports. Imports of mackerel accounted for 17 percent of total imports, those of cod, cusk, etc. for 16 percent, and those of sturgeon, 5 percent.

Canada and Mexico have been the principal sources of imports (table 5). Canada is virtually the only supplier of mackerel and is also the predominant source of many other fish. Imports from Mexico consist mainly of totoaba, sea trout, and red snapper. Iran has been the principal source of sturgeon.

Table 1.--Fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

(Weights	shown are liv	ve-weight equ	uivalents)	•
Year	Production (landings)	Imports <u>1</u> /	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to consumption
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1961	1,111,851 1,099,470 1,057,889 1,061,248 1,011,540	20,280 : 17,878 : 17,727 : 21,360 :	1,126,293 1,119,750 1,075,767 1,078,975 1,032,900 971,283	2 2 2
1300	: <u>~</u> / 970,000 :	21,203	, 711,203	•

<sup>1/</sup> Converted to live-weight equivalents at the rate of 1.15 pounds
for each pound imported. The figures differ from those shown in
tables 4 and 5 which include weights of beheaded and eviscerated fish.
2/ Estimated.

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, except as noted; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--Exports are not separately shown in official statistics; they are known to be very small and considerably less than imports (see text).

Table 2.--Cod, cusk, eels, haddock, hake, pollock, shad, sturgeon, mackerel, and fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. catch, 1961-65

Item	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965
7	,	Quantity (1,	000 pounds,	live weight)	
Grand total:	1,111,851	1,099,470	: 1,057,889	1,061,248	1,011,540
Cod, cusk, eels,			<del></del>	<u> </u>	
haddock, hake,		,	•	•	•
pollock, and		· !	•	•	•
shad, total	219,892	217,809	203,746	209,389	210,337
Cod					
Cusk	1,905				
Eels (common and			:	:	
conger)	856	686	: 1,018	1,121	1,642
Haddock					
Hake (white)	,				6,007
Pollock					
Shad	7,256	,		7,087	8,562
			•	•	-,,,
Sturgeon	775 :	699	: 638	<b>:</b> 594 :	<b>:</b> 586
Atlantic mackerel	3,012			: 4,732	: 11,377
Pacific mackerel	44,110 :	48,579	: 40,243	: 26,828	7,050
Other 1/:	844,062	830,307	: 810,347	: 819,705	782,190
_		Value	(1,000 dolla	ars)	
Grand total	65,204	68,962	: 69,564	: 67,597	74,360
Cod, cusk, eels,	0),20+	, 00,902	• 09,704	• 01,791	14,300
haddock, hake,	•	•	•	•	
pollock, and	•	•	•	•	
shad, total	15,519	16,837	17,476	17,044	19,602
Cod					
Cusk	101				131
Eels (common and			•	•	
conger)	111	93	: 135	117	278
Haddock		, -			
Hake (white)					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Pollock	795				
Shad	1,243.				
	±₃∠+j,	100	±,±+±	2()	1,150
Sturgeon	117	117	94	70	74
Atlantic mackerel		•		•	
Pacific mackerel					
Other 1/			•	•	
			:		}
1/ Principal species	identified	in table 3.			

Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Source: Fisheries. June 1968

1:2

Table 3.--Fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. catch, 1961-65

Item	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965
	Qua	ntity (1,0	00 pounds,	live weig	nt)
Flatfish, except halibut Snapper, red Ocean perch, Atlantic Scup or porgy Mullet Jack mackerel Whiting Striped bass Sea trout (spotted) Sea bass, black (Atlantic) Rockfish Ocean perch, Pacific Alewives	12,688 132,062 46,584 42,813 97,810 100,729 9,495 5,380 6,405 24,882 12,443 56,077	12,530 123,983 46,306 43,165 90,363 105,088 8,664 5,092 9,436 27,980 17,327 57,888	13,165 108,292 41,922 42,734 95,843 92,643 9,358 5,387 9,237 25,030 23,578 62,440	13,884 89,268 38,525 41,774 89,985 94,233 8,606 5,334 8,120 19,315 20,973 54,985	14,029 83,608 35,870 41,392 66,856 82,574 7,754 6,088 8,899 21,389 28,352 78,758
Sea bass, white:					
OtherTotal	844,062	830,307	: 112,283 : 810,347	819,705	
•			(1,000 dol]	Lars)	
Flatfish, except halibut Snapper, red Ocean perch, Atlantic Scup or porgy Mullet Jack mackerel Whiting Striped bass Sea trout (spotted) Sea bass, black (Atlantic) Rockfish Ocean perch, Pacific Alewives Sea bass, white Total	12,667 3,266 5,114 2,931 2,548 2,109 2,245 1,270 1,283 1,090 1,137 594 716 217 10,980	3,162 5,223 3,048 2,551 1,993 2,340 1,345 1,242 1,368 1,412 832 884 177 10,690	3,534 5,417 3,099 2,354 2,118 2,178 1,314 1,312 1,172 1,328 1,172 906 242	4,061 3,781 2,934 2,351 2,213 2,067 1,380 1,378 1,018 1,003 999 920 309 10,036	4,133 3,394 3,266 2,606 1,904 2,204 1,461 1,521 1,022 1,158 1,334 1,138

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 4.--Fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen:
U.S. imports for consumption, by kind, 1961-67

Item	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
			Quantit	y (1,000) j	oounds)		
Grand total	12,558	17,635	15,546	15,415	18,574	18,507	: 20,344
Cod, cusk, eels, haddock, hake, pollock, and						•	•
shadSturgeon	1,675 1,362	2,302 1,448	2,298 1,411	2,278 1,080	2,689 1,090	2,864 833	3,476 1,070
Mackerel	2,447	3,725	3,001	3,298	4,699	2,854	2,171
Fresh or chilled Frozen	1,561 886	2,104 1,621	1,976 1,025	2,288 1,010	3,219 1,480	2,132 722	1,450 721
Other	7,074	10,161	8,836	8,759	10,096	11,956	: 13,627
			Value	(1,000 do]	llars)		
Grand total	2,986	4,157	3,470	3,920	4,448	4,368	: 4,960
Cod, cusk, eels, haddock, hake, pollock, and							:
shadSturgeon	224 778	333 710	334 696	285 579	360 509	421 422	478 424
Mackerel	439	548	422	390	476	296	243
Fresh or chilled Frozen	306 133	307 241	294 128	266 124	332 144	207 89	159 84
Other	1,545	2,566	2,018	2,666	3,103	3,229	3,815

Table 5.--Fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Source	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967		
			Quantity	(1,000 pc	ounds)				
:	:	:	:				:		
Canada	5,541 :	6,888:	6,145 :	6,618 :	8,232	: 6,462	8,188		
Netherlands:	654 :	1,534:	1,287:		1,500		1,385		
Mexico	4,056 :	4,789:	4,244:	4,219	4,113		3,315		
Japan	862 :	2,114:	1,953:	1,428 :	1,066	1,767	: 1,810		
Iran	805 :	902 :	1,050:	714 :	840				
Brazil	-:	. <b>- :</b>	3 :	- :	671 :	845	1,595		
All other:	639 :	1,408:	864 :	1,241 :	2,152	3,881	3,133		
Total	12,557 :	17,635:	15,546:	15,414	18,574	18,507	20,344		
		Value (1,000 dollars)							
•				<del></del>	<del></del>		<u> </u>		
Canada	1,331	1,405:	1,291 :	1,054	1,208	1,047	1,335		
Netherlands		779:	514 :	811	1,071	936			
Mexico	686	896 :	845 :		819				
Japan	168	463:	269		240	1 -			
Iran	244	208	291 :	213	227	173	238		
Brazil		- :	1:		77	130	233		
All other	234	406	259 :	657	806	949	1,077		
Total		4,157:	3,470:	3,920	4,448	4,367	4,960		
	,,,	:	;	J, J, E	, -	. , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

·			

# Commodity

TSUS item

Halibut, fresh, chilled, or frozen--- 110.20 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

In recent years about two-fifths of the U.S. annual consumption of halibut was supplied by imports. U.S. exports of halibut have been small and, in most recent years, were equal to less than 10 percent of domestic landings.

## Description and uses

Halibut, which for tariff purposes includes Greenland halibut, is of a group of fishes known as flatfish, which include flounder and sole. Halibut may reach a length of 10 feet and may weigh from 6 to 200 pounds. The meat of the halibut is firm and white and has a somewhat bland taste. Halibut are caught in the northern waters of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, usually the latter area furnishing most of the world catch.

Most of the halibut is marketed fresh or frozen for human consumption in the form of steaks and fillets (see item 110.60 (pt.)); only insignificant quantities are salted, smoked, or canned.

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS item	Commodity	: Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)				
	Commodity	Jan. 1, 1968	effective	Final stage, effective Jan. 1, 1972			
110.20(pt.):	Halibut, fresh, chilled, or frozen; whole; or processed by removal of heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof, but not otherwise processed.	per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.	Free <u>l</u> /			

1/ The final rate for this item will become effective January 1, 1970 at the third stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (third) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty based on 1967 imports was 1.6 percent.

#### U.S. consumption

Annual consumption of halibut reached a high of 86 million pounds in 1962 and declined thereafter to 63 million pounds in 1966. All or nearly all of the halibut consumed was used in the production of halibut steaks and fillets. The annual changes in the volume of consumption reflect primarily, if not entirely, changes in the availability or abundance of the fish.

## U.S. producers and production

In 1965 the U.S. Pacific halibut fleet numbered slightly more than 200 vessels and carried a total of over 900 crewmen. On the Atlantic Coast the fishing for halibut is incidental to catching cod and haddock. During the halibut fishing season, which extends generally from March

to October, halibut fishing craft also catch other fish, principally sablefish, lingcod, and rockfish, which usually account for slightly more than 10 percent of the vessels' gross receipts.

The annual U.S. catch of halibut (live weight) reached a peak of 54 million pounds in 1962 and thereafter irregularly declined to 41 million pounds in 1966 (table 1). During the 6-year period ending in 1966 the U.S. catch averaged about 45 million pounds; less than 1 percent was landed on the Atlantic Coast, and almost 99 percent on the Pacific Coast, principally in Alaska (table 2).

#### U.S. exports

There are no official statistics on exports of halibut, but they are known to go almost entirely to Canada. Official Canadian trade statistics show annual imports of halibut from the United States during 1961-66, ranging from 700,000 pounds (live weight) to 4 million pounds (table 1). On the basis of these data, U.S. exports of halibut were equal to considerably less than 10 percent of domestic landings in all recent years, except 1964, when they were equal to slightly more than 10 percent.

## U.S. imports

Imports of halibut into the United States have in recent years supplied about two-fifths of domestic consumption (live-weight basis). Annual U.S. imports of halibut have fluctuated moderately and during 1961-67 averaged 21 million pounds annually, valued at almost \$7 million (table 3). Nearly all of the imports came from Canada. Under the terms of a convention between the United States and Canada of May 1950, Canadian halibut fishing vessels can land their catches at U.S. Pacific ports directly.

The threatened depletion of the supplies of halibut in the north Pacific led in 1924 to the Pacific Halibut Convention between the United States and Canada. The primary objective of the agreement was to conserve breeding stock and increase available supplies in the territorial waters and the high seas off the western coast of the United States and Canada, including the southern and western coasts (Bering Sea) of Alaska. An International Pacific Halibut Commission was created to carry out the provisions of the convention. The Commission has been continued in subsequent conventions (the last in 1953) and its power broadened.

Under the terms of the present agreement the Halibut Commission is empowered to (1) divide the convention waters into areas, (2) limit the catch of halibut to be taken from the area, (3) determine the length of the fishing season, (4) develop the stocks of halibut to

levels which will permit maximum sustained yield and to maintain the stocks at those levels, and (5) to fix the size and kind of halibut fishing gear to be used in the area.

There is no allocation or quota between the United States and Canadian fishing fleets. In the period 1962-64, the United States accounted for 49 percent of the total Pacific catch and Canada accounted for 51 percent.

### World production

In 1965 world production of halibut amounted to 183 million pounds. Canada and the United States have been the most important producers, accounting in 1965 for about 60 percent of total world catch. The Soviet Union accounted for most of the remainder.

Table 1.—Halibut, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (catch), imports for consumption, exports of domestic merchandise, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

(Weights shown are live-weight equivalents) Ratio of : Produc-: Apparent : imports to tion Exports 2/1 consump-Year : Imports 2/ consump-(catch) 1/ tion tion 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1 : pounds : pounds : pounds : pounds Percent 53,523 : 1961----: 81,755: 36 29,211: 979 : 674: 1962----: 54,011 : 86,461: 38 33,124: 1963----: 45,841: 41 30,220 : 2,160: 73,901: 1964----: 35,354: 30,014: 4,152: 61,216: 49 1965----: 40,825 : 28,896: 3,141: 66,580 : 43 63,410: 1966----: 40,633 1 25,930: 3,153: Δl

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; exports compiled from official import statistics of the Canadian Dominion Bureau of Statistics (Trade of Canada) except as noted; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

<sup>1/</sup> Includes landings by U.S. fishing vessels in the United States and shipments landed by such vessels at foreign ports and entered as products of American fisheries.

<sup>2/</sup> Converted to live-weight equivalent at rate of 1.33 pounds for each pound reported.

Table 2.--Halibut: U.S. catch, by States, 1961-66

State	1961	:	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966
:	Quantity (1,000 pounds, live weight)										
:		:	_	:		:		:		: -	
Alaska:	33,423	:	36,792	:	29,886	:	22,758	1	30,984	:	33,354
Washington:									9,354	:	6,826
All other:	730	:_	739	:_	539	:_	627	:	487		453
Total:	53,523	:	54,011	:	45,841	:	35,354	:	40,825	:	40,633
:	Value (1,000 dollars)										
	<del></del>	:		:		:		:		:	
Alaska:	4,888	:	7,467	:	4,161	:	3,573	:	6,770	:	7,815
Washington:	3,447	:	4,010	:	2,678	:	2,324	:	2,405	:	1,854
All other:	153	:	181		133	:	125	:	154	:	157
Total1	8,488	; -	11,658	:	6,972	:	6,022	:	9,329	-:-	9,826
:		:		;		:	•	:		:	-

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Halibut, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Country	1961	:	1962	:	1963	1964	:	1965	1966	:	1967
:		Quantity (1,000 pounds)									
	7	:	1 0	:	1		:			:	
Canada:			23,548		22,328 :			21,451			15,430
Japan:	148		394 :		36 :	138		28 :			68
Norway:	508		807 :		277 :	114	1	134 1	22	:	27
Denmark:	22	ī	74 :	1	- :		1	22	-	:	22
West:		:	;	:	:		:	;	3	:	
Germany-:	-	:	<u> </u>	2	:	-	1	. <b>- 1</b>	26	:	2
All other-:	7		82 1	_	81:	12		91			18
Total-:	21,963	:	24,905	:	22,722:				19,496	:	15,567
1					Value (1	,000 do	11	ars)			
1											<del></del>
Canada:	• -		7,782		6,216:	6,126		7,406		:	4,781
Japan:	. 42		107		5:	36		10 :		:	22
Norway:	147:		296		113 :	146	1	54 :	13	:	15
Denmark:	6	:	25	:	- <del>7</del> . ₹		:	8 :	· -	:	13
West:		:	;	:			:	1	1	:	
Germany-:	-	:	- 1	1	- 1	_	1	- :	12	:	1
All other-:	2		25	_	24:		_ <b>:</b> .	30		٠.	5
Total-:	6,330	:	8,235	:	6,358:	6,211	:	7,508	7,531	1	4,837
1		:		:_	:		:			:	

# Commodity

TSUS item

Salmon, fresh, chilled, or frozen---- 110.20 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

U.S. consumption of fresh, chilled, or frozen salmon is virtually all supplied from domestic sources. Exports have exceeded imports in recent years, but both are very small compared with domestic landings.

#### Description and uses

Commercially, the name "salmon" is restricted in U.S. trade to five kinds of North Pacific salmon and the Atlantic salmon. The Pacific salmon, which make up nearly all of the world's catch, are the (1) chinook or king, (2) chum or dog, (3) coho or silver, (4) pink or humpback, and (5) sockeye or red. The particular use of these species is determined by such factors as the color of the flesh, which varies to some extent within the species, and the effect produced on the flesh by methods of preserving (such as freezing, canning, salting, or smoking). Other factors affecting the use are size, oil content, and flavor.

Virtually all of the catch of salmon is marketed for human consumption. By far the greater part is canned (items 112.18-112.52); lesser quantities are sold fresh, chilled or frozen, salted or pickled (item 111.48), or smoked or kippered (item 111.88). In general, sockeye, chum, and pink are mostly canned; chinook and coho are also canned but large quantities are pickled, smoked or kippered, or sold fresh, chilled, or frozen. Atlantic salmon are mostly smoked.

## U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS item	Commoditie	Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)				
		Jan. 1, 1968	First stage, Final stage, effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972				
110.20(pt.)	Salmon, fresh, chilled, or fro- zen: whole; or processed by re- moval of heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof, but not otherwise proc- essed.	per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.: Free <u>l</u> /				

1/ The final rate for this item will become effective Jan. 1, 1970, at the third stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (third) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 0.7 percent.

#### U.S. consumption

During 1961-65 annual U.S. consumption of salmon ranged from about 299 million pounds (1963) to 339 million pounds (1964) and averaged 322 million pounds (table 1). In 1966, consumption reached a high of 374 million pounds, reflecting primarily increased landings by the U.S. fishing fleets.

# U.S. producers and production (landings)

As reported by the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, a total of 26,100 men were employed in 1965 in domestic salmon fisheries. Of these, 17,100 were in Alaska and the remainder in the other Pacific Coast States. In the United States salmon are caught during their migration or "spawning run" to fresh-water streams. Because of the short seasonal run and legal fishing restrictions, annual salmon fishing activities, especially in Alaska (the main source), are generally confined to very short periods, sometimes less than 1 month.

The annual U.S. catch of salmon during 1961-66 ranged from about 294.2 million pounds in 1963 to 387.7 million pounds in 1966 (table 1). In recent years about 83 percent of the total catch was landed in Alaska (table 2), where virtually all of it is canned. The Pacific Coast States accounted for practically all of the remaining U.S. catch. These States supply most of the domestic salmon which is marketed fresh, chilled, or frozen. Sockeye and pink are the most important species caught by U.S. fishermen. Together, landings of these two species in recent years accounted for two-thirds of total landings (table 3).

# U.S. exports

U.S. exports of fresh or frozen salmon generally constitute a small part of the annual catch, although in 1961-66 annual exports increased from the equivalent of less than 1 percent to 6 percent of total landings. Exports reached a high of about 22.6 million pounds in 1964, valued at \$5.4 million, dropped sharply the following year in quantity but not in value to 10.6 million pounds, valued at \$5.3 million (table 4). In 1967 they amounted to 18.9 million pounds, valued at \$11.8 million. France, the United Kingdom, Canada, and Japan took 85 percent of total exports in 1964-67.

# U.S. imports

U.S. imports decreased from 12.3 million pounds, valued at \$5.9 million in 1961, to 8.9 million pounds, valued at \$5.1 million in 1963 (table 5). They have run at about that level during succeeding years. On a live-weight basis imports supplied between 2 and 3 percent of domestic consumption. Canada (British Columbia) was virtually the only source of imports.

## Foreign production and trade

Well over 95 percent of the world catch of salmon is caught in the North Pacific Ocean, mainly along the coasts of the United States, Canada, the U.S.S.R., and Japan. The catch in the Pacific Ocean and along the coasts, which is now much smaller than in the 1930's, averaged 879 million pounds in the period 1960-65. In 1965, the latest year for which statistics are available, the world catch amounted to 924 million pounds, of which the United States and Japan each accounted for about 35 percent of the total. Most of the catch of all four countries is canned.

The United States is a party to certain agreements with foreign countries aimed at the conservation of the North Pacific salmon resource. The agreement, effective July 28, 1937, 1/ between the United States and Canada, concerns the sockeye salmon native to the Frazer River of British Columbia. This agreement was amended, effective July 3, 1957, 2/ to include pink salmon. These salmon enter both the United States and Canadian fisheries before ascending the Frazer River to spawn.

The United States, Canada, and Japan entered into another fisheries treaty, effective June 12, 1953, known as the International Convention for the High Seas Fisheries of the North Pacific Ocean. 3/ This treaty covers the conservation of all species of salmon as well as halibut and herring.

<sup>1/</sup> Treaty Series 918; 50 Stat. 1355.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{2}$ / TIAS 3867.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{3}{7}$  TIAS 2786; TIAS 5385.

Table 1.--Salmon, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (landings), imports for consumption, exports of domestic merchandise, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

	(Weights	shown are li	ve-weight equ	ivalents)	
Year	Produc- tion (catch)	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: : Exports <u>2</u> / :	Apparent consump-	Ratio of imports to consumption
:	1,000 pounds	: 1,000 pounds	: 1,000 : pounds	1,000 pounds	<u>Percent</u>
1962: 1963: 1964: 1965	310,398 314,566 294,178 352,321 326,871 387,749	: 11,487 : 10,500 : 10,405 : 9,276	: 1,779 : 5,768 : 23,688 : 12,221	324,274 : 298,910 : 339,038 : 323,926 :	3

<sup>1/</sup> Converted to live-weight equivalent at rate of 1.18 pounds for each pound imported.

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports and exports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

<sup>2/</sup> Converted to live-weight equivalent at the following rates per pound: 1.18 for 1961-63; 1.05 for 1964; and 1.16 for 1965-66.

Table 2.--Salmon, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production (landings), by States, 1961-66

State	1961	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966
:	:	Quantity	()	L,000 pou	ın	ds, live	We	eight)		
Alaska: Washington: California: Oregon: All other: Total:	29,898 8,638 7,048	22,852 6,673 7,193 1/ 314,566	:	223,063 54,993 7,859 8,262 1 294,178	: : :	311,623 21,275 9,481 9,867 75 352,321	:	274,844 30,418 9,738 11,806 65 326,871	:	333,325 32,367 9,447 12,374 236 387,749
:		v a.	<u></u>	(1,000				<del></del>		
Alaska: Washington: California: Oregon: All other:	9,009 4,698 2,579 <u>2</u> /	4,023 2,569 <u>2</u> /	:	31,298 11,147 3,960 2,606 1	:	41,359 6,531 5,013 3,055 37	: : :	48,274 8,383 4,989 3,477 36	:	54,202 10,441 4,845 3,976 131
Total:	52,027	<b>:</b> 56,353	:	49,012	:	55,995	:	65,159	:	73,595

<sup>1/</sup> Less than 500 pounds.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{2}$ / Less than \$500.

Table 3.--Salmon, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. catch, by species, 1961-66

Species	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966
		Quantity	(1,000 pot	ınds, live	weight)	
:	•				•	:
Sockeye (red): Chinook	103,644	58,049	43,424	57,350	148,119	102,012
(king): Pink (hump-:	26,962	25,111	27,179	28,732	29,316	27,223
back) Coho	108,452	143,309	156,603	162,325	79,655	163,016
(silver):	23,201	27,752	28,131	38,071	38,515	38,992
(dog)	48,139 1/	60,345	38,840 1	65,842 1	31,266 1/	56,506 1/
	310,398	314,566	294,178	352,321	326,871	387,749
:			Value (1,0			
:		:		•	•	,
Sockeye : (red): Chinook :	20,324	12,828	10,337	13,464	32,878	22,930
(king) Pink (hump-	10,963	10,959	10,911	11,455	10,866	10,989
back):	10,951	20,302	18,289	17,182	8,298	22,124
(silver):	5,535	6,956	5,848	8,659	10,323	10,878
(dog) Atlantic	4,254 <u>2</u> /	5,308 <u>2</u> /	3,626 1	5,235 <u>2</u> /	2,794 <u>2</u> /	6,674 <u>2</u> /
Total:	52,027	56,353	49,012	55,995	65,159	73,595

<sup>1/</sup> Less than 500 pounds.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Less than \$500.

Table 4.--Salmon, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1961-67

Country	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
:			Quantit	y (1,000	pounds)		
France: United:	329	584	1,549	2,298:	2,535	4,700	5,216
Kingdom: Canada	390	244 433	1,478 1,252			5,136 3,779	
Sweden: Japan: Belgium:	- :	20 - 119	24 4 192	169 : 16,007 : 184 :	833 : 1,327 : 393 :	1,634 2,468 524	1,644 2,218 692
All other Total	89	108 1,508	389 4,888	399 : 22,560 :	724	1,604	1,979 18,911
•	(		Value	(1,000 do	llars)		
France: United	203	359	803	1,290:	1,449	2,916	3,724
Kingdom: Canada: Sweden:		138 197 12	777 582 14	1,178 : 547 : 79 :	1,209 997 430	3,168 1,428 888	3,181 1,275 904
Japan: Belgium All other	56	- 89 - 77	1 128 225	1,947 : 113 : 217 :	587 253 405	920 : 358 : 948 :	885 529 1,348
Total:	647	872	2,530	5,371 :	5,330	10,626	11,846

Table 5.--Salmon, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Source	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967			
		Quantity (1,000 pounds)								
Canada Japan Greenland All other Total	11 87 21	166 205 84	: 369 : 53 : 4 : 8,898	8 - 31 8,819	13 108 3 7,861	14 : - : 1 :	12			
:			varue (.	1,000 do	LIAIS)					
Canada Japan Greenland All other Total	4 31 14	114 83 44	: 27	9 - 19	8 70 2	7 - <u>1</u> /	1 - 7			
1/ Less than		. ,,,,,,,	:	:	. ,,-,.					

	Commodity	TSUS item
Swordfish:		
Fresh or	chilled	110.30
Frozen		110.33

Note.--For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

Imports have in recent years supplied about four-fifths of the U.S. consumption of swordfish. Exports of swordfish have been negligible or nil.

## Description and uses

The term swordfish is applied solely to broadbill swordfish Xiphias gladius. The swordfish is one of the largest food fishes, sometimes attaining a weight of 800 pounds, although most of them weigh from 200 to 400 pounds. Swordfish has a richer flavor than the flesh of most fish, and fresh swordfish meat is superior in flavor to swordfish meat that has been frozen. For this reason fresh or chilled swordfish commands a higher price than the frozen product.

Almost all swordfish is marketed fresh, chilled, or frozen for human consumption in the form of steaks and fillets (item 110.70 (pt.)).

# U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS:	Commodity	Rate prior to Jan. 1, 1968	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)	
			effective	Final stage, effective Jan. 1, 1972
: :S	wordfish, whole; or processed by removal of heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof, but not otherwise processed:	•		
110.30:	Fresh or chilled	l¢ per :	$0.8\phi$ per lb.	: Free <u>l</u> /
110.33:	Frozen	0.75¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	Free <u>l</u> /

1/ The final rate for these items will become effective Jan. 1, 1971 at the fourth stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fourth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

Based on 1967 imports, the ad valorem equivalents of the specific rates of duty averaged 2 percent for both classes.

#### U.S. consumption

Annual domestic consumption of swordfish more than doubled from about 8 million pounds in 1961 to a peak of nearly 18 million pounds in 1964 (table 1). It then dropped to 11.6 million pounds in 1966. The sharp increase in consumption in 1961-64 reflected a larger catch of fish resulting from an improvement in fishing techniques and a lengthening of the fishing season. A decline in the abundance of swordfish in the Atlantic Ocean fishing grounds in more recent years, however, caused a reversal of the earlier trend of increasing consumption.

### U.S. producers and production

Statistics are not available on the number of fishing vessels and crews engaged in swordfish fishing. As a rule swordfishing on the eastern seaboard is carried on only in fair weather and fishermen frequently switch to other fish, particularly mackerel, whenever a more profitable return therefrom is indicated or weather conditions are unfavorable.

The total U.S. catch of swordfish more than tripled from 1 million pounds in 1962 to 3.3 million pounds in 1964, but declined to 1.8 million pounds in 1966 (table 2).

The large increase in domestic landings of swordfish in 1962-64 was attributable to the adoption of more effective fishing techniques. Formerly swordfishing was done almost entirely with harpoons. A few years ago the industry adopted the so-called longline method, consisting of a buoyed floating mainline to which branch lines and baited hooks are affixed at intervals (a typical swordfish vessel may carry 10 to 20 miles of longlines). This development resulted not only in substantial increases in the catch by individual vessels, but led also to an extension of the commercial fishing season beyond the traditional June-November period.

Nearly all of the U.S. catch of swordfish is landed on the Atlantic Coast. In recent years such landings accounted for over 90 percent of total domestic landings; those in New England alone accounted for over half (table 2).

### U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports of swordfish are not separately reported in official statistics; they are known to be nil or negligible.

In the 7-year period ending 1967, U.S. imports of swordfish averaged 7 million pounds annually and ranged from 3 million to 10 million pounds (table 3). On a live-weight basis they supplied about four-fifths of domestic consumption (table 1). Imports consisted almost entirely of fresh or chilled swordfish of which Canada has been the sole supplier in recent years (table 3). Canada has also been the chief source of frozen swordfish (table 4).

### World production

World production of swordfish totaled 71 million pounds in 1965. Japan, the principal producer, accounted for about two-thirds of the world catch, followed by Canada which accounted for an additional 15 percent.

Table 1.--Swordfish, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

(Weights	shown are liv	re-weight equi	ivalents)	
Year	Production (landings)	Imports <u>1</u> /	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to con- sumption
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1961	1,293 998 2,875 3,259 3,049 1,842	5,071 : 13,971 : 14,444 : 10,070 :	6,069 16,846 17,703 13,119	: 84 : 83 : 82 : 77

<u>l</u>/ Converted to live-weight equivalent at rate of 1.40 pounds for each pound imported; the figures for imports shown in tables 3 and 4 are on a net-weight basis.

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce, except as noted.

Note. -- Exports have been negligible or nil.

Table 2.--Swordfish: U.S. catch (live weight), by geographical sections, 1961-66

Geographical section	1961	1962	:	1963	1964	1965	1966
,		Que	an	tity (1	,000 pou	nds)	
New England	829	867	:	,			<b>:</b> 855
South Atlantic Chesapeake Middle Atlantic	-	: - : 67	:	1 : 183 : 240 :	635	302	:) 502
Pacific	23	39 25	:	98 ; 22 ;	183 24	327 19	:) 485
Total	1,293	: 998 Va	:	7	3,259 00 dolla	: 3,049 :s)	: 1,842
•		:	:				:
New EnglandSouth Atlantic		: 377	:	577 :	431 : 233 :		: 346 • 1
Chesapeake: Middle Atlantic		 : 38	:	79 : 107 :	218	112	:) 234
Pacific	162 4	: 20 : 4	: :	58 : 4 :	4 :	4	:) 224
Total	520	<b>4</b> 39	:	826	1,150	1,322	: 804

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Swordfish, fresh or chilled: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Country	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
	: :		Quantity	(1,000 p	ounds)		
Canada: All other: Total	5_	: 2	: -	: 10,172 : <u>1/</u> : 10,172	: -	: <u>-</u>	: -
10041	5,204	. 3,330		1,000 dol		. 0,910	: 1,109
CanadaAll other	1,634	1,993 2/	: : 3,196 : -	: : 4,127 : <u>2</u> /	: : 3,728 : -	: 3,773 : -	: : 3,919 : -
Total:		1,993	: 3,196 :	: 4,127 :	: 3,728 :	<b>:</b> 3,773	: 3,919 :

<sup>1/</sup> Less than 500 pounds.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{2}{2}$  Less than \$500.

Table 4.--Swordfish, frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Source	1961	:	1962	:	1963	:	1964	1965	:	1966	1	.967
			Qu	aı	ntity	(1	,000 p	ounds	)			
Canada Japan Norway Trinidad Peru All other	178 1,174 26 - 186 12	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	10 81 86	: : : : : :	87 30 1 1 5	•	88 : 6 : 1 : - : 46 : 4 :	60 9 22 56 -	:	38		135 48 35 - - 5
Total	1,576	:	286	<u>:</u>	202	:	145 :	164	:	70		223
			V	a.	lue (1	<b>,</b> C	000 dol:	lars)				
Canada Japan Norway Trinidad Peru All other Total	89 442 7 - 45 4 587	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	22 22 3 - 17 18 82	• • • • • • • • •	25 7 1/ 1/ 2 16		32: 2: 1/: 9: 3:	26 3 6 16 - 5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18 16 - - - 34		57 18 8 - - 1 84

# Commodity TSUS item

Scaled fish:

In bulk or in containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds each--- 110.40 Other------ 110.45

Note.--For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

### U.S. trade position

Although no statistics are available it is known that nearly all of the domestic consumption of the fish covered by this summary is supplied from domestic sources.

#### Comment

This summary covers all varieties of scaled fish except sea herring, smelts, and tuna. The products in the summary include fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen whether or not the heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof have been removed, but it does not include fish which have been otherwise processed such as filleting and removal of the backbone. The removal of scales is an essential step in the preparation of fish for the market. International trade in scaled fish is relatively small.

The customary means of transporting such fish is in large open boxes holding 25 pounds or more, if fresh or chilled, and in blocks weighing at least 15 pounds, if frozen.

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

				•	
TSUS	Commodity	Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)		
item	Commodity	Jan. 1, 1968	effective	Final stage, effective Jan. 1, 1972	
110.40	Scaled fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, whether or not heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof have been removed, but not otherwise proc- essed: In bulk or in imme- diate containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds each. Other	l¢ per lb. 12.5% ad val.	0.8¢ per lb. ll% ad val.	Free <u>1</u> / 6% ad val.	

1/ The final rate for this item will become effective January 1, 1971, at the fourth stage.

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the 1-cent-per-pound rate of duty on item 110.40, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 2.4 percent.

The U.S. catch of fish of the species herein covered is very large and most of it is marketed fresh, chilled, or frozen, either whole or processed in various ways. Although statistics are not available, it is believed that the domestic production of fish scaled by fishermen or primary dealers greatly exceeds such imports.

Statistics on U.S. exports of scaled fish are not available and imports of such fish were not separately reported until September 1,

1963. During 1964-67, annual imports of scaled fish ranged from 547,000 pounds, valued at \$194,000 to 364,000 pounds, valued at \$157,000 (tables 1 and 2). Nearly all imports entered in containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds each. According to a sample analysis of entries, imports from the principal supplier, Canada, consisted chiefly of scaled yellow perch, yellow pike, and other fresh water fish.

Table 1.--Scaled fish, in bulk or in immediate containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds each: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1964-67

Country	:	1964 : 1965 : 1966 : 1967
	:	Quantity (1,000 pounds)
Canada	- : - : - :	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Canada	•:	170: 166: 145: 145 1: 4: 1: 8 7: 1: 1: 1: 1 2/: 2: 2: 2/ 11: 1: 5: 6 189: 174: 154: 160

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Less than 500 pounds.  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Table 2.—Scaled fish, in immediate containers weighing with their contents 15 pounds or less each: U.S. imports for consumption, by source, 1964-67

Country	1964	1965	1966	1967
		Quantity (1	,000 pounds)	)
Thailand: Canada:	16 4	: :	: : 11 : 6	: : 42
Total:	20	·	: 17 000 dollars)	: 50
: Thailand:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	:	:
Canada:	ī	: 1	: 2	: 2
Total:	5	: 1 :	3	: 16 :

FISH BLOCKS

79

Commodity TSUS item

Fish blocks----- 110.47

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

### U.S. trade position

The United States is the world's foremost consumer and importer of fish blocks; domestic consumption is virtually all supplied from imports. Exports have been negligible.

### Description and uses

Fish blocks covered by this summary are solidly frozen slabs weighing over 10 pounds, ordinarily rectangular in shape, of skinless, boneless fish fillets and pieces of fish flesh which are to be minced, ground, or cut into pieces of uniform weights and dimensions. Fish blocks that do not meet these specifications either by reason of weight, composition, or use are covered elsewhere. Thus fish blocks of the same composition as those above weighing 10 pounds or less are included under items 110.50 to 110.70 or 113.56 to 113.60, and fish blocks consisting of frozen ground or minced fish flesh are included under items 113.56 to 113.60.

The preferred species of fish used to make blocks are cod, haddock, and flatfish (flounder, sole, etc.). Blocks made from pollock, whiting, and Atlantic ocean perch fillets are of lesser importance.

The fish blocks in this summary constitute an intermediary product, having been devised to provide large pieces of frozen boneless fish suitable for cutting or sawing (while still frozen) into pieces of uniform weights and dimensions known as portions or fish sticks (see items 113.20 and 113.25 in another volume). Lesser amounts of these blocks are minced or ground to make products such as fish balls (see item 113.05-113.15 in another volume).

### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS:	·.	Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)		
item:	Commodata	: Jan. 1, : 1968 :	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972		
110.47:	Tish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved:  Other:  Skinned and boned, whether or not divided into pieces, and frozen into blocks each weighing over 10 pounds, imported to be minced, ground, or cut into pieces of uniform weights and dimensions.	: 1b.	O.8¢ per Free		

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 4.3 percent.

# U.S. consumption

Annual apparent consumption of fish blocks has increased markedly since 1953, when fish blocks first became an article of commerce. In the period 1962-66 annual consumption increased by 80 percent from 145 million pounds in 1962 to an all-time high of 218 million pounds in 1965. Consumption declined somewhat to 215 million pounds in 1966 (table 1). Virtually all of the domestic demand was supplied by imports.

FISH BLOCKS 81

Over 60 percent of the blocks consumed in recent years consisted of cod blocks; blocks of flatfish, haddock, and pollock made up most of the remainder. The large increase in domestic consumption of fish blocks reflects the strong domestic demand for fish sticks and portions.

# U.S. producers and production

Fish blocks are produced in the United States in about 5 seafood processing plants located in New England. For all of them the manufacture of blocks is of relatively small importance and occurs only at certain times of year when raw fish prices are low.

The production of fish blocks in the United States has been small compared with imports and consumption. Annual domestic output rose from less than 2 million pounds in 1962 to 8 million pounds in 1966. A substantial, though indeterminable, part of the domestic output in recent years has consisted of fish blocks made of skin-on Atlantic ocean perch fillets. 1/ Most of the remainder has consisted of fish blocks made of pollock, cod, and haddock fillets. None of the domestically produced blocks have been made with imported fish or fillets.

# U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports of blocks are not separately recorded; they are known to be nil or negligible.

Annual U.S. imports of fish blocks increased from 144 million pounds, valued at \$28 million, in 1962 to 215 million pounds, valued at \$53 million, in 1965 (table 2). Coincident with a rise in domestic production, imports declined to 190 million pounds, valued at \$43 million, in 1967. In 1965-67 imports of blocks made of cod fillets accounted for 62 percent of total imports (table 3). Haddock blocks accounted for 11 percent and blocks of pollock and flatfish accounted for most of the remainder. Canada and Iceland have been the principal sources of supply. Imports from these 2 countries in 1965-67 accounted for about 70 percent of the total.

<sup>1/</sup> If imported, these blocks are classifiable elsewhere.

Table 1.--Fish blocks: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

VARY	Production 1/	Imports	Apparent consumption 2/	Ratio of imports to consumption
:	1,000 pounds		:	<u>Percent</u>
1962	1,274 1,392 3,315	: 143,541 : 153,271 : 166,166 : 214,807 : 206,574	154,545 167,558 218,122	• 99 • 99 • 98
	U - TOI	: 200,714	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	:

<sup>1/</sup> Includes substantial, though indeterminable, amounts of blocks made of fillets with skin on.

Source: Production compiled from unpublished statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

<sup>2/</sup> Exports are not separately recorded, but they are known to be negligible.

Table 2.--Fish blocks: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

:					1966	1967		
<b>:_</b>	Quantity (1,000 pounds)							
Canada: Iceland: Norway: Greenland: Poland: West Germany: All other: Total:	76,101:28,415:17,737:6,264:9,253:3,145:2,626:143,541:	75,746 31,781 17,462 8,034 12,001:	6,659 : 4,702 : - : 2,731 :	11,433 11,456 10,653 2,827 4,233 7,268	37,885 : 11,934 : 18,665 : 16,135 :	28,701 15,414 16,183		
10001.	<u> </u>	. ۲/3,2/۲ Val		dollars)	. 200,714	109,500		
Canada: Iceland: Norway: Greenland: Denmark: Poland: West Germany: All other	15,162 5,547 3,637 1,260 1,854 570 406	3,578:		30,105 11,522 2,419 2,785 2,740 602 890 1,443	24,738 9,634 2,874 4,353 4,211 2,766 1,071 1,939 51,586			

Table 3.--Fish blocks: U.S. imports for consumption, by kinds, 1964-67

Item	1964	1965	1966	1967		
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)					
Cod Flatfish Haddock Pollock All other Total	9,242 : 22,287 : 11,075 : 15,247 : 166,166 :	17,931 : 23,118 : 14,623 : 26,993 : 214,807 :	132,327 14,002 23,861 8,917 27,467 206,574	13,212 21,356 9,333 28,655		
	Value (1,000 dollars)					
Cod	23,811 2,548 5,545 1,853 3,343 37,100	5,043 : 6,183 : 2,669 : 6,361 :	4,029 : 6,851 : 1,762 : 6,195 :	3,627 5,937 1,708		

# Commodity

TSUS item

Note.--For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

# U.S. trade position

U.S. imports of the items here under consideration supply about 60 percent of domestic consumption; exports have been negligible.

### Description and uses

This summary covers fresh, chilled, or frozen fish products of cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, and Atlantic ocean perch. It includes skinned fish and such products as fillets, steaks, sticks, and other portions not minced or ground. Fillets are virtually bone-free strips of flesh cut away from the backbone. Steaks are cross-sectional slices of the dressed fish, ordinarily including skin, rib bones, and vertebrae. Sticks are pieces of fish cut lengthwise or crosswise from fillets into serving-size portions ordinarily of uniform width and length. Inasmuch as both domestic production and imports consist almost entirely of fillets and because the species from which they are prepared are known as groundfish, the term groundfish fillets will hereinafter be used to refer to all products here under consideration.

Groundfish fillets are sold either fresh, chilled, or frozen in consumer-size or institution-size packages for immediate consumption, or are further processed into fish blocks (item 110.47).

### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS item	Commodity	Rate of duty
	Cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, and Atlantic ocean perch, fresh, chilled, or frozen: Otherwise processed (whether or not heads, viscera, fins, scales, or any combination thereof have been re- moved):	
110.50	Inquota	
110.55	Overquota	$2.5 \phi$ per lb.

These rates of duty have been in effect since January 1, 1948 and reflect concessions granted by the United States in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. The rates were not affected by the 1964-67 trade conference (Kennedy Round).

The ad valorem equivalent of the duty based on 1967 imports of the inquota imports (item 110.50) averaged 5.6 percent and ranged from 4.5 percent for haddock fillets to 6.7 percent for Atlantic ocean perch fillets; the ad valorem equivalent of the duty on overquota imports (item 110.55) averaged 9.1 percent and ranged from 8.1 percent for haddock fillets to 10.3 percent for Atlantic ocean perch fillets.

Imports of groundfish fillets have been subject to a tariff quota since January 1, 1939. Annual inquota imports are limited to 15 million pounds or 15 percent of the average apparent annual U.S. consumption during the three immediately preceding calendar years, whichever quantity is the greater. Of the total quantity of inquota groundfish fillets entitled to entry in any calendar year, not more than one-fourth can be entered during the first 3 months, not more than half during the first 6 months, and not more than three-fourths during the first 9 months of that year. As defined in the TSUS, apparent consumption is the sum of (1) U.S. production of groundfish fillets as reported by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, (2) the quantity of such fillets entered as "products of American fisheries," and (3) total U.S. imports of both inquota and overquota fillets. Inquota imports for 1968 are limited to 24,895,000 pounds (F.R. February 7, 1968).

The U.S. Tariff Commission completed three investigations under section 7 of the Trade Agreements Extension Act of 1951, as amended,

to determine whether groundfish were being imported in such increased quantities as to cause or threaten serious injury to the domestic industry. In the first of these investigations the Commission determined that no injury had occurred to a domestic industry. 1/ In both of the other investigations the Commission recommended that the President modify and restrict the tariff concession that the United States had granted in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. 2/ The President did not follow the recommendation of the Commission in either of the latter two instances.

### U.S. consumption

In 1962-66 annual U.S. consumption of fresh, chilled, or frozen groundfish fillets fluctuated between 153 million and 172 million pounds and averaged 161 million pounds in the 5-year period (table 1). Haddock fillets supplied about 37 percent of U.S. consumption of the fish products in this summary in recent years; Atlantic ocean perch fillets, 32 percent; and cod fillets, 27 percent. The remainder (4 percent) consisted of fillets of cusk, hake, and pollock.

# U.S. producers

In 1966 about 100 firms were engaged in filleting groundfish. Except for a few concerns on the West Coast, almost all of the plants were located in New York City and New England, principally in New Bedford, Boston, and Gloucester, Massachusetts, and Rockland and Portland, Maine. Most of the firms are small owner-operated companies, each producing less than 1 million pounds of fillets a year. There were about 15 larger firms, each producing more than 2 million pounds a year.

A close economic and financial relationship exists between the filleting concerns (especially the larger ones) and the operators of the New England fishing vessels engaged principally in catching groundfish. Many of the filleting concerns operate trawlers of their own or have a financial interest in trawlers owned and operated by others.

<sup>1/</sup> Groundfish Fillets: Report on the Escape-Clause Investigation, Report No. 182 2d ser., 1953.

<sup>2/</sup> Groundfish Fillets (1954): Report to the President on Escape-Clause Investigation No. 25, 1954 (processed); Groundfish Fillets (1956): Report to the President on Escape-Clause Investigation No. 47, 1956 (processed); and Groundfish: Fishing and Filleting, information on the domestic industry, production, consumption, foreign trade, and industries in foreign countries, 1957 (processed).

# U.S. production

Annual U.S. production of groundfish fillets, which fluctuates with the catch of fish, has long shown a downward trend. In the period 1962-66, production irregularly declined from 92 million pounds, valued at \$28 million in 1962 to 68 million pounds, valued at \$25 million in 1966 (table 2). Production in 1966 was smaller than in any year since the early 1930's and was about one-fourth less than in 1962.

Substantially all groundfish fillets are produced in New England. In 1966, New England accounted for 92 percent of total domestic output of these fillets. New York and the Pacific Coast States each accounted for 4 percent.

Haddock fillets were the principal variety of groundfish fillets produced in the United States, accounting for almost half the total in 1962-66; in the same period, fillets of Atlantic ocean perch accounted for nearly one-third, fillets of cod for one-eighth, and fillets of cusk, hake, and pollock for 6 percent. About 42 percent of the total output of groundfish fillets in 1962-66 was marketed fresh or chilled, the remainder were sold as frozen fillets (table 3).

The decline in the domestic output of fillets in 1962-66 is mainly attributable to smaller annual landings of groundfish by the U.S. fishing fleets. The decline extended to all varieties of groundfish fillets. Production of haddock fillets declined by 8 percent in 1962-66, production of Atlantic ocean perch fillets dropped by 44 percent, and the output of cod fillets, by 28 percent. Similarly, the aggregate annual production of fillets of cusk, hake, and pollock dropped by 41 percent.

# U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports of groundfish fillets are not separately recorded in official statistics but are known to be negligible.

Annual U.S. imports of fresh, chilled, and frozen groundfish rose from 78 million pounds, valued at \$19 million in 1962, to a peak of close to 109 million pounds, valued at \$31 million in 1966; they declined to 94 million pounds, valued at \$26 million in 1967. Because of the declining trend in the domestic output of groundfish fillets, the share of consumption supplied by imports has increased in recent years and reached a high in 1966 of 66 percent. As to the different varieties of fillets, imports accounted for three-fourths of the domestic consumption of cod fillets in recent years; they accounted for half of the consumption of Atlantic ocean perch fillets, and for almost two-fifths of that of haddock, hake, cusk, and pollock fillets.

Cod fillets have been the principal variety imported; Atlantic ocean perch fillets have ranked next in importance. During 1965-67 these two varieties together accounted for three-fourths of total imports (table 4). Fillets of haddock, cusk, hake, and pollock (mainly haddock) accounted for the remainder. Canada and Iceland have been the principal foreign suppliers.

Because of their extreme perishability, fresh (including chilled) groundfish fillets are imported almost wholly from certain areas of Canada from which relatively fast transportation service to the United States is available. As far as can be determined, Iceland and other supplying countries have never sent fresh fillets to the United States. The volume of fresh fillets entering the United States is not known. Available information indicates, however, that imports of fresh fillets from Canada are much smaller in volume than imports of frozen fillets. Canadian export statistics show that in recent years shipments of fresh fillets to the United States accounted for about 8 percent of total shipments.

For many years overquota imports of groundfish fillets have regularly exceeded inquota imports by substantial margins. In the period 1962-66 overquota imports constituted about 71 percent of total imports (table 6).

Table 1.--Groundfish fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, U.S. cold storage yearend holdings, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year	Production <u>1</u> /	Imports	Changes in U.S. yearend holdings	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to con- sumption	
	pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	91,963 82,146 73,774 74,126 68,154	80,404 : 80,147 :	-3,153	159,187 : 152,967 : 157,426 :	49 53 51	

<sup>1/</sup> Data adjusted to exclude fish blocks.

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--Exports, which are not separately classified in official statistics, have been negligible or nil.

Table 2.--Groundfish fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, by species, 1962-66 1/

Year	Atlantic ocean perch	Cod	Haddock	Cusk, hake, and pollock	Total
:		Quanti	ty (1,000	pounds)	•
1962 1963 1964 1965	29,076 : 23,185 : 21,657 :	9,684 9,269 8,114	: 36,708 : 37,001 : 39,029	5,938 3,904 4,171 3,130	91,963 82,146 73,774 74,126 68,154
1962	9,044 8,196 6,167 5,880 4,998	3,394 3,211 3,272 3,074	13,284 13,578 15,844 16,142	1,223 900 1,041 808	27,906 26,097 23,856 26,037 25,022

<sup>1/</sup> Includes small quantity of steaks. Data adjusted to exclude fish blocks.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Groundfish fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, 1962-66  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Year	Fresh or chilled	Frozen 2/: Total
	Quantit	y (1,000 pounds)
1,962	34,123 32,698 33,255 33,854 31,359 Value	49,448 : 82,146 40,519 : 73,774 40,272 : 74,126
1962	12;122 12,057 12,274 13,755 13,418	14,040 : 26,097 11,582 : 23,856 12,282 : 26,037

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Data adjusted to exclude fish blocks.  $\frac{2}{2}$  Includes a small quantity of steaks.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 4.--Groundfish fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by species, 1962-67

Year	Cod	: Atlantic : ocean : perch :	Haddock, hake, pollock, and cusk	Total		
	C	uantity (1,	000 pounds)			
1962	32,981 32,709 33,457 33,727 40,820 32,068	: 21,575 : 22,933 : 25,740 : 41,587 : 36,279	24,214 : 24,014 : 20,680 : 26,117 : 25,716 :	78,498 80,404 80,147 108,524		
	• •	Value (1,0	Value (1,000 dollars)			
1962	7,608 7,663 8,048 8,850 11,635 9,270	: 4,710 : 5,809 : 6,746 : 11,141	6,569 6,591 5,832 8,232	20,448 21,428 31,008		

Table 5.--Groundfish fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
•		Qua	entity (1	,000 poun	ds)	
•				•	:	:
Canada:	51,803 :	52,008 :	54,101	: 57,930	: 82,211	: 73,119
Iceland:	16,317 :	16,948 :	17,653	: 15,408	: 14,779	: 12,275
Denmark:	2,229 :	2,420 :	1,663	2,955	: 5,474	: 2,534
West Germany:	3,927	4,054	3,436	1,921	: 3,263	: 2,077
Miquelon and St. :		:		•	:	:
Pierre Islands:	468 :	510 :	749 :	978	: 638	: 1,064
United Kingdom:	137 :	219 :		: 13	: 62	: 105
All other:		2,339:	2,784	942	: 2,097	: 2,889
Total:	77,878 :		80,404		: 108,524	: 94,063
•		Vo	lue (1,00	no dollar	د)	
,						
:		•			:	:
Canada:		12,481:				
Iceland:		4,298:				
Denmark:						
West Germany:		833 :	700 :	; 397	<b>:</b> 727	: 422
Miquelon and St.:		:	: :	<u>.</u>	:	:
Pierre Islands:		125 :	178 :	218	: 157	_
United Kingdom:			4 :	: 4	: 19	
All other:				250		
Total:	18,501 :	18,942:	20,448	21,428	: 31,008	: 26,440
•	<u> </u>	i:		<b>:</b>	<b>:</b>	:

Table 6.--Groundfish fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, inquota, overquota, and total 1962-67

(In thousands of pounds) Overquota 1, Year Inquota Total 77,878 28,571: 49,307: 24,875: 53,623: 78,498 24.862: 55,542: 80,404 24,384: 55,763: 80,147 84,933 : 108,524 23,591: 24,883: 69,180 : 94,063

Source: Inquota imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of the Treasury; total imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

<sup>1/</sup> Calculated difference between inquota and total imports.



Commodity

 $\frac{\text{TSUS}}{\text{item}}$ 

Wolf fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed----- 110.57

Note.--For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

### U.S. trade position

Imports have supplied all but a relatively small part of domestic consumption of wolf fish fillets; exports have been nil or negligible.

### Comment

The wolf fish, known in the trade as sea catfish, is a solitary dwelling species for which there is no specific fishery. In the United States the fish is generally caught incidentally by fishermen when fishing for other fish, mostly cod and haddock. This summary covers fresh, chilled, or frozen products of wolf fish. It includes skinned fish and such products as fillets, steaks, sticks, and other portions not minced or ground.

In the United States the trade in wolf fish products consists only of wolf fish fillets and this term is subsequently used in this summary to refer to all products under consideration.

Wolf fish fillets are virtually bone-free strips of flesh cut away from the backbone. They tend to be slightly oily which reduces their cold-storage life because the oil becomes rancid. They are marketed mostly for immediate human consumption; lesser amounts are frozen into fish blocks.

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS : Commodity		Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)
item: Commodity	Jan., 1, 1968	First stage, Final stage effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 197	
110.57:	Fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed:  Wolf fish (sea catfish).	<b>:</b>	0.8¢ per lb. Free

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 2.4 percent.

Annual U.S. consumption of wolf fish fillets during 1961-66 fluctuated greatly without any apparent trend. It ranged from 6 million to 9 million pounds and averaged 7.4 million pounds in the 6-year period (table 1). Virtually all of the consumption has been supplied by imports.

In the United States wolf fish fillets were produced in 1966 by 9 small filleting firms, all of which were in Massachusetts. The production of wolf fish fillets is incidental to their main business of filleting cod and other species.

Annual U.S. production of fillets declined almost steadily from 315,000 pounds, valued at \$114,000 in 1962, to 94,000 pounds, valued at \$34,000 in 1965 but rose to 211,000 pounds, valued at \$83,000 in 1966 (table 2).

Exports of wolf fish fillets are not separately recorded; they are known to be nil or negligible.

Annual U.S. imports of wolf fish fillets during 1961-67 ranged from 3.9 million pounds, valued at \$1.6 million in 1967, to 8.9 million pounds, valued at \$2.3 million in 1964, and averaged 6.7 million pounds, valued at \$1.8 million in the 7-year period (table 3). Canada and Iceland have been the principal suppliers.

Table 1.--Wolf fish (sea catfish) fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

Year t	Produc- tion	Imports	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to consumption
1	1,000	1,000	1,000	\$
1	pounds	pounds	pounds	Percent
1		:	1	1
1961:	<b>2</b> 27	, 6,960	7,187	: 97
1962:	315	8,274	8,589	: 96
1963	236	, 6,730	: 6,966	97
1964:	102	8,907	9,009	: 99
1965	94	5,671	5,765	<b>98</b>
1966:	211	6,460	: 6,671	97
1		1	1	:

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note. -- Exports have been negligible or nil.

Table 2.--Wolf fish (sea catfish) fillets, fresh, chilled or frozen: U.S. production, 1961-66

Year :	Fresh :	Frozen ;	Total
1	Quant1ty	(1,000 pound	is)
1 - 1	2)	101	007
1961	34:	194:	227
1962:	24:	291 :	<b>3</b> 15
1963	27 :	209 :	236
1964:	20 :	82 :	102
1965	- :	94:	94
1966	23 :	188 :	211
1	1	1	
:	Value	(1,000 dollar	rs)
1961	11:	63 :	74
1962	8 1	105 :	114
1963	10:	71 :	80
1964	6:	26:	32
1965	- :	34 :	34
1966	9 1	74 :	83
:	1	*	

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Wolf fish (sea catfish) fillets, fresh, chilled or frozens U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Country	1961	1962 :	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967			
:	Quantity (1,000 pounds)									
:					:	•	1			
Canada:	1,446 :	1,412:	1,133 :							
Iceland:	1,298:	1,819:	1,946:							
Norway:	1,324:	2,034:	1,556:	3,290	: 1,601	<b>:</b> 582	695			
West :		. 1	:		<b>:</b>	1	1			
Germany:	1,044 :	1,324:	1,033:							
Greenland:	570 :	769 :	<u>4</u> 07 з	534	: 495					
Denmark:	642:	615 :	330 :	474	176 :	128	121			
United :	:	:	:	;	: :	:	3			
Kingdom:	425 :	234 :	240 :			179	91			
All other:	211 :	67:	85 :							
Total:	6,960 :	8,274:	<b>6,</b> 730 :	8,907	5,671	6,460	3,904			
1			Value (1	,000 doll	lars)					
Canada:	416:	391 :	320 :	401	113	694	548			
Iceland:	347 :	460:	533 :	•						
Norway:	270	432 :	340 1							
West :	1	4,5 1	).to .	104						
Germany:	266	333 :	259 1	162	115	250	159			
Greenland:	143 :	201 :	102 :		-					
Denmark:	161 :	165 :	85 :							
United :	1	1		410		, L	, ),			
Kingdom:	103 :	55 <b>:</b>	60 :	18	37	61	30			
All other:	54 :	19 :	24:							
Total:	1,760:	2,056:	$\frac{1,723}{1}$			1,910	1,605			
1	_,, 1	_,	1	-,-/1	. درروت	1	<b>., .,</b>			

# Commodity

TSUS item

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

# U.S. trade position

In recent years imports accounted for most of total domestic consumption of fresh-water fish fillets, but the proportion of consumption supplied by imports varied greatly among the many species. For some species the domestic consumption of fillets is all, or almost all, supplied from domestic sources. Exports have been negligible.

#### Description and uses

This summary covers fresh, chilled, or frozen products of freshwater fish. It includes skinned fish and such products as fillets, steaks, sticks, and other portions not minced or ground. Fillets are virtually bone-free strips of flesh cut away from the backbone. Steaks are cross-sectional slices of the dressed fish, ordinarily including skin, rib bones, and vertebrae. Sticks are pieces of fish cut lengthwise or crosswise from fillets into serving-size portions, usually of uniform width and length.

Fresh-water fish comprise a wide variety of fishes (see items 110.10 (pt.) and 110.15 (pt.)) of which, as a rule, less than half are marketed as fillets. Catfish are virtually the only fish sold as skinless carcasses. Inasmuch as both domestic production and imports consist almost entirely of fillets, this term will hereinafter be used to refer to all products here under consideration.

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS	: : : : Commodity	Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)					
item	Commoditoy	Jan. 1, 1968	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	• .	:					
•	:Fish, fresh, chilled : or frozen,	•	•					
	: otherwise proc-	•	•					
	essed:	:	•					
•	: Fresh-water fish:	:	:					
110.65	: Yellow perch:	: 1.5¢ per : 1b.	: 1/ : 1/					
110.70(pt.)	: Other:	: 1.5¢ per : 1b. 1/	: l¢ per lb. : Free : :					
,	: ole status not affected	:						

Dutiable status not affected by this trade conference.

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

Average ad valorem equivalents of the specific rates of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, were as follows:

TSUS item	Percent
110.65	2.2
110.70 (pt.)	3.5

The present TSUS item 110.70 became operative on January 1, 1968, to effectuate the trade agreement of June 30, 1967. The articles covered by this summary were previously provided for under former TSUS item 110.60.

#### U.S. consumption

Annual domestic consumption of fresh-water fish fillets during 1962-66 ranged from 19 million to 23 million pounds and averaged 21 million pounds (table 1). Almost two-thirds of the consumption in this period was supplied by imports. About four-fifths of the consumption in recent years consisted of fillets of carp, yellow perch, and pike perch. For carp fillets all, or nearly all, of the consumption came from domestic sources. For pike perch fillets, imports supplied over four-fifths of the consumption, and for yellow perch fillets, imports supplied close to three-fifths.

## U.S. producers and production

Fillets are produced in practically all States bordering the area where most of the fresh-water fish is caught—the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River and its tributaries. In 1966 about 70 U.S. firms produced fillets. Most of them were wholesalers that also distributed fillets of salt-water fish as well as whole fresh fish.

Annual domestic production of fresh-water fish fillets during 1962-66 averaged 7.7 million pounds, valued at \$4.4 million, and ranged from 6.9 million pounds, valued at \$3.8 million, to 8.1 million pounds, valued at \$4.9 million (table 2). Most of the domestic output consisted of fillets of carp, yellow perch, and yellow pike. Aggregate production of these three species in 1962-66 accounted for about three-fourths of the total domestic output.

## U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports of fresh-water fish fillets are not separately reported in official statistics; they are known to be negligible.

Annual U.S. imports of fresh-water fish fillets have exceeded, in the aggregate, domestic production in each of the years during 1962-67. They ranged from about 15 million pounds, valued at \$6.5 million, in 1963 to 11.4 million pounds, valued at \$6.3 million, in 1964 (table 3) and averaged 13.4 million pounds, valued at \$6.7 million, in the 6-year period. In recent years about 90 percent of the imports consisted of fillets of yellow perch and yellow pike. Canada has been the predominant source of imports (table 4).

Table 1.--Fresh-water fish fillets: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year	Produc-	Imports	Apparent consump- tion	Ratio of imports to consumption
:	1,000 pounds		1,000 pounds	1,000 Percent
1962	7,928 : 6,853 : 7,686 : 7,823 :	15,120 11,390	21,973 : 19,076 :	69 60
1966:	8,127			

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note. -- Exports have been negligible or nil.

Table 2Fre	sh-water fish	fillets:	U.S.	production,
	by kinds	, 1962-66		

Kind	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965.	:	1966
		Qા	uantity	r (	(1,000	p	ounds)		
·		:		:		:		:	
Yellow perch	4,642								
Sauger			758				776		623
Yellow pike									
Whitefish:		:	404				465		663
Carp:		-	-	:	1,590	:	1,538	:	
Pike or pickerel	46	:	50	:	- 50	:		:	
Lake trout:		:	52		77			:	104
White bass		:	160	:	178	:	122	:	121
Lake herring:	122		39	:	34	:	25	:	21
All other 1/:	174	· :	113	:	80	:	467	:	683
Total:	7,928	:	6,853	:	7,686	:	7,823	:	8,127
:			Talue (						
		:		•		•		:	
Yellow perch	1.804	:	1,706	:	1.917	:	2,181	:	2.078
Sauger	548		616		602		707		572
Yellow pike			894		1,040		798		541
Whitefish	439		281		281		363		491
Carp		:	-	:	329		=		348
Pike or pickerel	22	•	23	•	24			:	255
Pike or pickerel	136		47		49	-	70	:	97
White bass	63		80		90		71	:	69
Lake herring:			10	-	9		, <u> </u>		7
All other 1/				-	52		298		49i
Total	4.178	÷	3,751	•	4,393	÷	4,880		
	,,-,-	:	5,1,2	:	, , , ,	:	,	•	,,,,
1/ "Inclassified" fish fillets	in One	•	Tolcon	<u>.</u>	and Mise	•	icainni	÷	2325020

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table	3Fresh-water	fish	fillets	U.S.	imports	for
	consumption	ı, by	kinds,	1962-67		

Kind	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966	:	1967
			Quar	ti	ty (1,0	00	pounds	)			
Yellow perch Pike, pickerel,		:	4,519	:	6,616	:	4,921	:	4,683	:	3,933
All other	2/ 4,238 <u>4</u> / 6,435	:	2,516	:	1,110	:	1,486	:	7,603 2,669	:	6,207 3,023
Total	14,140	:	15,120						14,955	<u>:</u>	13,163
:	• 		Val	ue	(1,000	Ċ	dollars)				
Yellow perch		:	2,999	:	3,962	:	3,536	:	3,821	:	2,673
pike-perch (yellow pike) All other	2/ 1,276 <u>4</u> / 2,227		/ 2,622 892	: : :	1,860 448		2,780 624		3,407 991		2,927 1,066
Total	5,7%	: :	6,513	:	6,270	:	6,940	:	8,219		6,666

<sup>1/</sup> July-December only; formerly included under "All other."

2/ Pike-perch (yellow pike) only.

3/ Pike-perch (yellow pike) only from Jan. 1-Aug. 30.

4/ Includes yellow perch fillets, January-June.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Table 4.--Fresh-water fish fillets: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967		
_		Quar	ntity (1,	000 pounds	s)			
Canada Mexico Brazil All other Total	67 - 227	110 : - : 76 :	: 171 : - : 85	• - :	505 715 402	993		
	Value (1,000 dollars)							
Canada Mexico Brazil All other Total	5,694 24 - 78 - 5,796	37	6,184 56 - 30	: 78 :	7,612 173 186 248	5,870 350 288 158 6,666		
10081		0,513	: 0,270	: 0,940	0,219	: 0,000		

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

·		

# Commodity

TSUS item

Flatfish, except halibut, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed------ 110.70 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

Imports of flatfish fillets, which have supplied an increasing share of consumption in recent years, accounted for 42 percent of consumption in 1966. Exports have been negligible.

#### Description and uses

The most common types of flatfish are the halibut, flounder, and sole. Other flatfish are the dab, fluke, brill, megrim, plaice, turbot, and witch. This summary covers fresh, chilled, or frozen fish products of flatfish, except halibut. It includes skinned fish and such products as fillets, steaks, sticks, and other portions not minced or ground. Most flatfish are not large enough to be sliced into steaks. Both domestic production and imports consist almost entirely of fillets, i.e., virtually bone-free strips of flesh cut away from the backbone, and the term "fillets of flatfish" will hereafter be used to refer to all products here under consideration.

Most fresh, chilled, or frozen fillets of flatfish are marketed for consumption without further processing, although a significant quantity is regularly used to make fish blocks (see item 110.47).

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS item	Commodity	Rate	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)					
	: Commodity	Jan. 1, 1968	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972					
110.70(pt.)	Fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed: Flatfish, except halibut.	:	l¢ per lb. : Free					

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 4.5 percent.

The present TSUS item 110.70 became operative on January 1, 1968, to effectuate the trade agreement of June 30, 1967. The articles covered by this summary were previously provided for under former TSUS item 110.60.

# U.S. consumption

Annual U.S. consumption of fillets of flatfish rose from about 57 million pounds in 1962 to 83 million pounds in 1966 (table 1), or by 46 percent. The increase in consumption reflects a rising demand for fillets and an increase in the available supply, largely from imports.

#### U.S. producers and production

In 1966, fillets of flatfish were produced domestically by about 115 firms. The industry is concentrated in New York City; New Bedford, Boston, and Gloucester, Massachusetts; and Portland, Maine. Most of the remaining concerns are located in fishing ports on the west coast. Many of the firms are small and produce only fillets for local distribution. Almost all of the firms also produce fillets from other species of fish.

Annual U.S. production of flatfish fillets rose from about 38.2 million pounds in 1962 to 45.9 million pounds in 1963 and has since fluctuated within narrow limits. It amounted to 48.1 million pounds in 1966 (table 2). About two-thirds of the total output was produced in New England (table 3).

## U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports are not separately reported in official statistics but they are known to be negligible.

Annual U.S. imports of flatfish fillets increased from about 18.4 million pounds, valued at \$5.7 million in 1962, to a high of 34.7 million pounds, valued at \$11.3 million in 1966 (table 4). They moderately declined to 33.3 million pounds, valued at \$11.1 million in 1967. Between 1963 and 1966, the ratio of imports to annual domestic consumption increased from 27 to 42 percent.

Canada supplied over 90 percent of the imports.

Table 1.--Fillets of flatfish, except halibut: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year ;	Produc- tion	Imports	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to consumption
:	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962: 1963: 1964:	38,198 45,894 48,554	21,574	: 62,491 :	27
1965: 1966:	49,508 48,112	24,122	: 73,630 :	33

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, adjusted to exclude production of fish blocks; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note. -- Exports, which are not separately shown in official statistics, have been mil or negligible.

Table 2.--Fillets of flatfish, except halibut: U.S. production, fresh or frozen, 1962-66

Year	Fresh 8	Frozen :	Total
3	Quanti	ty (1,000 pounds	3)
1962	24,782 : 28,980 : 33,210 : 35,253 : 33,091 :	14,255 : 15,021 :	38,198 45,894 48,554 49,508 48,112
1962	9,773 : 11,107 : 12,550 : 15,013 : 15,742 :	4,324: 5,319: 4,907: 5,187: 6,403:	14,097 16,426 17,457 20,200 22,145
1	-2314- 1	1	,

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, adjusted to exclude production of fish blocks.

Table 3.—Fillets of flatfish, except halibut: U.S. production, by geographic regions, 1962-66

Geographic region	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966			
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)							
New England								
Atlantic and Gulf: Pacific coast: Total:	7,389	9,977	10,503:	9,522 :	11,772			
8		Value (1	L,000 doll	ars)				
New England: Middle Atlantic: Chesapeake, South	8,881 2,481	10,043 : 2,656 :	10,486 : 2,743 :	13,370 : 2,671 :	14,072 2,813			
Atlantic and Gulf: Pacific coast:	2,735	3,685		3,653 1	4,899			
Total:	14,097	: 16,426 :	17,457	20,200 :	22,145			

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, adjusted to exclude production of fish blocks.

Table 4.--Fillets of flatfish, except halibut: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Country	1962	:	1963	1	1964	:	1965	1	1966	:	1967
:		Quantity (1,000 pounds)									
. 1		:		1	<del></del>	1		3		:	
Canada:	17,595	:	15,453	:	20,586	1	23,251	<b>.</b>	33,941	:	32,555
Miquelon and St. :		1		t	•	:	1	1		ı	
Pierre Islands-:	195	:	391	:	531	:	<b>468</b> :	t	436	:	463
Iceland:	341	:	246	1	83	:	222	3	129	:	. 87
United Kingdom:	163	:	354	1	222	1	85 :		152	:	92
All other:	145	:	153	1	152	:	96	•	69	:	93
Total:	18,439	:	16,597	ı	21,574	:	24,122	:	34,727	:	33,290
: :			٧٤	ılı	ue (1,00	00	dollars	)			
Canada:	5,422	:	4,594	:	6,576	:	7,358	_	11,067	:	10,821
Miquelon and St. :	•	:	·	:	•	:		1		:	•
Pierre Islands-:	57	1	114	:	149	1	139	:	133	1	139
Iceland:	130	1	101	1	34	:	88 :	:	52	:	<b>3</b> 5
United Kingdom:	37	:	83	:	58	:	23 :	:	47	:	33
All other		:	78	•	62	:	50 :	3	44	:	52
Total:	5,709	:-	4,970	•	6,879	•	7,659	: _	11,343	7;	11,080
		:		:		:		١.		:	

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

# Commodity

TSUS item

Halibut, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed--- 110.70 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

Imports of halibut steaks and fillets currently supply less than one-fifth of the U.S. market; exports have been negligible.

## Description and uses

This summary covers fresh, chilled, or frozen halibut products. It includes skinned fish and such products as steaks, fillets, sticks, and other portions not minced or ground. Halibut steaks are cross-sectional slices of the fish, including rib bones and vertebrae, whereas halibut fillets are the boneless or almost boneless pieces of fish cut from the side of the fish. Some of the fillets (known as fletches), and some of the steaks, are very large and must be cut into serving-size pieces before ultimate sale. All or nearly all halibut steaks and fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen, are marketed for consumption without further processing; very little is used to make fish blocks. Since the steak is the form in which most of the fish is marketed, the term "halibut steaks" is used in the discussion that follows to include all products here under consideration.

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows.

TSUS	Commodity	Rate prior to Jan. 1,	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade conference (Kennedy Round)  First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972
110.70(pt.)	: Fish, fresh, chilled, : or frozen, other- : wise processed: : Halibut	: : 1.5¢	l¢ Free per lb.

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 3.8 percent.

The present TSUS item 110.70 became operative on January 1, 1968, to effectuate the trade agreement of June 30, 1967. The articles covered by this summary were previously provided for under TSUS item 110.60.

## U.S. consumption

In 1961-66 annual U.S. consumption of halibut steaks averaged 36 million pounds and ranged from 32 million to 44 million pounds (table 1). Although there were significant year-to-year fluctuations, consumption of halibut steaks has not shown a meaningful upward or downward trend in recent years. The annual fluctuations reflected variations in the supply (catch) of halibut rather than changes in the demand.

#### U.S. producers and production

Halibut steaks are prepared by food wholesalers and grocery chains as well as by firms that specialize in the production of fish products. The latter group, which numbered about 26 concerns in 1966, distribute their products in frozen packages of various sizes and

June 1968

descriptions over a wide area. The distribution by food wholesalers and grocery chains occurs generally within a more limited area. Complete statistics are not published on the domestic production of halibut steaks. Based on data on the domestic consumption of halibut (live-weight equivalent) (see item 110.20 (pt.)), annual production of halibut steaks during 1962-66 ranged from 26 million (1964) to 37 million pounds (1962) and averaged 30 million pounds (table 1).

## U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports of halibut steaks are not separately reported but they are known to be negligible.

Annual U.S. imports of halibut steaks during 1962-67 averaged 6.3 million pounds, valued at \$3.1 million (table 2). Imports have been equivalent to somewhat less than a fifth of U.S. consumption. Canada and Japan have been the principal suppliers.

Table :	lHalibut	steaks or	fillets:	: U.S. produ	ction,	imports	for
	consumpt	ion, and	apparent	consumption,	1962-6	6	

Voor	Produc-	Imports	Apparent consump- tion	Ratio of imports to consumption
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962	37,178			
1964:	31,777 : 26,322 :	5,569	: 31,891	
1965	28,629 : 27,052 :	- , -		•
	-13-2-	,,-,,	:	

<sup>1/</sup> Estimated on basis of a yield of 0.43 pound of steaks or fillets per pound of halibut (live-weight) of domestic and foreign origin (see summary on item 110.20 (pt.)).

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--U.S. exports are not separately reported, but are believed to be negligible.

Table 2.--Halibut steaks or fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1.964	1965	1966	1967
		Quant	tity (1,	000 poun	ds)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Canada		2,810 1,810 98 - 99 4,817	2,224 121 69 80	2,232 131 25	: 2,051 : 135 : 180 : 17	: 1,949 : 115 : 46 : 25
:	<b>:</b>	Valu	ie (1,000	O dollar	s)	
Canada	1,550 1,723 120	658 : 45 :	776 56	: 6	1,055 67 47	: 819 : 77 : 13
All other	53 3,446	27 2,440		47 3,653	6 3,079	: 12 : 3,378

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

•	

# Commodity

TSUS item

Swordfish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed---- 110.70 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

U.S. consumption of swordfish steaks and fillets has largely been supplied by imports, which in 1964-66 accounted for about three-fourths of total consumption. Exports have been negligible or nil.

#### Description and uses

This summary covers fresh, chilled, or frozen products of sword-fish. It includes skinned fish and such products as fillets, steaks, sticks, and other portions not minced or ground. In the trade the only swordfish products known are fillets and steaks.

A swordfish fillet is the boneless or almost boneless piece of the flesh cut from the side of the whole fish. It may weigh 20 pounds or more and is used to make swordfish steaks. A swordfish steak is a serving-size portion consisting of a cross-sectional slice of the fish cut from either the carcass or a fillet. A typical swordfish steak consists of both dark and light meat. All swordfish steaks and fillets, fresh, chilled, or frozen are marketed for consumption without further processing; very little is cured, canned, or otherwise preserved. In the discussion that follows the term swordfish steak is used to include all products here under consideration.

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rate of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) is as follows:

TSUS item		Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)		
	Continued by	Jan. 1,:	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972		
110.70(pt.)	Fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed: Swordfish		$ exttt{l}\phi$ per $ exttt{l} exttt{b}.$ Free		

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967 was 4.0 percent.

The present TSUS item 110.70 became operative on January 1, 1968, to effectuate the trade agreement of June 30, 1967. The articles covered by this summary were previously provided for under former TSUS item 110.60.

#### U.S. consumption

Annual U.S. consumption of swordfish steaks during 1962-66 averaged 25 million pounds and ranged from 23 million to 27 million pounds (table 1). Yearly changes in the volume of consumption have reflected largely changes in the available supply of swordfish.

#### U.S. producers and production

Swordfish steaks are prepared by food wholesalers and grocery chains as well as by firms that specialize in the production of seafood. The latter group consists of about 10 concerns, mostly located in the States of California and Washington. They distribute their products in frozen packages of various sizes and descriptions over a wide area. The distribution by food wholesalers and grocery chains

occurs within a more limited area and their operations are more diversified than those of specialized seafood distributors.

No complete statistics are available on the domestic production of swordfish steaks. Based on data on the domestic consumption of fresh, chilled, or frozen swordfish (see items 110.30-110.33), estimated annual U.S. production of swordfish steaks during 1962-66 averaged 7 million pounds and ranged from 3 million to 9 million pounds (table 1).

## U.S. exports and imports

- U.S. exports of swordfish steaks are not separately shown in official statistics; they are known to be nil or negligible.
- U.S. imports of swordfish steaks fluctuated between 19 million pounds, valued at \$7 million in 1962 and 14 million pounds, valued at \$5 million in 1967. In the 6-year period ending in 1967 they averaged 17 million pounds annually, valued at \$6 million (table 2). In 1965-66 imports supplied three-fourths of domestic consumption. Japan has been by far the principal source of supply. Imports from that country accounted for about four-fifths of total imports.

FTable 1.--Swordfish steaks and fillets: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year	Production 1/	Imports	Apparent consump- tion	Ratio of imports to consumption
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962	3,277 9,097 9,560 7,084 4,650	17,214 : 17,759 :	27,079 26,774 24,843	: 66 : 64 : 71

<sup>1/</sup> Estimate by U.S. Tariff Commission based on a yield of 0.54 pound of steaks per pound of swordfish (live weight).

Source: Imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note. -- Exports of swordfish are not separately reported, but they are believed to be negligible or nil.

Table 2.--Swordfish fillets and steaks: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
		Quar	ntity (1,	000 pound	s)	
Japan	18,426 307 118 58	101 : 2,342 : 229 :	1,116 263	473 1,092 177	255 773 290	: 1,031 : 512 : 199
Islands: All other: Total	286 : 163 : 19,358 :	222 : 355 : 17,982 :	252 156 17,214	200 341 17,759	: 166 : 284 : 17,910	138 452 14,002
:	<u></u>			O dollars		
Japan Peru Canada Taiwan	6,232 93 60	4,609 28 884 84	44	6,188 107 493 66	6,756 67 391	: 276
Pacific Islands All other Total	63 54 6,517	56 102 5,763	43	62 105 7,021	62 99 7,479	• •

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

# Commodity

TSUS item

Fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed----- 110.70 (pt.)

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

In recent years, imports supplied about a third of domestic consumption of the fish products here under consideration; exports were negligible.

## Description and uses

This summary covers fresh, chilled, or frozen fish products of all fish, except groundfish, wolf fish, fresh-water fish, flatfish including halibut, and swordfish. It includes skinned fish and such products as fillets, steaks, sticks, and other portions not minced or ground. Both domestic production and imports consist almost entirely of fillets and the term "other fish fillets" will hereafter be used to refer to all products here under consideration.

Fillets are virtually bone-free strips of flesh cut away from the backbone. The principal fish covered by this summary from which fillets are prepared in the United States are ocean perch, rockfishes, whiting, lingcod, salmon, Spanish mackerel, and groupers. Approximately 100 pounds of round fish (as they come from the water) are required to produce 30 to 40 pounds of fillets, depending upon the species.

#### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

: : TSUS		Rate :	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade conference (Kennedy Round)			
item : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Commodity	: Jan. 1, : 1968 :	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972			
: 110.70(pt.):1	Fish (other than cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, Atlantic ocean perch, wolffish, fresh-water fish, flatfish including halibut, and swordfish), fresh, chilled, or frozen, otherwise processed.		l¢ per lb. Free			

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the specific rate of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 3.8 percent.

The present TSUS item 110.70 became operative in January 1, 1968, to effectuate the trade agreement of June 30, 1967. The articles covered by this summary were previously provided for under former TSUS item 110.60.

## U.S. consumption, producers, and production

In the period 1962-66, annual U.S. consumption of other fish fillets rose from about 30 million pounds to 38 million pounds (table 1). Fillets are produced in practically all coastal States by a large, though unknown, number of concerns. Most of the firms are small and process various other kinds of seafoods. Domestic processors of other fish fillets are dependent upon the domestic catch for their raw material. Filleting of imported fish, if at all, is on a negligible scale.

Annual U.S. production of other fish fillets declined from about 20.5 million pounds in 1962 to 19.1 million pounds in 1964, but rose thereafter to 25.3 million pounds in 1966 (table 1). In 1964-66 about four-fifths of the total production of these fillets was from 5 species of fish: Pacific Ocean perch, rockfishes, whiting, salmon, and Spanish mackerel (table 2).

## U.S. exports and imports

- U.S. exports of other fish fillets are not separately reported in official statistics but they are known to be negligible.
- U.S. annual imports of other fish fillets showed an upward trend and rose irregularly from about 9.1 million pounds, valued at \$2.6 million, in 1962 to 11.1 million pounds, valued at \$4.3 million, in 1967 (table 3). They supplied about a third of domestic consumption in recent years.

Mexico, Canada, and Japan have been the principal foreign suppliers. Imports from Mexico and Japan consisted chiefly of fillets or steaks made from tropical or subtropical fish such as grouper and red snapper.

Table 1.--Fillets of fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year	Production	Imports	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to consumption
:	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962	20,477 19,810 19,131 22,606 25,254	7,624 : 8,900 : 9,665 :	27,434 28,031 32,271	28 32 30

Source: Domestic production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note. -- Exports, which are not separately classified in official statistics, are known to be negligible.

Table 2.--Fillets of fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. production, by species, 1962-66

Kind :	1962	1	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966
3			Quanti	ьy	(1,000	po	ounds)		<del></del>
		<u>.</u>		÷		<del>.</del>		÷	
Salmon:	1,475	•	1,077	:	1,979	•	1,951	•	2,887
Ocean perch, Pacific:	5,199		6,995		5,533		8,044		6,417
Spanish mackerel:	1,500		904		967		1,136		3,264
Rockfishes:	5,150		5,270		4,170		4,399		5,821
Whiting:	3,180		2,405		2,094		2,286		2,445
Lingood:	1,749		1,174		1,451		2,149		2,472
Groupers:	627		780		1,272		1,422		588
Red snapper:	561		102		572		506		294
All other:	1,036		803		1,093		713	:	1,066
Total:			19,810		19,131		22,606		25,254
·.			Value	(	L,000 do	_			
:			, 4240	•	.,				
Salmon:	1,112	:	787	:	1,345	:	1,551	:	2,452
Ocean perch, Pacific:	1,202	:	1,646	1	1,283	:	1,857	:	1,750
Spanish mackerel:	472	:	298	:	329		433		1,555
Rockfishes:	1,121	:	1,246	:	918		989	:	1,546
Whiting:	641	:	535	1	460	:	509	1	618
Lingcod:	423	1	285	:	351	:	531	_	640
Groupers:	297		327		530		595	:	294
Red snapper:	416		306		428		426		280
All other:	495		454		545		376		524
Total:	6,179	:	5,884	:	6,189	:	7,267	:	9,659
:		:		:		:		:	

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Fillets of fish, not elsewhere enumerated, fresh, chilled, or frozen: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Country	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967		
:	Quantity (1,000 pounds)							
•		:	1	: :		:		
Mexico:	3,089	3,143	: 3,842	: 4,384 :	5,157	3,100		
Canada:	705	1,013	: 1,111	: 1,720 :	3,718	3,647		
Taiwan:	19 :	278	: 688	: 688 :	1,221 :			
Japan:	3,904	2,277	: 2,614	: 2,039 :	2,271 :	1,145		
Argentina:	643	300	231	314 :	70 :	490		
Norway:	244	: 118	: 157	: 134 :	119 :	102		
Hong Kong:	75	56			119 :	18		
All other:	384	438	: 178	: 279 :	282 :	1,001		
Total:			8,900	9,666	12,957	11,125		
	Value (1,000 dollars)							
Mexico:	886	944	: 1,140	1 156	1,888	1,544		
Canada	218				1,347			
Taiwan:	210 h			•	•			
Japan:	1,161	•		_	T ' .	. *		
Argentina:	123					-		
Norway:	68							
<del>-</del>	33					_		
Hong Kong:	110							
All other								
Total:	2,000	LOCE	40) و2 ،	۱ /۱۵۰ ور ه	4,04/	4,300		
*	·	·	i					

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

# Commodity TSUS item

Fish, dried, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved, and not in airtight containers:

Cod, cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock-- 111.10 Shark fins------ 111.15 Other------ 111.18

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

Imports provide virtually all of the U.S. consumption of dried fish.

#### Comment

Dried fish is prepared from the whole fresh fish by removing the viscera and head, and thoroughly drying the remaining fish, usually by exposing it in the open air to the sun and wind.

U.S. production and trade of the dried unsalted products covered by this summary consist principally of (1) stockfish, a dried and unsalted cod product, (2) shark fins, and (3) dried and unsalted products prepared from a variety of fish. In the United States stockfish is consumed almost entirely by Latins and Scandinavians. Shark fins and other dried fish are consumed mainly by Orientals.

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

the first stage.

TSUS:	Commodity	Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)				
item:	Commodity	: Jan. 1, : 1968 :	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972				
111.10:	Tish, dried, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or or preserved, and not in airtight containers:  Cod, cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock.  Shark fins	: : : 0.2¢ : per lb. : 0.53¢	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :				
111.18:	Other	: per lb.	: 0.1¢ : 0.1¢ : per lb. 1/: per lb. : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :				

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

The average ad valorem equivalents of the specific rates of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, were as follows:

TSUS item	Percent				
111.10	0.5				
111.18	0.4				

Except for a small amount of dried shark fins, obtained as a byproduct in the manufacture of shark leather, there is no domestic production of dried fish. Consumption is virtually all supplied by imports.

During 1962-67, annual U.S. imports ranged in quantity from 0.9 million pounds to 1.4 million pounds (table 1), and averaged 1.2 million pounds. In terms of value they ranged from \$575,000 to \$901,000, and averaged \$775,000. Imports consisted predominantly of stockfish and were supplied mainly by Norway (table 2). Dried shark fins and other dried fish came principally from Mexico and Japan (tables 3 and 4).

June 1968

1:2

Table	1Dried fish	: U.S.	imports for	consump-
	tion, b	y kinds	, 1962-67	_

Year	: Cod, cusk, haddock : Sh : hake, and pollock :	ark fins Other To	otal					
	Quantity (1	Quantity (1,000 pounds)						
1962	: 648 :	: : 125 : 158 :	027					
			931					
1963	<b>,</b> ,		L,363					
1964	•		L,281					
1965		101: 129: 7	1,160					
1966	: 842 :	114: 103: 1	1,059					
1967	<u>: 981 : </u>	154 : 110 : 1	245					
	: Value (1,0	Value (1,000 dollars)						
1962	354 :	102 : 119 :	575					
1963	: 567 :	132 : 126 :	825					
1964	559:	107: 139:	805					
1965	T.T.S	112 : 117 :	783					
1966	* .T	120 : 91 :	758					
1967		164 : 95 :	901					
S C13	:	: :	,					

Note.—Statistics on domestic production and exports are not available. Domestic production is known to be very small; exports are believed to be nil.

Table 2.--Dried cod, cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Year	Norway	Canada :	Other	Total
:	Quan	tity (1,0	00 pound	s)
1962	: 643 : 901 : 860 : 740 : 616 : 793 :	184 :	: 5: 5: 2: 11: 73: 116:	1,021 930 842 981
1962	352 : 522 : 526 : 492 : 483 : 588 :	/// : - :	2: 1: 6: 22: 36:	354 567 559 554 547 642

Table 3.--Dried shark fins: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Year	Mexico	:	Japan	Other	Total	
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)					
1962	55 51 62 76	:	57 : 56 : 45 : 34 * 23 :	15		
1967	110	: Ve	33.: lue (1,00	31 dollars		
1962	26 25 42 59	:	65 : 77 : 73 : 62 : 39 : 58 :	29	102 132 107 112 120	

Table 4.--Dried fish, other than cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, and dried shark fins: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Year	Japan	:	Hong Kong	:	Norway	Other	:	Total
		(	Quanti	.t;	y (1,000	pounds)		
1962:	116	:	26	:	14	- 2	:	7 °C
1963:	98	:	26		23 :			158 153
1964:	100	:	25		26		_	157
1965:	84 74	:	21 12	:	9 : 10 :		:	129 103
1967:	71	:	13	:	17	9	:	110
			Value	)	(1,000 d	ollars)		
1962:	83	•	29		5	2	:	119
1963:	82	:	29		10	5	:	126
1964:	92	:	29	:	13 :	: 5	:	139
1965:	78	:	26	:	5 :	8	:	117
1966:	66	:	15	:	6 :	: 4	:	91
1967:	61	:	20	:	10 :	: 4	:	95
		:		:			:	

## Commodity

TSUS item

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

U.S. consumption of salted and pickled cod and related species is supplied almost entirely by imports. Domestic production is believed to be very small and exports are negligible or nil.

#### Comment

The products covered by this summary consist of salted or pickled cod, cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock hereafter referred to as salted cod, since cod is by far the most important of these species used for salting or pickling.

In the trade a distinction is made between three kinds of products: wet-salted (green-salted) cod and dry-salted cod (item 111.22), and boneless cod (item 111.28). Wet-salted cod is prepared by removing the head, viscera, and two-thirds of the backbone of the fresh fish and then heavily salting the carcass. Carrying this process one step further by drying produces dry-salted cod, the only substantial distinction between the two being the moisture content. Wet-salted cod usually has a moisture content of 50 to 55 percent compared with a moisture content of not more than 43 percent for the dry-salted product. The third kind of product, boneless cod, is produced from the wet-salted fish by drying, skinning, and removing as many bones as possible. Boneless cod is marketed in many forms, such as bricks, strips, middles, and fibered cod. Included in this category are cod fillets salted in brine, a newer form of salted cod prepared from fresh fillets rather than from wet-salted cod.

			y applicable to im-
ports (see general	headnote 3 in the	ne TSUSA-1968)	are as follows:

TSUS:	Q., 11 has	Rate	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)			
item Commodity		Jan. 1, 9	First stage, effective Jan. 1, 1968	effective		
111.22	Cod, cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock: Whole, or processed by removal of heads, fins, viscera, scales, vertebral columns, or any combination thereof.	:	O.l¢ per lb.	Free <u>1</u> /		
111.28	Otherwise processed (whether or not heads, fins, viscera, scales, vertebral columns, or any com- bination thereof have been removed).	per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	Free 2/		

<sup>1/</sup> The final rate for this item will become effective January 1, 1970, at the third stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalents of the specific rates of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, were as follows:

TSUS item	Percent
111.22	0.8
111.28	1.9

<sup>2/</sup> The final rate for this item will become effective January 1, 1971, at the fourth stage.

Statistics on domestic production of salted cod are not shown because they include large, though unknown, quantities of boneless cod processed from imported wet-salted cod. Available information indicates that domestic production of salted cod from domestic raw fish is small and sporadic. Imports supply the great bulk, if not all, of the domestic consumption of salted cod.

Annual U.S. imports in 1962-66 ranged in quantity from about 40.4 million pounds (1964) to 44.2 million pounds (1962) and averaged 42.1 million pounds (table 1). They almost steadily increased in value from about \$8.9 million in 1962 to \$10.7 million in 1966. Imports dropped sharply in 1967 in terms of quantity but only moderately in value and amounted to 36.6 million pounds, valued at \$10.3 million.

Nearly four-fifths of the total imports consisted of wet-salted and dry-salted cod, predominantly of dry-salted cod. The bulk of the imports entered in Puerto Rico, which is the main consuming center. Canada has been the chief supplier of salted cod (tables 2 and 3).

As far as is known, there are no exports of salted cod.

Item	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
	<b>.</b>	Que	,000 pound	ls)		
Whole or beheaded or eviscerated Otherwise processed Total	34,351 9,823	9,359	31,489 8,889 40,378	8,610	8,291	7,734
	Value (1,000 dollars)					
Whole or beheaded						

Table 1.--Salted or pickled cod: U.S. imports for consumption, by article, 1962-67

5,994:

2,896:

5,900 :.

2,968

8,868

or eviscerated --:

processed----:

Otherwise

5,926:

2,884

8,810:

6,749:

2,838:

7,695:

Note.--Statistics on U.S. production of salted or pickled cod are not shown because they include large, though unknown, quantities of boneless cod processed from imported wet-salted cod. U.S. exports are believed to be nil; no statistics are available.

Table 2.--Salted or pickled cod, whole, or beheaded, or eviscerated: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967				
		Quantity (1,000 pounds)								
Canada	4,587 1,509	6,228 1,394	4,456 14,520 1,065	6,991 : 9,561 : 2,105 :	17,856 8,275 6,383 764 33,278	6,069 5,524 952				
	!	Va	lue (1,00	00 dollars	)					
Canada	672 : 341 :	514 1,008 325	821 2,496 283	1,402 : 1,764 : 484 :	4,358 1,789 1,322 226	1,411 1,227 289				
Total	5,900	5,994	5 <b>,</b> 926	6,749	7,695	7,238				

Table 3.--Salted or pickled cod, otherwise processed: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)						
Canada Norway France Iceland	- :	30 <sup>4</sup>	22	159 28	82 58	113	
Miquelon and St. Pierre Islands All other Total	308 9,823	9,359	<del></del>	8,610	8,291		
		Valı	ue (1,000	dollar	s)	<del></del>	
Canada Norway France Iceland	67	2,668 93 21 84	2,721 64 9 24		29 23	42 34	
Miquelon and St. Pierre Islands: All other	49 :	30					
Total:	2,968	2,896	2,884	2,838	2,983	3,059	

	TSUS
Commodity	item
<u> </u>	2001.

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

U.S. consumption of salted or pickled herring is virtually all supplied by imports. Exports are nil or negligible.

#### Description and uses

Herring is cured or preserved by salt or by salt and vinegar in various forms and by various methods, resulting in different grades and products. Generally, the term "pickled or salted" refers to herring pickled in brine. Production of, and trade in, dry-salted herring are negligible.

The names and grades of salted or pickled herring are determined by origin, size of fish, method of cutting and packing, the quantity of salt and vinegar added, the amount of viscera remaining, and the quantity of spice added. In some methods the whole fish is cured; in others the head, viscera, and spine of the fresh fish are removed before salting; and in still others the fish is prefilleted or split. The duty provisions (1) in bulk or in immediate containers of over 15 pounds gross, and (2) in containers of 15 pounds or less correspond roughly to the manner in which salted or pickled herring moves in commerce. The first, being usually marketed in barrels, half barrels, or kegs, predominates in the import trade and is important in the domestic wholesale trade. The second, usually a more advanced product, being further processed and repacked in small containers, is insignificant in import trade but important in domestic production.

### U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS :	Commodity	: Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)					
item:	Commodit Gy	: Jan. 1, : 1968 :	effective	e, Final stage, effective 68 Jan. 1, 1972				
: :H	erring, salted or	:	:	• .				
	pickled, whether or	:	• •	:				
:	not whole, but not	:	•	•				
•	otherwise prepared or	:	•	•				
:	preserved, and not in	:	:	:				
:	airtight containers:	:	•	•				
111.32:		: 0.1¢ per	: .05¢ per	: Free <u>l</u> /				
:	containers weighing	: 1b.	: 1b.	:				
<b>:</b> .	with their contents	:	•	:				
:	over 15 pounds each.	:	•	:				
111.37:	Other	: 12.5% ad	: 11% ad	: 6% ad				
:		: val.	: val.	: val.				
1/ The	final rate for this item	will becom	e effective	January 1.				

1/ The final rate for this item will become effective January 1, 1969, at the second stage.

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

Based on 1967 imports, the ad valorem equivalent of the 0.1 cent per pound rate of duty on item 111.32 averaged 0.7 percent.

## U.S. consumption, producers, and production

Relatively little of the salted or pickled herring covered herein enters into immediate consumption channels. Nearly all is further processed and repacked in small airtight containers (item 112.10).

During 1962-65 annual U.S. consumption of salted or pickled herring fluctuated within narrow limits between 27 million and 28 million pounds, but in 1966 it rose sharply to about 32.7 million pounds (table 1). The industry falls generally into two groups: primary producers who pickle or salt the fresh herring, and the repackers and distributors who may further process the salted or pickled herring obtained from the primary producers and, in any event, repack it in small containers.

In the United States there is little, if any, production by primary producers of salted or pickled herring made from sea herring. About 95 percent of U.S. consumption consists of imported salted or pickled sea herring, virtually all of which was further processed in this country by 15 or 20 firms. Most of these firms are located near New York City, Philadelphia, Baltimore, Chicago, and Minneapolis. The remaining 5 percent of domestic consumption consists of salted or pickled lake herring produced by 7 firms in the Great Lakes area.

Domestic production of salted and pickled herring in primary form has been very small compared with consumption. In all years during 1962-66, annual output was less than 2 million pounds; it amounted to about 1.2 million pounds in 1966 (table 2).

## U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports of salted or pickled herring are not separately recorded in official statistics but are believed to be nil or negligible.

In recent years imports have supplied from 93 percent to 98 percent of the domestic consumption of salted or pickled herring. In the period 1962-67, annual imports ranged from about 24 million pounds, valued at \$3.6 million, to 31.5 million pounds, valued at \$4.7 million (table 3), and averaged 26.8 million pounds, valued at \$3.9 million. Salted or pickled herring are nearly all imported in "bulk or containers over 15 pounds gross" (barrels and half barrels). The herring in these large containers may be marketed as originally packed, or may be repacked in this country. Canada, Norway, and Iceland have been the principal suppliers of herring shipped in bulk containers (table 4). Imports in small containers (weighing 15 pounds gross or less) have come mostly from Norway, Canada, and the Netherlands and consisted of specialty products (table 5).

Table 1.--Salted or pickled herring: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year	Produc-	Imports	Apparent consump- tion	Ratio of imports to consumption
1962	1,000 pounds 1,997 1,737 654 491 1,194	25,501 27,297 26,742	27,238 27,951 27,233	94 98 98

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note. -- Exports of salted or pickled herring are not separately shown in official statistics; they are believed to be nil or negligible.

Table 2.--Salted or pickled lake herring: U.S. production, 1962-66

Year	Quantity	Value
	1,000 pounds	1,000 dollars
1962	1,997 1,737	
1964	654 : 1/491 :	86 1/63
1966	1,194	290

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 110 thousand pounds of salted or pickled pilchards, valued at 13 thousand dollars.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Salted or pickled herring: U.S. imports for consumption, by article, 1962-67

Article	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967				
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)									
Salted or pickled herring: In bulk or in containers of over 15 pounds gross Other	25,830 : 30 :	6 : 25,501 :	23 : 27,297 :	26,742	33 31,493	14				
Salted or pickled : herring:		Ve	alue (1,00	00 dollars	s) :					
In bulk or in containers of over 15 pounds gross Other Total	3,617 8 3,625	3	3,871 9 3,880	5 :	: 6	5				
TO 001	ا رعابود	. 3,709 i	, 3,000	: 3,750	. 4,090	; 3,024				

Table 4.--Salted or pickled herring, in bulk or containers of over 15 pounds gross: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Country	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
,		Quan	tity (1,0	000 pounds	)	
:				:		
Canada:	10,498 :	10,904 :			14,053 :	
Norway:	8,543:					4,440
Iceland:	2,797 <b>:</b>	, , , , , ,		5,256:	8,863 :	
United Kingdom:	1,210 :	786 <b>:</b>	1,205	1,134:	1,376 :	856
Netherlands:	1,220:	930 :	739 :	717 :	498 :	351
Sweden:	579 :	535 :	420 :	382 :	520 :	: 141
All other:	1,013 :	476 :	478 :	512 :	190 :	46
Total:	25,860 :	25,497	27,274	26,726:	31,460	24,063
		Val	ue (1.000	dollars)		
			(1,000	- dollar by		·
<u>,                                     </u>			•	:	- 40-	
Canada:	1,138 :	1,256:			•	1,697
Norway:	1,409 :		· -			
Iceland:	351 :				1,349 :	
United Kingdom:	-	138 :	208	204 :	264 :	
Netherlands:	220 :	192 :	153 :	155 :	121 :	86
Sweden:	140 :	119:	97 :	104:	153 :	42
All other:	178 :	86 :	85 :	96 :	37	2
Total:	3,625	3,588	3,871 :	3,751:	4,684 :	3,619
		:	:	:		

11560

Table 5.--Salted or pickled herring, in containers of 15 pounds or less gross weight: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966	:	1967
			Quant	i	ty (1,	,0	00 pou	ın	ds)		
:		:		:		:		:		:	
Norway:	-	:	-	:	-	:	2	:	1	:	10
Canada::		:	-	:	16	:	1	:	25	:	-
Netherlands:	27	:	5	:	5	:	13	:	7	:	-
All other:	4	:	1	:	2	:	_	:	-	:	4
Total:	31	:	6	-	23	:	16	:	33	:	14
:			Valu	ıe	(1,00	00	dolla	r	s)		
•		:		:		:		:		:	
Norway:	-	:	-	:	-	:	1	:	1	:	4
Canada:	-	:	-	:	5	:	-	:	3	:	-
Netherlands:	8	:	3	:	.3	:	4	:	2	:	_
All other:	1	:	1/	:	ī	:	-	:	_	:	1
Total:	9	:	3	:	9	:	5	:	6	:	5
:		:		:		:		:		:	

# Commodity

TSUS item

Note.--For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

Domestic consumption of salted or pickled mackerel is virtually all supplied by imports. Exports have been nil.

#### Comment

Pickled or salted mackerel are known in commerce as salt mackerel and dried salt mackerel. Salt mackerel is further distinguished as split salt mackerel, split down the back with backbone removed, and as salt mackerel fillets. Approximately 3 pounds of fresh mackerel are required to produce 2 pounds of split salt mackerel and 4 pounds of fresh mackerel are required to produce 2 pounds of salt fillets.

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS:		Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)
item:	Commodity	Jan. 1, 1968	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972
111.40:	Salted or pickled mack- erel, not in air- tight containers: In bulk or in imme- diate containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds each.	1b.	0.1¢ per lb.: Free <u>1</u> /
111.44		10% ad ;	9% ad val. : 5% ad val.

1/ The final rate for this item will become effective January 1, 1970, at the third stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the 0.2 cent rate of duty on item 111.40, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 1.1 percent.

The domestic consumption of salted or pickled mackerel has decreased as improved and expanded transportation and marketing facilities have increased the markets for fresh and frozen fish. In recent years, U.S. imports have supplied virtually all of the domestic consumption of salted or pickled mackerel; negligible quantities of dried salted mackerel are produced in Hawaii for local consumption.

In 1962-67 annual imports of salted or pickled mackerel ranged from 1.4 million to 2.5 million pounds, averaging 2.0 million pounds (table 1). Norway and Canada were the principal suppliers (table 2). Virtually all of the mackerel is imported in large containers and repacked in consumer-size containers for the retail trade.

U.S. exports are believed to be nil.

Table	1Salted	or p	ickled	macker	el:	U.S.	imports	for
	const	umpti	on, by	types,	196	2-67		

Commodity	1962	1963	1964	:	1965	1966	1967	
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)							
		:	:	:		:	:	
Salted or pickled	•	:	•	:		:	:	
mackerel:	}	:	:	:		:	:	
In bulk or in con-	}	:	:	:		:	•	
tainers over 15	}	:	:	:		:	:	
pounds gross				20:	1,357	: 2,071	: 1,705	
Other	140			<u> </u>		: 9	<u>: 1</u>	
Total	2,472	2,089	: 2,15	<u> </u>	1,357	: 2,080	: 1,706	
	<b>:</b>	Valu	e (1,0	000	dollars	)		
	·		:	:	<del></del>	:	:	
Salted or pickled	•		:	:		:	:	
mackerel:	}	:	:	:		:	:	
In bulk or in con-	•	:	:	:		:	:	
tainers over 15	}	:	:	:		:	:	
pounds gross	377	357	: 35	7:	220	: 383	: 307	
Other	25	19		.0 :		: 1	<u>: 1/ ·</u>	
Total	402	376	: 36	7:	220	: 384	: 307	
	,		:	:		:	:	
1/ Less than \$500.					-			

Note.--No official statistics are available on domestic production and exports of salted or pickled mackerel. Production is believed to be very small and exports are nil or negligible.

Table 2.--Salted or pickled mackerel, in bulk or in containers 15 pounds gross: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Country	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966	:	1967
:		Quantity (1,000 pounds)									
Norway: Canada: All other: Total:	1,309 810 213 2,332	: :	124 2,025	:	2,120	: :	739 48	:	2,071	:	609 11
Norway: Canada: All other: Total:	222 123 32 377		197 137 23 357		182 175 357	-	100 114 6 220	:	261 110 12 383	: : :	201 102 3

	TSUS
Commodity	item

Salmon, salted or pickled, not in airtight containers----- 111.48

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

Domestic consumption of salted and pickled salmon is supplied almost entirely from domestic sources. Exports are known to exceed imports but both are very small compared with domestic production.

## Description and uses

This summary covers products referred to in the trade as mild-cured salmon, pickled salmon, and dry-salted salmon. Mild-cured salmon accounts for 95 to 98 percent of the total domestic production and pickled salmon for most of the remainder. Most of the pickled and dry-salted salmon is marketed as such, but substantially all mild-cured salmon is an intermediate product which is smoked before entering the usual channels of trade. See separate summary on smoked or kippered salmon, item 111.88.

# U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS		: : Rate : prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)					
item :	Commodity	: Jan. 1, : 1968	First stage, Final stage effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 197					
111.48	Salted or pickled salmon, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved, and not in airtight containers.	: val.	7.5% ad 4% ad val.					

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rate of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

# U.S. consumption, producers, and production

In 1962-66, annual U.S. consumption of salted or pickled salmon fluctuated between 3.8 million pounds in 1962 and 4.8 million pounds in 1965 and averaged 4.4 million pounds in the 5-year period (table 1).

In 1966 there were 25 domestic producers of salted or pickled salmon located in the Pacific Coast States of Alaska, Washington, and California, where virtually all of the U.S. catch of salmon is landed. All, or virtually all, of the firms also manufacture other fishery products and the relative importance of salted or pickled salmon in their total operations varies greatly among the various producers.

Annual U.S. production of salted or pickled salmon reached a high of about 5.2 million pounds in 1963 and 1964 and thereafter dropped to 4.2 million pounds in 1966 (table 2). The decline in output reflects largely the availability of suitable fish and the loss of export markets. About 97 percent of the total domestic production in 1965 and 1966 consisted of mild-cured salmon. Alaska and Washington accounted for approximately two-fifths and one-third, respectively, of the total pack. Production of other kinds of salted or pickled salmon is confined almost entirely to Washington.

#### U.S. exports and imports

- U.S. exports of salted or pickled salmon have sharply declined and, beginning in 1965, are no longer shown in official statistics. Before that time, annual exports ranged from 500,000 to 1 million pounds (table 3). Western European countries have been the principal foreign markets.
- U.S. imports of salted and pickled salmon have been negligible and supplied less than 1 percent of domestic consumption (table 1). Imports have consisted predominantly of mild-cured salmon from Canada (table 4).

Table 1.--Salmon, salted or pickled: U.S. production, imports for consumption, exports of domestic merchandise, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year	Production	Imports		Apparent consumption	Turbot on oo
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	4,393 5,170 5,170 4,803 4,190	52 3 40	569 574 1,000 <u>2/</u> <u>2</u> /	3,843 4,782 4,210 4,843 4,233	

<sup>1/</sup> Less than 0.5 percent.

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports and exports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

<sup>2/</sup> Not available.

Table 2.--Salmon, salted or pickled: U.S. production, 1962-66

Year	Mild-cured	Other	Total	
	Quantity (1,000 pounds			
1962	4,203 5,170 5,170 4,677 4,095	1/: 1/: 126:	4,393 5,170 5,170 4,803 4,190	
1962	4,038 4,565 4,533 3,868 3,718	<u>1</u> / :	4,174 4,565 4,533 3,970 3,790	

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, except as noted.

Table 3.--Salmon, salted or pickled: U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1962-64

Market	1962	1963	: 1964
	Quantity	(1,000	pounds)
Sweden West Germany United Kingdom All other Total	223 177 - 169 569		168 255 354 1,000
Sweden	213 166 - 149 528	172	198 149 143 253

Table 4.--Salmon, salted or pickled: U.S. imports for consumption, by sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	:	1964	1965	:	1966	1967
		Quant	it	ty (1,0	000 por	un	ds)	
Canada	16	. 48 . 4	:	~ :	34	:	42	7
Japan	3 <del>-</del>	: 4 : -	:		4	:	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
Total:	19	<b>:</b> 52	:	3:	40	:	43	9
	<b>,</b>	Valu	ıe	(1,000	dolla	ar	s)	
:		:	:	:		:		
Canada:	4	: 34	:	-:	23	:	31 :	: 4
Japan	3	: 4	:	4:	3	:	1 :	: 3
	-	: -	:	- :	3	:	- ;	-
Total	7	: 38	:	• 4 :	29	:	32	7
		•	:	: 0.11		:		<u> </u>

TSUS

item

Commodity

**************************************	
Salted or pickled fish, other than cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, herring, mackerel, and salmon, not in airtight con-	
tainers:	
In bulk or in immediate containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds each:	
	111.52
Other	111.56
In immediate containers weighing with	
their contents not over 15 pounds each	111.60

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

Nearly all of the U.S. consumption of the salted or pickled fish covered by this summary is supplied from domestic sources. Exports are believed to be negligible.

#### Comment

This summary covers all pickled or salted fishes except cod and the related species (cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock), herring, mackerel, and salmon. Many kinds of fish are salted or pickled, but virtually all of the domestic output of the fish products covered by this summary is prepared from alewives, sablefish, mullet, and tuna.

In the trade a distinction is made between several kinds of salted or pickled alewives, based on the manner of processing. The most important of these are corned, pickled, and spiced alewives. Pickled alewives are an intermediate product used in making a pickled and spiced product packed in glass jars which is generally marketed as spiced or pickled herring (item 112.10). All of the other products herein covered are marketed as originally packed.

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS	COMMODITA		U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)			
item:		Jan. 1, 1968	effective	Final stage, effective Jan. 1, 1972		
		•				
:	5-7-4-3 am mining of Ainl-	<b>:</b>		•		
:8	Salted or pickled fish,		•	•		
•	other than cod, cusk,					
•	haddock, hake, herring,		•	•		
•	mackerel, and salmon,			•		
•	not in airtight con- tainers:	•	,	•		
•	In bulk or in immediate					
•	containers weighing					
•	with their contents	•				
•	over 15 pounds each:	•				
111.52:	Alewives	• • 0.5¢	0.4¢ per	0.2¢ per		
• عر محدد	WIEMIAG2	per	1b.	1b. 1/		
•		1b.	• 10.	· · · · · ·		
111.56:	Other	1.25¢	1¢ per 1b.	0.6¢ per 1b.		
:	O OIICI - L - L - L - L - L - L - L - L - L -	per	· ry per ro.	· orop per in.		
•		1b.		•		
111.60:	In immediate containers	25% ad	22% ad	12.5% ad		
	weighing with their	val.	val.	val.		
•	contents not over 15	•	•	•		
•	pounds each.	•	•	•		
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	•	•		

1/ The final rate for this item becomes effective January 1, 1969, at the second stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalent of the  $1.25 \phi$  per pound duty for item 111.56, based on dutiable imports during 1967, was 1.6 percent. There were no entries for item 111.52.

Domestic consumption of the salted or pickled fish included here is supplied almost entirely by U.S. producers. In 1966 about 60 firms in various parts of the country produced salted or pickled fish; all of them also processed other seafood products.

Domestic production of the salted or pickled fish included here has fluctuated widely in recent years. It reached a high of 11.4 million pounds in 1965 and then dropped to a little over half that amount (6.1 million) in 1966 (table 1). About 94 percent of the total domestic output consisted of salted or pickled alewives, most of which are relatively low-priced products sold throughout the South-Central and Midwestern States. Virtually all of the remainder was derived from sablefish, mullet, and tuna. Most of the salted sablefish is consumed in the Western States and most salted mullet in the Southeastern States, where they are produced. Salted tuna is a sun-dried product made and consumed in Hawaii. Salted fish is also produced from other species than those shown immediately above but such output is known to be small and is not separately shown in official statistics.

Exports of salted or pickled fish here covered are not separately shown in official statistics but they are believed to be very small.

U.S. imports of salted or pickled fish have in recent years supplied from 2 to 5 percent of domestic consumption. They ranged from 540,000 pounds in 1962, valued at \$265,000, to 225,000 pounds in 1967, valued at \$167,000 (table 3). Nearly all of the imports entered in containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds. A sample analysis of invoices covering imports in 1966 indicated that most of the imports consisted of dried, salted oriental specialty products not produced domestically. Hong Kong and Japan have been the principal suppliers (table 4).

Table 1 Other fish, 1/	salted or pickled:	U.S. production, imports
for consumption	, and apparent consu	mption, 1962-66

Year	Produc- tion	Imports	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to consumption
: : : :	1,000 pounds 9,624	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	:	Percent 5
1963: 1964: 1965:	8,620 8,207 11,428 6,137	258 256	8,465	3 2

<sup>1/</sup>Other than cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, herring, mackerel, and salmon.

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--U.S. exports are not separately shown in official statistics, but they are believed to be small.

Table 2.--Salted or pickled alewives, tuna, sable, and mullet: U.S. production, by species, 1962-66

Species	1962	1963	1964	1965	196	6
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)					
Alewives	8,870 1/ 122 632 9,624	7,960 1/ 34 626 8,620	89 143 298	: 167	: 1	15 43 42
		Value	(1,000 d	lollars)		
Alewives	628 1/ 40 89 757	572 1/ 13 81 666	438 96 54 33 621	: 149 : 58	1	88 48 52 21

1/ Not separately reported.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Other fish, 1/ salted or pickled: U.S. imports for consumption, by type of packaging, 1962-67

Type of packaging	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
	ity (1,0	000 pow	nds)			
	:				:	<del></del>
In bulk or in containers of	:	:	:	:	:	:
over 15 pounds gross:	:	:	:	•	:	:
Alewives	<b>-</b>	: 1 :	: 1:	- : - :	• • (	-
Other	528	366				202
Total	528	367	251	249	264	202
In containers of 15 pounds gross or less	12	8	7	7	20	22
Grand total	540	275	258	256	39	23 225
diamid 00 officer and a second	•	• 312				
	• •	Value	(1,000	) dollar	rs)	
	:	:	,		:	3
In bulk or in containers of	:	:	:	:	:	:
over 15 pounds gross:	:	: 0/	:	:	:	3
Alewives	0.50	<u>2/</u> 183	2/ :	7.07	7.07	, -
Other	258 258		153	191		155 155
	270	183	153	191	197	T))
In containers of 15 pounds gross or less	• 7	5	6	5	15	12
Grand total	265	188				
drama oo dar	• 209	• 100	179	130	• בגב	, TO
1/ Other than cod cusk had	idock i	naka no	llock	herring	r macke	mal

<sup>1/</sup>Other than cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, herring, mackerel, and salmon.

<sup>2/</sup> Less than \$500.

Table 4.--Other fish, 1/ salted or pickled: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)					
Hong Kong Japan Canada Venezuela All other	174 120 41 - 205 540	140 64 27 - 144 375	94 65 50 3 46	: 48 : 12 : 31	: 43 : 66	57 26 7 40
			e (1,00			
Hong Kong	159 61 9 -		93 42 13 1	130 31 3 21	: 111 : 31 : 8 : 40 : 22 :	102 40 7 7
Total:	265	188	: 159 :	: 196 :	: 212	167

1/ Other than cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, herring, mackerel, and salmon.

# Commodity

TSUS item

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

# U.S. trade position

In recent years the declining U.S. consumption of smoked cod and the related species has been supplied largely by imports. Exports of these products are nil or negligible.

# Description and uses

Domestic production and imports covered by the two tariff items in this summary consist principally of finnan haddie (smoked haddock) and smoked fillets (principally haddock and cod). Finnan haddie is prepared from haddock only, but fillets are prepared from cod, haddock, hake, and cusk, with cod accounting for most of production and imports. Both types of products are perishable and, unless sold for immediate consumption, are kept in cold storage or frozen. They will be referred to here as smoked cod and related fish.

# U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

marra	moura :		U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)		
TSUS Commodity		: prior to Jan. 1, 1968	First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972		
111.64	by removal of heads, viscera, vertebral col- umns, or any com- bination thereof, but not otherwise	: 1b.	0.4¢ per lb. Free 1/		
111.68	processed. Otherwise proc- essed.	l¢ per :	$0.8\phi$ per lb. Free		
1 / mh	e final rate for this ite	m ruill boson	: : me effective Jan. 1. 1971		

1/ The final rate for this item will become effective Jan. 1, 1971, at the fourth stage.

The above tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown above (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The ad valorem equivalents of the specific rates of duty, based on imports in 1967, averaged 1.5 percent for item 111.64 and 3.1 percent for item 111.68.

## U.S. consumption

Annual U.S. consumption of smoked cod and related fish declined from about 2.5 million pounds in 1962 to 1.8 million pounds in 1965

and rose to 2.0 million pounds in 1966 (table 1). By far the largest share of consumption consisted of smoked cod fillets.

# U.S. producers and production

The number of concerns producing smoked cod and related fish in the United States varies from year to year. Production activities are sporadic in that for the most part they are seasonal. In 1966, there were about 10 producing concerns, virtually all of them located in New England. All of the companies manufacture other fish products and their income from the sale of smoked cod and related fish is believed to be a minor part of their total income.

U.S. production of smoked cod and related fish has shown a strong downward trend in recent years. It declined from nearly 700,000 pounds in 1962 to 300,000 pounds in 1966 (table 1). In 1966, 56 percent of the domestic output consisted of smoked cod fillets (table 2).

# U.S. exports and imports

Exports of smoked cod and related fish are not separately reported in official statistics, but are known to be nil or negligible.

Annual U.S. imports of smoked cod and related fish declined from about 1.8 million pounds in 1962 to 1.4 million pounds in 1964, but rose thereafter and in 1967 were again at about the same level as in 1962 (table 3). In the period 1962-66 imports supplied nearly three-fourths of U.S. consumption.

About 90 percent of the imports have consisted of smoked fillets ("otherwise processed"--item 111.68). Canada and the United Kingdom have been virtually the only suppliers (tables 4 and 5).

Table 1.--Smoked or kippered cod and related fish: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1962-66

Year	Production	Imports	Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to con- sumption
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1962	668 973 707 360 327	1,436 1,435 1,485	2,142	60 67 80

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, except as noted; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note .-- Exports of these products are nil or negligible.

Table 2.--Smoked or kippered cod and related fish: U.S. production, 1962-66

Product	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966
	. Qા	uantity	(1,000	pounds)	
Smoked:	442	694	526	252	186
Haddock and finnan haddie Cusk, hake, and pollock	226 1/	_	181		
Total	668	973 :	707	360 :	327
			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Smoked:	163	296	209	106	75
Haddock and finnan haddie	89 :	89 : 15 :			64
Total	252	400	289	156	161

<sup>1/</sup> Not listed separately in statistics.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Smoked or kippered cod and related fish: U.S. imports for consumption, 1962-67

Year	Whole, beheaded, or eviscerated or both	Otherwise processed	Total
	Quantit	y (1,000 pour	nds)
1962	145 100 111 174 254	1,291 : 1,335 : 1,374 : 1,503 :	1,436 1,435 1,485 1,677 1,773
1962		381 381 425	419 411 458 545

Table 4.--Smoked or kippered cod and related fish, whole, beheaded, or eviscerated: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	:	1963	:	1964	1965	:	1966	1967
			Quant	11	ty (1,0	00 poi	ın	ds)	
Canada	78 47	:	110 35	•	48 : 50 :	86 24	-	144 30	220
All other		:		:	2:	1	:	- :	<u> </u>
Total	125	<u>:</u>	145 Valu		100:	dolla	i ar	174 : s)	254
Canada	23	:	29	:	14	25	:	46	75
United Kingdom	12	:	9	:	14:	7	:	10	
All other	<del>-</del>	:	<del>-</del> 38	:	2 : 30 :	1 33	<u>:</u>	<del>-</del> 56	86
10001	, 3)	:	50	:	JU :	23	:	) ·	: 00

Table 5.--Smoked or kippered cod and related fish, otherwise processed: U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1962-67

Source	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
		Quanti	ity (1,0	00 pound	s)	
Canada United Kingdom All other Total	833 846 9 1,688		460 12 1,335	214 : -	: 1,503	<ul><li>503</li><li>23</li></ul>
•		Value	(1,000	dollars	)	
Canada United Kingdom All other Total	257 224 2 483	252 128 1 381	258 119 4 381	363 62 425	360 129 - 489	347 140 8
	+03	, ,01	301	: 72)	• +09	: <del></del>

Commodity	TSUS item
Herring, smoked or kippered: Whole or beheaded but not otherwise processed:	
Hard smoked	111.72
Other	111.76
Otherwise processed (whether or not	•
beheaded)	111.80

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## U.S. trade position

U.S. consumption of smoked herring products is declining. Currently imports supply three-fourths of consumption. Exports are negligible or nil.

## Description and uses

This summary covers several kinds of smoked herring, the most important of which are hard-smoked herring and other kinds of bloaters, kippered herring, and boneless herring.

In the preparation of hard-smoked herring, the fresh herring are placed in salt brine from 12 to 72 hours and smoked for 4 to 8 weeks. Large herring are preferred for this purpose. The fish are smoked whole or beheaded and the cured product may be kept in ordinary storage over an extended period.

Other bloaters are less strongly salted and smoked and have a higher moisture content than hard-smoked bloaters. As a result, they are perishable and, unless intended for immediate consumption, must be kept chilled or frozen.

Boneless herring is processed from hard-smoked bloaters by removing the head, part of the belly, backbone, belly bones, and skin, leaving two strips of almost boneless flesh. To prepare the product known as kippered herring, the whole fish is split down the back and eviscerated before smoking but, as a rule, not beheaded. Kippers are perishable and must be kept chilled or frozen. One-hundred pounds of fresh herring yield 50 pounds of smoked, which in turn will produce 25 pounds of boneless smoked herring.

## U.S. tariff treatment

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS :	Gommo di tu	Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)
item :	Commodifit		First stage, Final stage, effective effective Jan. 1, 1968 Jan. 1, 1972
111.72	Herring, smoked or kip- pered, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved, and not in airtight con- tainers: Whole or beheaded but not otherwise proc- essed: Hard smoked Other Otherwise processed (whether or not beheaded).	per lb.	Free 1/ Free  0.5¢ per lb.: 0.3¢ per lb.  0.7¢ per lb.: Free
1 / mb	o final rate for this item be	:	entive Ian 1 1068 at the

1/ The final rate for this item became effective Jan. 1, 1968, at the first stage.

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

The average ad valorem equivalents of the specific rates of duty, based on dutiable imports during 1967, were as follows:

TSUS item	Percent
111.72	5.4
111.76	4.0
111.80	3.7

## U.S. consumption, producers, and production

Smoked or kippered herring accounts for an insignificant and declining share of the U.S. consumption of all smoked or kippered fish. During 1961-66 consumption irregularly declined from 3.6 million pounds to 2.7 million pounds (table 1).

In 1965 there were 25 firms that produced smoked or kippered herring products. Of these, 11 were located in the Great Lakes area and produced smoked lake herring; 11 were located near large cities on the Eastern Seaboard and produced kippers and bloaters from sea herring; and 3 were located in northern Maine and produced hard-smoked and boneless herring from sea herring.

Aggregate annual U.S. production of smoked or kippered herring products declined from 1.2 million pounds in 1961 to 0.6 million pounds in 1965 (table 2). About three-fourths of the domestic output in recent years consisted of sea herring products.

## U.S. exports and imports

U.S. exports are not separately recorded but they are known to be nil or negligible.

U.S. imports of smoked or kippered herring products declined from 2.4 million pounds, valued at \$492,000 in 1961 to 2.0 million pounds, valued at \$403,000 in 1967 (table 3), and accounted for three-fourths of U.S. consumption in recent years.

In most recent years imports consisted mainly of boneless smoked herring and kippered herring "otherwise processed" (item 111.80). Canada and the United Kingdom were the chief supplying countries (table 4). Next in importance were other bloaters (item 111.76) from Canada, Norway, and the United Kingdom (table 5). Imports of hard smoked herring (item 111.72) were supplied by Canada and Norway (table 6).

Table 1.--Smoked or kippered herring: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

Year	Production		Apparent consumption	Ratio of imports to consumption
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1961	1,201 796 651 588 644 600	2,576	2,988 3,227 2,267 2,577	74 80 74 75

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note. -- Exports of these products are nil or negligible.

Table 2.--Smoked or kippered herring: U.S. production, by principal kinds, 1961-65

	Sea her	rring		
Year :	Boneless	Other	Lake herring	Total
:	Qı	uantity (1,	000 pounds)	
1961: 1962: 1963: 1964: 1965:	: 445 : 225 : <u>1</u> / : <u>1</u> / :	: 546 : 396 : 534 : 454 : 410 :	234 :	796 651 588
:	\	Value (1,00	O dollars)	
1961: 1962: 1963: 1964: 1965:	146 : 73 : 1/ : 1/ : 1/ :	: 181 : 125 : 165 : 138 : 134 :	58 : 54 54 41 : 41 : 68 :	252 209

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

Table 3.--Smoked or kippered herring: U.S. imports for consumption, by kinds, 1961-67

Year	Whole or beheaded Otherwise
ICAI	Hard smoked Other processed Total
	Quantity (1,000 pounds)
1961	152:1,093: 1,331:2,576 109:712: 858:1,679 20:904: 1,009:1,933
1961	62 : 100 : 330 : 492 62 : 65 : 307 : 434 18 : 159 : 292 : 469
1967	3: 150: 250: 403

Table 4.--Smoked or kippered herring, "Otherwise processed:" U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Country	1961	:	1962	:	1963	:	1964	:	1965	:	1966	:	1967
:				(	Quant1t	У	(1,00	00	pounds	3)			
Canada: United King-:	1,260	:	1,216	:	1,133	:	720	:	911	:	904	: :	827
dom:	216	:	133	:	166	:	138	:	92	:	78	:	179
All other:	15	:	5	:	32	:	1/	:	6	:	8	:	20
Total:	1,491	:	1,354	:	1,331	:	858	:	1,009	:	990	:	1,026
:					Value	(:	1,000	d	ollars)	)			
Canada: United King-:	282	:	280	:	250	:	140	:	189	:	210	:	206
dom: All other:	74 74	:	26 2	:	35 7	:	30 2/	:	19 1	:	15 1	:	41 3
Total:	331	<del>:</del>	308	<u>:</u>	292	:	170	:	209	:	226	:	250

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Less than 500 pounds.  $\frac{2}{2}$  Less than \$500.

Table 5.--Smoked or kippered herring, whole or beheaded, "Other:" U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Country	1961	:	1962	: :	1963	19	964	1965	:	1966	196	67
:			(	Qи	antity	(1,	,000	pound	s)			
Norway Netherlands Canada United Kingdom All other Total	286 28 9 15 46 384	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	241 13 23 35 10	•	846 56 118 48 25 1,093		572 2 62 62 14		:	967 23 39 28 10	-	88 27 13 5 32 65
				V	alue (1				_ <u>-</u> -			<u></u>
Norway Netherlands Canada United Kingdom All other Total	67 4 3 5 21	:	44 2 4 11 4		114 10: 16: 12: 7: 159:	3	81 : 13 : 16 : 4 :	102 3 20 5 2	: : : : : :	139 6 4 9 3		32 6 3 1 8 50

Table 6.--Smoked or kippered herring, whole or beheaded, "Hard smoked:" U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1961-67

Country	1961	:	1962	:	1963	:	1964	1965	:	1966	:	1967
			ର	u	antity	• (	(1,000	pound	s)			
	<del></del>	:		:		:	:	****	:		:	
Canada:	136	:	127	:	111	:	81:	12	:	29	:	2
Norway:	391	:	389	:	40	:	28 :	8	:	9	:	11
All other:	3	:	-	:	1	:	- :	-	:	22	:	5
Total:	530	:	516	:	152	:	109 :	20	:	60	:	18
				V	alue (	ı,	,000 do	llars	)			
•		:		:		:	:		:		:	
Canada:	17	:	15	:	12	:	9:	2	:	4.	•	1
Norway:	44	:	47	:	6	:	4	1	:	1	:	1
All other:	1	:	_	:	1/	:	- :	_	:	3	:	1
Total:	62	:	62	:	18	:	13:	3	:	8	:	3
		:		:		:	:		:		:	

<sup>1/</sup> Less than \$500.

TSUS

Commodity	item
Fish, smoked or kippered, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or	
preserved, and not in airtight containers:	,
Mackerel	111.84
Salmon	111.88
Other (except cod, cusk, haddock, hake,	
pollock, and herring)	111.92

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

# U.S. trade position

Domestic output supplies virtually all of domestic consumption; both exports and imports are negligible.

## Comment

This summary covers all smoked or kippered fish except cod and related species (items 111.64 and 111.68) and herring (items 111.72, 111.76, and 111.80). A large number of different fish are smoked or kippered, but the bulk of the domestic production is prepared from only five fish: salmon, chubs, sablefish, whitefish, and sturgeon. Smoked or kippered fish are very perishable and relatively high-priced products.

As a rule smoked or kippered fish is prepared from fresh or frozen fish which are salted before smoking. The salting and smoking is usually done in the same plant, except for salmon. Much of the smoked or kippered salmon is prepared locally from mild-cured salmon (item 111.48) produced in the Pacific Coast States.

The column 1 (trade-agreement) rates of duty applicable to imports (see general headnote 3 in the TSUSA-1968) are as follows:

TSUS	Commodity	Rate prior to	U.S. concessions granted in 1964-67 trade confer- ence (Kennedy Round)						
item :	Commodition	Jan. 1, 1968	First stage, effective Jan. 1, 1968	Final stage, effective Jan. 1, 1972					
:	Tick sweled on kinnened .		:						
•	Fish, smoked or kippered,: whether or not whole, :		•						
:	but not otherwise pre-:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
:	pared or preserved, and:		:						
:	not in airtight con- :		: :						
:	tainers:		: :						
111.84:	Mackerel:	6.25% ad	· · · · ·	3% ad val.					
00	:		: val. :	ed 3 3					
111.88:	Salmon:		: 9% ad val. :	5% ad val.					
111.92:	Other	. ,		3% ad val.					
:	:	val.	: val. :						
:			<u>: :</u>						

The foregoing tabulation shows the column 1 rates of duty in effect prior to January 1, 1968, and modifications therein as a result of concessions granted by the United States in the sixth round of trade negotiations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Only the first and final (fifth) stages of the annual rate modifications are shown (see the TSUSA-1968 for the intermediate stages).

U.S. consumption of the smoked or kippered fish covered herein is virtually all supplied by domestic producers. In 1964 smoked or kippered fish was produced by about 100 firms. Most of them were located in or near large consuming areas of the Middle Atlantic, Great Lakes, Pacific Coast, and New England States. As a rule, smoked or kippered fish is produced for immediate consumption as it cannot be stored for extended periods.

During 1961-66 annual domestic production of smoked or kippered fish ranged from about 25.9 million pounds (1964) to 31.9 million pounds (1962) and averaged 29.2 million pounds (table 1). In recent years about 43 percent of the domestic output consisted of smoked or kippered salmon and an additional 43 percent was accounted for by three other species--chubs, sablefish, and whitefish (table 2).

Smoked or kippered sturgeon accounted for 5 percent, and the balance consisted of smoked or kippered fish prepared from a number of different species. In 1964 nearly 70 percent of the domestic output was produced in the Middle Atlantic States and most of the balance in the Pacific Coast and Great Lakes States.

Because of their perishability, smoked or kippered fish is generally not shipped long distances. U.S. exports of these products, which are not separately shown in official statistics, are believed to be nil or negligible. Imports have in all recent years been equal to less than 1 percent of domestic consumption. They increased from 43,000 pounds in 1961, valued at \$37,000 to 216,000 pounds in 1966, valued at \$172,000, but dropped to 169,000 pounds in 1967, valued at \$119,000 (table 3).

Imports have consisted mostly of frozen smoked ling cod fillets (ling cod is not a true cod) from the United Kingdom, and smoked salmon from nearby areas of Canada.

Table 1.--Mackerel, salmon, and other fish, 1/ smoked: U.S. production, imports for consumption, and apparent consumption, 1961-66

Year	Production	Imports	Apparent consump- tion	Ratio of imports to consumption
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent
1961	31,151 31,919 27,846 25,862 30,569 <u>2</u> / 28,000	43 126 163 194 181 216	31,194 32,045 27,009 26,056 30,750 28,216	0.1 .4 .7 .7 .6 .7

<sup>1/</sup> Other than cod and related species and herring.

Source: Production compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, except as noted; imports compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--Exports of these products, which are not separately shown in official statistics, are nil or negligible.

<sup>2/</sup> Estimated by the staff of the U.S. Tariff Commission.

Table 2.--Mackerel, salmon, and other fish, smoked: U.S. production, by principal species, 1961-65

Article	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965
		Quantit	y (1,000 j	pounds)	
Mackerel, Atlantic	78	: 77	<b>:</b> 66	82	: 118
Salmon			: 11,728	•	
Other:	,,,,	: :	:	:	:
Chubs:	8,205	8,547	: 6,371		
Sablefish:	3,389		: 3,138		
Sturgeon:	1,451				
Whitefish:	3,274				
Miscellaneous 1/ Total	2,156 18,475				
Grand total		20,019			
. Grand Cotar	31,171	<del></del>			• 30,709
:		Value	(1,000 do.	llars)	
Manhamal Atlantia	48	49	41	26	:
Mackerel, Atlantic: Salmon			: 17,196		
Other:	10,137	• 1,9447	• 17,190	11,002	• 20,444
Chubs	4,825	4.957	3,544	2,869	4,543
Sablefish:	2,325				
Sturgeon:	3,605				
Whitefish:	2,707	2,474	2,442	2,526	: 2,640
Miscellaneous $1/$ :		1,848			
Total:	14,734	15,633	: 12,209	11,726	
Grand total:	32,919	33,129	29,446	29,264	• 33 <b>,</b> 538
1/ Includes small amounts	ا مادسم هم	A -1-17-0	-1-	<u> </u>	<u>:                                    </u>

1/ Includes small amounts of smoked shellfish.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

# 198 mackerel, salmon, and certain other fish, smoked or kippered

Table 3.--Mackerel, salmon, and other fish, smoked: U.S. imports for consumption, by kinds, 1961-67

Kind	:	1961	:	1962	:	1963:	1964	:	1965	1966	:	1967
	:		_	e	lua	antity	(1,00	O 1	pounds	)		
Mackerel		1/ 25 18 43	:	1/ 45 81 126	:	2 : 83 : 78 : 163 :	- 102 92 194	:	1 90 90 181	89 127 216	:	1 51 117 169
	::	Value (1,000 dollars)										
MackerelSalmonOtherTotal		1/ 30 7 37	: : :	1/ 56 23 79	: : :	1 : 111 : 21 : 133 :	135 28 163	:	2/ 122 31 153	130 42 172	:	2/ 79 40 119
1/ Not separately re	: c	orded	<u>:</u>	efore	<b>:</b>	:	1963	<u>:</u>		<u> </u>	<u>:</u>	

# Commodity

TSUS item

Products of American fisheries---- 180.00, -.10, -.20

Note. -- For the statutory description, see the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA-1968).

## Comment

The headnotes of the TSUS pertaining to products of American fisheries, which appear in part 15A of schedule 1, are reproduced in appendix A to this volume.

For tariff purposes, an American fishery is a fishing enterprise conducted under the American flag by vessels of the United States on the high seas or in foreign waters in which such vessels have the right, by treaty or otherwise, to take fish or other marine products. It may include a shore station operated in conjunction with such vessels by the owner or master thereof.

When brought into the United States the products of American fisheries are free of duty in the following circumstances: (1) Where the products (including fish, shellfish, and other marine animals, spermaceti, and marine animal oils) have not been landed in a foreign country, or landed therein solely for transshipment without change in condition (item 180.00); (2) where fish (except cod, cusk, haddock, hake, mackerel, pollock, and swordfish) have been landed in a foreign country and processed only by removal of heads, viscera, or fins, by chilling or freezing, or any combination of such processes (item 180.10); and (3) where the products have been prepared or preserved by an American fishery on the treaty coasts of Labrador, Magdalen Islands, and Newfoundland (item 180.20).

An American fishery may employ citizens of a foreign country without affecting the duty-free status of the fishery products when landed in the United States, but the purchase by an American fishery of fish or other marine products taken by citizens of a foreign country on the high seas or in foreign waters subjects such fish or other marine products to treatment as foreign merchandise. The products of an American fishery are free of duty although prepared, preserved, or otherwise changed in condition, provided the work is done at sea by the master or crew of the fishery or by persons employed by and under the supervision of the master or owner of the fishery.

In accordance with Customs Regulations, section 10.78(a), (19 CFR 10.78(a)), no entry is required for fish or other marine

products (except marine-animal oils other than cod liver oil and cod oil) taken on the high seas by vessels of the United States or by residents of the United States in undocumented vessels owned in the United States when such fish or other products are brought into port by the taking vessel, or are transferred at sea to another fishing vessel of the same fleet and brought into port. Information as to entry requirements and proof necessary to establish merchandise as the product of an American fishery are included in Customs Regulations, sections 10.78 and 10.79 (19 CFR 10.78 and 10.79).

The statistics shown in this summary for the products of American fisheries brought into the United States are limited to products for which entry papers were filed with the U.S. Customs Bureau. Although shown as imports in the official import statistics they are part of the domestic catch as reported by the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

The importance of marine products which are subject to entry as products of American fisheries has greatly declined in recent years. Such entries steadily decreased from about 18.3 million pounds in 1961 to 597,000 pounds in 1966 (see table). The principal cause for this decrease was the sharp drop and subsequent cessation of entries of tuna, which during 1961-63 accounted for over 90 percent of total "imports." Formerly, tuna was caught by vessels of U.S. registry and transshipped in frozen condition aboard refrigerated carrier vessels from Peru to the United States. In 1963, the fishing vessels referred to were sold to Peruvian interests.

The remaining entries of products of American fisheries during 1961-66 consisted almost entirely of shrimp, transhipped from Mexico and Central America, and halibut from the Canadian Pacific Coast. For many years, U.S. fishing vessels have landed catches of halibut in Prince Ruppert, British Columbia, to be frozen and shipped in bond by rail to Midwestern U.S. cities, mainly Chicago.

There have been no entries of fish products resulting from operations of American concerns on the treaty coasts since 1955.

Products of American fisheries received at ports of the United States, by principal product, 1961-66

Species	1961	:	1962	:	1963	1964	;	1965	1966
			Quanti	.t	y (1,000	) pound	ls)	)	
Shrimp	1,327 16,998 - 18,325	:	301 668 9,604 1.6	:	159 310 3,407 - 3,876	808	3 ; - ;		- 597 - - 597
	•		Value	: (	(1,000	dollar	3)	•	
Shrimp	415 2,106	:	78 247 1,198 4	:	75 101 429	568 249		248 124 -	261 -
Total:	2,521	:	1,527	:	605	81	7	372	261

Note.--The statistics shown in this table, although included in the official import statistics of the United States, are part of the U.S. catch as reported by the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

## APPENDIX A

Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (1968): General headnotes and rules of interpretation, and excerpts relating to the items included in this volume.

NOTE: The shaded areas in this appendix cover headnotes and TSUS items not included in the summaries in this volume.

#### GENERAL HEADNOTES AND RULES OF INTERPRETATION

Page 3

- 1. Tariff Treatment of Imported Articles. All articles Imported into the customs furrifory of the United States from outside thereof are subject to duty or exempt therefrom as prescribed in general headnote 3.
- 2. Customs Territory of the United States. The term "customs territory of the United States", as used in the schedules, includes only the States, the District of Columbia, and Paerto Rico.
- 3. Rates of Duty. The retes of duty in the "Rates of Duty" columns numbered 1 and 2 of the schedules apply to articles imported into the customs territory of the United States as hereinafter provided in this headnote:
  - (a) Products of Insular Possessions. (1) Except as provided in headnote 6 of schedule 7, part 2, subpart E, [and] except as provided In headnote 4 of schedule 7, part 7, subpart A articles imported from insular possessions of the United States which are outside the customs territory of the United States are subject to the rates of duty set forth In column numbered I of the schedules, except that all such articles the growth or product of any such possession, or manufactured or produced in any such possession from materials the growth, product, or manufacture of any such possession or of the customs territory of the United States, or of both, which do not contain foreign materials to the value of more than 50 percent of their total value, coming to the customs territory of the United States directly from any such possession, and all articles previously imported into the customs territory of the United States with payment of all applicable duties and taxes imposed upon or by reason of importation which were shipped from the United States, without remission, refund, or drawback of such duties or taxes, directly to the possession from which they are being returned by direct shipment, are exempt from duty.
  - . (II) In determining whether an article produced or manufactured in any such insular possession contains foreign materials to the value of more than 50 percent, no material shall be considered foreign which, at the time such article is entered, may be imported into the customs territory from a foreign country, other than Cuba or the Philippine Republic, and entered free of duty.
- (b) Products of Cuba. Products of Cuba imported into the customs territory of the United States, whether Imported directly or indirectly, are subject to the rates of duty set forth in column numbered I of the schedules. Preferential rates of duty for such products apply only as shown in the said column i. i/
  - (c) Products of the Philippino Republic.
    (i) Products of the Philippine Republic Imported Into the customs territory of the United States, whether imported directly or indirectly, are subject to the rates of duty which are set forth in column numbered I of the schedules or to fractional parts of the rates in the said column I, as hereinafter prescribed in subdivisions (c)(ii) and (c)(iii) of this headnote.
  - (II) Except as otherwise prescribed in the schedules, a Philippine article, as defined in subdivision (c)(iv) of this headnote, imported into the customs territory of the United States and entered on or before July 3, 1974, is subject to that rate which results

1/ By virtue of section 401 of the Tariff Classification Act of 1962, the application to products of Cuba of either a preferential or other reduced rate of duty in column 1 is suspended. See general headnote 3(e), infra. The provisions for preferential Cuban rates continue to be reflected in the schedules because, under section 401, the rates therefor in column 1 still form the bases for determining the rates of duty applicable to certain products, including "Philippine articles".

from the application of the following percentages to the most favorable rate of duty (I.e., Including a preferential rate prescribed for any product of Cuba) set forth In column numbered I of the schedules:

(A) 20 percent, during calendar years

(B) 40 percent, during calendar years 1965 through 1967,
(C) 60 percent, during calendar years

1968 through 1970,

(D) 80 percent, during calendar years 1971 through 1973,

(E) 100 percent, during the period from January 1, 1974, through July 3, 1974. (III) Except as otherwise prescribed in the sched-

ules, products of the Philippine Republic, other than Philippine articles, are subject to the rates of duty (except any preferential rates prescribed for products of Cuba) set forth in column numbered I of the schedules.

(Iv) The term "Philippine article", as used in the schedules, means an article which is the product of the Philippines, but does not include any article produced with the use of materials imported into the Philippines which are products of any foreign country (except materials produced within the customs territory of the United States) if the aggregate value of such imported materials when landed at the Philippine port of entry, exclusive of any landing cost and Philippine duty, was more than 20 percent of the appraised customs value of the article Imported into the customs territory of the United States. (d) Products of Canada.

(i) Products of Canada Imported Into the customs territory of the United States, whether imported directly or indirectly, are subject to the rates of duty set forth In column numbered I of the schedules. The rates of duty for a Canadian article, as defined in subdivision (d)(ii) of this headnote, apply only as shown in the said column numbered 1.

(ii) The term "Canadian article", as used in the schedules, means an article which is the product of Canada, but does not include any article produced with the use of materials imported into Canada which are products of any foreign country (except materials produced within the customs territory of the United States), if the aggre-gate value of such imported materials when landed at the Canadian port of entry (that is, the actual purchase price, or if not purchased, the export value, of such ma-terials, plus, if not included therein, the cost of transporting such materials to Canada but exclusive of any landing cost and Canadian duty) was --

(A) with regard to any motor vehicle or automobile truck tractor entered on or before December 31, 1967, more than 60 percent of the appraised value of the article imported into the customs territory of the United States; and

(B) with regard to any other article (including any motor vehicle or automobile truck tractor entered after December 31, 1967), more than 50 percent of the appraised value of the article imported into the customs territory of the United States.

## APPENDIX A

#### TARIFF SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES ANNOTATED (1968)

#### General Headnotes and Rules of Interpretation

Page 4

(e) Products of Communist Countries. Notwithstanding any of the foregoing provisions of this headnote, the rates of duty shown in column numbered 2 shall apply to products, whether imported directly or indirectly, of the following countries and areas pursuant to section 401 of the Tariff Classification Act of 1962, to section 231 or 257(e)(2) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962, or to action taken by the President thereunder:

Albania Bulgaria China (any part of which may be under Communist domination or control) Cuba 1/ Czechos lovak i a Estonia Germany (the Soviet zone and the Soviet sector of Berlin) Hungary Indochina (any part of Cambodia, Laos, or Vietnam which may be under Communist domination or control) Korea (any part of which may be under Communist domination or control) Kurile Islands Latvia Lithuania Outer Mongolia Rumania Southern Sakhalin Tanna Tuva Tibet Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the area in East Prussia under the provisional administration of the Union of Soviet

Socialist Republics. (t) Products of All Other Countries. Products of all countries not previously mentioned in this headnote imported Into the customs territory of the United States are subject to the rates of duty set forth in column numbered I of the schedules.

(g) Effective Date; Exceptions - Staged Rates of Duty. Except as specified below or as may be specified elsewhere, pursuant to section 501(a) of the Tariff Classification Act of 1962 (P.L. 87-456, approved May 24, 1962), the rates of duty in columns numbered I and 2 become effective with respect to articles entered on or after the 10th day following the date of the President's proclamation provided for in section 102 of the said Act. If, in column numbered i, any rate of duty or part thereof is set forth in parenthesis, the effective date shall be governed as

(i) If the rate in column numbered I has only one part (i.e., 8¢ (10¢) per ib.), the parenthetical rate (viz., 10¢ per ib.) shall be effective as to articles entered before July 1, 1964, and the other rate (viz., B¢ per 1b.) shall be effective as to articles entered on or after July 1, 1964.

(II) If the rate in column numbered I has two or more parts (i.e., 5¢ per lb. + 50% ad val.) and has a more parts (i.e., ) or per ib. + 20% ad val., and has a parenthetical rate for either or both parts, each part of the rate shall be governed as if it were a one-part rate. For example, if a rate is expressed as "4¢ (4.5¢) per ib. + 8% (9%) ad val.", the rate applicable to articles entered before July I, 1964, would be "4.5¢ per ib. + 9% ad val."; the rate applicable to articles entered on or after July I, 1964, would be "4¢ per ib. + 8% ad val.".

(III) If the rate in column numbered I is marked with an asterisk (\*), the foregoing provisions of (i) and (ii) shall apply except that "January I, 1964" shall be substituted for "July I, 1964", wherever this latter date

1/ In Proclamation 3447, dated February 3, 1962, the President, acting under authority of section 620(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (75 Stat. 445), as amended, prohibited the importation into the United States of all goods of Cuban origin and all goods imported from or through Cuba, subject to such exceptions as the Secretary of the Treasury determines to be consistent with the effective operation of the embargo.

4. Modification or Amendment of Rates of Duty. Except as otherwise provided in the Appendix to the Tariff Schedules --

(a) a statutory rate of duty supersedes and termi-nates the existing rates of duty in both column numbered I and column numbered 2 unless otherwise specified in the amending statute;

(b) a rate of duty proclaimed pursuant to a concession granted in a trade agreement shall be reflected in column numbered I and, If higher than the then existing rate in column numbered 2, also in the latter column, and shall supersede but not terminate the then existing rate (or

rates) in such column (or columns);
(c) a rate of duty proclaimed pursuant to section 336
of the Tariff Act of 1930 shall be reflected in both column numbered I and column numbered 2 and shall supersede but not terminate the then existing rates in such columns; and

(d) whenever a proclaimed rate is terminated or suspended, the rate shall revert, unless otherwise provided, to the next intervening proclaimed rate previously superseded but not terminated or, if none, to the statutory rate.

- Intangibles. For the purposes of headnote 1 -
  (a) corpses, together with their coffins and accompanying flowers,
  (b) currency (metal or paper) in current circumpanying flowers.
  - lation in any country and imported for monetary purposes,

(c) electricity,

(d) securities and similar evidences of value, and (e) vessels which are not "yachts or pleasure boats" within the purview of subpart D, part 6, of schedule 6.

are not articles subject to the provisions of these schedules.

- 6. Containers or Holders for Imported Merchandise. For the purposes of the tariff schedules, containers or holders are subject to tariff treatment as follows: (a) Imported Empty: Containers or holders if Imported empty are subject to tariff treatment as imported articles and as such are subject to duty unless they are within the purview of a provision which specifically exempts them from duty.
- (b) Not imported Empty: Containers or holders if imported containing or holding articles are subject to tariff treatment as follows:
  - (i) The usual or ordinary types of shipping or transportation containers or holders, if not designed for, or capable of, reuse, and containers of usual types ordinarily sold at retail with their contents, are not subject to treatment as imported articles. Their cost, however, is, under section 402 or section 402a of the tariff act, a part of the value of their contents and If their contents are subject to an ad valorem rate of duty such containers or holders are, in effect, dutiable at the same rate as their contents, except that their cost is deductible from dutlable value upon submission of satisfactory proof that they are products of the United States which are being returned without having been advanced in value or improved in condition by any means while abroad.

(ii) The usual or ordinary types of shipping or transportation containers or holders, if designed for, or capable of, reuse, are subject to treatment as imported articles separate and distinct from their contents. Such holders or containers are not part of the dutiable value of their contents and are separately subject to duty upon each and every importation into the customs territory of the United States unless within the scope of a provision specifically exempting them from duty.

(III) In the absence of context which requires otherwise, all other containers or holders are subject to the same treatment as specified in (II) above for usual or ordinary types of shipping or transportation containers or holders designed for, or capable of, reuse.

## General Headnotes and Rules of Interpretation

Page 5

Commingling of Articles. (a) Whenever articles sub-Ject to different rates of duty are so packed together or mingled that the quantity or value of each class of articles cannot be readily ascertained by customs officers (without physical segregation of the shipment or the contents of any entire package thereof), by one or more of the following means:

(1) sampling,
(11) verification of packing lists or other documents filed at the time of entry, or

(III) evidence showing performance of commercial settlement tests generally accepted in the trade and filed in such time and manner as may be prescribed by regulations of the Secretary of the Treasury, the commingled articles shall be subject to the highest rate of duty applicable to any part thereof unless the consignee

or his agent segregates the articles pursuant to subdivision

(b) hereof.

(b) Every segregation of articles made pursuant to this headnote shall be accomplished by the consignee or his agent at the risk and expense of the consignee within 30 days (unless the Secretary authorizes in writing a longer time) after the date of personal delivery or mailing, by such employee as the Secretary of the Treasury shall designate, of written notice to the consignee that the articles are commingled and that the quantity or value of each class of articles cannot be readily ascertained by customs officers. Every such segregation shall be accomplished under customs supervision, and the compensation and expenses of the supervising customs officers shall be reimbursed to the Government by the consignee under such regulations as the Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe.

(c) The foregoing provisions of this headnote do not apply with respect to any part of a shipment if the con-signee or his agent furnishes, in such time and manner as may be prescribed by regulations of the Secretary of the

Treasury, satisfactory proof -(I) that such part (A) is commercially negligible,
(B) is not capable of segregation without excessive cost, and (C) will not be segregated prior to its use in a manufacturing process or otherwise, and

(II) that the commingling was not intended to avoid

the payment of lawful duties.

Any article with respect to which such proof is furnished shall be considered for all customs purposes as a part of the article, subject to the next lower rate of duty, with which it is commingled.

(d) The foregoing provisions of this headnote do not apply with respect to any shipment if the consignee or his agent shall furnish, in such time and manner as may be prescribed by regulations of the Secretary of the Treasury, satisfactory proof -(i) that the value of the commingled articles is

less than the aggregate value would be if the shipment

were segregated;

(II) that the shipment is not capable of segregation without excessive cost and will not be segregated prior to its use in a manufacturing process or otherwise;

(III) that the commingling was not intended to avoid the payment of lawful duties.

Any merchandise with respect to which such proof is furnished shall be considered for all customs purposes to be dutiable at the rate applicable to the material present in greater quantity than any other material.

(e) The provisions of this headnote shall apply only

In cases where the schedules do not expressly provide a particular tariff treatment for commingled articles.

8. Abbreviations. In the schedules the following symbols and abbreviations are used with the meanings respectively indicated below:

Cated Delow!		
\$	-	dollars
ė.	-	cents -
, i	-	percent
•	-	plus
ad val.	•	ad valorem
bu.	-	bushel
cu.	-	cubic
doz.	-	dozen
ft.	-	foot
gal.	-	gallon
in.	-	inches
1b.	-	pounds
OI.	• .	ounces
sq.	•	square
wt.	-	, weight
yd.	-	yard
pcs.	-	pieces
prs.	-	pairs
lin.	-	linear
I.R.C.	-	Internal Revenue Code

Definitions. For the purposes of the schedules,

unless the context otherwise requires -(a) the term "entered" means entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in the customs territory of the United States:

(b) the term "entered for consumption" does not include withdrawals from warehouse for consumption;

(c) the term "withdrawn for consumption" means withdrawn from warehouse for consumption and does not include

articles entered for consumption;
(d) the term "rate of duty" includes a free rate of duty; rates of duty proclaimed by the President shall be referred to as "proclaimed" rates of duty; rates of duty enacted by the Congress shall be referred to as "statutory" rates of duty; and the rates of duty in column numbered 2 at the time the schedules become effective shall be referred to as "original statutory" rates of duty;
(e) the term "ton" means 2,240 pounds, and the term

"short ton" means 2,000 pounds;
(f) the terms "of", "wholly of", "almost wholly of", "In part of" and "containing", when used between the description of an article and a material (e.g., "furniture of wood", "woven fabrics, wholly of cotton", etc.), have the following meanings:
(i) "of" means that the article is wholly or in

chief value of the named material;

(ii) "wholly of" means that the article is, except for negligible or insignificant quantities of some other material or materials, composed completely of the named material;

(III) "almost wholly of" means that the essential character of the article is imparted by the named material, notwithstanding the fact that significant quantities of some other material or materials may be present; and

(iv) "In part of" or "containing" mean that the article contains a significant quantity of the named

material.

With regard to the application of the quantitative concepts specified in subparagraphs (ii) and (iv) above, it is intended that the de minimis rule apply.

#### General Headnotes and Rules of Interpretation

Page 6

- 10. General Interpretative Rules. For the purposes of these schedules --
- (a) the general, schedule, part, and subpart headnotes, and the provisions describing the classes of imported articles and specifying the rates of duty or other import restrictions to be imposed thereon are subject to the rules of Interpretation set forth herein and to such other rules of statutory interpretation, not inconsistent therewith, as have been or may be developed under administrative or Judicial rulings;
- (b) the titles of the various schedules, parts, and subparts and the footnotes therein are intended for convenience in reference only and have no legal or interpretative significance;
- (c) an imported article which is described in two or more provisions of the schedules is classifiable in the provision which most specifically describes it; but, in applying this rule of interpretation, the following considerations shall govern:
  - (i) a superior heading cannot be entarged by inferior headings indented under it but can be limited thereby;
  - (ii) comparisons are to be made only between provisions of coordinate or equal status, i.e., between the primary or main superior headings of the schedules or between coordinate inferior headings which are subordinate
- to the same superior heading;
  (d) if two or more tariff descriptions are equally applicable to an article, such article shall be subject to duty under the description for which the original statutory rate is highest, and, should the highest original statutory rate be applicable to two or more of such descriptions, the article shall be subject to duty under that one of such descriptions which first appears in the schedules;
- (e) In the absence of special language or context which otherwise requires --
  - (i) a tariff classification controlled by use (other than actual use) is to be determined in accordance with the use in the United States at, or immediately prior to, the date of importation, of articles of that class or kind to which the imported articles belong, and the controlling use is the chief use, i.e., the use which exceeds all other uses (if any) combined;
  - (ii) a tariff classification controlled by the actual use to which an imported article is put in the United States is satisfied only if such use is intended at the time of importation, the article is so used, and proof thereof is furnished within 3 years after the date the article is entered;
- (f) an article is in chief value of a material if such material exceeds in value each other single component material of the article;
- (g) a headnote provision which enumerates articles not included in a schedule, part, or subpart is not neces-sarily exhaustive, and the absence of a particular article from such headnote provision shall not be given weight in determining the relative specificity of competing provisions which describe such article;
- (h) unless the context requires otherwise, a tariff description for an article covers such article, whether assembled or not assembled, and whether finished or not finished:
- (ij) a provision for "parts" of an article covers a product solely or chiefly used as a part of such article, but does not prevail over a specific provision for such part.

- 11. Issuance of Rules and Regulations. The Secretary of the Treasury Is hereby authorized to Issue rules and regulations governing the admission of articles under the provisions of the schedules. The allowance of an importer's claim for classification, under any of the provisions of the schedules which provide for total or partial relief from duty or other import restrictions on the basis of facts which are not determinable from an examination of the article itself in its condition as imported, is dependent upon his complying with any rules or regulations which may be Issued pursuant to this headnote.
- 12. The Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to pre- . scribe methods of analyzing, testing, sampling, weighing, gauging, measuring, or other methods of ascertainment whenever he finds that such methods are necessary to determine the physical, chemical, or other properties or characteris-tics of articles for purposes of any law administered by the Customs Service.

#### General statistical headnotes:

Statistical Requirements for Imported Articles. Persons making customs entry or withdrawal of articles imported into the customs territory of the United States shall complete the entry or withdrawal forms, as provided herein and in regulations issued pursuant to law, to provide for

statistical purposes information as follows:

(a) the number of the Customs district and of the port where the articles are being entered for consumption or warehouse, as shown in Statistical Annex A of these schedules;

- (b) the name of the carrier or the means of transportation by which the articles were transported to the first port of unloading in the United States;
  (c) the foreign port of lading;

  - (d) the United States port of unlading;
- (e) the date of importation;
  (f) the country of origin of the articles expressed in terms of the designation therefor in Statistical Annex B of these schedules;
- (g) a description of the articles in sufficient detail to permit the classification thereof under the
- proper statistical reporting number in these schedules; (h) the statistical reporting number under which the
- articles are classifiable;
  (ij) gross weight in pounds for the articles covered by each reporting number when imported in vessele or airoraft;
- (k) the net quantity in the units epecified herein for the classification involved;
  (1) the U.S. dollar value in accordance with the
- definition in Section 402 or 402a of the Tariff Act of 1980, as amended, for all merchandies including that free of duty or dutiable at specific rates; and
- (m) such other information with respect to the imported articles as is provided for elecuhere in these echedules.

#### General Headnotes and Rules of Interpretation

Page 7

```
2. Statistical Annotations. (a) The statistical annotations to the Tariff Schedules of the United States consist
of --
```

(i) the 2-digit statistical suffixes,
(ii) the indicated units of quantity,
(iii) the statistical headnotes and annexes, and (iv) the italioised article descriptions.

(b) The legal text of the Tariff Schedules of the United States consists of the remaining text as more specifically identified in headnote 10(a) of the general headnotes and rules of interpretation.

(c) The statistical annotations are subordinate to the provisions of the legal text and cannot change their scope.

3. Statistical Reporting Number. (a) General Rule: Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this headnote, and in the absence of specific instructions to the contrary elsewhere, the statistical reporting number for an article comsists of the 7-digit number formed by combining the 5-digit item number with the appropriate 2-digit statistical suffix. Thus, the statistical reporting number for live monkeys dutiable under item 100.95 is "100.9520".

(b) Wherever in the tariff schedules an article is classifiable under a provision which derives its rate of duty from a different provision, the statistical reporting number is, in the absence of specific instructions to the number is, in the absence of specific instructions to the contrary elsewhere, the ?-digit number for the basic provision followed by the item number of the provision from which the rate is derived. Thus, the statistical reporting number of mixed apple and grape juices, not containing over 1.0 percent of ethyl alcohol by volume, is "165.6500-165.40".

4. Abbreviations. (a) The following symbols and abbrations are used with the meanings respectively indicated (a) The following symbols and abbrevibelow

s. ton short ton Öst: one hundred · 100 lbs. milligram mg. ĸ. 1,000 bd. ft. board feet 1,000 board feet M. bd. ft. milliourie mc. 128 oubic feet cord amount to cover 100 equare equare feet of **Burface** ευφ. ft. superficial foot ounces avoirdupois fluid ownor fl. oz. or. troy - troy curve pf. gal. proof gallon
(b) An "X" appearing in the column for units of

quantity means that no quantity (other than gross weight) is to be reported.

(c) Whenever two separate units of quantity are shown for the same article, the "v" following one of such units means that the value of the article is to be reported with that quantity.

## HISTORICAL NOTES

Notes p. 1 General Headnotes

## Amendments and Modifications

#### PROVISIONS

Gem Hente--Language "Except as provided in headnote 6 of S(a)(i) schedule 7, part 2, subpart B," added; language "except that all articles" deleted and language "except that all such articles" inserted in lieu thereof. Pub. L. 89-805, Secs. 1(a), (c), Moy. 10, 1966, 80 Stat. 1521, 1522, effective date Jes. 1, 1967.

Language "Except as provided in headnote 4 of schedule 7, part 7, subpart A," added. Pub. L. 89-806, Secs. 2(b), (c), Nov. 10, 1966, 80 Stat. 1523, effective date March 11, 1967.

#### PROVISIONS

Gen Hdnte--Headnotes 3(d), (e), and (f) redesignated as 3(d), (e), headnotes 3(e); (f); and (g), respectively, end new headnote 3(d) added. Pub. L. 87 283, Eccs. 401(a), 403, Oct. 21, 1965, 79 Stat. 1021; netered into force Oct. 22, 1965, by Pres. Proc. 3682, Oct. 21, 1965, 3 CFR, 1965 Supp., p. 68.

Gen Hdnte--Language "and containers of usual types ordi-6(b)(i) narily sold at retail with their contents," added. Pub. L. 89-241, Secs. 2(a), 4, Oct. 7, 1965, 79 Stat. 933, 934, effective date Dec. 7, 1965.

SCHEDULE 1.- ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS

#### SCHEDULE 1. - ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS

10

	alignose se seguino arrego se se se se se se se sense la se
Part 1 - Live	Animals
the franchis of the first	Antmals & has been a property of the contract
Part 2 - Meat	
Carana in 🗸 🛟	Bird Meat Meats Other Than Bird Meat
В.	meats Other Than Dird Preat
	and Shellfish
A.	Fish, Fresh, Chilled, or Frozen
в.	Fish, Dried, Salted, Pickled, Smoked, or Kippered
у с.	Pish in Airtight Containers
E.	Shellfish
Daet 4 - Date	v Droducte: Birds! Roos
A.	Shelltish y Products; Birds! Eggs Milk and Cream
В.	Butter, Oleomargarine, and Butter
	Substitutes
,	Substitutes Cheeses Other Milk Products
E.	Poultry and Other Birds, Eggs
\$2000 B \$2000	"表面不管是有意识之"之一多点还是感染之物孤立的不。
Part 5 - Hide	s, Skins, and Leather; Furskins
Α,	Hides, Skins, and Leather Furskins
Β.	.B.W.F.TTT
Part 6 - Live	Plants; Seeds
March Comment Comment of the second	the same of the sa
	Seeds ႏ ေျပန္ခဲ့သည္ လည္ဆည္ေတြက လည္းေတြကို လည္းေတြကို သည္။ လည္းေတြကို လည္းသည္က လည္းေတြကို သည္က လည္းေတြကို လည္းေတြကို လည္းေတြကို လည္းေတြကို လည္းေတြကို လည္းေတြကို လည္းေတြက
Part 7 - Cere	eal Grains, Milled Grain Products, and
M	eal Grains, Milled Grain Products, and lalts and Starches Grains
A,	Grains
B.	Milled Grain Products Malts and Starches
-	Maits and Starches
Dort A - Veg	alahlaban na awa aya da a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
A.	Vegetables, Fresh, Chilled, or Frozen
В,	Vegetables, Dried, Desiccated, or Dehydrated Vegetables, Packed in Salt, in Brine, Pickled, or Otherwise Prepared or Preserved
ν,	or Otherwise Prepared or Preserved
D,	Mushrooms and Truffles
PARLY - LOIE	ole Nuts and Fruits
В.	ole Nuts and Fruits  Edible Nuts  Edible Fruits  Fruit Flours, Peels, Pastes, Pulps, Jellies,
10000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Miller Miller March and a comment	Jams, Marmalages, and Butters
υ,	Glacé Nuts, Fruits, and Other Vegetable Substances
Part 10 - Sug	ar, Cocoa; Confectionery
Α.	Sugars, Sirups, and Molasses
,	Cocoa Confectionery
But the the start of the street of the	in the contract of the contrac
Part II - Cof	tee. Tea. Maté, and Spices
A. B.	Coffee and Coffee Substitutes, Tea, Mate
в.	Spices and Spice Seeds
	Same to the state of the state
	All Allies

# Part 12 - Beverages

- A. Fruit Juices
  B. Non-Alcoholic Beverages
  C. Fermented Alcoholic Beverages
  D. Spirits, Spirituous Beverages and Beverage
  Preparations

#### Part 13 - Tobacco and Tobacco Products

- Part 14 Animal and Vegetable Oils, Fats and Greases
  A. Oil-Bearing Vegetable Materials
  B. Vegetable Oils, Crude or Refined
  C. Animal Oils, Fats, and Greases, Crude or Refined
- D. Hardened Oils, Fats, and Greases; Mixtures

# Part 15 - Other Animal and Vegetable Products A. Products of American Fisheries B. Edible Preparations C. Animal Feeds

- D. Feathers, Downs, Bristles, and Hair E. Shellac and Other Lacs; Natural Gums, Gum Resins, Resins, and Balsams; Turpentine and Rosin
- F. Miscellaneous Animal Products
  G. Miscellaneous Vegetable Products

# TARIFF SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES ANNOTATED (1968)

SCHEDULE 1. - ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS
Part 3. - Fish and Shellfish

Page 17

1 - 3 - A 110,10

74	Stat	And 1 - 2	Units		Rates of 1	of Duty		
I tem	Suf- fix	Articles	of Quantity	. 1		2		
		PART 3 FISH AND SHELLFISH	, '					
		Part 3 headnotes:	l					
		1. The term "flish", as used in this part, does	<b>l</b> .					
		not Include shellflish, or whates or other mammals. This part covers only fish and shellfish, live or						
		dead, fit for human consumption.						
		2. In subparts A and B of this part, the term "whether or not whole" means if whole, or if processed	[		-			
		by romoval of hoads, fins, viscora, scales, skins, or bones, or by filleting, division into pieces, or other cutting or slicing operations, but not minced	Ì					
		or ground.	٠.		ļ			
		3. In subparts C and D of this part, the term "In oil" means packed in added oil or fat, or in added						
		oll or fat and other substances, whether such oll or fat was introduced at the time of packing or prior						
		thereto.			•	•		
		<ol> <li>Live fish and shellfish imported to be used for purposes other than human consumption are covered</li> </ol>						
		by Item 190.45 (see part 15F of schedule I) and certain other fish and shellfish products are				•		
		covered by parts 14 and 15 of schedule 1.	1					
		<del></del>				•		
			Ì					
•								
		Subpart A Fish, Fresh, Chilled, or Frozen						
		Subpart A headnote:		,				
		<ol> <li>in Item IIO.50 of this subpart, "apparent consumption" shall be the sum of (a) the production</li> </ol>	1					
		In the United States of frosh and frozen fillets, stocks, and sticks of the named fish as defined on Catalan 30, 1047, and a control by the United						
		October 30, 1947, and as raported, by the United States fish and Wildlife Service, (b) the quantity of such (filets, steaks, and sticks entered into						
		the United States free of duty under the provisions for "products of American fisheries" in part 15 of	1					
		schedule I, and (c) the quantity of the named fish entered into the United States and provided for in	ļ		1			
		Items 110.50 or 110.55.						
		NAMES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P				•		
		Fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, whether or not whole,			ļ			
10.10		but not otherwise prepared or preserved: Sea horring, smelts, and tuna		Free	1	Free		
	05	Smelte	Lb.					
	10 15	Whole fish	Lb. Lb.			,		
	20	Yelloufin: Whole fish	Lb.					
	25	Evisocrated fish: Head-on	Lb.					
	. 30 37	Head-off Other	Lb. Lb.					
	45 80	SkipjaokOther	Lb. Lb.					
	55	Sea herring	Lb.					
		·	]					

Page 18 1 - 3 - A

#### TARIFF SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES ANNOTATED (1968)

### SCHEDULE 1. - ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS Part 3. - Fish and Shellfish 110.15-110.47

Unite Rates of Duty Stat Suf-Articles Ttem fix Quantity Fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, etc. (con.): Other: Whole; or processed by removal of heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof, but not otherwise processed: not otherwise processed:
Cod, cusk, eels, haddock, hake,
pollock, shad, sturgeon, and
fresh-water fish.

Presh-water fish:
Whitefish. 110.15 0.4¢ per 1b. l¢ per 1b. Lb. 10 Yellow pike..... Pike, except yellow pike..... Lake trout.... 20 30 40 Lb. 50 Other trout..... Lb. Lake herring, ciscoes, and chubo..... I.h. 70 Other.... Lb. Sturgen.

Sod, wwk, eels, haddock, hake,
pollock, ond shau.

Halibut and salmon. Lb. an Lb. 110.20 0.4¢ por 1b. 2: per 1b. 20 Halilut..... I.b. Lb. 40 Salmon..... Mackerel: 110.25 on Fresh or chilled..... i.b . . . . . 0.4¢ per 1b. 2¢ per 1b. 2¢ per 1b. 110.28 00 Frozen...... Łb . . . . . . 0.65¢ per 1b. 0.8¢ per 10. 110.30 OΩ Fresh or chilled..... 2¢ per 1b. 0.5¢ per 1b. 0.9¢ per 1h. 3¢ per 1b. 1¢ per 1b. 110.33 110.35 00 Prozen...... Lb . . . . . If products of Cuba (except Atlantic ocean perch (rosefish) and totoaba or white sea bass)... 110.36 0.4¢ per lb. (s) Scaled (whether or not heads, viscera, fins, or any combination thereof have been removed), but not otherwise processed: 110.40 00 In bulk or in immediate containers weighing with their contents over
15 pounds each...... 0.8¢ per 1b. 11% ad val. 1.25¢ per 1b. 25% ad val. 110.45 110.47 00 Lb . . . . . weighing over 10 pounds, imported to be minced, ground, or cut into pieces of uniform weights and dimensions...... 0.8¢ per 1b. 1.25¢ per 1b. 10 Lb. 20 Lb. 30 Haddock..... Lb. 40 Pollock..... Lb. Other..... (s) = Suspended. See general headnote 3(b).

# SCHEDULE 1. - ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS Part 3. - Fish and Shellfish

Page 19 1 - 3 - A 110,50-110,70

	Stat. Suf-	. Articles	Units of	Rat	es of Duty
Cesa	fix	ALTICIES	Quantity	1	5
		Fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, etc. (con.):			
	ĺ	Other (con.):			1
	Î	Otherwise processed (whether or not heads,	l	İ	i ·
- t		viscera, fins, scales, or any combination	1		1
i		thereof have been removed):	1	<u> </u>	· ·
Ī		Cod, cusk, haddock, hake, pollock, and Atlantic ocean perch (rosefish):	ł		İ
10.50		For an aggregate quantity entered	1	ļ	1
i		in any calendar year of		]	1
l l	.	15,000,000 pounds, or not more	Į.		1
l		than a quantity equal to 15% of			
- 1		the average aggregate apparent annual consumption of such fish			
1		during the 3 calendar years	1	1	l
l		immediately preceding the year	1		
ĺ		in which the imported fish are	Ì	Ì	i
- 1		entered, whichever quantity is			-
		greater, of which total quantity not over 1/4 shall be entered		İ	
		during the first 3 months, not			1
1		over 1/2 during the first 6	ì	}	1
l		months, and not over 3/4 during			
		the first 9 months of that year		1.875¢ per 1b.	2.5¢ per 1b.
	20	Atlantic ocean perch (rose-	Lb.	ļ	i
i	40	fish)	Lb.		
1	60	Cusk, haddock, hake, and		ì	j
ı		pollock	Lb.	1	
0.55		Other		2.5¢ per 1b.	2.5¢ per 1b.
ı	20	Atlantic ocean perch (rose-			
- 1	40	fish)	Lb.	ļ.	
- 1	60	Cusk, haddock, hake, and	DD.		
j	"	pollock	Lb.	j	
0.57	00	Wolf fish (sea catfish)	Lb		2.5¢ per 1b.
0.65	00	Yellow perch	Lb		2.5¢ per 1b.
0.70		Other		l¢ per 1b.	2.5¢ per 1b.
l	10	Presh-water fish: Pike, pickerel, pike perch	Lb.		1.
[	20	Other	Lb.	]	İ
ł	30	Flatfish, except halibut	Lb.	į	i
1	40	Halibut	Lb.	[	
ı	50	Swordfieh	Lb.	ļ.	
1	60	Other	Lb.	Ì	
- 1				{	
1		<i>'</i>			
- 1					
			ì	1	
)			)	j	}
- 1				İ	
			1	1	İ
ŀ			l	1	
ļ			<b>\</b>	<b>!</b>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
I	i		1		
I			[		1
I					I
I			Į	Į	1
1			1		<b>)</b> •
ı			1	1	1
I			Ì	Ì	1
- 1					1
1		•	1	<b>\</b>	1
- 1		•	Į.	1	1
I			l	ĺ	1
I			ì	ł	ł
1			Į .		(
			1		1
- [					İ
ı			J		
ļ	- 1		1		
- 1	1		l		1

### TARIFF SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES ANNOTATED (1968)

Pagé 20

1 - 3 - B 111,10-111.68 SCHEDULE 1. - ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS
Part 3. - Fish and Shellfish

74	Stat.	And a Sec	Units of	Rates	of Duty
Item	Suf- fix	Articles	Quantity	1	2
				•	
		Subpart B Fish, Dried, Salted, Pickled,			
	ľ	Smoked or Kippered			
		Subpart B headnote:	İ		
	l	I. In this subpart, the term "dried" means dried	ľ	·	i
	}	(but not saited, pickled, smoked, or kippered), the			
	1	term "salted or pickled" means salted or pickled (whether or not dried, but not smoked or kippered), and			
	]	the term "smoked or kippered" means smoked or kippered (whether or not dried, salted, or pickled).	ļ		
		<del></del>	·		[
ı	}				-
	i	Fish, dried, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved, and not in airtight con-	<b>!</b> .		·
111.10	00	tol name :	],,	0.14 15	2 54 non 1h
111.15	00	Cod, cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock	<u>гъ</u>	0.2¢ per 1b.	2.5¢ per 1b. 1.25¢ per 1b.
111.18	00	Other	Lb	0.1¢ per 1b.	1.25¢ per 1b.
		Fish, salted or pickled, whether or not whole, but not otherwise prepared or preserved, and not in airtight			,
	ľ	containers:			
111.22	00	Cod, ousk, haddock, hake, and pollock: Whole; or processed by removal of heads,	1		
		fins, viscera, scales, vertebral columns, or any combination thereof, but not	ł		} .
111.28	00	otherwise processed	Lb	0.1¢ per 1b.	1.25¢ per 1b.
	"	fins, viscera, scales, vertebral columns,			
		or any combination thereof have been re- moved)	ш	0.5¢ per 1b.	2¢ per 1b.
111.32	- 00	Herring: In bulk or in immediate containers weighing			
111.37	00	with their contents over 15 pounds each Other			le per lb. 25% ad val.
	1	Mackerel:		11% ad val: (	257 25 741.
111.40	00	In bulk or in immediate containers weighing with their contents over 15 pounds each	∟ь	0.1¢ per 1b.	le per 1b.
111.44 111.48	00	OtherSalmon	Lb	9% ad val. 7.5% ad val.	25% ad val. 25% ad val.
	"	Other: In bulk or in immediate containers weighing			
		with their contents over 15 pounds each:	l		
111.52 111.56	00	AlewivesOther	ib	0.4¢ per 1b. 1¢ per 1b.	1.25¢ per 1b. 1.25¢ per 1b.
111.60	00	In immediate containers weighing with their contents not over 15 pounds each	Lb	22% ad val.	25% ad val.
		Fish, smoked or kippered, whether or not whole, but		************************************	
		not otherwise prepared or preserved, and not in	Ì		· ·
•	1	airtight containers: Cod, cusk, haddock, hake, and pollock:			
111.64	00	Whole; or processed by removal of heads, viscera, vertebral columns, or any com-			
		bination thereof, but not otherwise	l.,	0.4. non 15	2 54 909 14
111.68	00	processedOtherwise processed (whether or not heads,	ш	0.4¢ per 1b.	2.5¢ per 1b.
		viscera, vertebral columns, or any com- bination thereof have been removed)	Lb	0.8¢ per 1b.	3¢ per 1b.
		,		,	
			1		
:				***	
5 1	1 1		j		
				•	
	1		l ·		

# SCHEDULE 1. - ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS Part 3. - Fish and Shellfish

Page 21

1 - 3 - B, C

111.72-112.37

	Stat.			Rates of Duty			
Item	Suf- fix	Articles	of Quantity	1	2		
		Fish graded on kinneys at a fear to					
		Fish, smoked or kippered, etc. (con.): 		i			
111.72	00	cessed: Hard smoked	Lb	Free	1.25¢ per fb.		
111.76 111.80	00 00	OtherOtherwise processed (whether or not	l.b	0.5¢ per 1b.	1.25¢ per 16.		
111.84	00	beheaded)Mackerel	Łb	5.5% ad val.	3¢ per 1b. 25% ad val.		
111.88 111.92	00 00	SalmonOther	Lb	9% ad val. 5.5% ad val.	25% ad val. 25% ad val.		
		Subpart C Fish in Airtight Containers					
		Fish, propared or preserved in any manner, not is oil, in airtight contuiners: Anchovies;					
112.01	00	In containers weighing with their contents not over 15 pounds each	Lb		25% ad val.		
112.03 112.05	00 00	Other. Bonite and yellowtail.	lb lb	le por 1b. 11% ad val.	1.25¢ per 1b. 25% ad val.		
112.06		If products of CubaHerring:		10% ad val. (s)			
112.08		In containers weighing with their contents not over 15 pounds each					
	00	In tomato squee, smoked, or kippered, and in immediate containers weighing with their contents over 1 pound each.	ib	7% ad vel.	25% ad val.		
112.10 112.12	00 00	Other.	Lb	4% ad val. 0.5% per 1b.	25% ad val. 1.25¢ per 1b.		
172.14 112.15	00	Polluck	bb	11% ad val. 10% ad val. (5)	25% ad yel.		
112.18	00	Salmon	lb,	13% ad val.	25% ad val.		
		In containers weighing with their contents not over 15 pounds each:					
112.20	00	in immediate containers weighing with their contents under 8 ounces each	Lb	9% ad val	25% ad val. 25% ad val.		
112.22 112.24	00 00	Other	Lb	5.25% ad val. 0.9% per 15.	1.25¢ per 1b.		
112.30		In containers weighing with their contents not over 15 pounds each, for an aggregate quantity entered in any calendar year not to exceed 20% of the United States pack of canned tema during the immediately preceding calendar year, as reported by the United					
	20	States Fish and Wildlife Service	ib.	lib ad val.	259 ad 10%		
112.34	40 00	Other	Lb		25% advocal, 25% advocal,		
112.36 112.37	00	Other. If products of Cuba	Lb	115 ad val. 10% ad var. (5)	72# 140 Agree		

#### TARIFF SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES ANNOTATED (1968)

#### STAGED RATES AND HISTORICAL NOTES

Notes p. l Schedule 1, Part 3

Staged Rates

Modifications of column 1 rates of duty by Pres. Proc. 3822 (Kennedy Round), Dec. 16, 1967, 32 F.R. 19002:

TSUS	Prior	Rate of d	luty, effective with	respect to articles	entered on and afte	r January 1
item	rate	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
110.15	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.	0.3¢ per lb.	0.2¢ per 1b.	Free	Free
110.20	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.	0.3¢ per lb.	Free	Free	Free
110.25	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.	0.3¢ per lb.	0.2¢ per 1b.	0.1¢ per lb.	Free
110.28	0.75¢ per lb.	0.65¢ per lb.	0.6¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per 1b.	0.45¢ per lb.	0.35¢ per 1b.
110.30	1¢ per lb.	0.8¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per 1b.	Free	Free
110.33	0.75¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.	0.3¢ per lb.	Free 0.5¢ per lb. Free 7% ad val. 0.2¢ per lb.	Free
110.35	1¢ per lb.	0.9¢ per lb.	0.8¢ per lb.	0.7¢ per lb.		0.5¢ per lb.
110.40	1¢ per lb.	0.8¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.		Free
110.45	12.5% ad val.	11% ad val.	10% ad val.	8.5% ad val.		6% ad val.
110.47	1¢ per lb.	0.8¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.		Free
110.57	1¢ per 1b.	0.8¢ per lb. 1¢ per lb. 0.1¢ per lb. 0.2¢ per lb. 0.1¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.	0.2¢ per lb.	Free
110.70	1.5¢ per 1b.		0.9¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	0.3¢ per lb.	Free
111.10	0.2¢ per 1b.		0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per 1b.
111.15	0.53¢ per 1b.		0.2¢ per lb.	0.2¢ per lb.	0.2¢ per lb.	0.2¢ per 1b.
111.18	0.3125¢ per 1b.		0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per 1b.
111.22	0.2¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	Free	Free	Free
111.28	0.75¢ per lb.	0.5¢ per lb.	0.4¢ per lb.	0.3; per lb.	Free	Free
111.32	0.1¢ per lb.	0.05¢ per lb.	Free	Free	Free	Free
111.37	12.5% ad val.	11% ad val.	10% ad val.	8.5% ad val.	7% ad val.	6% ad val.
111.40	0.2¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	0.1¢ per lb.	Free	Free	Free
111.44 111.48 111.52 111.56 111.60	10% ad val. 8.5% ad val. 0.5¢ per lb. 1.25¢ per lb. 25% ad val.	9% ad val. 7.5% ad val. 0.4¢ per lb. 1¢ per lb. 22% ad val.	8% ad val. 6.5% ad val. 0.2¢ per lb. 1¢ per lb. 20% ad val.	7% ad val. 5.5% ad val. 0.2¢ per lb. 0.6¢ per lb. 17% ad val.	6% ad val. 5% ad val. 0.2¢ per lb. 0.6¢ per lb. 15% ad val.	5% ad val. 4% ad val. 0.2¢ per lb. 0.6¢ per lb. 12.5% ad val.
111.64 111.68 111.72 111.76 111.80	0.5¢ per 1b. 1¢ per 1b. 0.1¢ per 1b. 0.625¢ per 1b. 0.9¢ per 1b.	0.4¢ per 1b. 0.8¢ per 1b. Free 0.5¢ per 1b. 0.7¢ per 1b.	0.3¢ per 1b. 0.5¢ per 1b. Free 0.5¢ per 1b. 0.5¢ per 1b.	0.2¢ per 1b. 0.4¢ per 1b. Free 0.4¢ per 1b. 0.3¢ per 1b.	Free 0.2¢ per 1b. Free 0.35¢ per 1b. 0.1¢ per 1b.	Free Free Free 0.3¢ per 1b. Free
111.84 111.88 111.92 112.05 1/ 112.08	6.25% ad val. 10% ad val. 6.25% ad val. 12.5% ad val. 8% ad val.	5.5% ad val. 9% ad val. 5.5% ad val. 11% ad val. 7% ad val.	5% ad val. 8% ad val. 5% ad val. 10% ad val. 6% ad val.	4% ad val. 7% ad val. 4% ad val. 6,5% ad val. 5,5% ad val.	3.5% ad val. 6% ad val. 3.5% ad val. 7% ad val. 4.5% ad val.	3% ad val. 5% ad val. 3% ad val. 6% ad val. 4% ad val.
112.10	5% ad val.	4% ad val.	3% ad val.	2% nd val.	1% nd val.	Free
112.12	1¢ per 1b.	0.9¢ per 1b.	0.84 per ib.	0.7¢ per 1b.	0.5¢ per 1b.	0.5¢ per 1b,
112.14 1/	12,5% ad val.	11% ad val.	10% ad val.	8.5% ad val.	7% ad val.	6% ad val.
112.18	15% ad val.	13% ad val.	12% ad val.	10% ad val.	9% nd val.	7.5% ad val.
112.20	10% ad val.	9% ad val.	8% ad val.	7% ad val.	6% nd val.	5% ad val.
112,24	le per 1b.	0.9¢ per 1b.	0.8¢ per 1b.	0.54 per 1b,	0.5; per 1b. 7% ad val. 15% ad val. 7% ad val. 7% ad val.	0.5¢ per 15.
112,36	12.5% ad val.	11% ad val.	10% ad val.	8.5% ad val,		6% ad val.
112,34	25% ad val.	22% ad val.	20% ad val.	17% ad val,		12.5% ad val.
112,36 <u>1</u> /	12.5% ad val.	11% ad val.	10% ad val.	8.5% ad val,		6% ad val.
112,40	12% ad val.	10.5% ad val.	9.5% ad val.	8% ad val,		6% ad val.
112,42	15% nd val.	13% ad val.	12% ad val.	10% ad val.	9% ad val.	7.5% ad val.
112,46	25.5% ad val.	22.5% ad val.	20% ad val.	17.5% ad val.	15% ad val.	12.5% ad val.
112,48	15% ad val.	13% ad val.	12% ad val.	10% ad val.	9% ad val.	7.5% ad val.
112,50	25.5% ad val.	22.5% ad val.	20% ad val.	17.5% ad val.	15% ad val.	12.5% ad val.
112,52	25.5% ad val.	22.5% ad val.	20% ad val.	17.5% ad val.	15% ad val.	12.5% ad val.
112.58	12.5% ad val.	12% ad val.	12% ad val.	11.5% nd val.	11.5% ad val.	11.5% ad val.
112.66	30% ad val.	28% ad val.	26% ad val.	24% ad val.	22% ad val.	20% ad val.
112.71	15% ad val.	14% ad val.	13.5% ad val.	12.5% ad val.	12% pd val.	11.5% ad val.
112.79	12.5% ad val.	11% ad val.	10% ad val.	8.5% ad val.	7% ad val.	6% ad val.
112.80	12.5% ad val.	12% ad val.	12% ad val.	11.5% ad val.	11.5% ad val.	11.5% ad val.

#### TARIFF SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES ANNOTATED (1968)

#### STAGED RATES AND HISTORICAL NOTES

Notes p. 2 Schedule 1, Part 3

#### Staged Rates

Modifications of column I rates of duty by Pres. Proc. 3822 (Kennedy Round), Dac. 16, 1967, 32 F.R. 19002 (con.):

TSUS	Prior	ARLE OF G	20,5 011000110 #100	respect to articles (	restor on and arest	January x	
item	rate	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	
112,94	25,5% ad val.	22.5% ad val.	20% nd val;	17.5% ad val.	15% ad val.	12.5% ad val.	
113,01	% ad val.	7% ad val.	6% nd val;	5.5% ad val.	4.5% ad val.	4% ad val.	
113,05	25,5% ad val.	22.5% ad val.	20% nd val;	17.5% ad val.	15% ad val.	12.5% ad val.	
113,08	3% ad val.	2% ad val.	1.5% nd val;	1% ad val.	0.5% ad val.	Froe	
113,11	12,5% ad val.	11% ad val.	10% ad val;	8.5% ad val.	7% ad val.	6% ad val.	
113,15	le per 1b.	0.94 per 1b.	0.84 per 1b.	0.7; per 1b. 14% pd vml. 21% ad vml. 21% ad vml. 3.5% ad vml.	0.54 per lb.	0.5t per 1b.	
113,20	20% ad val.	18% ad val.	16% ad vai.		12% ad val.	105 ad val.	
113,25	30% ad val.	27% ad val.	24% ad vai.		18% ad val.	155 ad val.	
113,30	30% ad val.	27% ad val.	24% ad vai.		18% ad val.	155 ad val.	
113,35	5.5% ad val.	4.5% ad val.	4% ad vai.		3% ad val.	2.55 ad val.	
113.40	4r pur 1b;	3,54 por 1b.	3¢ per 1b.	2.5¢ per 1b.	2¢ per 1b.	2¢ per 1b.	
113.50	25,5% ad val;	22,5% ad val.	20% nd val.	17.5% ad vol.	15% ad val.	12.5% ad val.	
113.56	1¢ per 1b;	0.94 por 1b.	0.8¢ per 1b.	0.7¢ per 1b.	0.5¢ per 1b.	0.5¢ per 1b.	
113.58	1¢ pur 1b;	0.84 per 1b.	0.5¢ per 1b.	0.4¢ per 1b.	0.2¢ per 1b.	Free	
113.60	12,5% ad val;	11% ad val.	10% nd val.	8.5% ad vol.	7% ad val.	6% ad val.	
114.01	7,55 nd val.	6,5% ad val.	6% ad val.	5% ad val.	45 ad val.	3.5% ad val.	
114.05	20% od val.	18,5% ad val.	17,5% ad val.	16% ad val.	15% ad val.	14% ad val.	
114.15	15% nd val.	15% ad val.	12% ad val.	10% ad val.	9% ad val.	7.5% ad vel.	
114.20	22,55 nd val.	20% ad val.	18% ad val.	15.5% ad val.	13% ad val.	11% ad val.	
114.25	15% nd val.	13% ad val.	12% ad val.	10% ad val.	9% ad val.	7.5% ad val.	
114.34	4.5¢ per 1b.	4¢ per 1b.	3.5¢ per 1b.	3¢ per 1b.	2.5r per lb.	2.2¢ per 1b.	
	{including wt.	(including wt.	(including wt.	(including wt,	(including wt.	(including wt	
	of immediate	of immediate	of immediate	of immediate	of immediate	of immediate	
	container}	container)	container)	container)	container)	container)	
114,36	6# per lb. (including wt. of immediate container)	5.4¢ per lb. (including wt. of immediate container)	4.8¢ per lb. (including wt. of immediate container)	4.2¢ per 1b. (including wt. of immediate container)	3.5¢ per 1b. (including wt. of immediate container)	3( per 1b. (including wt of immediate container)	
114.50 114.55	17.5% ad val. 6¢ per lb. fineluding wr. of immediate container)	I5.5% ad val. 5.4e per lb. (including wt. of immediate container)	14% ad val. 4.8e per lb. (including wt. of immediate container)	12% ad val. 4¢ per lb. (including wt. of immediate container)	10% ad val. 3.5* per 1b. (including wt. of immediate container)	8.5% ad val. 34 per 1b. (including wt of immediate container)	

#### Other Amendments and Modifications

#### PROVISION

110.60--Items 110.60 (column 1 rate--1.5¢ per lb.; column 2 rate--2.5¢ 110.61 per lb.) and 110.61 (Cuba--1¢ per lb.) deleted and items 110.65 and 110.70 added in lieu thereof. Pres. Proc. 3822 (Kennedy Round), Dec. 16, 1967, 32 F.R. 19002, effective date Jan. 1, 1968.

111.16--Item 111.16 (Cuba--0.5¢ per lb.) deleted. Pres. Proc. 3822 (Kennedy Round), Dec. 16, 1967, 32 F.R. 19002, effective date Jan. 1, 1968.

#### PROVISION

112.70--Item 112.70 (column 1 rate--15% ad val.) column 2
112.71 rate--50% ad val.) deleted and items 112.71 and
112.73 and heading immediately preceding item
112.71 added in lieu thereof. Pres. Proc. 3822
(Kennedy Round), Dec. 16, 1967, 32 P.R. 19002,
effective date Jan. 1, 1968.

112.76.--Item 112.78 (column I rate--12.5% ad val.; column 2 112.79 rate--30% ad val.) deleted and items 112.79 and 112.80 and heading immediately preceding item 112.79 added in lieu thereof. Pres. Proc. 3822 (Kennedy Round), Dec. 16, 1967, 32 F.R. 19002, effective date Jun. 1, 1968.

# TARIFF SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES ANNOTATED (1968)

#### STAGED RATES AND HISTORICAL NOTES

Notes p. 3 Schedule 1, Part 3

#### Statistical Notes

110.10 35Disc. (transferred to 110.1037)	PROVISION	Effective date		fective date
00Estab.(transferred from 110.3520 & 40)Jan. 1, 1966 20Disc. (transferred to 110.3500)do 40-Disc. (transferred to 110.5500)do 110.60See Other Amendments and Modifications 10Disc.(transferred to 110.6000)Jan. 1, 1966 20Disc.(transferred to 110.7010)Jan. 1, 1966 30Disc.(transferred to 110.7020)do 40Disc.(transferred to 110.7020)do 50Disc.(transferred to 110.7020)do 60Disc.(transferred to 110.7020)do 60Disc.(transferred to 110.7020)do 70Disc.(transferred to 110.7020)do 80Disc.(transferred to 110.7020)do 90Estab.(transferred to 110.7020)do 112.78See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Disc.(transferred from 110.6000)Jan. 1, 1968 110.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 10Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 110.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 10Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 110.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 110.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 110.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 110.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 112.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 112.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 112.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 112.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)Jan. 1, 1968 112.70See Other Amendments and Modifications 00E	35Disc.(transferred to 110.1037)	do	00-Estab.(transferred from 112.3420 & 40)Jan. 20-Disa.(transferred to 112.3400)	do
10.60-See Other Amendments and Modifications 10Disc.(transferred to 110.6090)	00Estab.(transferred from 110.3520 & 40)Jan 20Disc.(transferred to 110.3500)	đo	00Disc. (transferred to 112.7100 & 118.7200)	1, 1008
60Disc. (transferred to 110.7040)	10Disc.(transferred to 110.6090)Jan 20Disc.(transferred to 110.7010)Jan 30Disc.(transferred to 110.6500)	. 1, 1968 do	00Estab.(transferred from 112.7000pt)Jan. 112.75See Other Amendments and Modifications	
### 110.65See Other Amendments and Modifications ### 00Estab.(transferred from 110.6030)	60Disc.(transferred to 110.7040) 70Disc.(transferred to 110.7050) 80Disc.(transferred to 110.6090)Jan	do do . 1, 1966	00Disc. (transferred to 112.7900 &	1, 1968
00Estab.(transferred from 110.6030)	Disc.(transferred to 110.7060)Jan	. 1, 1968		1, 1968
10Estab. (transferred from 110.6080)	00Estab.(transferred from 110.6030)Jan	. 1, 1968		1, 1968
- 112,14	10Estab.(transferred from 110.6020)	đo do đo do	05Disc (transferred to 114.4590)	do do do

SCHEDULE 1. - ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS
Part 15. - Other Animal and Vegetable Products

Page 77

1 - 15 - A, B 180.00-180.20

	Stat.		Units	T			Petar	of Duty		 <del>.</del>
Item	Suf- fix	Articles	of Quantity	<u> </u>	<del></del>		<del> </del>	7		 
	1,10		quantity			+1	·		2	 
		PART 15 OTHER ANIMAL AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTS	'			,				
		Subpart A Products of American Fisheries		!						
		Subpart A headnotes:								
		l. An American fishery, for the purposes of this subpart, is a fishing enterprise conducted under the American flag by vessels of the United States on the high seas or in foreign waters in which such vessels have the right, by treaty or otherwise, to take fish or other marine products and may include a shore station operated in conjunction with such vessels by the owner or master thereof.								
		2. None of the items in this subpart shall apply to fish, fresh, chilled, or frozen, in the form of fillets, steaks, or slices substantially free of bone (including any of the foregoing divided into sections), if produced in a foreign country, or its territorial waters, in whole or in part with the use of the labor of persons who are not residents of the United States.								
180.00	00	Products of American fisheries (including fish, shellfish, and other marine animals, spermaceti, and marine animal oils), which have not been landed in a foreign country, or which, if so landed, have been landed solely for transshipment without change in condition	Lb	Free				Free		
180,10	00	Fish (except cod, cusk, haddock, hake, mackerel, pollock, and swordfish), the product of American fisheries, landed in a foreign country and there processed by removal of heads, viscera, or fins, or by chilling or freezing, or by any combination of these processes, but not otherwise processed	Lb	Free				Free		
180.20	00	Products of American fisheries, prepared or pre- served by an American fishery on the treaty coasts of Labrador, Magdalen Islands, and Newfoundland, as such coasts are defined in the convention of 1818 between the United States and Great Britain	Lb	Free		fals.		Free		
		Subpart B Edible Preparations 1/								į
		<ol> <li>This subpart covers preparations fit for human consumption not provided for elsewhere in schedula !.</li> </ol>								
		<ol><li>The standard of proof of vinegar is 4 percent by weight of acetic acid.</li></ol>								
		3. The term "adible preparations" in Items 182.90, 182.92, 182.93, and 182.95 embraces only substances prepared and chiefly used as a human food or as an ingredient in such tood, but such term does not include any substance provided for in schedule 4 (except part ZE		,		•				
		thereof) or schedule 5 (except part (K thereof).								
		1/ Imports of articles in chief value of cane and beet sugar are, in certain circumstances, subject to an additional import duty. See Appendix to Tariff								

	*		
·			

# APPENDIX B

Value of U.S. imports for consumption, by TSUS items included in the individual summaries of this volume, total and from the 3 principal suppliers, 1967.

Value of U.S. imports for consumption, by TSUS items included in the individual summaries of this volume, total and from the 3 principal suppliers, 1967

	All com	ntries	First su	pplier	Becond	i su	pplier	1	Third s	աթթե	Ler
Summary title and page; TSUS item	Amount in 1967	Per- t cent change t from t 1966	Country	: : Value :	Country	7 1	Value		Country	1	Value
Smelts, fresh, 110.10 (pt.):				: 1,286	: -	:	<u>-</u> ·	:	-	:	-
Tuna, fresh, o 110.10 (pt.):				: 26,871 :	: Br. West : Pacific			:	Malaysia	:	3,689
Sea herring, f 110.10 (pt.):		lled, or : -6.4		.) ' : 1,536	: Mexico	:	151	:	Portugal	:	29
Fresh-water fi 110.15 (pt.):					: Japan	:	1,192	:	Denmark	:	720
Fish, fresh, o 110.15 (pt.): 110.25 110.28 110.35	902 159 84	: 7.1 : -23.4 : -5.5	Canada Canada	: 601 : 158 : 71	ed (p. 37) : Iran : Japan : Japan : Mexico	:	<u>1</u> /	:	New Zealan - Ecuador Canada	d: : . :	25 1/ 505
Halibut, fresh 110.20 (pt.):				: 4,781	: Japan	:	22	:	Norway	:	. 15
Salmon, fresh, 110.20 (pt.):				: 6,494	: Mexico	:	5	: 1	W. Germany	:	נ
Swordfish, fre 110.30 : 110.33 :	3,919	ed, or fro : 3.9 : 146.3	Canada	: 3,919 : 57	: - : Japan	:	- 18	:	- Norway	:	- 8
Scaled fish (p 110.40 : 110.45 :	160 16	; <u>2</u> /	: Canada : Mexico		: Netherland: Denmark	:abn			Argentina Canada	:	14
Fish blocks (p	· 79) 44,028	: -14.7	: Canada	: 22,385	: Iceland	:	6,967	:	Greenland	:	3,235
Fillets of cod	, cusk, h	addock, ha	ake, pollock, : Iceland :	3,172	: Canada	:	313	:5	Miquelon & t.Pierre I	B:	56 789
Wolf fish fill	ets (p. 9	7)	: Canada	<b>~</b> 1.0	: Iceland				Norway	:	200
110.57 : Fresh-water fi		: -16.0 s (p. 103)		: 548	: Iceland	:	710	•	Norway	•	, 200
110.65 110.70 (pt.):	2,927		Canada		: Gaza Str: : Mexico	lp :	13 350	: :	- Brazil	:	288
Fillets of fla 110.70 (pt.):	11,080	cept halit	Canada		: Miquelon :St.Pierre		139	:	Iceland	:	35
See footnote	s at end	of table.		•					June 1	1968 :2	3

Value of U.S. imports for consumption, by TSUS items included in the individual summaries of this volume, total and from the 3 principal suppliers, 1967

(In thousands of dollars. The dollar value of imports shown is defined generally as the market value in the foreign country and therefore excludes U.S. import duties, freight, and transportation insurance) First supplier All countries Becond supplier Third supplier Summary : Pertitle and Amount : cent : t page: in ichange i Value Value Country Country Country 1 Value TSUS item 1967 i from i 1\_1966 Halibut steaks and fillets (p. 119) 110.70 (pt.): 3,378: 9.7: Canada 2,457 : Japan 819: Iceland 77 Swordfish steaks and fillets (p. 125) 110.70 (pt.): 5,202: -30.4: Japan 4,447 : Peru 276 : Canada 237 -Fillets of fish, not elsewhere enumerated (p. 131) 110.70 (pt.): 1,544 : Canada 1,308 : Taiwan 482 4,300 : -7.5 : Mexico • Dried fish (p. 137) 642 : 588 : France 7.3 : Norway 28 : Canada 18 111.10 : : : 58 : Venezuela 111.15 164: 37.0: Mexico 91 : Japan 5 : • 4.1 : Japan 61 : Hong Kong : 20 : Norway 10 111.18 95 : Salted or pickled cod and related species (p. 143) : 7,238 : -5.9 : Canada : 3,059 : 2.6 : Canada 4,311 : France 1,411 : Spain 1,227 111.22 : : : 42 : France 111.28 2,959 : Norway 34 : Herring, salted or pickled (p. 149) 111.32 : 3,619 : -22.7 : Canada 867 : Iceland 1,697 : Norway 111:37 5: -22.3: Norway 4 : U.K. 1 : W. Germany : : Salted or pickled mackerel (p. 157) 111.40 : 306 : -20.0 : Norway 111.44 : 1/ : -75.3 : Nether 201 : Canada 102 : Phil. Rep. : 2 : : -75.3 : Netherlands: 1/ : : Salmon, salted or pickled (p. 161) 111.48 : 7:-76.4: Canada 3: 4 : Japan • Other fish, salted or pickled (p. 167) 155 : -21.4 : Hong Kong : 102 : Japan 30 : Canada 7 111.56 : : 12 : -15.2 : Japan 10 : U.K. 2 : Hong Kong : Cod and related fish, smoked or kippered (p. 175) 86: 53.2: Canada : 111.64 75 : U.K. 11: : : 495 : 140 : Australia : 347 : U.K. 7 111.68 Smoked or kippered herring (p. 183) 1 : U.K. 1 : Canada 1 3: -59.5: Norway 111.72 : : 4 150 : -6.7 : Norway 132 : Netherlands: 6: W. Germany: 111.76 : 41 : Belgium 111.80 250: 10.7: Canada 206 : U.K. Mackerel, salmon, and certain other fish, smoked or kippered (p. 193) 1/ : 3/ : Netherlands: 79: -38.8 : Canada : 1/ ; u.K. 111.84 **/111.88** 7 : Sweden <u>1</u>/ : 6 : Sweden 40 : -4.6 : U.K. 26 : Netherlands: 111.92 t

<sup>1</sup>/ Less than \$500.

<sup>2/</sup> More than 200 percent.

<sup>3/</sup> No imports reported for 1966.