UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

FRESH CUT FLOWERS

Report to the President on Investigation No. TA-201-22 Under Section 201 of the Trade Act of 1974



USITC Publication 827 Washington, D.C. August 1977 UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

Daniel Minchew, Chairman Joseph O. Parker, Vice Chairman George M. Moore Catherine Bedell Italo H. Ablondi

Kenneth R. Mason, Secretary to the Commission

This report was principally prepared by

Stephen D. Burket, Agriculture, Fisheries, and Forest Products Division Clark M. Workman, Office of Economic Research

assisted by

Marvin C. Claywell, Office of Operations Vernon Greer, Agriculture, Fisheries, and Forest Products Division Susan Schill, Office of Economic Research Nicholas T. Yaworski, Office of the General Counsel

Charles W. Ervin, Supervisory Investigator

Address all communications to United States International Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20436

CONTENTS

Page

| Report to the President | 3 |
|---|-----|
| Determination of the Commission | 2 |
| Views of Chairman Daniel Minchew, and Commissioners George M. | |
| Moore, Catherine Bedell, and Italo H. Ablondi | 3 |
| Information obtained in the investigation: | |
| Introduction | A-] |
| Summary | A-1 |
| Description and uses | A-3 |
| U.S. producers | A-5 |
| U.S. importers | À-9 |
| U.S. tariff treatment | A-9 |
| The question of increased imports: | |
| U.S. imports | A-] |
| Ratio of imports to domestic production | A-J |
| Ratio of imports to apparent consumption | A-J |
| Leading suppliers of U.S. imports | A-3 |
| Colombia | A-] |
| Guatemala | A-] |
| Mexico | A-] |
| Ecuador | A-1 |
| Foreign production and trade | A-2 |
| The question of serious injury or threat thereof to the | |
| domestic industry: | |
| U.S. production | A-2 |
| Production trends | A-2 |
| Sales in major producing States | A-2 |
| Production data from responses to Commission | |
| questionnaires | A-2 |
| U.S. exports | A-2 |
| Employment | A-2 |
| Profit-and-loss experience of U.S. growers of fresh | |
| cut flowers | A- |
| California growers of fresh cut flowers | A- |
| Colorado growers of fresh cut flowers | A-3 |
| Florida growers of fresh cut flowers | A-4 |
| North Carolina growers of fresh cut flowers | A-4 |
| Midwestern growers of fresh cut flowers | A-4 |
| Northeastern growers of fresh cut flowers | A-4 |
| Growers of fresh cut carnations | A- |
| Growers of fresh cut chrysanthemums | A |
| Growers of fresh cut roses | A |
| U.S. producers' efforts to compete | A- |

CONTENTS

| | Tage |
|--|-------|
| The question of imports as a substantial cause of serious | |
| injury: | |
| U.S. consumption | A-52 |
| Prices: | 52 |
| Long-term domestic price movements | A- 53 |
| Short-term domestic and import price movements | A-54 |
| Elasticity estimates for carnations and pompons | A-64 |
| Other possible causes of serious injury to the domestic | |
| industry: | |
| Rising costs | A-65 |
| Competition from other crops for available land | A-65 |
| • | |
| Appendix A. Methodology used to estimate U.S. production, | |
| imports, and exports of fresh cut flowery | A- 66 |
| Appendix B. The influence of domestic and import prices and | |
| the aggregate level of economic activity on pompon chrysanthemum | |
| and carnation imports | A-69 |
| Appendix C. Correlations between prices of major fresh cut | |
| flowers | A-72 |
| Appendix D. Department of State telegram regarding the | |
| Colombian Government's discussion of possible restraints being | |
| placed on fresh cut flower exports | A-75 |
| | |

.

Figures

| 1. | Fresh cut flowers: U.S. imports and production, 1967-76 | A-7 |
|-----|---|------|
| 2. | Carnations, standard chrysanthemums, and pompon chrysanthemums: | |
| | U.S. imports, 1972-76 | A-12 |
| 3. | Daisies, statice, and roses: U.S. imports, 1972-76 | A-13 |
| 4. | U.S. production of carnations, roses, pompon chrysanthemums, | |
| | 1967-76 | A-22 |
| 5. | Carnations: California grower and import prices, by | |
| | quarters, 1973-76 | A-60 |
| 6. | Standard chrysanthemums: California grower and import prices, | |
| | by quarters, 1973-76 | A-60 |
| 7. | Pompon chrysanthemums: California grower price and import | |
| | prices, by months, 1973-76 | A-61 |
| 8. | Pompon chrysanthemums: Florida grower price and import | |
| | prices, by months, 1973-76 | A-61 |
| 9. | Carnations: Ratio of import price to California grower | |
| | price, with trend line, by quarters, 1974-76 | A-62 |
| 10. | Standard chrysanthemums: Ratio of import price to California | |
| | grower price, with trend line, by quarters, 1974-76 | Λ-62 |
| 11. | Pompon chrysanthemums: Ratio of import price to California | |
| | grower price, with trend line, by months, 1974-76 | A-63 |
| 12. | Pompon chrysanthemums: Ratio of import price to Florida | |
| | grower price, with trend line, by months, 1974-76 | A-63 |

.

Tables

| 1. | Fresh cut flowers: U.S. production, imports, exports, and | |
|--------------|---|------|
| | apparent consumption, 1967-76 | A-6 |
| 2. | Fresh cut flowers: U.S. commercial growers of standard | |
| | and miniature carnations, standard and pompon chrysanthemums, | |
| | gladioli, and hybrid tea and miniature roses in 22 leading | |
| | producing States, 1966, 1970, and 1974-76 | A-8 |
| 3. | Carnations, roses, pompon chrysanthemums, and standard | 0 |
| | chrysanthemume: II S production imports evports and | |
| | apparent consumption 1967-76 | A-1 |
| 4 | Fresh cut flowers: II S imports of carnations nompon | ·· 1 |
| - - • | chrycanthemume standard chrycanthemume daisies roses and | |
| | station by specified sources 1072 76 10 week period anded | |
| | Mar 8 1076 and 10 much nemical and at New 7 1077 | ۰ ۸ |
| - | May 0, 1970, and 19-week period ended May 7, 1977 | A-1 |
| 5. | Fresh cut flowers: Sales of selected fresh cut flowers in | |
| | selected major producing States, by types, 19/2-/6 | A-2 |
| 6. | Operations of 77 fresh cut flower growers: Area in | |
| | production and sales, 1972-76 | A-2 |
| 7. | Operations of 65 fresh cut flower growers: Average number of | |
| | employees, man-hours worked, and wages paid, and sales, by | |
| | type of growers, 1972-76 | A-2 |
| 8. | Hourly wage rates for all hired farm workers, farm workers | |
| | paid by other than piece rate, and cut flower workers, and | |
| | indexes of such wages, 1974-76 | A-3 |
| 9. | Profit-and-loss experience of 52 U.S. growers on their fresh- | |
| | cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting | |
| | vears 1972-76 | A-3 |
| 10. | Distribution of sales and profit or loss for the 52 U.S. | - |
| | growers which reported data on their fresh-cut-flower- and | |
| | plant-growing operations, by ranges, accounting years | |
| | 1972-76 | Δ_3 |
| 11. | Profit_and-loss experience of 13 California growers on their | |
| | freeb-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations accounting | |
| | voora 1072 76 | ۸_: |
| 10 | years 19/2-70 | H- |
| 12. | Profit-and-loss experience of 6 Colorado growers on their | |
| | fresh-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting | |
| | years 1972-76 | A- 4 |
| 13. | Profit-and-loss experience of 7 Florida growers on their | |
| | fresh-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting | |
| | years 1972-76 | A-4 |
| 14. | Profit-and-loss experience of 4 North Carolina growers | |
| | on their fresh-cut-flower-growing operations, accounting | |
| | years 1972-76 | A-2 |

Tables

.

.

| 15. | Profit-and-loss experience of 11 midwestern growers on their fresh-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting | |
|------|---|------|
| 16. | Profit-and-loss experience of 11 northeastern growers on their fresh-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, | A-44 |
| 17. | Profit-and-loss experience of 13 U.S. growers on their fresh- | A-40 |
| 18. | Profit-and-loss experience of 12 U.S. growers on their fresh- cut-chrysanthemum-growing operations, accounting years | A-4/ |
| 19. | Profit-and-loss experience of 12 U.S. growers on their fresh- | A-48 |
| 20. | cut-rose-growing operation, accounting years 1972-76 Fresh cut flowers: U.S. per capita consumption of carnations, | A-49 |
| | roses, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, and gladioli, 1950, 1959, 1970, and 1972-76 | A-53 |
| 21. | Fresh cut flowers: Average wholesale prices received by U.S. growers for carnations, standard chrysanthemums, pompon chrysanthemums, gladioli, and roses, 1967-76 | A-54 |
| 22. | Pompon chrysanthemums: U.S. grower prices in California and Florida and import prices, by months, January 1973- | 5, |
| 23. | February 1977Fresh cut flowers: U.S. grower prices and import prices for | A-56 |
| | carnations and standard chrysanthemums and U.S. grower prices for roses, by quarters, 1973-76 | A-58 |
| 24. | Fresh cut flowers: U.S. grower and import prices of carnations and standard chrysanthemums and U.S. grower | |
| B-1. | prices of roses, by months, January 1976-February 1977 Estimated regression coefficients for standard carnation. | A-59 |
| C-1 | and pompon imports, based on quarterly data, 1974-76 Correlations between prices of major fresh cut flowers. | A-71 |
| | based on quarterly data, 1974-76 | A-73 |

REPORT TO THE PRESIDENT

United States International Trade Commission, August 1, 1977

To the President:

In accordance with section 201(d)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 1978), the U.S. International Trade Commission herein reports the results of an investigation made under section 201(b)(1) of that act, relating to fresh cut flowers.

The investigation to which this report relates was undertaken to determine whether--

cut flowers, fresh, provided for in item 192.20 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States,

are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported articles.

The investigation was instituted on February 12, 1977, upon receipt of a petition filed on January 31, 1977, by the Growers Division of the Society of American Florists and Ornamental Horticulturists.

Notice of the institution of the investigation and of the dates when and cities where public hearings would be held was issued on February 16, 1977. Notice of the starting times of the public hearings, of the buildings wherein they would be held, and of a change of date and city for the Florida hearing was issued on March 17, 1977. The notices were posted at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., and New York City, and were published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on February 22 and March 22, 1977, respectively (42 F.R. 10347 and 15474). The public hearings were duly held at the times and places announced. All interested parties were afforded an opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to be heard.

The information contained in this report was obtained from fieldwork, from questionnaires sent to domestic growers and importers, and from the Commission's files, other Government agencies, and evidence presented at the hearings and in briefs filed by interested parties.

A transcript of the hearings and copies of briefs submitted by interested parties in connection with the investigation are attached. 1/

DETERMINATION OF THE COMMISSION

On the basis of its investigation, the Commission determines (Vice Chairman Parker not participating) that cut flowers, fresh, provided for in item 192.20 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States, are not being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article.

1/ Attached to the original report sent to the President. These materials are available for inspection at the U.S. International Trade Commission, except for information submitted in confidence.

Views of Chairman Daniel Minchew and Commissioners George M. Moore, Catherine Bedell, and Italo H. Ablondi

On January 31, 1977, the Growers Division of the Society of American Florists and Ornamental Horticulturists petitioned the United States International Trade Commission for import relief pursuant to section 201 of the Trade Act of 1974. Following receipt of the petition, the Commission instituted an investigation on February 12, 1977, to determine whether cut flowers, fresh, provided for in item 192.20 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States, are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article.

Section 201(b)(1) of the Trade Act requires that each of the following conditions must be satisfied before the Commission can make an affirmative determination.

- Imports of an article into the United States are increasing (either actually or relative to domestic production);
- (2) The domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article is being seriously injured or threatened with serious injury; and
- (3) Increased imports are a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article.

Determination

On the basis of information obtained in the present investigation, we have determined that fresh cut flowers, provided for in item 192.20 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States, are not being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article. Because our negative determination is based on the lack of evidence supporting the "serious injury" criterion, it is unnecessary to discuss the other criteria of section 201 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Domestic industry

For the purpose of this investigation we have concluded that the relevant domestic industry consists of those facilities in the United States devoted to the production of fresh cut flowers.

Serious injury or the threat thereof

Evidence developed during the investigation shows that the domestic producers of fresh cut flowers are being injured by imported fresh cut flowers. However, in order for the requirement of section 201 to be met, such injury must be "serious injury." When making a determination of serious injury, the Commission is directed by the Trade Act to take into account all economic factors which it considers relevant, including the significant idling of productive facilities in the industry, the inability of a significant number of firms to operate at a reasonable level of profit, and significant unemployment or underemployment within the industry. The act further directs that the

threat of serious injury is to be considered in light of a decline in sales, a higher and growing inventory, and a downward trend in production, profits, wages, or employment. In our opinion, imports of fresh cut flowers are not causing injury to the domestic industry of the magnitude necessary for an affirmative determination in this case. The evidence supporting this conclusion is discussed below.

The facts do not support a finding that there is significant idling of productive facilities in the domestic industry. In the period 1972-76, the wholesale value of domestic fresh cut flower production increased by 12 percent--from \$252 million to \$283 million. During the same period, U.S. exports of fresh cut flowers more than tripled in value, rising from \$4.3 million to nearly \$14 million. The acreage devoted to fresh cut flower production was the same in 1976 as it was in 1972.

There is no significant unemployment (or underemployment) in the domestic industry. The average annual number of employees increased by 6 percent over the period 1972-76.

The evidence regarding profitability of the domestic fresh cut flower industry does not support a finding of serious injury. Responses to the Commission questionnaire show that sales have increased every year since 1972 and that despite a decline in average operating margins for the industry from 1972 to 1974, such margins rose from 4.9 percent in 1974 to 7.7 percent in 1976. Since 1974, profit margins have increased by 57 percent. In addition, prices of imported fresh cut flowers have generally been the same as or higher than prices received by U.S. growers for the same flower type, thereby negating any tendency toward price depression. The foregoing

facts indicate that the average operating profit margin for the industry is healthy, and the trend for such margins is positive at this time.

The trends evidenced in the above-mentioned factors indicate that at this time there is no threat of serious injury. Moreover, we note that a recent Department of State telegram from the U.S. Embassy in Bogota, Colombia, reports that the Minister of Agriculture for Colombia is attempting to implement a program whereby carnation and pompon chrysanthemum exports to the United States would be limited to be consistent with the absorptive capacity of the U.S. market.

Conclusion

On the basis of the considerations discussed above, we conclude that imports of fresh cut flowers are not a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article. Our determination is, therefore, in the negative.

The petitioner in this proceeding has recommended that the United States negotiate an orderly marketing agreement with Colombia to restrict the volume of fresh cut flower imports entering the United States. We do not believe that the Commission has the authority to recommend the negotiation of orderly marketing agreements to the President as a remedy in section 201 cases. However, it seems that in light of the market conditions in the U.S. industry,

some form of marketing agreement may be given consideration by the President under his authority to negotiate trade agreements. $\underline{1}/$

1/ Commissioner Ablondi states that in light of the unanimous negative determination made by the Commission in this investigation, he does not join in the recommendation that the President give consideration to "some form of marketing agreement."

.

.

INFORMATION OBTAINED IN THE INVESTIGATION

Introduction

On January 31, 1977, the Growers Division of the Society of American Florists & Ornamental Horticulturists filed a petition with the United States International Trade Commission for import relief pursuant to section 201 of the Trade Act of 1974. Following receipt of the petition, the U.S. International Trade Commission instituted an investigation on February 12, 1977, to determine whether cut flowers, fresh, provided for in item 192.20 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States, are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities as to be a substantial cause of serious injury, or the threat thereof, to the domestic industry producing an article like or directly competitive with the imported article.

Notice of the institution of the investigation and of the dates and sites of the public hearings was issued on February 16, 1977. Notice of the times and places of the public hearings and of the change of date and site for the Florida hearing was issued on March 17, 1977. The notices were posted at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., and New York City, and were published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on February 22, 1977 (42 F.R. 10347), and March 22, 1977 (42 F.R. 15474), respectively. Hearings were held on April 14, 1977, in Coral Gables, Fla., and April 19 and 20, 1977, in San Francisco, Calif. All interested parties were afforded an opportunity to be present, to produce evidence, and to be heard. The Trade Act of 1974 directs the Commission to complete its investigation under section 201 within 6 months--in this case by July 31, 1977.

Summary

Imports of fresh cut flowers nearly tripled in value during 1972-76, rising from about \$15 million in 1972 to almost \$42 million in 1976. Domestic sales of fresh cut flowers also increased during this period, but the rate of increase was less rapid than that for imports. As a result, the ratio of imports to apparent consumption climbed from 5.7 percent in 1972 to 13.4 percent in 1976. Colombia, Guatemala, and Mexico are the leading foreign suppliers of these flowers.

Imported carnations and pompon chrysanthemums have been making the largest inroads into the U.S. market among the major fresh cut flowers. The ratio of imports to apparent consumption (in terms of quantity) for pompon chrysanthemums rose sharply from 10.5 percent in 1972 to 32.9 percent in 1976. For carnations the ratio increased from 8.3 percent in 1972 to 25.9 percent in 1976.

An examination of short-term price data shows that, despite the sharp increases in carnation and pompon chrysanthemum imports, import prices for carnations and pompon chrysanthemums have generally been higher than the domestic prices of these flowers in recent years. Moreover, regression estimates suggest that carnation and pompon chrysanthemum imports are much more heavily influenced by the aggregate level of consumer purchasing power than by short-term fluctuations in the domestic and import prices of these flowers.

Data from Commission questionnaires indicate that employment remained relatively stable throughout 1972-76 for the 65 firms providing employment and wage information. However, the number of man-hours worked declined annually in 1974-76.

Operating expenses increased faster than sales during 1972-76 for the reporting U.S. growers of fresh cut flowers. The aggregate profit of these firms declined sharply in 1974 and 1975 to about one-third of the level in 1972 and 1973. In 1976 profit recovered to about 75 percent of the level in 1972 and 1973.

Apparent U.S. consumption of fresh cut flowers (based on indicated wholesale sales) increased from \$194 million in 1967 to \$311 million in 1976. The increase in value indicates an increase in quantity, since wholesale prices did not increase proportionately with the increase in value.

During the last 25 years, the per capita consumption of carnations, pompon chrysanthemums, and standard chrysanthemums increased substantially, while that of gladioli decreased and that of roses remained stable. These trends are expected to continue.

Annual U.S. exports of fresh cut flowers have trended upward since 1970. In 1976 they reached \$14 million. Canada, which has a less favorable climate for flower production than the United States, is the chief market, accounting for two-thirds of U.S. exports.

The value of sales of fresh cut flowers by U.S. commercial growers increased from \$195 million in 1967 to \$282.9 million in 1976. Most of the approximately 5,000 commercial growers produce more than one type of flower, and many also produce potted flower and foliage plants and bedding plants. About three-fourths of commercial production of fresh cut flowers is by one-fourth of the growers.

Description and Uses

Fresh cut flowers are parts of plants, characteristically including the inflorescenses and some attached plant material but not including roots and soil. They are highly perishable because they maintain only limited life-supporting processes by taking water up through their stems. Fresh cut flowers are used for decorative purposes such as vase arrangements and bouquets at formal events; designs for weddings and funerals; gifts on occasions such as Mother's Day, Valentine's Day, times of illness, and holidays like Christmas and Easter; corsages and boutonnieres; and informal displays for beautification in the home. Roses, carnations, pompon and standard chrysanthemums, and gladioli account for about 80 percent of domestic production of fresh cut flowers, with daisies, statice, orchids, and scores of other types accounting for the remainder.

Roses are members of the Rosaceae family; at least 100 species and thousands of varieties are known to exist. The two most economically important groups of these relatively expensive flowers are the miniatures and the hybrid teas. Roses may be white, pink, red, yellow, orange, or intermediate shades or tints. They are used in wreaths and bouquets for ceremonial occasions, and for general decorative purposes. As fresh cut flowers, roses last 3 to 5 days.

Carnations are members of the Caryophyllaceae or so-called pink family. These relatively inexpensive flowers are divided into two major groups, the standards and the miniatures. Carnations may be pure white, yellow, pink, various shades of red, or multicolored. As cut flowers, they last 7 to 10 days. Carnations are used in numerous ways such as in boutonnieres and hospital, funeral, and home arrangements.

Gladioli are members of the Iridaceae or iris family. These intermediately priced flowers vary considerably in size, and may be yellow, red, pink, blue, cream, white, bicolored, or multicolored. In recent years they have come to be thought of as funeral flowers, and their use for other purposes has diminished. As fresh cut flowers, gladioli last 7 to 10 days.

Chrysanthemums are a genus of the Compositae family. They are relatively low-priced flowers. The major groups grown commercially are the standards and the pompons. Chrysanthemums may be white, yellow, red, bicolored, or tricolored. As fresh cut flowers, pompons last 10 to 14 days, and standards last 7 to 12 days. They are considered good for both formal and informal use, and are suitable for almost all design types of work. Orchids are member of the Orchidaceae family. The most important commercial types of these expensive flowers are the cattleya and the cymbidium. The cypripedium, or ladyslipper, and phalaenopsis orchids also have economic importance. Orchids are normally multicolored. As cut flowers, they may last 4 to 10 days, depending on the variety, and they are frequently used in corsages and wedding arrangements.

Many flowers are loosely classified under the term "daisies." The most important economically is the marquerite daisy (<u>Chrysanthemum frutescans</u>). Daisies are yellow or white but may be tinted with dyes to produce variously colored flowers. They are inexpensive and last 5 to 8 days. Daisies are used in many types of floral arrangements.

Statice, or sea lavender, is an inexpensive flower, represented by numerous varieties from several genera. Two major groups are grown commercially--the Russians, or cattails, and the sinuatas. Statice may be blue, purple, red, mauve, or white. They are often used as filler material in arrangements.

Snapdragons, tulips, irises, narcissuses, and stocks are other commercial types of fresh cut flowers. In general, these flowers are inexpensive. They too are used in many types of floral arrangements.

U.S. Producers

During 1950-76 there was a marked shift in the composition of the fresh cut flower industry, from many small local growers of fresh cut flowers near eastern and midwestern population centers to a few large and efficient growers primarily in California, Florida, and, to a lesser extent, in Colorado. California and Florida growers are situated in favorable climates for producing cut flowers. Although Colorado has some cold winter weather with high fuel costs, it also has a great deal of winter sunshine--a requisite for growing the carnations in which Colorado producers specialize.

The number of commercial producers of fresh cut flowers declined from about 12,000 in 1959 to 8,000 in 1970, according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census. There were probably fewer than 5,000 producers in 1976. However, the value of fresh cut flower production (sales) by commercial growers increased from \$195 million in 1967 to \$283 million in 1976 (table 1 and fig. 1).

Most growers produce more than one type of flower, and many also produce potted flower and foliage plants as well as bedding plants. About three-fourths of the commercial output of fresh cut flowers is produced by one-fourth of the growers, and a significant fraction of the output of any particular type of flower is accounted for by less than 10 percent of the growers. For example, in 1975 the top 8 percent of both standard carnation growers and standard chrysanthemum growers accounted for about one-third of the total production of each of those crops. A crop with even more concentration among producers is gladioli, more than two-thirds of the production of which was accounted for by the top 9 percent of the growers in 1975.

The shift in the location of production occurred with regard to the more durable flowers--carnations and chrysanthemums to California and carnations to Colorado. Production of the more fragile rose is located in California and in States near the populous eastern consuming centers, and production of gladioli remains predominantly in Florida.

The trend in the number of producers of major cut flowers is shown in table 2. In general, it has been declining. Only for miniature carnations was there an increase in the number of growers from 1974 to 1976. The overall decline probably is overstated, however, because the data for 1974-76 covers growers with sales over \$10,000, whereas data for earlier years covered growers with sales over \$2,000.

| | _ | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Year : | Produc- tion | : : : | Imports | ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: | Exports | Apparent consump- tion | :: | Ratio of imports to production | : : : | Ratio of imports to consumption |
| : | <u>1,000</u> dollars | : | <u>1,000</u> dollars | : | <u>1,000</u> : dollars : | <u>1,000</u> dollars | : | Percent | : | Percent |
| : 1967: | 195,208 | : | 902 | : | 1,978 : | 194,132 | : | 0.5 | : | 0.5 |
| 1968: 1969: | 221,321 | : | 1,886 | : | 1,755 : 1,908 : | 221,452 234,871 | : | .9 1.3 | : | .9 1.3 |
| 1970: 1971: | 229,944 | : | 5,782 8,901 | : | 2,228 : 3.554 : | 233,498 239,248 | : | 2.5 | : | 2.5 |
| 1972: 1973: | 251,754 | : | 14,908 | : | 4,258 : 4,412 : | 262,404 274,388 | : | 5. 9 8. 9 | : | 5.7 |
| 1974: 1975: | 258,596 | : | 31,330 | : | 5,246 : 8,680 : | 284,680 288,371 | : | 12.1 | : | 11.0 10.7 |
| 19/6: | 282,863 | : | 41,/11 | : | 13,982: | 310,592 | : | 14.7 | : | 13.4 |

Table 1.--Fresh cut flowers: U.S. production, imports, exports, and apparent consumption, 1967-76

Source: Production and imports estimated by the U.S. International Trade Commission from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; exports estimated by the U.S. International Trade Commission from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.--See app. A for an explanation of the methodology used in the Commission's estimates of U.S. production, imports, and exports.

A-6



Department of Agriculture.

A-.7

Table 2.--Fresh cut flowers: U.S. commercial growers of standard and miniature carnations, standard and pompon chrysanthemums, gladioli, and hybrid tea and miniature roses in 22 leading producing States, 1966, 1970, and 1974-76

| | | <u>(Nur</u> | <u>nber of</u> | gr | owers) | | · | | | |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----|--------|---|--------|----------|----|--------|
| : | Carnat | ion | Chrysa | nt | hemums | : | Gladi- | Ro | se | S |
| iear : | Stand- : | Minia- | Stand- | : | Pompon | : | oli | : Hybrid | : | Minia- |
| | ard: | <u>ture</u> | ard | : | | : | | : tea | : | ture |
| : | : | : | : | : | | : | | : | : | |
| 1966: | 2,1 | 75 : | 2,972 | : | 2,976 | : | 581 | : | 37 | 6 |
| 1970: | 1,717 : | 443 | 2,236 | : | 2,342 | : | 366 | : 367 | : | 284 |
| 1974: | 958 : | 301 : | 1,402 | : | 1,380 | : | 176 | : 300 | : | 231 |
| 1975: | 891 : | 302 : | 1,346 | : | 1,366 | : | 163 | : 309 | : | 236 |
| 1976 <u>1</u> /: | 808 : | 318 : | 1,238 | : | 1,203 | : | 144 | : 278 | : | 221 |
| : | : | : | | : | | : | | : | : | |

a change in reporting method used by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, except as noted.

U.S. Importers

About 20 concerns import significant quantities of fresh cut flowers into the United States. Most of these firms are situated in Miami, Fla., and in general they specialize in fresh cut flower imports, the majority of which are from Colombia.

In addition to these importers, more than 100 other firms import fresh cut flowers from around the world. As a group, they account for about 40 percent of fresh cut flower imports.

U.S. Tariff Treatment

Fresh cut flowers are classified for tariff purposes under item 192.20 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS). The rates of duty currently applicable to imports of such flowers are shown below:

| TSUS : item No.: | Description | : | Col. 1 rate | : | Col. 2 rate |
|---------------------|---|---|----------------|---|----------------|
| 192.20 | Cut flowers, fresh; bouquets, wreaths, sprays, or similar articles made from such flowers or other fresh plant parts. <u>1</u> / | : | 10% ad val. | : | 40% ad val. |

1/ Bouquets, wreaths, sprays, or similar articles made from fresh cut flowers or fresh plant parts are not part of this investigation.

The column 1 rate reflects a concession granted by the United States in the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade effective July 1, 1963; that rate applies to fresh cut flower imports from all countries except those designated as nonmarket economy countries, imports from which are subject to the column 2 rate. Articles covered by TSUS item 192.20 are not eligible for duty-free treatment under the Generalized System of Preferences.

U.S. imports of fresh cut flowers are valued for duty-assessment purposes on the basis of their value in the principal market of the country of export. Transportation costs for imported fresh cut flowers usually account for a substantial portion of the landed value since air shipment is often required because fresh cut flowers are so perishable. Because transportation costs are not part of the dutiable value, the rate of 10 percent ad valorem on fresh cut flowers is materially less than 10 percent of the landed value. It is difficult for the U.S. Customs Service to determine the value of fresh cut flowers in the principal markets of Latin America since very little of the commercial production is sold in the domestic markets of the countries in that area. Approximately 30 to 40 percent of the fresh cut flower imports are on consignment for sale in U.S. wholesale markets. At present, consignment shipments and relatedparty entries are valued monthly by the Customs Service for duty purposes and as of March 1977 are dutiable at 10 percent of the following fixed valuations:

| Carnations | \$0.08 | per | stem |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|-------|
| Standard chrysanthemums | .15 | per | stem |
| Pompon chrysanthemums | .70 | per | bunch |
| Statice | .70 | per | bunch |

In 1974 the U.S. Department of the Treasury conducted a countervailing-duty proceeding pursuant to section 303 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1303), to determine whether certain payments granted by the Government of Colombia upon the exportation of cut flowers constituted a bounty or grant within the meaning of section 303. At the conclusion of the aforesaid proceeding, the Treasury Department announced 1/ that it had ascertained that payments had been made by the Government of Colombia upon the exportation of cut flowers which would have constituted a bounty or grant of 10.2 percent of the dutiable value of the flowers. However, because the Government of Colombia had taken action, effective July 17, 1974, to require that such payments not be made to cut flower producers but rather remain within the sole control of the Government of Colombia by being paid instead to an agency thereof, the Treasury Department determined that there was no present violation of U.S. countervailing duty law.

All fresh cut flowers are subject to quarantine inspection to prevent the spread of injurious plant pests (7 CFR 319.74). Inspections are made quickly and result in very few detentions. Among the types of flowers being imported in significant quantities, only roses require a permit, and this permit is readily obtained for roses shown to be free of injurious plant pests. Quarantine inspections are provided free of charge to importers during normal working hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.) of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service of the Department of Agriculture. At all other times importers are charged a fee for inspection services.

The Question of Increased Imports

U.S. imports

Imports of fresh cut flowers have trended sharply upward since the late 1960's. Prior to that time, imports were almost negligible, consisting primarily of border trade with Canada. Imports rose steadily from less than \$1 million in 1967 to almost \$42 million in 1976 (table 1, p. A-6).

Almost all the varieties of imported fresh cut flowers have domestic counterparts, and most imports are comparable in quality to their domestic counterparts. While most imported and domestic fresh cut flowers of the same type are on the market at the same seasons of the year, a significant exception is cymbidium orchids, which constitute most of the imports from Australia and New Zealand. Imports arrive primarily in the last 6 months of the year, whereas domestic cymbidium orchids are produced only during the first 6 months. Thus, the two sources complement each other.

The largest growth in imports of fresh cut flowers has been in those of carnations and pompon chrysanthemums (fig. 2). There has also been significant growth in imports of daisies and roses (fig. 3). Since 1967 these flower types have been supplied predominantly by a few large, well-financed, and technically competent firms in Latin America that produce primarily for the U.S. market. The rapid growth in imports was facilitated by the development of reliable transoceanic airline schedules and adequate terminal handling facilities in Miami for imported fresh cut flowers.

The growth in imports in the 1970's from low-cost producing areas in Latin America came after the somewhat analogous regional shifts in U.S. producing areas during the 1950's and 1960's from areas near eastern population centers to lower cost producing areas in California and Florida.



Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

A-13

Ratio of imports to domestic production

The ratio of imports to production in terms of value increased from less than 1 percent in 1967 to 15 percent in 1976 (table 1, p. A-6). The ratio of imports to production in terms of quantity varies widely among the major types of fresh cut flowers. The highest such ratios in 1976 were for pompon chrysanthemums, carnations (standard and miniature), and standard chrysanthemums at 48 percent, 34 percent, and 8 percent, respectively (table 3). In contrast, the ratio for roses (hybrid tea and miniature) was 1 percent.

Ratio of imports to apparent consumption

The ratio of imports to apparent consumption in terms of value also increased--from less than 1 percent in 1967 to 13 percent in 1976 (table 1, p. A-6). As consumption was increasing during the 10-year period, the imports of fresh cut flowers were accounting for an increasing share of a rising demand. The highest ratios in 1976 in terms of quantity were for pompon chrysanthemums, carnations (standard and miniature), and standard chrysanthemums at 33 percent, 26 percent, and 7 percent, respectively (table 3). The ratio for roses (hybrid tea and miniature) was 1 percent.

Table 3.--Carnations, roses, pompon chrysanthemums, and standard chrysanthemums: U.S. production, imports, exports, and apparent consumption, 1967-76

| (Carnations | and pompon | chrysanthe | emums in | millions | ot | stems; |
|-------------|------------|------------|----------|----------|----|--------|
| | roses i | n millions | of bloom | īs) " | • | • • |

| Year | Production | Imports | Ex- ports <u>1</u> / | Apparent consump- tion | : Ratio (per-: : cent) of : : imports to : : production : | Ratio (per- cent) of imports to consumption |
|-------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| : | | | Ca | rnations | | |
| 1967 | 2/ 52/ 6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 524.8 | : 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 1968: | 599.9 | 3.0 | 1.3: | 601.6 | : .5 : | .5 |
| 1969: | 647.8 | : 5.1 | : 1.4 : | 651.3 | : .8 : | .8 |
| 1970: | 656.3 | : 16.4 | : 1.5 : | 671.2 | : 2.5 : | . 2.4 |
| 1971: | 623.5 : | : 33.2 | 2.5: | 654.2 | : 5.3 : | 5.1 |
| 1972: | 623.0 | : 56.2 | : 3.0 : | 676.2 | : 9.0 : | 8.3 |
| 1973: | 657.4 : | : 132.2 | :. <u>7</u> .0 : | 782.6 | : 20.1 : | 16.9 |
| 1974: | 646.3 | : 180.0 | : 11.0 : | 815.2 | : 27.9 : | 22.0 |
| 1975: | 624.3 | : 162.5 | : 15.0 : | 771.8 | : 26.0 : | 21.1 |
| 1976: | <u>2/ 603.6</u> : | 204.5 | <u>20.0</u> : | 788.1 | <u>: 33.9</u> : | 25.9 |
| : | | | | Roses | | |
| : | · <u> </u> | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| : | | : | : | <u>.</u> | : : | - • |
| 1967: | 388.4 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$: | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
| 1968: | 437.3 | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$: | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
| 1969: | 45/•1 : | : <u>3/</u> | <u>3/</u> | $\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 3/ |
| 1970: | 409.4 | | 1.5: | 408.0 | : 0.1 : | 0.1 |
| 19/1: | 430.0 | 1.0 | 1.5: | 400.1 /61 0 | | • • 2 |
| 1972: | 401.0 | | 20. | 401.0 779 2 | | . 4 |
| 1973: | 440.0 | . 3.4 | 40. | 440.2 | | |
| 1974: | 475.0 | | . 4.0. . 8.0. | 479.2 | • • • • | • • • |
| 1975: | 2/ 466 6 | • 6.2 | 10.0 | 462.8 | • 13• | • • • • • • |
| 1970 | | . 0.2 | . 1010 . | 402.0 | ·1.J . | <u>I.J</u> |
| : | | | Pompon cl | nrysanthem | ums | |
| : | | • | : : | | : | |
| 1967: | 180.6 | : 1.2 | : 3.6 : | 178.2 | : 0.7 : | . 0.6 |
| 1968: | 199.8 | : 3.0 | : 4.2 : | 198.6 | : 1.5 : | : 1.5 |
| 1969: | 215.4 | : 4.2 | : 4.8 : | 214.8 | : 1.9 : | 2.0 |
| 1970: | 212.4 | : 9.0 | : 4.2 : | 217.2 | : 4.2 : | : 4.1 |
| 1971: | 225.6 | : 13.2 | : 6.0 : | 232.8 | : 5.9 : | : 5.7 |
| 1972: | 220.8 | : 25.2 | : 5.4 : | 240.6 | : 11.4 : | : 10.5 |
| 1973: | 237.0 | : 42.2 | : 6.6 : | 272.6 | : 17.8 : | : 15.5 |
| 1974: | 247.2 | . 64.3 | : 6.0 : | 305.5 | : 26.0 : | 21.0 |
| 1975: | 240.6 | ; 75.8 | : 6.0 : | 310.4 | : 31.5 : | : 24.4 |
| 1976: | <u>2</u> / 240.0 | : 114.8 | : <u>6.0</u> : | 348.8 | <u>: 47.8</u> : | 32.9 |

See footnotes at end of table.

.

÷

Table 3.--Carnations, roses, pompon chrysanthemums, and standard chrysanthemums: U.S. production, imports, exports, and apparent consumption, 1967-76--Continued

| Year | Production | : | Imports | : | Ex- ports <u>1</u> / | :: | Apparent consump- tion | : | Ratio (per- cent) of imports to production | : | Ratio (per- cent) of imports to consumption |
|-------|------------------|-------------------------|---------|---|-------------------------|----|------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| : | | Standard chrysanthemums | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| 1967: | 155.0 | : | 0.8 | : | 0.2 | : | 155.6 | : | 0.5 | : | 0.5 |
| 1968: | 152.2 | : | 1.4 | : | .2 | : | 153.4 | : | .9 | : | .9 |
| 1969: | 159.0 | : | 1.9 | : | .2 | : | 160.7 | : | 1.2 | : | 1.2 |
| 1970: | 170.9 | : | 4.2 | : | .2 | : | 174.9 | : | 2.5 | : | 2.4 |
| 1971: | 168.3 | : | 11.4 | : | .2 | : | 179.5 | : | 6.8 | : | 6.4 |
| 1972: | 159.5 | : | 15.9 | : | .3 | : | 175.1 | : | 10.0 | : | 9.1 |
| 1973: | 160.7 | : | 23.4 | : | .3 | : | 183.8 | : | 14.6 | : | 12.7 |
| 1974: | 167.5 | : | 25.9 | : | .4 | : | 193.0 | : | 15.5 | : | 13.4 |
| 1975: | 162.0 | : | 17.4 | ; | .4 | : | 179.0 | : | 10.7 | : | 9.7 |
| 1976: | <u>2</u> / 167.6 | : | 12.6 | : | .4 | : | 179.8 | : | 7.5 | : | 7.0 |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |

(Standard chrysanthemums in millions of blooms)

1/ Estimated from trade information and data from the Federal-State Market News Service in Florida.

2/ Estimated by the U.S. International Trade Commission.

3/ Not available.

Source: Production based on data from the <u>Annual Survey of Flowers and Fo-</u> <u>liage Plants</u> of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, with adjustments to include <u>all 50 States</u>, except as noted. Imports for 1970-76 from U.S. Department of Agriculture plant quarantine entry data; import data for prior years projected back using the trend of official import statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Note.-- Data on miniature carnations were converted from blooms to stems at a rate of 6 blooms a stem. Data on pompon chrysanthemums were converted from bunches to stems at a rate of 6 stems a bunch.

Leading suppliers of U.S. imports

In 1976 the leading suppliers of U.S. imports of fresh cut flowers were Colombia, Mexico, and Guatemala. Although 21 other countries exported fresh cut flowers to the United States in 1976, the three principal sources supplied more than 95 percent of the total (table 4).

<u>Colombia</u>.--Colombia accounted for about 91 percent of the carnations, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, daisies, roses, and statice imported in 1976, compared with 64 percent in 1972. Colombia's share of imports in 1976 varied from a low of 31 percent for statice to a high of 96 percent for carnations.

In 1976 about 75 percent of Colombia's exports of carnations and pompon and standard chrysanthemums, 50 percent of its exports of roses, and 10 percent of its exports of daisies and statice came to the United States. U.S. imports from Colombia are expected to increase by 15 to 20 percent in 1977.

<u>Guatemala</u>.--Guatemala supplied approximately 4 percent of the carnations, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, daisies, roses, and statice imported by the United States in 1976. Guatemala's import share ranged from less than 0.5 percent for carnations to more than 24 percent for daisies.

<u>Mexico</u>.--Mexico accounted for approximately 3 percent of the carnations, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, daisies, roses, and statice entering the United States in 1976. Mexico's import share ranged from less than 0.5 percent for pompon chrysanthemums to 55 percent for statice.

The United States is Mexico's principal export market for fresh cut flowers. It is estimated that Mexico will increase its exports to the United States by about 5 percent in 1977.

<u>Ecuador</u>.--Imports from Ecuador in 1976 accounted for less than 0.5 percent of the carnations, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, daisies, roses, and statice entering the United States in that year. In 1975, Ecuador accounted for approximately 2.5 percent of U.S. imports. The decline in fresh cut flower imports from Ecuador from 1975 to 1976 was caused by internal and environmental problems in that country. Imports from Ecuador in 1977 are not expected to exceed 1976 levels.

Table 4.--Fresh cut flowers: U.S. imports of carnations, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, daisies, roses, and statice, by specified sources, 1972-76, 19-week period ended May 8, 1976, and 19-week period ended May 7, 1977

| : | | : | : | : | | : | | : | 19-week | : | 19-week | |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|---|------------|---|---------|---|---------|---|---------|--|
| : | : | : | : | : | | : | | : | period | : | period | |
| Source : | 1972 | : 1973 | : 1974 | : | 1975 | : | 1976 | : | ended | : | ended | |
| : | : | : | : | : | | : | | : | May 8, | : | May 7, | |
| | | : | : | : | | : | | : | 1976 | : | 1977 | |
| : | Carnations | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | : | : | : | | : | | : | | : | | |
| Colombia: | 47,828 | : 119,287 | : 163,638 | : | 157,097 | : | 196,069 | : | 67,996 | : | 96,903 | |
| Mexico: | 2,491 | : 3,748 | : 5,907 | : | 6,001 | : | 6,644 | : | 3,074 | : | 2,555 | |
| Guatemala: | 1/ | : <u>1</u> / | : 1,714 | : | 366 | : | 774 | : | 305 | : | 506 | |
| Ecuador: | 3,867 | : 4,594 | : 7,755 | : | 4,023 | : | 66 | : | 34 | : | 16 | |
| All other: | 1,967 | : 4,591 | : 955 | : | 781 | : | 898 | : | 569 | : | 1,532 | |
| Total: | 56,153 | : 132,220 | : 179,969 | : | 168,268 | : | 204,451 | : | 71,978 | : | 101,512 | |
| : | Pompon chrysanthemums | | | | | | | | | | | |
| : | | : | : | : | | : | | : | | : | | |
| Colombia: | 13,181 | : 24,759 | : 52,053 | : | 70,158 | : | 104,978 | : | 37,357 | : | 44,114 | |
| Guatemala: | 8,035 | : 12,931 | : 8,965 | : | 3,262 | : | 8,287 | : | 3,814 | : | 3,116 | |
| Ecuador: | 1,197 | : 1,762 | : 1,791 | : | 1,801 | : | 781 | : | 553 | : | 265 | |
| Costa Rica-: | 2,612 | : 2,509 | : 1,356 | : | 321 | : | 634 | : | 296 | : | 695 | |
| All other: | 216 | 228 | : 183 | : | 251 | : | 82 | : | 16 | : | 23 | |
| Total: | 25,241 | : 42,189 | : 64,348 | : | 75,793 | : | 114,762 | : | 42,036 | : | 48,213 | |
| : | Standard chrysanthemums | | | | | | | | | | | |
| : | | : | : | : | | : | | : | | : | | |
| Colombia: | 4,945 | : 9,705 | : 13,767 | : | 12,233 | : | 9,614 | : | 3,834 | : | 6,809 | |
| Guatemala: | 6,716 | : 9,137 | : 7,456 | : | 3,375 | : | 2,387 | : | 1,003 | : | 1,528 | |
| Mexico: | <u>1</u> / | : <u>1</u> / | : 514 | : | 332 | : | - 333 | : | 86 | : | 161 | |
| Nether- : | | : | : | : | | : | | : | | : | | |
| lands: | 294 | : 455 | : <u>1</u> / | : | <u>1</u> / | : | 148 | : | 13 | : | 22 | |
| Ecuador: | 2,672 | : 2,823 | : 3,595 | : | 1,064 | : | 77 | : | 56 | : | 45 | |
| All other: | 1,239 | : 1,232 | : 560 | : | 380 | : | 0 | : | 0 | : | . 7 | |
| Total: | 15,866 | : 23,352 | : 25,892 | : | 17,384 | : | 12,559 | : | 4,992 | : | 8,572 | |

(In thousands of stems)

See footnote at end of table.

.

.

Table 4.--Fresh cut flowers: U.S. imports of carnations, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, daisies, roses, and statice, by specified sources, 1972-76, 19-week period ended May 8, 1976, and 19-week period ended May 7, 1977--Continued

| (In thousands of stems) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|-------------------|---|----------|--------|----------------------|
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | 19-week | : | 19 - week |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | period | : | period |
| Source : | 1972 | : | 1973 | : | 1974 | : | 1975 | ; | 1976 [°] | : | ended | : | ended |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | . May 8, | : | May 7, |
| : | | : | • • | : | | : | | : | | : | 1976 | : | 1977 |
| : | | | | | | | Daisies | | | | | | |
| | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| Colombia: | 1,617 | : | 6,913 | : | 13,463 | : | 7,707 | : | 4,697 | : | 2,444 | : | 1,793 |
| Mexico: | 81 | : | 535 | : | 2,427 | : | 2,859 | : | 2,696 | : | 2,060 | : | 2,844 |
| Guatemala: | 1,623 | : | 2,646 | : | 3,055 | : | 3,591 | : | 2,451 | : | 1,614 | : | 3,576 |
| All other: | 74 | : | 159 | : | 433 | : | 307 | : | 275 | : | 164 | : | 61 |
| Total: | 3,395 | : | 10,253 | : | 19,378 | : | 14,464 | : | 10,119 | : | 6,282 | : | 8,274 |
| : | | - | | | | _ | Roses | | | | | | |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| Colombia: | 1/ | : | 1/ | : | 810 | : | 2,554 | : | 4,513 | : | 1,690 | : | 2,993 |
| Nether- : | _ | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | - |
| lands: | 648 | : | 1,078 | : | 1,254 | : | 816 | : | 1,257 | : | 640 | : | 636 |
| All other: | 1,028 | : | 2,326 | : | 1,487 | : | 822 | : | 475 | : | 256 | : | 796 |
| Total: | 1,676 | : | 3,404 | : | 3,551 | : | 4,192 | : | 6,245 | : | 2,586 | : | 4,425 |
| : | | | | | | | Statice | | | | | | |
| • | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| Mexico: | 959 | : | 731 | : | 1,176 | : | 2,201 | : | 1,307 | : | 819 | : | 1,056 |
| Colombia: | 1/ | : | 1/ | : | 1/ | : | 326 | : | 750 | : | 164 | : | 1,133 |
| All other: | 1,583 | : | 4,107 | : | 4,694 | : | 2,164 | : | 324 | : | 197 | : | 1,043 |
| Total: | 2,542 | : | 4,838 | : | 5,870 | : | 4,691 | : | 2,381 | : | 1,180 | : | 3,232 |
| : | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | Total | | | | | | |
| | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| Colombia: | 67.571 | : | 160,664 | : | 243,731 | : | 250.075 | : | 320-621 | : | 113,485 | : | 153.744 |
| All other: | 37,302 | : | 55,592 | : | 55,277 | : | 28,717 | : | 29,896 | : | 15,569 | : | 20,484 |
| Total: | 104,873 | : | 216,256 | : | 299,008 | : | 278,792 | : | 350,517 | : | 129.054 | - : | 174,228 |
| | | : | | : | | : | · , · | : | ·,, | : | ,, | : | |
| | | | | | | • | | | | | | | |

1/ Not separately reported, but included in "All other."

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

.

Foreign production and trade

.

,

Fresh cut flowers are produced for local consumption throughout the world. Prior to the 1970's, most international movement of fresh cut flowers was border trade, especially in Europe, where per capita production and consumption are high. Paralleling the advent of successful air transport of large quantities of fresh cut flowers from Latin America to the United States, there has been increased movement from Latin America to Europe and Japan, and from countries such as the Netherlands, South Africa, Australia, and Israel to distant overseas markets. The Question of Serious Injury or Threat Thereof to the Domestic Industry

U.S. production

As indicated in table 1 (p. A-6), the wholesale value of all fresh cut flower production increased during the period 1967-76 from \$195 million to \$283 million, except for a slight downturn in 1970. The overall quantity of production has also trended upward, since average fresh cut flower prices have not kept pace with the increase in the value of production.

<u>Production trends</u>.--For the major types of fresh cut flowers which are both produced domestically and imported in significant quantities (i.e., carnations (standard and miniature) and pompon and standard chrysanthemums), there was an upward trend in production for all three types over the period 1967-76, but the trend for all other types generally was flat or declining since at least 1973 (fig. 4). Domestic production of carnations increased from 525 million stems in 1967 to 656 million stems in 1970, and then declined to 623 million stems in 1972, as imported carnations increased their market share from 2 percent of consumption in 1970 to 8 percent in 1972. Domestic production rebounded in 1973 to 657 million stems; however, imports increased their share of consumption to 17 percent. Production declined to 604 million stems in 1976, while imports increased their share of consumption to 26 percent.

Domestic pompon chrysanthemum production rose irregularly from 181 million stems in 1967 to 247 million stems in 1974. During this period, imports steadily increased their share of U.S. consumption from less than 1 percent to 21 percent. Domestic production declined to 240 million stems in 1976, with imports increasing their share of the domestic market to 33 percent.

Standard chrysanthemum production rose irregularly from 155 million blooms in 1967 to 168 million blooms in 1976 and ranged from a low of 152 million blooms in 1968 to a high of 171 million blooms in 1970.

Production of roses, which are the most important domestic flower crop in terms of value, also increased in terms of quantity; imports of roses are relatively small, accounting for less than 2 percent of U.S. consumption in 1976. Rose production in the period 1967-76 increased irregularly from 388 million blooms to 467 million blooms and ranged from a low of 388 million blooms in 1967 to a high of 474 million blooms in 1974.

Gladioli are another major type of fresh cut flower produced domestically. Production of gladioli decreased irregularly from 345 million spikes in 1967 to 207 million spikes in 1976 (fig. 4).





Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.
Gladioli growers face very little direct import competition because of the relatively high per unit freight rates for this bulky commodity compared with freight rates for other types of fresh cut flowers and because of climatic and geographic restraints in the major foreign fresh-cut-flower-growing areas (i.e., holding of gladioli bulbs in cold storage until they are ready to be planted, temperature requirements for ideal growth, and the availability of enough growing area to maximize returns). Most imports of gladioli are the result of border trade with Mexico and Canada. Gladioli are generally not grown in greenhouses and require a much greater area of land per flower than the other principal fresh cut flowers. The primary reasons for the decline in gladioli production have been the much higher cost of producing gladioli in relationship to other fresh cut flowers and the reduced popularity of gladioli because of their reputation among consumers as funeral flowers.

Sales in major producing States.--The U.S. Department of Agriculture reports sales of fresh cut flowers for selected floral types only for major producing States. Table 5 shows the quantity, wholesale value, and wholesale prices of these sales for 1972-76.

The quantity of sales of miniature carnations, standard and pompon chrysanthemums, and hybrid tea roses increased over the period. Miniature carnations were the only flower showing a steady increase, rising from 18 million stems in 1972 to 30 million in 1976. Sales of the other types, while generally rising, tended to fluctuate. Standard chrysanthemum sales rose from 137 million blooms in 1972 to 145 million in 1976. Pompon chrysanthemum sales increased from 202 million stems in 1972 to a peak of 227 million in 1974, and then fell to 220 million in 1976. Sales of hybrid tea roses increased overall from 310 million to 320 million blooms.

The quantity of sales of standard carnations, miniature roses, and gladioli declined over the period 1972-76. Standard carnation sales increased from 584 million blooms in 1972 to a peak of 616 million in 1973, then declined steadily to a low of 524 million in 1976. Miniature rose sales declined irregularly from 122 million blooms in 1972 to 118 million in 1976. Gladioli sales declined steadily from 273 million to 185 million spikes.

The wholesale value of sales decreased over the period 1972-76 only for standard carnations and gladioli. Standard carnation sales amounted to \$48 million in 1972 and \$47 million in 1976. Gladioli sales amounted to \$20 million in 1972 and \$18 million in 1976. The increases in value of sales for pompon chrysanthemums, hybrid tea roses, and miniature roses were due as much to increasing wholesale prices as to increasing production.

| Table | 5Fresh cut | flowers: | Sales | of | selected | fresh | cut | flowers | in selected |
|-------|------------|-----------|--------|-----|-----------|---------|------|---------|-------------|
| | maior | producing | States | , 1 | / by type | es, 197 | 2-76 | | |

| Flower type | 1972 | : | 1973 | : | 1974 | : | 1975 | 19 | 976 <u>2</u> / |
|--|--|---|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| . : | Quantity (millions) | | | | | | | | |
| : Standard carnations (blooms): Miniature carnations (stems) <u>3</u> /: Standard chrysanthemums (blooms): Pompon chrysanthemums (stems) <u>3</u> /: Hybrid tea roses (blooms): Miniature roses (blooms): Gladioli: | 584.4 18.0 137.1 201.9 309.6 122.0 273.2 | • | 616.1 19.7 138.2 216.8 297.4 120.4 234.8 | • | 601.8 23.2 144.0 227.2 319.2 123.7 223.4 | : | 578.9 24.8 139.3 220.2 317.8 115.5 192.0 | : 5 : 1 : 2 : 3 : 1 : 1 | 23.9 29.6 .44.7 220.2 319.5 .18.2 .85.4 |
| | Value (millions of dollars) | | | | | | | | |
| Standard carnations Miniature carnations Standard chrysanthemums Pompon chrysanthemums Hybrid tea roses Miniature roses Gladioli | 48.1 3.6 29.1 29.8 47.4 12.2 20.3 | ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: | 46.0 3.6 29.8 33.0 48.9 13.0 19.5 | | 44.0 4.6 29.6 30.6 53.6 14.3 18.8 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 45.2 4.9 30.9 32.3 56.7 14.2 17.6 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 47.0 5.9 30.3 35.2 61.0 16.1 17.6 |
| : | · · | | | <u> </u> | price (| (C) | ents) 4 | <u>/</u> | |
| Standard carnations (blooms) Miniature carnations (stems) Standard chrysanthemums (blooms) Pompon chrysanthemums (stems) Hybrid tea roses (blooms) Miniature roses (blooms) | 8.2 20.0 21.2 14.8 15.3 10.0 7.4 | • • • • • • | 7.5 18.5 21.6 15.1 16.4 10.8 8.3 | | 7.3 19.7 20.5 13.7 16.8 11.5 8.4 | • • • • • • • • | 7.8 20.0 21.9 14.7 17.9 12.3 9.2 | • | 8.8 19.8 20.9 16.0 19.1 13.7 9.5 |
| | | : | - | : | | : | | : | |

1/ States covered are California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Washington, and Wisconsin.

2/ Estimated by the U.S. International Trade Commission because of a change in reporting method used by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

3/ Converted from bunches to stems at a rate of 6 stems a bunch.

 $\overline{4}$ / Calculated from the unrounded data.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Note: For years prior to 1974, data include statistics on growers who produced and sold 2,000 or more dollars' worth of fresh cut flowers, flowering and foliage plants, bedding plants, and cultivated florist greens in 1 year. For 1974 and following years, data include statistics on growers who produced and sold 10,000 or more dollars' worth of fresh cut flowers, flowering and foliage plants, bedding plants, and cultivated florist greens in 1 year. In 1973, producers with sales of less than \$10,000 accounted for less than 0.7 percent of sales in that year. <u>Production data from responses to Commission questionnaires</u>.--The responses to Commission questionnaires indicated that the area in production of fresh cut flowers rose from 84 million square feet in 1972 to 93 million in 1973, fell to a low of 82 million in 1975, and rose to 84 million in 1976 (table 6). <u>1</u>/ Other products of cut flower producers include potted plants, foliage plants, and bedding plants. The area in production for these products increased over the period from 3 million square feet to 4 million square feet. Overall, while there were declines in the quantity sold for some products, all the products show an increase in the value of sales over the period.

Dollar sales per square foot were calculated for the various products to give an indication of the efficiency of production. Over the period 1972-76, such sales rose for each type of fresh cut flower. However, the most dramatic increases in sales per square foot were found for "Other products," particularly foliage plants, which rose from \$0.51 to \$1.98, and bedding plants, which rose from \$1.97 to \$3.37 over the period.

U.S. exports

Exports of fresh cut flowers, at an estimated 5 percent of U.S. production in 1976, are trending upward; they amounted to an estimated \$14.0 million in 1976 (table 1, p. A-6). <u>2</u>/ About two-thirds of these exports go to Canada, which has a less favorable climate for growing flowers than the United States. The United States has a transportation-cost advantage in the Canadian market compared with more distant suppliers. Gladioli from Florida and pompon chrysanthemums from California and Florida make up a substantial part of these exports. Exports are also believed to include significant quantities of carnations from Colorado and California, anthuriums from Hawaii, and roses from various U.S. producing areas. Other principal markets for U.S. fresh cut flowers are Italy, West Germany, and Japan. It is estimated that these countries accounted for about 25 percent of U.S. exports in 1976. The remainder of U.S. exports go to the Netherlands, Bermuda, the Bahamas, and various other markets.

1/ The U.S. International Trade Commission requested data on production from 160 U.S. producers on their operations involving fresh cut flowers. Responses were received from 105 producers, of which 77 provided usable data on production and sales by flower types for 1972-76, as shown in table 6.

²/ See app. A for explanation of methodology used in the Commission's estimates of U.S. exports.

Table 6.--Operations of 77 fresh cut flower growers: Area in production and sales, 1972-76

| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
|---|-----------------|----------|-----------|---|-----------------|
| Freehout florence | | : | • | : : | |
| riesh cut llowers: | | • | • | • • | |
| 32 standard carnation growers: | 20 | : | . 20 | • • • • | 70 |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 2 10 | : 30 | : 30 | | 2/ |
| Area in productionmillion it: | 2.19 | : 2.40 | . /7 50 | : 2.45 : | 2.33 |
| Quantity of salesmillion blooms: | 41.00 | : 44.52 | : 47.53 | : 48./5 : | 45.96 |
| Value of salesmillion dollars: | 3.44 | : 3.52 | : 3.62 | : 4.09 : | 4.52 |
| Unit value of salescents per bloom: | 8.26 | : 7.90 | : /.62 | : 8.39 : | 9.84 |
| Sales per ft ² : | Ş1.57 | : \$1.47 | : \$1.39 | : \$1.67 : | \$1.93 |
| 20 miniature carnation growers: : | | : | : | : : | |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 16 | : 15 | : 17 | : 18: | 18 |
| Area in productionmillion ft ² : | .29 | : .33 | : .40 | : .39 : | .39 |
| Quantity of salesmillion stems: | 1.97 | : 2.37 | : 3.20 | : 3.28 : | 3.40 |
| Value of salesmillion dollars: | .37 | : .46 | : .56 | : .55 : | .61 |
| Unit value of salescents per stem: | 18.58 | : 19.56 | : 17.39 | : 16.74 : | 17.85 |
| Sales per ft ² : | \$1.25 | : \$1.40 | : \$1.39 | : \$1.41 : | \$1.54 |
| 40 pompon chrysanthemum growers: : | | : | : | : : | |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 31 | : 31 | : 34 | : 35 : | 39 |
| Area in productionmillion ft ² : | 4.16 | : 4.96 | : 5.05 | : 4.80 : | 4.98 |
| Quantity of salesmillion stems: | 17.87 | : 18.70 | : 20.81 | : 21.42 : | 24.30 |
| Value of salesmillion dollars: | 2.48 | : 2.75 | : 3.08 | : 3.12 : | 3.64 |
| Unit value of salescents per stem: | 13.86 | : 14.73 | : 14.80 | : 14.55 : | 14.98 |
| Sales per ft ² | \$0.60 | : \$0.56 | : \$0.61 | : \$0.65 : | \$0.73 |
| 34 standard chrysanthemum growers: | , | : | : | : ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;; | +0175 |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 30 | . 29 | . 32 | . 32 . | 33 |
| Area in productionmillion ft ² : | 1 12 | 1 08 | . 79 | · 80 · | 76 |
| Quantity of salesmillion blooms: | 5 01 | • 5 00 | • 5 06 | • 5 54 • | 5 21 |
| Value of calogeneratilion dollars. | 1 18 | • 1 27 | • 1 / 3 | • 1 60 • | 1 76 |
| Unit value of cales million dollars | 23 63 | • 25 /3 | · 28 24 | • 30 52 • | 22 71 |
| Solog por ft? | ¢1 06 | · 23.43 | · 20.24 | · 50,52 · | 20./I 60.01 |
| Sales per il | 91.00 | • \$1.10 | ; \$1.01 | • 92.12 • | 92.JI |
| 22 hydrid tea rose growers. | 21 | • | • • • • • | • • | |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 21 | · 22 | . 22 | | 22 |
| Area in productionmillion it: | 2.02 | : 2.72 | 2.91 | : 3,33 : | 3.4/ |
| Quantity of salesmillion blooms: | 35.93 | : 40.35 | : 38.66 | : 3/.4/ : | 46.90 |
| Value of salesmillion dollars: | 5.3/ | : 6.36 | : 6.82 | : 6.99 : | /.80 |
| Unit value of salescents per bloom: | 14.95 | : 15./6 | : 1/.65 | : 18.66 : | 16.64 |
| Sales per ft ² : | \$2 . 05 | : \$2.34 | : \$2.34 | : \$2.10 : | \$2 . 25 |
| 19 miniature rose growers: : | | : | : | : : | |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 19 | : 19 | : 19 | : 19: | 19 |
| Area in productionmillion ft ² : | .40 | : .42 | : .47 | : .51 : | .55 |
| Quantity of salesmillion stems: | 11.59 | : 11.22 | : 10.94 | : 10.60 : | 11.45 |
| Value of salesmillion dollars: | 1.08 | : 1.18 | : 1.26 | : 1.31 : | 1.54 |
| Unit value of salescents per stem: | 9.31 | : 10.56 | : 11.51 | : 12.36 : | 13.42 |
| Sales per ft ² : | \$2.71 | : \$2.83 | : \$2.69 | : \$2.59 : | \$2.80 |
| - | | : | : | : ; | |

. .

| Table | 6Operations | of | 77 | fresh | cut | flowers | growers: | Area | in | production | and | sales, |
|------------------|-------------|----|----|-------|-----|---------|----------|------|----|------------|-----|--------|
| 1972-76Continued | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
|---|----------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Fresh cut flowersContinued | | • | : | • | |
| 23 growers of other fresh cut flowers: | | • | • | • | • |
| Number of firms reporting production | 21 | • | • 23 | • • • • • | ່ . ງ' |
| Area in production ==================================== | 78 68 | · 81 04 | • 78 54 | • 69 54 | 71 0/ |
| Value of colormillion dellarg | /0.00 | • 4 76 | • 4 50 | · / 70 | · /1.0 |
| Salog por ft ² | \$0.06 | · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | • \$0.06 | • \$0 07 | \$0.0 ² |
| Total all fresh out flower growers: | 20.00 | • • | • • | • • | , 90.0 |
| Number of firme reporting production | 71 | • 70 | • 7/. | • 75 | , - 7 |
| Area in production | Q/ /T | · 02 0/ | • 00 77 | • 91 91 · | 0 92 51 |
| Area in productionmillion itz: | 04.4/ | • 92.94 | . 90.77 | • 01.01 • 22 5/ | 00.00 01.01 |
| Sales por ft ² | 10.20 ¢0.22 | · 20.31 | · 21.20 | · 22.J4 | 24.05 0 00 20 |
| Sales per itz: | ŞU.22 | • \$0.22 | • • • • • | . 30.20 | \$0.3U |
| 12 pattod plant another | | • | • | • | • |
| 13 potted plant growers: | o | • | . 0 | . 11 | . 12 |
| Among in production ==: | 0 70 | • • • • • | · 0 51 | · 2 51 | |
| Area in productionmillion it: | 2.12 | • 2.77 | · 2.51 | · 2.51 | |
| Value of safesmillion dollars: | 2.39 | · 2.50 | | · 61 0/ | 5 3.4 . |
| Sales per it2: | ŞU.00 | \$0.90 | ; \$1.02 | \$1.24 | 5 91.J4 |
| 15 follage plant growers: | 6 | • | : | . 10 | ; . 11 |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 10 | · / | : 10 | . 13 | 5 I. |
| Area in productionmillion it2: | .12 | • • 10 | · ,40 | 04 | |
| Value of salesmillion dollars: | ,UD | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ; 1.07 ; | |
| Sales per it: | \$0.5L | \$0.73 | \$0.81 | \$ 1. 28 | \$1.90 |
| o bedding plant growers: : | 0 | : | . 0 | | |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 8 00 | : 8 | · 10 | . 10 | . 1 |
| Area in productionmillion itz: | .09 | • • • • • • | 12 | 12 | 5 • L4 |
| Value of salesmillion dollars: | •10 •1 07 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| Sales per it: | \$1.97 | \$2.20 | \$2,04 | · >2./1 | \$3.3 |
| 24 other product growers: : | 1 5 | . 16 | . 10 | | |
| Number of firms reporting production: | 12 | : 16 | : 18 | | . 24 |
| Area in productionmillion it: | 2.93 | : 3.02 | : 3.03 | : 3.4/ | 3.54 |
| value of salesmillion dollars: | 2.62 | : 2,85 | : 3.18 | • 4.5Z | |
| Sales per it: | \$0.89 | \$0.9 4 | : \$1.05 | : \$1.30 | \$1./: |
| iotai, all products: : | | : | : 7/ | . 76 | |
| Number of firms reporting production: | /1 | : /2 | : /4 | : /5 | |
| Area in productionmillion ft ² : | 8/.40 | : 95.96 | : 93.79 | : 85.28 | 8/.0/ |
| value of salesmillion dollars: | 20.83 | : 23.15 | : 24.44 | 2/.06 | 31,02 |
| Sales per it ² : | \$0.24 | : \$0.24 | : \$0.26 | : \$0.32 | \$0.36 |
| | | • <u>•</u> •••• | : | | · |

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of fresh cut flowers.

.

· ·

• •

Employment

Employment data for commercial flower growers are not developed by the Department of Agriculture or by industry associations. The information in this section was obtained from questionnaires sent by the U.S. International Trade Commission to a random sample of fresh cut flower producers in operation from 1972 through 1976.

The data obtained from the 65 firms providing usable employment and wage information are probably representative of overall trends in employment and wages among fresh cut flower producers. The statistical breakdown for each individual flower type, however, is based upon such a small number of firms that the data cannot be considered representative. The figures by flower type are presented in table 7 for illustrative purpose only.

Although total industry employment data are not available, the information obtained from the questionnaires suggests that nearly 100,000 persons were employed by the approximately 5,000 firms in the industry during 1976. 1/ The number of employees in cut flower firms varies widely. The firms covered in the sample ranged from one-man operations to businesses employing nearly 300 workers. For small- and medium-size firms, family labor is a major contributor to total employment. Employment in the flower industry is seasonal, with firms hiring extra workers at planting and harvesting times.

The average annual number of employees in cut flower growing operations increased in the years 1972-74 and then declined in 1975 and 1976, as shown in table 7. The number of man-hours worked peaked in 1973 and fell in each of the following 3 years.

It is likely that recent efforts among growers toward automation and more intensive use of growing space have adversely affected employment. While output for firms in the sample appears to have increased in the years 1973-76, the area in production (measured in square feet) declined steadily each year, apart from a slight rise in 1976. This trend toward increasingly intensive cultivation-presumably with associated increase in efficiency--may account for the relatively small overall increase in employment during the period.

The fresh cut flower industry is characterized by high labor costs in relation to value of sales. Wages averaged 36 percent of the value of cut flower sales during 1972-76 and wages per man-hour averaged \$3.08 in 1976. Fresh cut flower growers employ a large percentage of semiskilled labor, but average hourly wages in the industry are higher than hourly wages paid to hired farm workers

¹/ Industry employment was estimated by multiplying the average employment of firms in the sample by 5,000.

Table 7.--Operations of 65 fresh cut flowers growers: Average number of employees, man-hours worked, wages paid, and sales, by types of growers, 1972-76

.

.

| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--------|
| : 14 carnation growers: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | : | : | |
| Annual average number : | • | • | • | | |
| of employees: | 104 | 105 | . 92 | . 88 : | 98 |
| Man-hours worked : | ±01 i | | : | : : | |
| 1.000 man-bours: | 218 | 208 | : 189 | 1870: | 212 |
| Wages paid : | | | : | : | |
| 1,000 dollars: | 489 | 487 | : 440 | : 459 : | 580 |
| Sales1.000 dollars: | 1.371 | 1,208 | : 1.203 | : 1.274 : | 1,614 |
| Wages as a percent of : | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | : | : : | |
| salespercent: | 37 : | : 40 | : 37 | : 36 : | 36 |
| Wages per man-hours: | \$2.42 | \$2.33 | : \$2.32 | : \$2.55 : | \$2.74 |
| 8 chrysanthemum growers : : | : | : : | : | : : | |
| Annual average number : | : | : | : | : : | |
| of employees: | 30 : | : 34 | : 36 | : 38 : | 40 |
| Man-hours worked : | : | : | : | : : | |
| 1,000 man-hours: | 62 : | : 76 | : 76 | : 79: | 88 |
| Wages paid : | : | : | : | : : | |
| 1,000 dollars: | 131 : | : 176 | : 181 | : 204 : | 252 |
| Sales1,000 dollars: | 572 | : 725 | : 767 | : 900 : | 1,057 |
| Wages as a percent of : | : | : | : | : : | |
| salespercent: | 23 | : 24 | : 24 | : 23 : | 24 |
| Wages per man-hour: | \$2.10 | \$2.31 | \$2.39 | : \$2.58 : | \$2.87 |
| 9 rose growers: : | : | : | : | : : | |
| Annual average number : | : | : | : | : : | |
| of employees: | 78 : | : 79 | : 77 | : 75: | 82 |
| Man-hours worked : | | | : | : | |
| 1,000 man-hours: | 148 | : 151 | : 150 | : 149 : | 156 |
| Wages paid : | | : | • | : : | |
| 1,000 dollars: | 373 | : 384 | : 418 | : 430 : | 477 |
| Sales1,000 dollars: | 1,496 | : 1,641 | : 1,748 | : 1,794 : | 1,980 |
| Wages as a percent of : | : | : | : | : : | |
| salespercent: | 25 : | : 23 | : 24 | : 24 : | 24 |
| Wages per man-hour: | \$2.52 × | \$2.55 | : \$2.78 | : \$2 .89 : | \$3.05 |
| : | : | : | : | : : | |

. .

Table 7.-- Operations of 65 fresh flower growers: Average number of employees, man-hours work, wages paid, and sales, by types of growers, 1972-76--Continued

| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
|----------------------------|--------|--|------------|------------------|--------|
| : 34 diversified flower | | : | | | |
| 54 diversified itower . | | • | | | |
| growers: : | | • | | | |
| Annual average number : | 1 106 | . 1 176 | 1 264 | | 1 176 |
| of employees: | 1,100 | : 1,1/6 | : 1,204 : | : 1,209 : | 1,1/6 |
| Man-hours worked : | | : | | : | |
| 1,000 man-hours: | 2,332 | : 2,528 : | : 2,4/8 : | : 2,386 : | 2,178 |
| Wages paid : | | : : | : : | : | |
| 1,000 dollars: | 5,417 | : 6,076 : | ; 6,520 : | : 6,677 : | 6,810 |
| Sales1,000 dollars: | 14,826 | : 16,389 : | : 16,357 : | 16,960 : | 18,024 |
| Wages as a percent of : | | : : | | : | - |
| salespercent: | 37 | : 37 : | : 40 : | : 39 : | 38 |
| Wages per man-hour: | \$2.32 | : \$2.40 : | \$2.63 : | \$2.80 : | \$3.13 |
| 65 flower growers: : | | : : | | : | • |
| Annual average number : | | : : | : : | : : | |
| of employees: | 1.317 | : 1.394 : | 1.469 : | 1.409 : | 1,395 |
| Man-hours worked : | | : | _, | | _, |
| 1.000 man-hours: | 2,760 | 2,963 | 2.894 | 2.795 | 2.634 |
| Wages paid : | -, | : _,;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;; | | | 2,001 |
| 1.000 dollars: | 6.410 | 7,123 | 7.559 | 7.771 | 8.120 |
| Salac1 000 dollars | 19 265 | · 10 062 | 20,076 | 20,020 | 20,120 |
| Salesi,000 dollars: | 10,205 | : 19,903 : | 20,076 | 20,928 : | 22,675 |
| wages as a percent or : | 25 | | | : | |
| salespercent: | 35 | : 36 : | 38 | 37 : | 36 |
| Wages per man-hour: | ş2.32 | : \$2.40 : | \$2.61 : | \$2 .78 : | \$3.08 |
| : | | : : | | | |

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of fresh cut flowers.

.

as a group. Wage increases roughly paralleled the increases obtained by other agricultural workers throughout 1972-76. On the basis of 1974=100, an index of hourly wage rates for flower workers measured 118.0 in 1976. This compares with indexes of 118.2 for all hired farm workers and 118.1 for nonagricultural workers, as shown in table 8.

The 34 diversified flower growers producing more than one line of flowers constitute the largest percentage of growers. It is not possible to allocate employment data by flower types for these producers. Diversified growers accounted for over half the growers in the sample, and their employment data follows the trend of all growers. The average annual number of employees in diversified firms remained nearly constant throughout 1972-76, although the number of man-hours declined during the period.

The sample provided information on only a small number of firms that were engaged exclusively in the production of carnations, chrysanthemums, or roses. Table 7 shows that employment among chrysanthemum and carnation growers increased between 1975 and 1976. However, in view of the small size of the sample, this finding should be regarded with caution.

| Table 8H | lourly v | vage rates | s for all | hired i | farm wo | rkers, | farm |
|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|------|
| workers p | aid by | other that | in piece | rate, an | nd cut | flower | |
| workers, | and ind | iexes of s | such wage | s, 1974- | -76 | | |

, .

| | Hourly wages | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------|-------------|---|----|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | All hired farm workers | • • • | Farm workers paid by other then piece rate | :: | Cut flower workers | | | | | |
| | | : | | : | | | | | | |
| 1974: | \$2.25 | : | \$2.21 | : | \$2.61 | | | | | |
| 1975: | 2.43 | : | 2.38 | : | 2.78 | | | | | |
| 1976: | 2.66 | : | 2.61 | : | 3.08 | | | | | |
| : | | : | | :_ | | | | | | |
| : | | | | | | | | | | |
| : | ; | : | | : | | | | | | |
| 1974: | 100.0 | : | 100.0 | : | 100.0 | | | | | |
| 1975: | 108.0 | : | 107.7 | : | 106.5 | | | | | |
| 1976: | 118.2 | : | 118.1 | : | 118.0 | | | | | |
| : | | : | | : | | | | | | |

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture and from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission.

Profit-and-loss experience of U.S. growers of fresh cut flowers

For the reporting U.S. growers of fresh cut flowers and plants, the 1972-76 period was one in which growing and operating expenses increased at a faster pace than total sales. This was especially true in the years 1974 and 1975. Overall, yearly sales of fresh cut flowers and total sales of all flowers and plants increased during 1972-76. On the other hand, aggregate profit declined sharply in 1974 and 1975 to about one-third the 1972-73 average. Aggregate profit increased substantially in 1976, but only to about 75 percent of the 1972-73 average.

The Commission mailed a total of 160 questionnaires to growers, requesting profit-and-loss information. Of these, 52 usable returns were received, with an estimated coverage of the entire industry as follows: 1/

| There | Percent of total |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| ltem | U.S. sales |
| Carnations | 7.8 |
| Chrysanthemums | 6.2 |
| Roses | 10.0 |
| All fresh cut flowers | 7.9 |

Profit-and-loss experience of the 52 U.S. growers on their freshcut-flower-and plant-growing operations is presented in table 9. The table denotes that the only really significant change in sales mix occurred in potted foliage plants. Sales of such plants increased from \$18,000 in 1972 to \$2.6 million in 1976. A comparison of the

1/ Of the 52, 5 are partnerships, 19 are single proprietorships, and 28 are incorporated, mostly as owner-operated family businesses. For the incorporated respondents, presentations in this section omit officers' salaries as operating expenses (these ranged from \$1.0 million to \$1.2 million annually during 1972-76) to obtain conformity with data from unincorporated respondents. In the data discussed here, profit-or-loss calculations are based on sales figures variously reported at gross value (with commissions to wholesalers expensed) or net value (less wholesalers' commissions); these different methods of reporting by respondents, while they do not affect the values of profit or loss, do affect computation of profit margins, depending on the sales figure used in the denominator. All profit-and-loss data presented in this section are on a cash basis, in conformity with the accepted practice within the industry.

Table 9.--Profit-and-loss experience of 52 U.S. growers on their fresh-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

| (noney rigures in | <u> uousan</u> | | IIIaroj_ | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|---|--------------|
| Item | 1972 | 1973 | : 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| Select 1/ | : | : | : | : | : |
| Sales: 1/ | | • | • | • | • |
| Fresh cut flowers: | | • | | : | : |
| Carnations | : 3,795 | : 3,846 | : 3,894 | : 4,066 | : 4,433 |
| Chrysanthemums | : 3,381 | : 3,913 | : 3,889 | : 4,042 | : 4,528 |
| Roses | : 5,688 | : 6,707 | : 6,841 | : 6,933 | : 8,171 |
| Other fresh cut flowers 2/ | •: <u>4,222</u> | : 4,344 | : 4,450 | : 4,876 | : 5,127 |
| Subtotal | :17,086 | :18,810 | :19,074 | :19,917 | : 22,259 |
| Potted chrysanthemums | : 1,006 | : 1,124 | : 989 | : 1,163 | : 1,226 |
| Decorative greens | : 14 | : 7 | : 15 | : 15 | : 11 |
| Potted foliage plants | : 18 | : 24 | : 171 | : 997 | : 2,553 |
| Sales of other flowers and | : | : | : | : | : |
| plants 3/ | : 2,842 | : 2,647 | : 2,727 | : 3,403 | : 3,794 |
| Total sales | : 20,966 | : 22,612 | :22,976 | :25,495 | : 29,843 |
| Other income | : 755 | : 1,059 | : 872 | : 1,024 | : 800 |
| Total sales and other income | : 21,721 | : 23,671 | :23,848 | :26,519 | : 30,643 |
| Growing and operating expenses: | : | • | : | : | : |
| Hired labor and wages | : 6,771 | : 7,307 | : 7,916 | : 8,525 | : 9,025 |
| Plants, bulbs, and seed purchased | : 1.713 | : 2,060 | : 2,401 | : 2,820 | : 3,553 |
| Fertilizers, lime, and chemicals | ·: 777 | : 849 | : 1.016 | : 1.275 | : 1.387 |
| Supplies purchased | : 860 | : 970 | : 1.234 | : 1,286 | : 1.669 |
| Repairs and maintenance | : 776 | : 799 | : 816 | : 802 | : 855 |
| Depreciation | : 1.066 | : 1.169 | : 1.276 | : 1.317 | : 1.318 |
| Taxes and insurance | : 1.086 | : 1.223 | : 1.357 | : 1,388 | • 1.774 |
| Cas oil and fuel | : 937 | : 1.231 | : 1.569 | : 2,069 | • 2 379 |
| Water and electricity | : 438 | : 500 | : 584 | : 750 | · 2,372 |
| Other expenses 4/ | 4.154 | : 4.267 | • 4.559 | : 4,989 | • 5.574 |
| Total growing and operating | • | : | • | • | • 5,574 |
| avpapeses | • 18.578 | . 20.375 | • • 22 728 | • | · 28 346 |
| Not profit before income taxes and | | . 20,375 | • | • | . 20,340 |
| officeret calerios | • 3 1/3 | • 3 296 | • 1 1 20 | • 1 298 | · · 2 297 |
| Dillers Salaries | • 5,145 | • 5,290 | • 19160 | • 1,270 | • 2,297 |
| targe and officers! calarias to | • | • | • | • | • |
| | . 15 0 | . 1/ 6 | • / 0 | • 51 | • • 77 |
| total salespercent | · T)·0 | · 14•0 | • 4•7 | | . /./ |
| | ÷ | ÷. | • | • | • |

(Money figures in thousands of dollars)

1/ Some growers reported gross sales and other growers reported net sales (less commissions paid).

2/ Gladioli sales account for a major share of this item.

 $\overline{3}$ / Consists mainly of sales of purchased flowers and plants. A small number of growers have retail outlets or are also wholesalers of fresh cut flowers or plants. Also includes sales of citrus fruit.

4/ Consists mainly of shipping, selling (including commissions paid), and general overhead expenses.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commisison by U.S. growers of flowers.

| Item | 1972 | : | 1976 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---|------|
| | : | : | |
| Fresh cut carnations | : 18 | : | 15 |
| Fresh cut chrysanthemums | : 16 | : | 15 |
| Fresh cut roses | : 27 | : | 27 |
| Other fresh cut flowers | : 20 | : | 17 |
| Total sales of fresh cut flowers | : 81 | : | 74 |
| Potted foliage plants | : 0 | : | 9 |
| Other flowers and plants | : 19 | : | 17 |
| Total sales of all flowers and plants | : 100 | : | 100 |
| | : | : | |

A comparison of individual growing and operating expenses for 1972 and 1976, expressed in percentages of total growing and operating expenses, is shown below:

| Item | 1972 | : | 1973 |
|--------------------------------------|------|---|------|
| | : | : | |
| Hired labor and wages | : 37 | : | 32 |
| Plants, bulbs, and seed purchased | : 9 | : | 12 |
| Fertilizers, lime, and chemicals | : 4 | : | 5 |
| Supplies purchased | : 5 | : | 6 |
| Repairs and maintenance | : 4 | : | 3 |
| Depreciation | : 6 | : | 5 |
| Taxes and insurance | : 6 | : | 6 |
| Gas. oil. and fuel | : 5 | : | 8 |
| Water and electricity | 2 | : | 3 |
| Other expenses | 22 | | 20 |
| Total growing and operating expenses | 100 | • | 100 |
| Total growing and operating expenses | : | : | 100 |

Overall, total sales of all flowers and plants increased 42 percent from 1972 to 1976, and total growing and operating expenses increased 53 percent. Aggregate net profit (before income taxes and officers' salaries) declined from \$3.1 million and \$3.3 million in 1972 and 1973, respectively, to \$1.1 million and \$1.3 million in 1974 and 1975, respectively. Net profit increased to \$2.3 million in 1976--\$846,000 or 27 percent less than that in 1972.

Average profit margins declined from 15.0 percent and 14.6 percent in 1972 and 1973, respectively, to 4.9 percent and 5.1 percent in 1974 and 1975, respectively. Average profit margins increased to 7.7 percent in 1976. Three producers had a significant influence on the aggregate profit reported by the 52 growers in each of the years 1972-76. The three growers accounted for most of the "Other income" shown in table 9. It consisted of interest and dividend income, rose patent royalties, and gains on sales of securities and other assets. The other income added about 4 percentage points to the 52 growers' profit margins in each of the years 1972-75 and nearly 3 percentage points in 1976.

Seven growers of fresh cut flowers reported a substantially higher volume of sales than any of the other growers during 1972-76. Combined, the seven growers accounted for almost two-thirds of the total sales of flowers and plants and for 88 percent or more of the other income reported by the 52 growers in each of the years 1972-76. On the other hand, average profit margins were slightly smaller for the seven growers when compared with those of the other 45 growers in each of the years 1972 and 1973 and substantially smaller in each of the years 1974-76. Data for the two groups of growers are summarized below for 1972-76:

| Number of srowers and year s | : Total : sales : | Net profit | Average profit margins | : Ratio of : labor and :wage expense : to sales |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| : | <u>1,000</u> : <u>dollars</u> : | <u>1,000</u> dollars | : : <u>Percent</u> | : Percent |
| 7 growers: 1972 | : 13,466 : 14,516 : 14,569 : 16,497 : 19,304 : | 1,940 1,978 296 295 972 | : 14.4 : 13.6 : 2.0 : 1.8 : 5.0 | : 35 : 36 : 39 : 37 : 33 |
| 45 growers: 1972: 1973: 1974: 1975: 1976: | ; 7,500 : 8,096 : 8,407 : 8,998 : 10,539 : | 1,203 1,318 824 1,003 1,325 | : 16.0 : 16.3 : 9.8 : 11.1 : 12.6 | : 27 : 26 : 27 : 27 : 27 : 25 |

The 7 large growers paid more out of each sales dollar for labor and wage expense than did the other 45 growers. The smaller growers utilized a higher proportion of owner and family labor.

A distribution of the 52 growers of fresh cut flowers by various sales and profit-or-loss size ranges is presented in table 10. More than half the growers reported total sales of less than \$150,000 in Table 10.--Distribution of sales and profit or loss for the 52 U.S. growers which reported data on their fresh-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, by ranges, accounting year 1972-76

| | (In numbe | er of growe | rs: | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------|--|-------------|-------------|
| Item : | 1972 | : 1973 | : | 1974 | : 1975 | : 1976 |
| : | | • | : | ······································ | • | : |
| Sales ranges: : | | : | : | | : | : |
| \$49,999 and under: | 7 | : 6 | : | 6 | : 7 | : 6 |
| \$50,000 to \$99,999: | 14 | : 11 | : | 11 | : 7 | : 5 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999: | 8 | : 12 | : | 11 | : 14 | : 13 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999: | 3 | : 4 | : | 2 | : 2 | : 5 |
| \$200,000 to \$299,999: | 6 | : 4 | : | 5 | : 4 | : 3 |
| \$300,000 to \$399,999: | 2 | : 3 | : | 5 | : 5 | : 4 |
| \$400,000 to \$499,999: | 3 | : 0 | : | 3 | : 3 | : 3 |
| \$500,000 to \$749,999: | 2 | : 5 | : | 2 | : 3 | : 5 |
| \$750,000 to \$999,999: | 1 | : 0 | : | 0 | : 0 | : 1 |
| \$1,000,000 to \$1,999,999: | 3 | : 3 | : | 3 | : 3 | : 3 |
| \$2,000,000 and over: | 3 | : 4 | : | . 4 | : 4 | : 4 |
| : | | : | : | | : | : |
| Loss ranges: : | | • | : | | : | : |
| \$100,000 and over: | 0 | : 0 | : | 3 | : 2 | : 0 |
| \$50,000 to \$99,999: | 0 | : 0 | : | 1 | : 0 | : 2 |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999: | 1 | : 0 | : | 1 | : 0 | : 0 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999: | 1 | : 0 | : | 2 | : 1 | : 0 |
| \$14,999 and under: | 3 | : 2 | : | 5 | : 5 | : 7 |
| : | | • | : | | : | : |
| Profit ranges: : | | : | : | | : | : |
| \$14,999 and under: | 13 | : 13 | : | 14 | : 12 | : 10 |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999: | 10 | : 5 | : | 8 | : 7 | : 9 |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999: | 9 | : 19 | : | 9 | : 14 | : 10 |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999: | 6 | : 4 | : | 3 | : 6 | : 6 |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999: | 2 | : 1 | : | 2 | : 1 | : 0 |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999: | 2 | : 3 | : | 2 | : 3 | : 4 |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999: | 2 | : 1 | : | 1 | : 1 | : 1 |
| \$200,000 to \$299,999: | · · 1 | : 2 | : | 0 | : 0 | : 3 |
| \$300,000 and over: | 2 | : 2 | : | 1 | : 0 | : 0 |
| : | | : | : | | : | : |
| Medians: | 6101 500 | ; | : | 612C 000 | : | . 4170 000 |
| Sales: | δ131,500 | : \$130,500 | : | \$120,000 | : \$145,500 | : \$1/9,000 |
| Protit: | 25,500 | : 31,000 | : | 15,000 | : 23,000 | : 22,500 |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u> </u> | | | |

(In number of growers)

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

. .

each of the years 1972-75 and less than \$200,000 in 1976. With the exception of 1973, fewer than half the growers earned more than \$25,000 on their fresh-cut-flower and plant-growing operations in any 1 year during 1972-76. Median profit ranged from a low of \$15,000 in 1974 to a high of \$31,000 in 1973. The numbers of firms reporting losses among the 52 growers during 1972-76 are summarized below:

| Number | of | fir | ms |
|----------|------|------|----|
| reportin | ig . | loss | es |

| 1972 | چ ب و خ و و و و و و و و و و و ^و م نر و و و م م م و و و و و و | 5 |
|------|---|----|
| 1973 | | 2 |
| 1974 | _~~ | 12 |
| 1975 | | 8 |
| 1976 | ین بن از بن بن بن ور ور ور ین کا دارند کا کا کا کا کا کا کا در بن کا کا کار از ور ور ور ور ور | 9 |

<u>California growers of fresh cut flowers</u>.--Usable profit-andloss data were received from 13 California growers of fresh cut flowers. California is a carnation- and rose-growing area. The data presented in table 11 for the California growers are dominated by one large grower which accounted for more than half the reported total sales of fresh cut carnations and for all the reported sales of fresh cut roses in each of the years 1972-76.

Overall, during 1972-76 total sales of all flowers and plants increased 32 percent, compared with a 41-percent increase in growing and operating expenses. Net profit declined 68 percent from 1972 to 1976. In the aggregate, the 13 growers sustained a loss of \$178,000 in 1974--equal to 4.7 percent of total sales--and in the other 4 years earned profits ranging from a high of \$542,000 in 1973 to a low \$100,000 in 1976. Profit margins ranged from 2.0 percent in 1976 to 13.0 percent in 1973.

<u>Colorado growers of fresh cut flowers</u>.--From Colorado, a major carnation-growing area, the Commission obtained six usable responses to its questionnaire. The data presented in table 12 for the Colorado growers also are dominated by one large grower which accounted for more than half the reported sales of fresh cut carnations and for all the sales of fresh cut roses in each of the years 1972-76.1/

Total sales of all flowers and plants increased 61 percent from 1972 to 1976, and growing and operating expenses increased 73 percent. On the other hand, net profit declined 26 percent during 1972-76.

The Colorado growers sustained a loss of \$79,000 in 1975, equal to 2.3 percent of total sales. In all other years, profit ranged from a high of \$351,000 in 1972 to a low of \$258,000 in 1976. Profit margins ranged downward from 12.3 percent in 1972 to 5.6 percent in 1976.

1/ * * * * *

Table 11.--Profit-and-loss experience of 13 California growers on their fresh-cut-flower-; and plant-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

| (Money figures in | thousar | ١d | s of de | 21 | lars) | | | |
|---|--------------|----|--------------|----|-------|---------|---|-------|
| Item | 197 2 | : | 19 73 | : | 1974 | 1975 | : | 1976 |
| | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| Sales: 1/ : | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| Fresh cut flowers: | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| Carnations: | * | : | * | : | * | : * | : | * |
| Chrysanthemums: | * | : | * | : | * | : * | : | * |
| Roses: | * | : | * | : | * | : * | : | * |
| Other fresh cut flowers: | * | : | * | : | * | : * | : | * |
| Subtotal: | * | : | * | : | * | : _ * | : | . * |
| Potted foliage plants: | * | : | * | : | * | : * | : | * |
| Sales of other flowers and : | * | : | * | : | * | : * | : | * |
| plants: | * | : | * | : | * | : * | : | . * |
| Total sales: | 3,787 | : | 4,160 | : | 3,814 | : 4,247 | : | 5,009 |
| Other income: | 6 | : | 39 | : | 9 | : 5 | : | 9 |
| Total sales and other income: | 3,793 | : | 4,199 | : | 3,823 | : 4,252 | : | 5,018 |
| Growing and operating expenses: : | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| Hired labor and wages: | 1,551 | : | 1,593 | : | 1,666 | : 1,738 | : | 1,910 |
| Plants, bulbs, and seed purchased: | 357 | : | 403 | : | 572 | : 522 | : | 654 |
| Fertilizers, lime, and chemicals: | 114 | : | 98 | : | 142 | : 145 | : | 144 |
| Supplies purchased: | 160 | : | 168 | : | 185 | 224 | : | 246 |
| Repairs and maintenance: | 96 | : | 105 | : | 130 | : 124 | : | 181 |
| Depreciation: | 222 | : | 245 | : | 235 | 231 | : | 209 |
| Taxes and insurance: | 332 | : | 360 | : | 400 | : 352 | : | 601 |
| Gas, oil, and fuel: | 166 | : | 176 | : | 231 | : 299 | : | 425 |
| Water and electricity: | 95 | : | 110 | : | 107 | : 149 | : | 168 |
| Other expenses 2/: | 388 | : | 399 | : | 333 | : 320 | : | 380 |
| Total growing and operating : | | : | | : | | | : | |
| expenses: | 3,481 | : | 3,657 | : | 4,001 | 4,104 | : | 4,918 |
| Net profit or (loss) before income ; | | : | | • | | | : | |
| taxes and officers' salaries: | 312 | : | 542 | : | (178) | : 148 | : | 100 |
| Ratio of net profit or (loss) (before : | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| income taxes and officers' salaries): | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| to total salespercent: | 8.2 | : | 13.0 | : | (4.7) | 3.5 | : | 2.0 |
| | | : | | : | | : | : | |

1/ Some growers reported gross sales and other growers reported net sales (less commissions paid).

2/ Consists mainly of shipping, selling (including commissions paid), and general overhead expenses.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

A-39

| (Money figures in | thousand | ds of do | llars) | ······ | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---|
| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 197 6 |
| Sales: 1/ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | : | : | : : | |
| Freeh out flowers: | | • | • | • • | • |
| Carpations | * | • * | • | • • • | * |
| | * | • | • | * | * |
| Booog Boog Boog Boog Boog Boog Boog Boo | *: | • • * | • | * * | * |
| Other fresh cut flowers | * | • | • | * | * |
| Subtotal | | • | • | • • • • | |
| Pottod obryganthomymo | * | • * | • * | • * • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | · . | • * | • * | • * • | * |
| Pottod foliago plants | · + | • • | • * | • * • | * |
| Salas of other flowers and | A | • * | • * | • * • | |
| planter and | * | • * | • * | • * • | * |
| | 2.848 | 3,137 | • 3.535 | 3.511 | 4 580 |
| Other incompany and a second s | 24 | • 54 | • 33 | • 40 | 28 |
| Total sales and other income | 2.872 | 3,191 | • 3.568 | 3.551 | 4,608 |
| Crowing and operating expenses: | , _ , _, | • | • | • • • • | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Hirod labor and wages | 825 | . 1.059 | . 1.186 | 1.295 | 1.247 |
| Plante bulbe and seed purchased | 75 | • 61 | . 78 | 2.58 | 305 |
| Fortilizors lime and chemicals | 44 | 60 | 61 | 105 | 90 |
| Supplice purchased | 88 | 168 | 238 | 225 | 501 |
| Bonaire and maintenance | 48 | . 42 | . 70 | 59 | 61 |
| Depreciation- | 171 | . 196 | . 199 | 199 | 195 |
| Taxos and insurance | 147 | 207 | 211 | 228 | 244 |
| Cas oil and fuel- | 170 | 361 | • • 248 | 260 | 369 |
| Water and electricity | 95 | . 107 | . 111 | 146 | 153 |
| Ather expenses 2/ | 858 | 600 | . 866 | 855 | 1.185 |
| Total growing and operating | | • | • | | |
| | 2,521 | 2,861 | 3,268 | 3.630 | 4,350 |
| Net profit or (lose) before income | | • | • | • | , |
| tawag and officers' calarian | · 251- | • 220 | • 200 | • (70) | • 758 |
| Ratio of net profit or (loce) hefere | - 22T | • • • • • • | • 500 | • (/) | . 2.70 |
| income taxes and officers' salaries | • | • | • | • • | • |
| to total sales | 10 0 | • • 10 F | • • • • | • (0 0) • | |
| to total salespercent | 12.3 | · 10.2 | • 0,5 | • (2.3) | 2.6 |

Table 12.--Profit-and-loss experience of 6 Colorado growers on their freshcut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

1/ Some growers reported gross sales and other growers reported net sales (less commissions paid).

 $\frac{2}{2}$ Consists mainly of shipping, selling (including commissions paid), and general overhead expenses.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

Florida growers of fresh cut flowers.--Florida is a major growing area for chrysanthemums and gladioli. Profit-and-loss data for seven Florida growers are shown in table 13. During 1972-76, total sales of all flowers and plants increased 34 percent, total growing and operating expenses increased 41 percent, and net profit declined 18 percent.

The Florida growers sustained a loss of \$247,000 in 1974, equal to 3.2 percent of total sales, and earned profits in all other years ranging from a low of \$265,000 in 1975 to a high of \$1.0 million in 1972. Profit margins ranged from a low of 2.9 percent in 1975 to a high of 13.0 percent in 1972.

The profit position of this group of growers was influenced somewhat during 1972-76 by two growers which accounted for the bulk of the item "Other income" shown in table 13. The income consisted of investment income and gains on the sale of securities and other assets. The Florida growers reported a significant increase in sales of potted foliage plants in 1974-76.

The item "Sales of other flowers and plants" shown in table 13 consists mainly of retail sales of flowers and plants reported by one grower and sales of citrus fruit reported by another grower. The citrus fruit sales were substantially smaller than the retail flower and plant sales.

North Carolina growers of fresh cut flowers.--Profit-and-loss data for the four reporting North Carolina growers of fresh cut flowers are shown in table 14 for 1972-76. Total sales of all flowers and plants increased 36 percent from 1972 to 1976, total growing and operating expenses increased 43 percent, and net profit declined 10 percent. Profit margins ranged from a low of 6.9 percent in 1974 to 14.5 percent in 1973.

<u>Midwestern growers of fresh cut flowers</u>.--Eleven fresh cut flower growers from the States of Indiana, Ohio, and Missouri constitute the midwestern group of growers. This group of growers reported higher profits than those of any other area during 1972-76. The 11 growers accounted for about 33 percent of the profit and only 17 percent of the total sales reported by the 52 reporting U.S. growers during this period. The profits shown in table 15 are greatly influenced by one grower which reported substantial income from rose royalties, interest, and dividends. Table 13.--Profit-and-loss experience of 7 Florida growers on their freshcut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

| Thomey iffores in chousenes of dollars | (Monev | figures | in | thousands | of | dollars |
|--|--------|---------|----|-----------|----|---------|
|--|--------|---------|----|-----------|----|---------|

| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| Sales: 1/ | | | : | | : |
| Fresh cut flowers: | : : | : | : | | : |
| Carnations | : 75 : | : 80 : | 113 | 98 | : 109 |
| Chrysanthemums | : 1,770 ; | 2,125 | : 2,039 | 2,063 | : 2,224 |
| Roses | : 21 : | : 26 : | 26 | 29 | : 29 |
| Other fresh cut flowers 2/ | : 3,557 | 3,890 | : 3,679 | 4,008 | : 4,249 |
| Subtotal | 5,423 | 6,121 | 5,857 | 6,198 | : 6,611 |
| Potted chrysanthemums | : *: | * | * | * | * |
| Decorative greens | . * | * | * | * | : * |
| Potted foliage plants | * | * | * | * | : * |
| Sales of other flowers and | : *: | * | * | * | : * |
| plants 3/ | : *: | * | * | * | : * |
| Total sales | * | * | * | * | : * |
| Other income | * * | * | * | * | : * |
| Total sales and other income | 7,957 | 8,422 | 7,967 | 9,435 | : 10,641 |
| Growing and operating expenses: | : _ : | | : | : | : |
| Hired labor and wages | : 2,814 ; | 2,976 | 3,199 | 3,408 | : 3,595 |
| Plants, bulbs, and seed purchased | : 498 : | 677 | 668 | 798 | : 1,022 |
| Fertilizers, lime, and chemicals | : 481 ; | 539 | 623 | 822 | : 917 |
| Supplies purchased | 439 | : 452 ; | 601 | 606 | : 595 |
| Repairs and maintenance | : 393 ; | 419 | : 396 | : 405 | : 360 |
| Depreciation | 374 | 400 | 447 | 462 | : 496 |
| Taxes and insurance | : 291 ; | 312 | 369 | 413 | : 474 |
| Gas, oil, and fuel | : 106 | 116 | 170 | 231 | : 256 |
| Water and electricity | 95 | 119 | 153 | 179 | : 180 |
| Other expenses 4/ | 1,448 | 1,453 | 1,588 | 1,846 | : 1,911 |
| Total growing and operating | : | : | | | : |
| expenses | : 6,939 ; | 7,463 | 8,214 | 9,170 | : 9,806 |
| Net profit or (loss) before income | . 1 | : | | | ; |
| taxes and officers' salaries | : 1,018 : | 959 | (247) | 265 | : 835 |
| Ratio of net profit or (loss)(before | | : | : | | : |
| income taxes and officers' salaries) | : | : | : | : | : |
| to total salespercent- | : 13.0 : | : 11.7 : | : (3.2) | 2.9 | : 8.0 |
| | : : | : | : | : | : |

1/ Some growers reported gross sales and other growers reported net sales (less commissions paid).

2/ Gladioli sales account for a major share of this item.

 $\frac{3}{2}$ Consists mainly of sales of purchased flowers and plants. Also includes sales of citrus fruit.

 $\underline{4}$ / Consists mainly of shipping, selling (including commissions paid), and general overhead expenses.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

Table 14.--Profit-and-loss experience of 4 North Carolina growers on their fresh-cut-flower-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

| Item | 1972 | : | 1973 | : 1 | 974 | : | 1975 | : | 1976 |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----|-------|-------------|-------|--------------|---|----------|----------|
| | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| Fresh cut flower sales: 1/ | * | : | - | 1 2 - 12 | * | | · * | : | * |
| | * | : | * ~ | | | | - i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | : | * |
| | * | : | ÷ | : | * | . . . | ··· • | : | * |
| Roses | * | : | * | : | | : | * | • | ۰۰ ب |
| Other fresh cut flowers | | | | <u>.</u> | | <u>.</u> | * | <u>.</u> | <u> </u> |
| lotal fresh cut flower sales | ~ ~ | : | ÷. | : | * | : | * | : | * |
| Juner income | | | | : | 0.01 | ÷ | | ÷ | |
| lotal sales and other income | 112 | : | 792 | : | 831 | • | 890 | : | 972 |
| Growing and operating expenses: | 011 | : | 000 | : | ~ / ~ | : | 267 | : | 200 |
| Hired labor and wages | 211 | : | 223 | : | 240 | : | 267 | : | 288 |
| Plants, bulbs, and seed | | : | | : | | : | | : | 1 |
| purchased | 80 | : | 90 | : | 100 | : | 83 | : | 124 |
| Fertilizers, lime, and chemicals | 8 | : | 11 | : | 7 | : | 16 | : | 12 |
| Supplies purchased | 23 | : | 23 | : | 23 | : | 23 | : | 18 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 45 | : | 25 | : | 37 | : | 16 | : | 20 |
| Depreciation | 65 | : | 75 | : | 67 | : | 65 | : | 53 |
| Taxes and insurance | 21 | : | 25 | : | 29 | : | 33 | : | 36 |
| Gas, oil, and fuel | 74 | : | 108 | : | 146 | : | 163 | : | 183 |
| Water and electricity | 15 | : | 17 | : | 17 | : | 20 | : | 28 |
| Other expenses 2/ | 81 | . : | 80 | : | 108 | : | 117 | : | 127 |
| Total growing and operating | | : | 1 | • | : : | | | : | |
| expenses | 623 | : | 677 | | 774 | | 803 | : | 889 |
| Net profit before income taxes and | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| officers' salaries | 92 | : | 115 | : | 57 | : | 93 | : | 83 |
| Ratio of net profit (before | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| income taxes and officers' | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| salaries) to total salespercent | 12.9 | : | 14.5 | : | 6.9 | : | 11.0 | : | 8.5 |
| | | : | | : | | : | | : | |

(Money figures in thousands of dollars)

1/ Some growers reported gross sales and other growers reported net sales (less commissions paid).

2/ Consists mainly of shipping, selling (including commissions paid), and general overhead expenses.

Source: Compiled from data sumbitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

Table 15.--Profit-and-loss experience of 11 midwestern growers on their freshcut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

| (money rigures in | thousand | is or do | llars) | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| : | | : | : | : | : |
| Sales: <u>1</u> / : | : | | : | | : |
| Fresh cut flowers: | | | : | : | : |
| Carnations: | 64 | : 58 | : 44 | : 30 | : 31 |
| Chrysanthemums: | 407 | 493 | : 509 | : 491 | : 542 |
| Roses | 1,959 | 2,425 | 2,389 | 2,598 | : 2,786 |
| Other fresh cut flowers: | 396 | 136 | 4 65 € | <u>: 506</u> | : 566 |
| Subtotal: | 2,826 | 3,112 | : 3,407 | : 3,625 | : 3,925 |
| Potted chrysanthemums | * : | : * | • * | : * | : * |
| Potted foliage plants: | * : | * | : * | : * | : * |
| Sales of other flowers and | . : | | : | : | : |
| plants | * | * | : * | : * | : * |
| Total sales | * | * | : * | : * | : * |
| Other income | * | * | : * | : * | : * |
| Total sales and other income | 3,816 | 4,263 | : 4,475 | : 5,020 | : 5,633 |
| Growing and operating expenses: | | | : | : | : |
| Hired labor and wages | 793 : | 853 | : 963 | : 1,128 | : 1.244 |
| Plants, bulbs, and seed purchased | 228 | 273 | : 309 | : 385 | : 557 |
| Fertilizers, lime, and chemicals | 68 | : 75 | : 102 | : 95 | : 139 |
| Supplies purchased | 97 | 95 | : 128 | : 143 | : 220 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 117 | 97 | : 85 | : 116 | : 128 |
| Depreciation | 136 | 152 | 188 | : 210 | : 210 |
| Taxos and insurance | 169 | 200 | 212 | : 230 | : 257 |
| Cas of and fuel | 255 | 292 | 484 | . 747 | . 751 |
| Water and electricity | 98 | 103 | 138 | 183 | : 202 |
| Athor expanses 2/ | 903 | 1.259 | • 1.079 | • 1.270 | • 1.332 |
| Total growing and operating | | | , | • _ , | |
| | 2.864 | 3,399 | • 3.688 | • 4.507 | 5.040 |
| Not profit before income toyog and | | | , | | |
| Net profit before income caxes and | 952 | 864 | 787 | . 513 | . 593 |
| Detio of yet anofit before income | 952 | | • | | • 555 |
| Kallo of net profit (velore income | | | • | • | • |
| Laxes and OIIICERS' Salaries/ CO | 20 / | • • • • • • | • 10.9 | • | • 11 7 |
| total salespercent: | 47.4 | . 24.4 | • TA•0 | • 11•2 | • 11./ |
| | | | 1 | ÷ | |

(Money figures in thousands of dollars)

.

1/ Some growers reported gross sales and other growers reported net sales (less commissions).

2/ Consists mainly of shipping, selling (including commissions paid), and general overhead expenses.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

.

Total sales of all flowers and plants and total growing and operating expenses increased 57 percent and 76 percent, respectively, for this group of growers from 1972 to 1976, while net profit declined 38 percent, as the midwestern growers experienced a substantial increase in gas, oil, and fuel expense during 1972-76. Profit margins ranged from a high of 29.4 percent in 1972 to 11.5 percent and 11.7 percent in 1975 and 1976, respectively.

Northeastern growers of fresh cut flowers.--Profit-and-loss data for 11 northeastern growers of fresh cut flowers are shown in table 16 for 1972-76. 1/ Total sales of all flowers and plants and total growing and operating expenses increased 45 percent and 55 percent, respectively, for the northeastern growers from 1972-76, and net profit increased 3 percent.

Profit margins averaged about 17 percent in 1972 and 1973 and about 11 percent in the period 1974-76. One producer's retail operation accounted for almost all the reported sales of other flowers and plants during 1972-76. The costs of flowers purchased for resale in this grower's retail stores are included in the expense item "Plants, bulbs, and seed purchased."

<u>Growers of fresh cut carnations</u>.--The profit-and-loss experience of 13 U.S. growers on their fresh-cut-carnation-growing operations for 1972-76 is presented in table 17. The 13 growers accounted for about 2.5 percent of total U.S. sales of fresh cut carnations and for about one-third of fresh cut carnation sales reported by the 52 U.S. growers in 1976. Most of the 13 carnation growers are small (median sales amounted to \$104,000 in 1976), and they utilize family labor extensively. Median net profit for the 13 carnation growers declined from \$18,000 and \$20,000 in 1972 and 1973, respectively, to \$7,000 and \$9,000 in 1974 and 1975, respectively, and then increased to \$16,000 in 1976.

<u>Growers of fresh cut chrysanthemums</u>.--The profit-and-loss experience of 12 U.S. growers on their fresh-cut-chrysanthemum-growing operations for 1972-76 is shown in table 18. The 12 growers accounted for about 2.5 percent of total U.S. sales of fresh cut chrysanthemums and for about 41 percent of the fresh cut chrysanthemums sold by the reporting 52 U.S. growers in 1976. Median profit was about \$31,000 for the 12 chrysanthemum growers in each of the years 1973, 1975, and 1976. The median profit for 1972 and 1974 was \$20,000 and \$15,000, respectively.

<u>Growers of fresh cut roses</u>.--Profit-and-loss experience of 12 U.S. growers on their fresh-cut-rose-growing operations is shown in table 19 for 1972-76. Unlike the data for carnation and chrysanthemum growers, the data on roses include a sizable amount of other flower

1/ Includes growers from Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania.

(Money figures in thousands of dollars) 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 Item : : : Sales: 1/ : : : Fresh cut flowers: • 217 : 219 : 178 179 156 Carnations-----: 362 : 366 : 412 465 : 475 ___ : Chrysanthemums---Roses-----: 1,249 : 1,387 : 1,496 : 1,459 : 1,635 27 : 22 16 Other fresh cut flowers-----: 31 23 : : : Subtotal-----: 1,857 : 1,992 : 2,102 : 2,134 2,289 : * * * * * Potted chrysanthemums-----: * Potted foliage plants-----: * * * * Sales of other flowers and * plants 2/-----: * ¥ Total sales----: ×. * * * * * * Other income-----* 2,568 2,804 3,1843,365 3,771 Total sales and other income----: : : : Growing and operating expenses: : : 577 Hired labor and wages-----: 603 662 689 741 : : 475 : 556 : 674 774 891 Plants, bulbs, and seed purchased--: 62 : Fertilizers, lime, and chemicals----: 66 : 81 : 92 85 Supplies purchased-----: 53 : 64 : 59 : 65 89 : Repairs and maintenance-----: 77 : 98 : 82 : 111 : 105 Depreciation-----: 101 : 140 : 98 : 150 : 155 126 : Taxes and insurance-----: 136 : 119 : 132 : 162 166 : 178 : 290 : Gas, oil, and fuel-----: 369 : 395 Water and electricity-----: 40 : 44 : 58 : 73 : 81 Other expenses 3/-----: 478 : 476 : 581 : 585 639 : Total growing and operating 2,152 : 2,318 : 2,783 expenses-----3,007 3,343 : : Net profit before income taxes and : : 416 : 401 : 358 428 officers' salaries---------: 486 : : Ratio of net profit (before income : : : 1 taxes and officers' salaries) to : 16.3 : 17.4 : 12.7 11.6 total sales-----percent--: 10.8

Table 16.--Profit-and-loss experience of 11 northeastern growers on their fresh-cut-flower- and plant-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

1/ Some growers reported gross sales and other growers reported net sales (less commissions paid).

2/ Includes sales of purchased fresh cut flowers and plants sold in grower-owned retail outlets.

3/ Consists mainly of shipping, selling (including commissions paid), and general overhead expenses.

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

Table 17.--Profit-and-loss experience of 13 U.S. growers on their freshcut-carnation-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

| Item | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
|--|-------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| : | | : | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | : : | |
| Sales: : | | : | | : : | |
| Fresh cut carnations: | 1,312 | : 1,225 : | 1,255 | : 1,273 : | 1,469 |
| Fresh cut chrysanthemums: | 1 | : 3 | : 5 | : 4: | 8 |
| Sales of other flowers and plants: | 28 | : 30 : | . 47 | : 62 : | 117 |
| Total sales: | 1,341 | : 1,258 : | : 1,307 | : 1,339 : | 1,594 |
| Other income: | 27 | : 38 : | : 14 | : 20 : | 13 |
| Total sales and other income: | 1,368 | : 1,296 | : 1,321 | : 1,359 : | 1,607 |
| Total growing and operating expenses: | 1,035 | : 1,050 : | : 1,060 | : 1,082 : | 1,228 |
| Net profit before income taxes and officers' : | | • | | : : | |
| salaries: | 333 | : 246 | 261 | : 277 : | 379 |
| Ratio of net profit (before income taxes : | | : : | | : : | |
| and officers' salaries) to total salespercent: | 24.8 | : 19.6 : | 20.0 | : 20.7 : | 23.8 |
| Ratio of fresh-cut-carnation sales to : | : | : : | 1 | : : | |
| total salespercent: | 97.8 | : 97.4 : | 96.0 | : 95.1 : | 92.2 |
| Range of individual growers' total sales: | : | : : | | : : | |
| | 29 | : 23 : | 22 | : 32 : | 25 |
| High | 222 | : 192 : | 242 | : 217 : | 306 |
| Median: | 87 : | : 106 : | 99 | : 101 : | 104 |
| Range of individual growers' total profit or : | : | : : | | : : | |
| (loss): ; | : | : : | | : : | |
| I.ow: | (24) | : (7): | (22) | : (17): | (7) |
| High: | 78 | : 47 : | 142 | : 110 : | 189 |
| Median: | 18 | : 20 : | . 7 | : 9: | 16 |
| Number of growers reporting losses: | 2. | : 2: | ; 4 | : 2: | 2 |
| Hamber of Browers | : | : : | | : : | |

(Money figures in thousands of dollars)

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

÷

A-47

| (Money figures in thousa | ands of d | <u>lo1</u> | lars) | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|--|-----------|------------|---------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| Item | 1972 | : | 1973 | : | 1974 | : 1975 | : | 1976 |
| Salaa: | ····· | : | <u></u> | : | | : | : | |
| Dates: : | 1 170 | • | 1 0/0 | • | 1 7 . | | | 7 007 |
| Fresh cut chrysantnemums: | 1,1/9 | : | 1,343 | : | 1,370 | : 1,56 | ·5 : | 1,881 |
| Sales of other flowers and plants | /0 | <u>.</u> | 83 | ÷ | 100 | : 1/ | 0: | 193 |
| Total sales: | 1,249 | : | 1,426 | : | 1,470 | : 1,73 | 5: | 2,074 |
| Other income: | 4 | : | 3 | : | 6 | • • | <u>7 :</u> | 11 |
| Total sales and other income: | 1,253 | : | 1,429 | : | 1,476 | : 1,74 | 2: | 2,085 |
| Total growing and operating expenses: | 895 | : | 1,112 | : | 1,245 | : 1,39 | 8 : | 1,660 |
| Net profit before income taxes and officers' : | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| salaries: | 358 | : | 317 | : | 231 | : 34 | 4 : | 425 |
| Ratio of net profit (before income taxes : | | : | | : | | : | : | |
| and officers' salaries) to total salespercent: | 28.7 | : | 22.2 | : | 15.7 | : 19. | 8 : | 20.5 |
| Ratio of freeb-cut-chrugenthemum sales to | | • | | • | | : | : | |
| total salespercent: | 94.4 | : | 94.2 | : | 93.2 | : 90. | 2: | 90.7 |
| Range of individual growers' total sales: : | | : | | : | | o 0 | • | |
| Low | 25 | : | 34 | : | 27 | : | 37 : | 36 |
| High: | 306 | : | 292 | : | 316 | : 37 | 7 : | 455 |
| Median: | 91 | : | 107 | : | 109 | : 12 | 20 : | 141 |
| Renge of individual grovers' profit or (loss): | | • | -•• | : | | : | 6 0 | |
| Low and the second seco | 1 | • | 3 | • | 2 | : (| '8) : | (2) |
| | 52 | • | 42 | • | 65 | . 6 | 7 | 102 |
| High-acceleration and a second s | 20 | : | 21 | • | 15 | | 11. | 30 |
| Median | 20 | • | 70 | | رب | • - | ه عد ه | 50 |

Table 18.--Profit-and-loss experience of 12 U.S. growers on their freshcut-chrysanthemum-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

- :

:

0:

:

0:

:

0:

:

Number of growers reporting losses------

2 :

:

1

, >

| (Money rigures in chouse | ands of c | 101 | Liars) | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----|--------|----------|---------|---|-------|------------|
| Item : | 1972 | : | 1973 | : : 1 | 974 | : | 1975 | 1976 |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | ; |
| Sales: : | | : | | : | | : | 1 | 1 |
| Fresh cut carnations: | * | : | * | : | * | : | * : | * |
| Fresh cut chrysanthemums: | 206 | : | 267 | : | 312 | : | 334 : | : 361 |
| Fresh cut roses: | 5,132 | : | 5,767 | : | 6,213 | : | 6,306 | : 7,471 |
| Sales of other flowers and plants: | 225 | : | 238 | : | 268 | : | 485 | 1,064 |
| Total sales: | * | : | * | : | * | : | * | * |
| Other income: | * | : | * | : | * | : | * | * |
| Total sales and other income: | 7,990 | : | 9.010 | : | 9,415 | ; | 9,968 | : 11,744 |
| Total growing and operating expenses: | 6,717 | : | 7,609 | : | 8,567 | : | 9,617 | : 11,253 |
| Net profit before income taxes and officers' : | | : | | : | · | : | | |
| salaries: | 1,273 | : | 1,401 | : | 848 | : | 351 | 491 |
| Ratio of pet profit defore income taxes | -, | • | _, | | | • | | |
| and officers' calaries to total calespercept: | 17 2 | : | 17 0 | • | 05 | : | 37 | <i>h h</i> |
| Ratio of fresh-cut-rose sales to total | 1/.2 | : | 1/.0 | • | 9.5 | : | 5.7 | . 4.4 |
| sales | 60 / | : | 60 0 | : | 70 0 | : | 67 3 | . 67 o |
| Sales | 09.4 | • | 09.9 | : | 70.0 | : | 07.5 | . 07.2 |
| Range of individual growers total sales: | 5.2 | • | 56 | • | 60 | : | 7/. | 71 |
| | 52 | : | 20 | : | 02 + | : | /4 | · /1 |
| H1gh: | 200 | : | 207 | : | | • | 2(1 | () 0 |
| Median: | 302 | : | 307 | : | 331 | : | 301 | 429 |
| Range of individual growers' profit or (loss): : | | : | | : | | : | _ | |
| Low: | * | : | * | : | * | : | * | : * |
| High: | * | : | * | : | * | : | * | * |
| Median: | 52 | : | 66 | : | 26 | : | 28 | : 25 |
| Number of growers reporting losses: | 1 | : | 0 | : | 2 | : | 2 | : 3 |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : |

Table 19 .-- Profit-and-loss experience of 12 U.S. growers on their fresh-cut-rose-growing operations, accounting years 1972-76

(Money figures in thousands of dollars)

Source: Compiled from data submitted in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission by U.S. growers of flowers.

A-49

sales. However, fresh-cut-rose sales accounted for one-half or more of each of the 12 growers' total sales during 1972-76. In the aggregate, fresh-cut-rose sales accounted for 67 to 70 percent of the 12 growers' total sales in each of the years 1972-76. The 12 growers accounted for about 9 percent of total U.S. sales of fresh cut roses and for about 91 percent of fresh-cut-rose sales reported by the 52 U.S. growers in 1976.

Median sales for this group of growers trended upward from \$302,000 in 1972 to \$429,000 in 1976. Median profit, on the other hand, ranged from a high of \$66,000 in 1973 to a low of \$25,000 in 1976. Aggregate profit figures are influenced by the large amount of other income reported by one midwestern grower.

U.S. producers' efforts to compete

U.S. producers of fresh cut flowers have worked to improve the quality of their products, to improve their marketing efforts, and to lower their production costs. An example of industry quality improvement is the voluntary grading system for carnations adopted by many producers in the last 2 years. Officials of the Agricultural Research Service of the Department of Agriculture report that grower acceptance of new floral varieties is rapid and nearly universal. Acceptance of new carnation and chrysanthemum varieties is especially rapid because of the constant replanting of these flower types. However, the commercial life of a rose plant is 3 to 4 years and its relatively high expense makes acceptance of new varieties slower, although Agriculture officials report that almost no floral varieties currently in use are more than 10 years old.

The "Chain of Life," a quality improvement program of the Society of American Florists, is designed to educate industry members and encourage adoptation of new techniques such as the use of preservatives for fresh cut flowers, deionized water, night lighting of chrysanthemums stored in the cooler, precooling of flowers before shipping, and the use of refrigerated trucks. Recent improvements in preservatives have extended the useful life of fresh cut flowers and allowed shipment to distant markets by refrigerated trucks rather than the more expensive air transport. New pesticides and soil sterilization techniques are also rapidly accepted by the industry for maintaining and improving floral quality. Efforts to reduce costs include the adoption of automatic means to control environmental factors by ventilation, watering, fertilizing, heating, and cooling. Many fresh cut flower producers have diversified into other products such as green foliage plants and potted flower plants, thus attempting to utilize their production facilities year round and hence lower their average cost of production.

Marketing improvements include increased expenditures for advertising and promotion. The American Florists Marketing Council, established in 1969, spent \$600,000 in 1976 for advertising and promotion of fresh cut flowers. Other segments of the industry have also reported increased promotional expenditures. The Department of Agriculture and the fresh cut flower industry have cooperated to improve marketing information by conferring on preparation of data collection systems and speeding the collection and distribution of data.

A change in floral marketing from retail florist shops to massmarket outlets has occurred in recent years. Statistics are not available, but it is established that sales through mass-market outlets may account for 10 percent of total fresh cut flower sales.

The Question of Imports as a Substantial Cause of Serious Injury

U.S. consumption

U.S. apparent consumption of all fresh cut flowers (based on indicated wholesale value) increased from \$194 million in 1967 to \$311 million in 1976. The increase in the value of consumption indicates an increase in quantity consumed since wholesale prices for fresh cut flowers have not increased at as fast a rate as the value of consumption.

Mass marketing with lower prices and the increasing interest in natural products is expected to encourage a continued growth in the demand for fresh cut flowers. However, the trend towards memorial gifts in lieu of flowers at funerals is working against increased flower consumption. There has been a marked upward trend in consumption of carnations, pompom chrysanthemums, and cymbidium orchids, while the popularity of gladioli, gardenias, snapdragons, and cattleya orchids has declined.

Per capita consumption of the leading types of fresh cut flowers is shown in table 20. Carnations increased from less than 2 stems per capita in 1950 to nearly 4 stems in 1976. Pompon chrysanthemums have increased from 0.6 stem to 1.6 stems per capita over the same period. Per capita consumption of gladioli, a leading flower for funerals, has fallen from nearly 2 spikes in 1950 to 1 spike in 1976.

In explaining these trends, it should be noted that chrysanthemums and carnations typically last longer than roses or gladioli. Great strides in the technology for producing, marketing, and breeding of carnations and chrysanthemums are permitting cost reductions and greater variety.

| | Carnations | | Roses | | Chrysa | : | | | |
|--------|------------|---|--------|---|--------|---|----------|----------|--------|
| iear : | | | | | Pompon | | Standard | Standard | |
| : | Stems | : | Blooms | ; | Stems | : | Blooms | : | Spikes |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |
| 1950: | 1.7 | : | 2.6 | : | 0.6 | : | 0.3 | : | 1.9 |
| 1959: | 2.4 | : | 2.0 | : | •6 | : | • 5 | : | 1.9 |
| 1970: | 3.3 | : | 2.3 | : | 1.1 | : | .9 | : | 1.5 |
| 1972: | 3.2 | : | 2.2 | : | 1.2 | : | •8 | : | 1.5 |
| 1973: | 3.7 | : | 2.1 | : | 1.3 | : | .9 | : | 1.2 |
| 1974: | 3.9 | : | 2.2 | : | 1.4 | : | .9 | : | 1.2 |
| 1975: | 3.6 | : | 2.1 | : | 1.5 | : | .8 | : | 1.0 |
| 1976: | 3.7 | : | 2.2 | : | 1.6 | : | .8 | : | 1.0 |
| : | | : | | : | | : | | : | |

Table 20.--Fresh cut flowers: U.S. per capita consumption of carnations, roses, pompon chrysanthemums, standard chrysanthemums, and gladioli, 1950, 1959, 1970, and 1972-76

Source: Estimated by the U.S. International Trade Commission.

Prices

Long-term domestic price movements.--The average unit values of grower shipments for the major types of fresh cut flowers for the period 1967-76, presented in table 21, serve as an indicator of annual movements in prices received by growers during this period. Gladioli, which increased from 5.7 cents to 9.5 cents per spike, and roses, which climbed from 11.7 to 17.6 cents per bloom, showed the steadiest and largest price increases among the leading types of fresh cut flowers. While rose prices increased at a 4.6-percent annual rate and gladioli prices advanced a rate of 5.8 percent, other fresh cut flower prices rose slowly and erratically. Standard chrysanthemums, carnations, and pompon chrysanthemums showed annual growth rates of only 1.5 percent, 1.7 percent, and 2.0 percent, respectively, for the period.

Roses and gladioli, which had the strongest price advances, faced far less competition from imports than the other major types of fresh cut flowers. Gladioli imports were negligible throughout the period 1967-76, while imports of roses amounted to only 1.3 percent of U.S. production at their highest level in 1976. On the other hand, the ratio of imports to production for carnations advanced from 0.3 percent in 1967 to 33.9 percent in 1976; the ratio for pompon chrysanthemums surged from 0.7 percent to 47.8 percent during this period, and the ratio for standard chrysanthemums rose from 0.5 percent in 1967 to 15.5 percent in 1974 before dropping to 7.5 percent in 1976.

Table 21.--Fresh cut flowers: Average wholesale prices received by U.S. growers for carnations, standard chrysanthemums, pompon chrysanthemums, gladioli, and roses, 1967-76

| : | _ | Chrysan | themums | : | | |
|-------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Year | Carnations | Standard | Pompon | Gladioli : : | Koses | |
| : | <u>Cents per</u> | <u>Cents per</u> | : <u>Cents per</u> | : <u>Cents per</u> | : <u>Cents per</u> | |
| : | stem | <u>bloom</u> | : <u>stem</u> | : <u>spike</u> | : <u>bloom</u> | |
| : | : | • | : | : | : | |
| 1967: | <u>1</u> / 7.9 : | : 18.3 | : 13.8 | : 5.7 | : 11.7 | |
| 1968: | 7.7 : | 20.2 | : 14.0 | : 6.3 | : 12.0 | |
| 1969: | 7.6 : | 19.6 | : 13.8 | : 6.6 | : 12.6 | |
| 1970: | 7.5 : | : 18.3 | : 13.7 | : 6.7 | : 12.3 | |
| 1971: | 7.6 : | : 19.0 | : 14.2 | : 6.7 | : 12.7 | |
| 1972: | 8.6 : | : 21.2 | : 14.8 | : 7.4 | : 13.8 | |
| 1973: | 7.8 : | 21.6 | : 15.2 | : 8.3 | : 14.8 | |
| 1974: | 7.8 | : 20.5 | : 13.7 | : 8.4 | : 15.3 | |
| 1975: | 8.2 | : 21.9 | : 14.7 | : 9.2 | : 16.4 | |
| 1976: | 9.4 | 20.9 | : 16.0 | : 9.5 | : 17.6 | |
| : | : | • | : | : | : | |

1/ Estimated by the U.S. International Trade Commission.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, except as noted.

Note.--Minature carnations converted from blooms to stems at a rate of 6 blooms a stem. Pompon chrysanthemums converted from bunches to stems at a rate of 6 stems a bunch.

<u>Short-term domestic and import price movements</u>.--The price series for the major fresh cut flowers were developed by computing the midpoints of the ranges of weekly leading market prices quoted by the USDA. Monthly averages of those values were then calculated. The domestic series for carnations, roses, and standard chrysanthemums came from quotes for the California central coast market because published data from this market are abundant and because California is the leading U.S. producer of these types of flowers. For pompons, separate domestic series were developed for California and for Florida because Florida ranks close to California as a major U.S. grower of pompons. Florida has a short growing season and, therefore, the pompon price data for this major producing area were available only for the months of November through June, as shown in table 22. Since carnations, roses, and standard chrysanthemums are broad categories of fresh cut flowers, it was necessary to choose a representative subtype for each. Hybrid tea roses 14 to 18 inches long, large standard chrysanthemums, and standard carnations were selected. No price series was constructed for gladioli, since growers of these flowers do not face import competition.

Quarterly and monthly import prices are included in tables 22 through 24 and in figures 5 through 8 for comparison with domestic prices during the periods for which data were available. The import price series for carnations and for pompon chrysanthemums are complete for the period from early 1974 through February of 1977, but the standard chrysanthemum series contains frequent gaps. Import price data for roses were not available.

The data gathered show that fresh cut flower prices fluctuate widely from month to month and from quarter to quarter, largely because inventories cannot be maintained to soften the impact of occasional sharp shifts in demand and supply. Prices tend to be high during the first two quarters of the year because of large seasonal demands created by Valentine's Day, Easter, Mother's Day, and Memorial Day. They decline during the summer when local growers are in peak production and then rise again during the final months of the year with the onset of the Christmas and Jewish holidays. The amplitude of these seasonal price fluctuations is often strengthened or weakened by other factors. For example, the sharp jump in the price of Floridagrown pompons from January to February of 1977 indicated in table 23 was probably due to real or anticipated shortages of these flowers resulting from the frost that occurred in Florida during that time.

Although the data show that prices of domestic and imported flowers generally move in the same direction over time, it is apparent in figure 5 that prices of imported carnations are consistently higher than those for domestically produced carnations. Pompon chrysanthemums show a similar pattern as indicated in figures 7 and 8. According to some wholesalers and retailers, this occurs because imported carnations and pompon chrysanthemums are generally superior in quality to domestic varieties owing to superior climatic conditions prevailing in Colombia, which is the main foreign supplier.

Ratios of import prices to domestic prices were calculated for each of the major types of fresh cut flowers to determine whether import prices have been rising or falling in relation to domestic prices over time. The ratios calculated for carnations, standard chrysanthemums, and for California and Florida pompon chrysanthemums are shown in figures 9 through 12.

The ratios show a cyclical pattern in the movement of import prices in relation to domestic prices--a peak in the third quarter and declines in the first and last quarters in each year. The trend lines for these ratios suggest that prices of imported carnations and standard chrysanthemums have tended to fall in relation to domestic prices of these flowers from 1974 through 1976. Import prices of pompons have Table 22.--Pompon chrysanthemums: U.S. grower prices in California and Florida and import prices, by months, January 1973-February 1977

| (±11 | cents per bu | | |
|------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|
| : | California : | Florida : | 7. |
| Period : | grower : | grower : | Import |
| : | price 1/ : | price 2/: | price <u>3</u> / |
| | : | : | |
| 1973: : | : | : | |
| January: | 101.3 : | 78.8 : | 4/ |
| February: | 106.9 : | 81.8 : | 4/ |
| March: | 97.0 : | 81.5 : | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| April: | 88.8 : | 81.9 : | 4/ |
| May: | 94.4 : | 82.5 : | 4/ |
| June: | 88.0 : | 80.0 : | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| July: | 81.9 : | 4/: | 4/ |
| August: | 82.5 : | $\overline{4}/$: | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| September: | 78.8 : | $\overline{4}/:$ | 4/ |
| October: | 81.3 : | $\overline{4}/$: | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| November: | 81.5 : | 80.0 : | 4/ |
| December: | 85.O : | 81.0 : | 4/ |
| : | : | : | _ |
| 1974: : | : | : | |
| January | 88.8 : | 78.1 : | <u>4/</u> |
| February | 95.6 : | 83.8 : | $\frac{4}{2}$ |
| March: | 85.1 : | /3.5 : | 70.0 |
| Apr11: | 89.4 : | 85.0 : | 90.7 |
| May: | 100.0: | 85.0 : | 100.7 |
| June: | 87.5 : | 85.0 : | 95.0 |
| July | /8.8 : | $\frac{4}{$ | 95.0 |
| August: | 80.5 : | $\frac{4}{.}$ | 95.0 |
| September | 82.5 : | $\frac{4}{\cdot}$ | 95.0 |
| Uctober | /6.9 : | <u>4/</u> : | 95.0 |
| November | /8.5 : | 85.8 : | 89.0 |
| December | 82.5 : | 78.1 : | 75.6 |
| 1075. | : | : | |
| | 84 0 • | ۰ ۵۶ ۶ | 95.0 |
| Fobruary | 986 | 02.J. | 04.4 |
| March | 94.4. | 89.0 • | 54.4 05 5 |
| April | 91 9 • | 86.2 | 90.0 |
| May | 91.5. | 86 5 • | 07.4 |
| | 88 1 • | 85 0 • | 101 5 |
| Jul v: | 86.2 : | ۵۶.0 · | 103 5 |
| August | 80.5 | $\frac{-1}{4}$ | 93 K |
| September: | 77.5 : | $\frac{-1}{4}$ | 99.4 |
| October: | 79 0 • | $\frac{4}{7}$ | 101 2 |
| November: | 81 2 · | $\frac{4}{91}$, . | 90 5 |
| December: | 91.2 | 88.8 • | 97 5 |
| | | : | <i>, , , , ,</i> |
| - | • | - | |

(In cents per bunch)

See footnotes at end of table.

.

Table 22, -- Pompon chrysanthemums: U.S. grower prices in California and Florida and import prices, by months, January 1973-February 1977--Cont.

| (In cents per bunch) | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Period | : | California grower price <u>1</u> / | : | Florida grower price <u>2</u> / | Import price <u>3</u> / | | | |
| 1976: January February March April May | | 90.6 114.5 103.3 109.8 110.0 99.3 95.0 98.1 86.7 84.0 88.8 104.0 | ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** | 90.0 91.9 92.5 92.5 92.5 90.0 $\frac{4}{4}$ $\frac{4}{4}$ 95.0 95.0 | 89.0 106.9 100.6 100.0 104.5 107.5 100.5 106.5 106.7 103.5 107.5 106.5 | | | |
| 1977: January February | : : : : : : | 112.5 133.8 | : : : : | 109.3 138.0 | 109.4 119.0 | | | |

1/ Price in California central coast area.

 $\frac{2}{3}$ / Price in Miami, Fla. 3/ Price in Miami, Fla., of imports from Colombia.

 $\frac{1}{4}$ Not available.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

| (In cents per bloom) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Dominal | Carnat | ions | Stand chrysant | Roses | | | | | |
| reriod | Grower : | Import : | Grower : | Import | Grower | | | | |
| • | price <u>1</u> / : | price $2/$: | price $\underline{1}/$: | price <u>2</u> / : | : price <u>1</u> / | | | | |
| | : | : | : | | | | | | |
| 1973: : | : | : | • | : | • | | | | |
| JanMar: | 7.7 : | 3/: | 26.0 : | 3/ 3 | 14.2 | | | | |
| AprJune: | 5.9 : | $\frac{1}{3}$: | 19.7 : | $\frac{3}{3}$ | 13.8 | | | | |
| July-Sept: | 3.7 : | $\frac{1}{3}$: | 18.6 : | $\overline{3}/$ | 8.9 | | | | |
| OctDec: | 4.6 : | $\frac{3}{3}$: | 21.7 : | 3/ | : 11.8 | | | | |
| : | : | - : | : | ; | : | | | | |
| 1974: : | : | ° • | : | : | • | | | | |
| JanMar: | 6.4 : | 10.3 : | 23.0 : | 3/ 3 | 16.2 | | | | |
| AprJune: | 6.3 : | 8.2 : | 20.1 : | 21.3 | : 14.3 | | | | |
| July-Sept: | 3.4 : | 5.2 : | 16.8 : | 19.6 | 8.3 | | | | |
| OctDec: | 6.2 : | 8.5 : | 20.5 : | 20.3 | : 11.0 | | | | |
| : | : | | : | : | : | | | | |
| 1975: : | : | : | : | | : | | | | |
| JanMar: | 8.4 : | 10.8 : | 24.2 : | 22.8 | : 16.5 | | | | |
| AprJune: | 7.0 : | 8.3 : | 21.2 : | 20.0 | : 11.3 | | | | |
| July-Sept: | 5.1 : | 7.4 : | 20.8 : | 3/ | 9.8 | | | | |
| OctDec: | 8.6 : | 9.7 : | 25.9 : | 3/ | : 12.7 | | | | |
| : | : | : | : | - | : | | | | |
| 1976: : | : | : | : | | • | | | | |
| JanMar: | 10.3 : | 11.1 : | 27.7 : | 25.3 | 18.8 | | | | |
| AprJune: | 11.4 : | 11.8 : | 24.5 : | 25.0 | : 15.9 | | | | |
| July-Sept: | 4.8 : | 8.9 : | 21.8 : | 24.0 | : 10.4 | | | | |
| OctDec: | 7.2 : | 8.9 : | 26.3 : | 24.2 | : 13.4 | | | | |
| : | : | | : | | : | | | | |

Table 23.--Fresh cut flowers: U.S. grower prices and import prices for carnations end standard chrysanthemums and U.S. grower prices for roses, by quarters, 1973-76

(In cents per bloom)

1/ Grower price in California central coast area.

 $\overline{2}$ / Price of imports from Colombia, Guatemala, and Costa Rica in Miami, Fla.

3/ Not available.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.
| (In cents per bloom) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Period | Carnati | ions | : Stand : chrysant | Roses | | | | | |
| | Grower price <u>1</u> / | : Import : price <u>2</u> / | : Grower : : price $\frac{1}{}$: | Import : price <u>2</u> / : | Grower price <u>1</u> / | | | | |
| : 1976: : | | | : : | : | | | | | |
| January: | 10.3 | : 10.3 | : 27.3 : | <u>3</u> / : | 17.8 | | | | |
| February: | 13.2 | : 13.5 | : 28.0 : | 25.3 : | 23.0 | | | | |
| March: | 7.5 | 9.4 | : 27.7 : | 25.3 | 15.7 | | | | |
| April: | 12.6 | 12.4 | : 25.5 : | 25.5 : | 20.2 | | | | |
| May: | 12.5 | : 12.5 | : 24.0 : | 25.0 : | 19.0 | | | | |
| June: | 9.0 | : 10.6 | : 24.0 : | 24.5 : | 8.5 | | | | |
| July: | 3.5 | 8.1 | : 22.6 : | 24.0 : | 10.6 | | | | |
| August: | 5.2 | 9.1 | : 22.5 : | 24.0 : | 10.2 | | | | |
| September: | 5.7 | 9.5 | : 20.3 : | 24.0 | 10.3 | | | | |
| October: | 5.9 | 8.8 | : 23.8 : | 23.9 : | 10.2 | | | | |
| November: | 5.8 | : 7.8 | : 27.2 : | 24.3 : | 12.5 | | | | |
| December: | 9.9 | : 10.1 | : 27.8 : | 24.3 : | 17.6 | | | | |
| : | : | : | : | : | | | | | |
| 1977: : | : | : | : : | : | | | | | |
| January: | 8.5 | 9.3 | : 28.8 : | 25.0 : | 18.5 | | | | |
| February: | 12.5 | 15.5 | : 30.0 : | 26.1 : | 26.0 | | | | |
| • | | • | : : | | | | | | |

Table 24.--Fresh cut flowers: U.S. grower and import prices of carnations and standard chrysanthemums and U.S. grower prices of roses, by months, January 1976-February 1977

 $\frac{1}{2}$ / Prices received by growers in the California central coast area. $\frac{2}{2}$ / Prices of imports from Colombia, Costa Rica, and Guatemala in Miami, Fla.

3/ Not available.

. .

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

A-00





A-62

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.



risen in relation to prices of Florida pompons. The ratio of import prices to domestic prices for California pompon chrysanthemums has remained fairly constant. However, in view of the wide fluctuations in all of the price ratios, very little significance can be attached to these trend lines.

Elasticity estimates for carnations and pompons.--Several regression estimates of the elasticities of demand for imported carnations and pompons with respect to changes in the import and domestic prices of these flowers and changes in the aggregate level of economic activity were attempted. Seasonally adjusted quarterly data for the 1974-76 period were used in the analysis, which is discussed in detail in appendix B.

Changes in the import prices of carnations and in the level of economic activity as measured by aggregate personal consumption expenditures in constant 1972 dollars were found to be significant in explaining 64 percent of the quarterly variation in carnation imports. The estimates suggest that a 1-percent rise in the import price of carnations would lead to a decline of approximately 0.6 percent in the volume of imports with all other factors remaining the same. The estimates also show that a 1-percent increase in personal consumption expenditures would lead to a 5.3-percent rise in imports with all other factors remaining the same.

Domestic carnation prices were not found to be significant in explaining the volume of imports. However, the domestic price series used in the regression was highly correlated with the import price series. This made it difficult to measure the separate influences of the two prices on imports. Therefore, this finding should be regarded with caution.

The prices of neither the foreign nor the domestic pompons were significant in explaining pompon imports. Personal consumption expenditures alone accounted for about 80 percent of the quarterly variation in these imports. The estimates indicate that a l-percent rise in personal consumption expenditures would result in a 7.4-percent increase in imports if all other factors remain the same.

Thus, pompon and carnation imports both seem to be highly sensitive to fluctuations in the aggregate level of economic activity. They tend to rise sharply during expansionary periods when consumer purchasing power is increasing and then to fall sharply during contractionary phases when purchasing power is declining. Although high correlations between domestic and import prices posed some problems in the analysis, the overall results clearly suggest that prices are not a major determinant of either pompon or carnation imports. A consideration of factors that may account for the high correlations between the domestic and foreign prices of all of the major cut flowers is provided in appendix C.

Other possible causes of serious injury to the domestic industry

<u>Rising costs</u>.--The major costs of producing fresh cut flowers, including labor, electricity, fuel, and fertilizer, have all risen faster than prices in recent years. The outlays required to meet U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration safety requirements and the added expense occasioned by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency restrictions on certain pesticides formerly used by growers have further increased costs. The inability of domestic growers to pass on these increasing costs to consumers is a possible cause of serious injury to the domestic industry. This inability may be caused by imports, competition from other domestic growers, or a combination of the two factors.

<u>Competition from other crops for available land</u>.--Other crops, notably green foliage plants, potted flowers, and bedding plants, compete with fresh cut flowers for the same agricultural land. In some instances such competition has resulted in smaller production of fresh cut flowers than would otherwise have been the case.

Suburban and urban expansion also compete for land devoted to fresh cut flower production, especially in the populous Northeast. Many greenhouses in the Northeast that were once situated on the city fringe are now in prime commercial and residential locations and therefore must compete with the returns available from such uses.

APPENDIX A

METHODOLOGY USED TO ESTIMATE U.S. PRODUCTION, IMPORTS, AND EXPORTS OF FRESH CUT FLOWERS

•

Official statistics are not available on a comparable basis for quantity or value of fresh cut flower production, imports, and exports. U.S. production is available in terms of quantity and value on an annual basis for seven types of fresh cut flowers (eight in 1976) from selected producing States. Annual imports for major types of fresh cut flowers are available in terms of quantity, and annual U.S. exports of fresh cut flowers are available in terms of value.

In order to have comparable data available on U.S. production, imports, and exports, a series was developed for 1967-76 for the wholesale value of U.S. production, imports, and exports using the following methodology:

Production

The wholesale values of U.S. production of fresh cut flowers for 1967-69 and 1971-76 are based on the wholesale value reported to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) by commercial floriculture producers for seven specified fresh cut flowers in major producing States. For 1970 the wholesale value of U.S. fresh cut flower production is from the 1970 <u>Census of Agriculture: Horticul-</u> tural Specialties of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

The wholesale values of U.S. sales for all fresh cut flowers in 1967-69 and 1971-76 were derived by extrapolating the data from the seven specified fresh cut flowers in major producing States to the seven specified flowers in all States, and then extrapolating those data to all flowers in all States. The first extrapolation was made using the ratio of the sales of the seven specified flowers in the major producing States as reported by the USDA in 1970 to the sales of those flowers in all States as reported by the 1969 <u>Census of Horticultural Specialties</u> (except for gladioli, where the value of sales was used to calculate the ratio). The second extrapolation used the ratio of the sales value of the seven specified flowers in all States to the sales value for all flowers in all States as reported in the 1969 <u>Census of Horticultural Specialties</u>.

Imports

Imports of fresh cut flowers are valued at their estimated landed costs in the United States. The landed values of fresh cut flower imports for 1970-76 are derived by using quantity data as reported by the Plant Protection and Quarantine Program of the USDA for carnations, standard chrysanthemums, pompon chrysanthemums, roses, daisies, orchids, and statice, and applying the unit value data for domestic production to those quantity data. The estimated value of imports from Canada and the Netherlands, the principal sources of other fresh cut flower imports, were adjusted to reflect the value of imports of other fresh cut flowers from all sources. It is estimated that Canada and the Netherlands account for one-half the value of other fresh cut flower imports. For 1967-69, value data are extrapolated from 1970 official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Along with data on fresh cut flowers, official import statistics as reported by the U.S. Department of Commerce for Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) item 192.20 include data on bouquets, wreaths, sprays, or similar articles made from fresh cut flowers or plant parts. Imports of articles other than fresh cut flowers in this item are estimated at about 1 percent of the total value of imports under this TSUS item. However, the values of fresh cut flower imports under this item are understated. First, they do not include transportation costs, which represent a substantial portion of the delivered value of imports from overseas. Second, they do not include many shipments valued at less than \$250. Imports of fresh cut flowers arrive in numerous small shipments, many of which are valued at less than \$250.

Exports

Data on exports for 1967-69 are from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce. Data for 1970-76 are estimated. Such estimates are necessary because of a change in the reporting of the official statistics beginning in October 1969 to exclude from the official statistics shipments valued at \$250 or less. The estimated data are based on the change in the relationship between the official U.S. Department of Commerce data and Canadian import data for shipments from the United States for class 213-99 (cut flowers and other decorative plant material) from 1967-69 to 1970-76. Also, evidence from Florida shipments data indicates that in recent years shipments of gladioli and pompon chrysanthemums from Florida are about as large as those shown in official U.S. Department of Commerce export statistics.

THE INFLUENCE OF DOMESTIC AND IMPORT PRICES AND THE AGGREGATE LEVEL OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ON STANDARD CARNATION AND POMPON CHRYSANTHEMUM IMPORTS

• `.

APPENDIX B

Regressions were used to measure the influence of domestic and import prices and the aggregate level of economic activity on standard carnation and pompon chrysanthemum imports. The major results of this effort are presented in the price section. This appendix provides some additional technical details.

The quarterly price data for standard carnations for the 1974-76 period provided 12 observations for use in the regression analysis. Only 11 observations were available for pompons since price data for the first quarter of 1974 were not available. Before performing the regressions, the import volume series and the domestic and import price series were deseasonalized by the ratio-to-moving-average method to eliminate fluctuations in these series resulting from peak holiday demands for fresh cut flowers.

The regressions were expected to show that a rise in the level of economic activity as measured by personal consumption expenditures in constant 1972 dollars and increased domestic prices of fresh cut flowers lead to increased imports while higher import prices lead to a decline in imports.

The results of several regressions suggest that the level of aggregate economic activity is far more important than prices in determining the volume of carnation and pompon imports. The best regression estimate obtained for carnations included only the import price and personal consumption expenditures as explanatory variables. The best result for pompons included the ratio of the import price to the domestic price and to personal consumption expenditures.

The R^2 value for the carnation regression in table B-l indicates that 64.4 percent of the quarterly variation in carnation imports was explained by the regression analysis. The regession explained 80.5 percent of this variation for pompons.

The import price coefficient for carnations and the personal consumption expenditures coefficient for both carnations and pompons were all statistically significant at the 95-percent level of confidence or higher. Since log-linear regressions were performed, the estimated coefficients can be regarded as crude elasticity measures to be used in gaging the responsiveness of imports to changes in values of the explanatory variables. Thus, the import price coefficient of -0.640 for carnations suggests that a 10-percent rise in the import price would cause imports to decline by 6.4 percent. Similarly, the personal consumption expenditures coefficient of 5.281 implies that a 1-percent rise in personal consumption expenditures would lead to an increase of approximately 5.3 percent in carnation imports.

| Flower type | Import price | Personal : consumption : expenditures : | R ² |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|
| Standard carnations: | -0.640 : (-2.751): | : 5.281 : (3.984): | 0.644 |
| Pompons: | <u>1</u> / : | : 7.379 : (5.745): | .805 |

Table B-1.--Estimated regression coefficients for standard carnation and pompon imports, based on quarterly data, 1974-76

1/ The ratio of the import price to the domestic price was used in place of the import price for pompons. The estimated coefficient had a negative sign but it was not statistically significant.

Note.--The numbers in parenthesis below the coefficients are the t statistics. All coefficients shown in the table were significant at the 95-percent confidence level or higher.

Source: Compiled by the U.S. International Trade Commission

APPENDIX C

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN PRICES OF MAJOR FRESH CUT FLOWERS

It was found that the quarterly price series of major fresh cut flowers tended to be highly correlated with each other during the 1974-76 period. Before considering this finding, correlation coefficients will be briefly discussed.

The correlation coefficient is a standard statistical measure that is used to gage the direction and magnitude of related movements between two variables. A minus sign for the coefficient shows that the variables are moving in opposite directions while a plus sign shows that they are moving in the same direction. Only positive correlations were found among the fresh cut flower price series. Values of positive coefficients can range between zero and one with values near one indicating a strong association between variables and values near zero indicating a weak association.

Before computing correlations the domestic price series for standard carnations, standard chrysanthemums, pompons, and roses and the import prices of standard carnations and pompons were deseasonalized by the ratio-to-moving-average method to eliminate the sharp price fluctuations resulting from peak holiday demands. The correlation coefficients which were then calculated for each pair of price variables are shown in table C-1.

The coefficient of 0.64 in the first column and the sixth row of the table shows a fairly strong direct association between domestic and import prices of pompons. However, the table also shows that domestic pompon prices are more highly correlated with prices of domestic carnations, domestic roses, and imported carnations than with those of imported pompons. Overall, 10 of the 15 coefficients in the table had values greater than 0.50.

| Flowers | 1 | : | 2 | : | 3 | 4 | : 5 : | : | 6 |
|------------------------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|-------------|----------|-----|---|
| | ; | : | | : | _ | ; | : | : | |
| Domestic pompon chrysan- | : | : | | : | | : | : | : | |
| themums1: | | : | | : | | : | : | : | |
| Domestic carnations2 | .84 | : | 1 | : | | : | : | : | |
| Domestic standard chrysan- : | | : | | : | | : | : | : | |
| themums3: | | : | .44 | : | 1 | : | : | : | |
| Domestic roses4 | .83 | : | .80 | : | .52 | : 1 | : | : | |
| Imported carnations5: | | : | .71 | : | .39 | . 74 | : 1 | : | |
| Imported pompon chrysan- | : | : | | : | | : | : | : | |
| themums6: | | : | .83 | : | •24 | : .65 | : .44 | : 1 | l |
| | | : | | : | | : | : | : | |

Table C-1.--Correlations between prices of major fresh cut flowers, based on quarterly data, 1974-76

Source: The imported carnation price data used in these correlations were compiled from data submitted by importers in response to questionnaires of the U.S. International Trade Commission. All other data were obtained from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. The high correlations between these prices could indicate that the flowers are close substitutes for one another. Thus, a rise in the price of pompons resulting from a temporary scarcity of these flowers may cause the demand for roses and carnations to rise, leading, in turn to higher prices for these flowers.

On the other hand, the correlations may simply indicate that all of these major fresh cut flowers were faced with similar inflationary cost pressures during the 1974-76 period that caused their prices to rise in a roughly parallel fashion.

APPENDIX D

DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM REGARDING THE COLOMBIAN GOVERNMENT'S DISCUSSION OF POSSIBLE RESTRAINTS' BEING PLACED ON FRESH CUT FLOWER EXPORTS

.



Department of State TELEGRAM

UNCLASSIFIED 7229

PAGE 01 BUGDTA 02668 2217092 ACTION E8-08

INFO DCT-01 ARA-10 ISO-00 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 FRB-01 H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-03 LAB-04 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 AID-05 SS-15 STR-04 ITC-01 TRSE-00 USIA-15 PRS-01 SP-02 FEAE-00 OMB-01 /093 W

P 221827Z MAR 77 FM AMEMBASSY BOGUTA TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 592 INFO USDA WASHDC

UNCLAS BOGOTA 2668

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: FTRD, FAGR, CO Subj: God Discusses restraints on flower exports to the Us

REF: STATE 040704

1. ACCORDING TO PRESS REPORTS, MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE ALVARG ARAUJO HAS SUGGESTED TO COLOMBIAN FLOWER GROWERS THAT THEY LIMIT EXPORTS OF OUT FLOHERS TO THE US AND WESTERN EUROPE, CITING THE ITC INVESTIGATION IN THE US, ARAUJO PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING MEASURES BE ADOPTED:

AGREEMENT AMONG FLOWER GROWERS/EXPORTERS TO SUSPEND ANY FURTHER EXPANSION IN PLANTINGS OF CARNATIONS AND POMPONS, AND TO LIMIT EXPORTS OF THESE FLOWERS TO LEVELS CONSISTENT WITH THE ABSORPTIVE CAPACITY OF THE MAJOR MARKETS.

SUSPEND CREDIT CURRNTLY AVAILABLE FOR NEW OR EXPANDED PLANTINGS OF CARNATIONS AND POMPONS, AND GRADUALLY REDUCE CREDIT PLANS IN THIS AREA.

SEEK TO DIVERSIFY TYPES OF FLOWERS PLANTED THROUGH THE ESTA-BLISHMENT OF & NEW LINE OF CREDIT.



Department of State TELEGRAM

UNCLASSIF1ED

PAGE U2 BC

BUGOTA 22668 221709Z

A-77

WITH GOVERNMENT SUPPORT, SEEK TO DEVELOP NEW MARKETS.

2. ARUAJO SAID THAT THE RESTRICTIONS PROPOSED IN THE US AND EUROPE WERE THE DIRECT RESULT OF THE EFFICIENCY OF COLOMBIAN FLOWER GROWERS AND THE QUALITY OF THEIR PRODUCT. HE ADDED, HUWEVER, THAT MEASURES ALONG THE LINES OF THOSE OUTLINED ABOVE ARE ESSENTIAL IF THE INDUSTRY AND THE GOVERNMENT ARE TO MAINTAIN CURRENT LEVELS OF EXPOET AND EMPLOYMENT. SANCHEZ

Library Cataloging Data

.

U.S. International Trade Commission.

Fresh cut flowers. Report to the President on investigation no. TA-201-22 under section 201(b) of the Trade act of 1974. Washington, 1977.

"USITC Publication 827"

1. Cut flowers. I Title

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20436

.

.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

.

ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED

.

PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE TO AVOID PAYMENT OF POSTAGE, \$300

.

...



ADDRESS CHANGE

.

Remeve from List
Change as Shown
Please detach address
label and mail to address
shown above.

.