

**UNITED STATES TARIFF COMMISSION**

**INJURY DETERMINATIONS UNDER THE  
ANTIDUMPING ACT**

**Outcome or Current Status of Injury Determinations  
by the United States Tariff Commission  
Under the Provisions of Section 201(a)  
of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as Amended,  
as of July 31, 1971**



**Sixth Edition  
TC Publication 451  
Washington, D. C.  
December 1971**

**UNITED STATES TARIFF COMMISSION**

**Catherine Bedell, *Chairman***

**Joseph O. Parker, *Vice Chairman***

**Glenn W. Sutton**

**Will E. Leonard, Jr.**

**George M. Moore**

**J. Banks Young**

**Kenneth R. Mason, *Secretary***

-----

**Address all communications to  
United States Tariff Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20436**

-----

## INTRODUCTION

This compilation summarizes information on the outcome or current status of injury determinations by the U.S. Tariff Commission under section 201 of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended. 1/

Section 301 of the Customs Simplification Act of 1954 amended the Antidumping Act, 1921, and transferred to the Tariff Commission the function--formerly exercised by the Treasury Department--of making injury determinations for the purposes of the Antidumping Act. The transfer became effective October 1, 1954.

Section 201 of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended, provides that whenever the Secretary of the Treasury advises the Tariff Commission that a class or kind of foreign merchandise is being, or is likely to be, sold domestically or elsewhere at less than its fair value, the Commission shall within 3 months thereafter determine whether a domestic industry is being, or is likely to be, injured, or is prevented from being established, by reason of the importation of such merchandise. If the Commission makes an affirmative determination, it so notifies the Secretary of the Treasury, who thereupon issues a "finding" of dumping; the antidumping duties are thenceforth collected.

Public Law 85-630 (85th Cong., 2d sess.), 2/ which was approved by the President on August 14, 1958, amended certain provisions of the Antidumping Act, 1921. Besides redefining--for the purposes of the Antidumping Act--"foreign market value," the "constructed value of

---

1/ 19 U.S.C. 160 et seq.

2/ 72 Stat. 583.

imported merchandise," and certain other terms, Public Law 85-630 provided for certain procedural changes in the administration of the Antidumping Act. The 1958 act requires that when the Secretary of the Treasury determines whether foreign merchandise is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than its fair value, and when the Tariff Commission makes an injury determination under the Anti-dumping Act, each shall publish such determination in the Federal Register, with a statement of the reasons therefor, whether such determination is affirmative or negative. The 1958 act further provides that an evenly divided vote of the Commission shall be deemed to constitute a finding of injury.

Outcome or current status of injury determinations by the U.S. Tariff Commission under the provisions of sec. 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended, Oct. 1, 1954 - July 31, 1971

Investigation number, commodity, and country <u>1/</u>	Information relating to the Investigation
<p>1. Muriate of potash from the Soviet Zone of Germany (1955).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Nov. 26, 1954.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Nov. 29, 1954.</u>  <u>Hearing held: Jan. 25-27, 1955.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Feb. 25, 1955.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission was equally divided (Commissioners Ryder, Edminster, and Sutton dissenting) on the question of whether the domestic potash industry was being or was likely to be injured by reason of the importation of muriate of potash from the Soviet Zone of Germany at less than fair value. The divided opinion of the Commission resulted in no finding of injury.</p>
<p>2. Muriate of potash from the Federal Republic of Germany and from France (1955).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Dec. 15, 1954.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Dec. 17, 1954.</u>  <u>Hearing held: Feb. 8-9, 1955.</u>  <u>Investigation completed: Mar. 2, 1955.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that the domestic potash industry was not being, and was not likely to be, injured by reason of the importation of muriate of potash from the Federal Republic of Germany or from France at less than fair value.  <u>Notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Mar. 3, 1955.</u></p>

1/ The year shown in parentheses is the year that the Commission completed, or was due to complete, the particular investigation.

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
3. Pocket pencil sharpeners from the firm of Karl Zech, Forcheim, West Germany (1955).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> June 6, 1955.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> June 8, 1955.  <u>Hearing held:</u> None.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Aug. 11, 1955.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that the domestic pencil sharpener industry was not being, and was not likely to be, injured by reason of the importation of pencil sharpeners from the firm of Karl Zech at less than fair value.</p>
4. Nicotine sulphate from the firm of N. V. Alhamex, Amsterdam, Netherlands (1955).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> July 27, 1955.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Aug. 1, 1955.  <u>Hearing held:</u> None.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Aug. 25, 1955.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that the domestic nicotine sulphate industry was not being, and was not likely to be, injured by reason of the importation of nicotine sulphate from the firm of N. V. Alhamex, Amsterdam, Netherlands, at less than fair value.</p>
5. Cast iron soil pipe, other than "American pattern" cast iron soil pipe, from the United Kingdom (1955).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> July 27, 1955.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Aug. 1, 1955.  <u>Hearing held:</u> Oct. 21, 1955.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Oct. 26, 1955.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission, by a majority vote, determined that a domestic industry in the United States was being, or was likely to be, injured by reason of the importation of cast iron soil pipe, other than "American pattern" cast iron soil pipe, from the United Kingdom at less than fair</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
5. Cast iron soil pipe, other than "American pattern" cast iron soil pipe, from the United Kingdom (1955)--Continued	<p>value. The domestic industry to which the Commission's determination of injury related was held to consist of the producers of cast iron soil pipe in the State of California (Commissioner Sutton dissenting). Commissioners Sutton and Jones disagreed with the finding of the majority of the Commission that the California producers of cast iron soil pipe were being, or were likely to be, injured by reason of the importation of cast iron soil pipe from the United Kingdom at less than fair value. Commissioner Schreiber, who, after participating in the hearing, was called away because of illness in his family, advised the Commission of his views in this case; they were in accord with the views of the majority.</p> <p><u>Subsequent developments:</u> The issuance of a finding of dumping with respect to imports of cast iron soil pipe was followed by litigation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. On Mar. 8, 1956, importers of British cast iron soil pipe and British producing companies filed a complaint in that court asking for a declaratory judgment that the Antidumping Act was unconstitutional, that certain procedures under the Antidumping Act or under the Administrative Procedure Act had not been complied with, and that certain findings of the Secretary of the Treasury and of the Tariff Commission were invalid. An injunction against the Secretary of the Treasury was requested to prevent the assessment of dumping duties. On Mar. 16, 1956, the complainants filed a petition for a preliminary injunction pending the outcome of the case on the merits. The Secretary of the Treasury and the Tariff Commission were both named as party defendants in these proceedings (<u>A. W. Horton, John C. Fryer, and Camarge Trading Co., Inc., plaintiffs, v. George M. Humphrey, Secretary of the Treasury, and United States Tariff Commission, defendants</u> (Civil Action No. 1038-56)).</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
5. Cast iron soil pipe, other than "American pattern" cast iron soil pipe, from the United Kingdom (1955)--Continued	<p>The defendants in this case were represented in court by the U.S. attorney, who filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on the ground that the court lacked jurisdiction over the subject matter. The defendants contended that exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of the complaint lay in the U.S. Customs Court and, on appeal, in the U.S. Court of Customs and Patent Appeals. On June 20, 1956, the U.S. district court so held, and dismissed the complaint (<u>A. W. Horton, et al. v. George M. Humphrey, Secretary of the Treasury, et al.</u> (146 F. Supp. 819, USDC D.C. 1956)). Following dismissal of the complaint, the importers appealed to the Supreme Court, which affirmed the holding of the district court (352 U.S. 921, 1956).</p>
6. Montan wax in its crude form from the Soviet Zone of Germany and from Czechoslovakia (1956).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> Oct. 28, 1955.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Oct. 31, 1955.  <u>Hearing held:</u> Jan. 10, 1956.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Jan. 18, 1956.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that the domestic industry producing montan wax was not being, and was not likely to be, injured by reason of the importation of crude montan wax from East Germany or from Czechoslovakia at less than fair value.</p>
7. Hardboard from the Union of South Africa (1957).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> Nov. 5, 1957.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Nov. 7, 1957.  <u>Hearing held:</u> None.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Dec. 23, 1957.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of hardboard from the Union of South Africa at less than fair value.</p>



Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
8. Hardboard from Canada (1957).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> Nov. 5, 1957.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Nov. 7, 1957.  <u>Hearing held:</u> None.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  Dec. 19, 1957.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of hardboard from Canada at less than fair value.</p>
9. Tissue paper, sulphite, machine glazed, bleached and un- bleached, weighing less than 10 pounds per ream of 288,000 square inches, from Finland (1958).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> Aug. 13, 1958.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Aug. 14, 1958.  <u>Hearing held:</u> Sept. 30-Oct. 1, 1958.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  Nov. 10, 1958.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of the specified tissue paper from Finland at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor published in the Federal</u>  <u>Register:</u> Nov. 14, 1958 (23 F.R. 8891).</p>
10. Tissue paper, sulphite, machine glazed, bleached and un- bleached, weighing less than 10 pounds per ream of 288,000 square inches, from Norway (1958).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> Aug. 13, 1958.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Aug. 14, 1958.  <u>Hearing held:</u> Oct. 1-3, 1958.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  Nov. 10, 1958.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of the specified tissue paper from Norway at less than fair value.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
10. Tissue paper, sulphite, machine glazed, bleached and un- bleached, weighing less than 10 pounds per ream of 288,000 square inches, from Norway (1958)--Con.	<u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor published in the Federal Register: Nov. 14, 1958 (23 F.R. 8892).</u>
11. Rayon staple fiber from France (1st investigation) (1959).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Oct. 7, 1959.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Oct. 7, 1959.</u> <u>Hearing held: None.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Dec. 9, 1959.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Com- mission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of rayon staple fibers from France at less than fair value.</u>
12. Portland cement manufactured by the St. Lawrence Cement Co., Ontario, Canada (1960)..	<u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor published in the Federal Register: Dec. 12, 1959 (24 F.R. 10092).</u> <u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Dec. 11, 1959.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Dec. 15, 1959.</u> <u>Hearing held: None.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Mar. 11, 1960.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Com- mission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of portland cement from the St. Lawrence Cement Co., Ontario, Canada, at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor published in the Federal Register: Mar. 16, 1960 (25 F.R. 2191).</u>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
13. Nepheline syenite from Canada (1st investigation) (1960).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> May 27, 1960.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> May 31, 1960.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> July 25, 1960.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Aug. 26, 1960.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of nepheline syenite from Canada at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor published in the Federal Register:</u> Sept. 1, 1960 (25 F.R. 8394).</p>
14. Bicycles from Czecho- slovakia (1960).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> July 11, 1960.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> July 11, 1960.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> None.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Oct. 7, 1960.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was being injured, and was likely to continue to be injured, by reason of the importation of bicycles from Czecho-slovakia at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor published in the Federal Register:</u> Oct. 12, 1960 (25 F.R. 9782).</p>
15. Nepheline syenite from Canada (2d investi- gation) (1961).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> Oct. 26, 1960.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> Oct. 31, 1960.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> None.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Jan. 26, 1961.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
15. Nepheline syenite from Canada (2d investi- gation) (1961)--Con.	<p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of nepheline syenite from Canada sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 1) published in the Federal Register:</u> Jan. 31, 1961 (26 F.R. 956).</p>
16. Portland cement, other than white, non- staining portland cement, from Sweden (1961).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> Jan. 4, 1961.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Jan. 9, 1961.  <u>Hearing held:</u> Feb. 28 and Mar. 1, 1961.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Apr. 4, 1961.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of portland cement, other than white, non-staining portland cement, from Sweden at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 10) published in the Federal Register:</u> Apr. 7, 1961 (26 F.R. 3002).</p>
17. Rayon staple fiber from France (2d investigation) (1961).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> Feb. 21, 1961.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> Feb. 27, 1961.  <u>Hearing held:</u> May 2, 1961.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> May 19, 1961.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of rayon staple fiber from France sold at less than fair value.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
17. Rayon staple fiber from France (2d investigation) (1961)--Continued	<u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 18) published in the Federal Register: May 24, 1961 (26 F.R. 4478).</u>
18. Rayon staple fiber from Belgium (1961).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Feb. 21, 1961.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Feb. 27, 1961.</u> <u>Hearing held: May 3, 1961.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: May 19, 1961.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being estab- lished by reason of the importation of rayon staple fiber from Belgium sold at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 19) published in the Federal Register: May 24, 1961 (26 F.R. 4477).</u>
19. Portland cement, other than white, nonstaining port- land cement, from Belgium, except as to importations from the firm of Cimenteries et Briqueteries Reunies (1961).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Mar. 3, 1961.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Mar. 3, 1961.</u> <u>Hearing held: Apr. 28, 1961.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: June 2, 1961.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importa- tion of portland cement, other than white, nonstaining portland cement, from Belgium at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 22) published in the Federal Register: June 7, 1961 (26 F.R. 5102).</u>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
20. Rayon staple fiber from Cuba (1961).	<p>Notice of determination by the Treasury  <u>Department received: Apr. 17, 1961.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Apr. 20, 1961.</u>  <u>Hearing held: June 13, 1961.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>July 17, 1961.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The  Commission unanimously determined that  an industry in the United States was  not being, and was not likely to be,  injured or prevented from being estab-  lished by reason of the importation of  rayon staple fiber from Cuba sold at  less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 23) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: July 20, 1961</u>  <u>(26 F.R. 6537).</u></p>
21. Rayon staple fiber from West Germany, except as to impor- tations of "Cuprama" rayon staple fiber manufactured by the firm of Farben- fabriken Bayer A. G. (1961).	<p>Notice of determination by the Treasury  <u>Department received: Apr. 17, 1961.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Apr. 20, 1961.</u>  <u>Hearing held: June 13, 1961.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>July 17, 1961.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The  Commission unanimously determined that  an industry in the United States was  not being, and was not likely to be,  injured or prevented from being estab-  lished by reason of the importation of  rayon staple fiber from West Germany  sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 24) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: July 20, 1961</u>  <u>(26 F.R. 6537).</u></p>
22. Portland gray cement from Portugal (1961).	<p>Notice of determination by the Treasury  <u>Department received: July 20, 1961.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: July 24, 1961.</u>  <u>Hearing held: Sept. 14, 1961.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>Oct. 20, 1961.</u></p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
22. Portland gray cement from Portugal (1961)--Continued	<p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Talbot and Overton dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of portland gray cement from Portugal at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 37) published in the Federal Register:</u> Oct. 25, 1961 (26 F.R. 10010).</p>
23. Portland cement, other than white, nonstain- ing portland cement, from the Dominican Republic (1st inves- tigation) (1962).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> Jan. 18, 1962.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> Jan. 18, 1962.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> Mar. 1, 1962.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Apr. 18, 1962.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of portland cement, other than white, nonstaining portland cement, from the Dominican Republic sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 54) published in the Federal Register:</u> Apr. 21, 1962 (27 F.R. 3872).</p>
24. Sheet glass, in jalousie louver sizes, from Czechoslovakia (1962).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> Aug. 20, 1962.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> Aug. 23, 1962.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> Oct. 16-18, 1962.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Nov. 20, 1962.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioner Schreiber dissenting) that no industry in the United States was being, or was likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of sheet glass, in jalousie louver sizes, from Czechoslovakia sold at less than fair value.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
24. Sheet glass, in jalousie louver sizes, from Czechoslovakia (1962)--Continued	<u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 75) published in the Federal Register: Nov. 24, 1962 (27 F.R. 11568).</u>
25. Portland cement, other than white, nonstaining portland cement, from the Dominican Republic (2d investigation) (1963).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Jan. 21, 1963.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Jan. 25, 1963.</u> <u>Hearing held: None.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Apr. 19, 1963.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Commission determined (Chairman Dorfman dissenting) that an industry in the United States was likely to be injured by reason of the importation of portland cement, other than white, nonstaining portland cement, from the Dominican Republic sold at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 87) published in the Federal Register: Apr. 24, 1963 (28 F.R. 4047).</u>
26. Technical vanillin from Canada (1963).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Jan. 21, 1963.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Jan. 25, 1963.</u> <u>Hearing held: None.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Apr. 19, 1963.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Com- mission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of technical vanillin from Canada sold at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 88) published in the Federal Register: Apr. 24, 1963 (28 F.R. 4048).</u>



Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
27. Hot-rolled carbon steel wire rods from Belgium (1963).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: Mar. 19, 1963.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Mar. 21, 1963.</u>  <u>Hearing held: May 7-9, 1963.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>June 19, 1963.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission: The</u>  <u>Commission unanimously determined that</u>  <u>an industry in the United States was not</u>  <u>being, and was not likely to be, injured</u>  <u>or prevented from being established by</u>  <u>reason of the importation of hot-rolled</u>  <u>carbon steel wire rods from Belgium sold</u>  <u>at less than fair value.</u></p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 93) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: June 22, 1963</u>  <u>(28 F.R. 6474).</u></p>
28. Hot-rolled carbon steel wire rods from Luxembourg (1963).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: Mar. 21, 1963.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Mar. 21, 1963.</u>  <u>Hearing held: May 9, 1963.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>June 19, 1963.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission: The</u>  <u>Commission unanimously determined that</u>  <u>an industry in the United States was not</u>  <u>being, and was not likely to be, injured</u>  <u>or prevented from being established by</u>  <u>reason of the importation of hot-rolled</u>  <u>carbon steel wire rods from Luxembourg</u>  <u>sold at less than fair value.</u></p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 94) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: June 22, 1963</u>  <u>(28 F.R. 6476).</u></p>
29. Hot-rolled carbon steel wire rods from West Germany (1963).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: Apr 2, 1963.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Apr. 4, 1963.</u>  <u>Hearing held: May 14, 1963.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>June 21, 1963.</u></p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
29. Hot-rolled carbon steel wire rods from West Germany (1963)--Continued	<p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of hot-rolled carbon steel wire rods from West Germany sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 95) published in the Federal Register:</u> June 26, 1963 (28 F.R. 6606).</p>
30. Hot-rolled carbon steel wire rods from France, except as to importations from the firm of Societe Metal- lurgique de Normandie (1963).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> May 29, 1963.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> May 29, 1963.  <u>Hearing held:</u> None.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> July 15, 1963.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of hot-rolled carbon steel wire rods from France sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 99) published in the Federal Register:</u> July 18, 1963 (28 F.R. 7368).</p>
31. Titanium dioxide from France (1963).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> June 24, 1963.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> June 25, 1963.  <u>Hearing held:</u> July 31, 1963.  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> Sept. 24, 1963.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of titanium dioxide from France sold at less than fair value.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
31. Titanium dioxide from France (1963)--Continued	<u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 109) published in the Federal Register: Sept. 27, 1963 (28 F.R. 10467).</u>
32. Chromic acid from Australia (1964).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Nov. 26, 1963.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Nov. 26, 1963.</u> <u>Hearing held: None.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Feb. 26, 1964.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Commission determined (Commissioners Dorfman and Talbot dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of chromic acid from Australia sold at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 121) published in the Federal Register: Mar. 3, 1964 (29 F.R. 2919).</u>
33. Steel reinforcing bars from Canada, manufactured by Western Canada Steel Ltd. through its subsidiary, the Vancouver Rolling Mills Ltd. of Van- couver, Canada (1964).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Dec. 23, 1963.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: Dec. 24, 1963.</u> <u>Hearing held: Feb. 11, 1964.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Mar. 23, 1964.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Commission by an evenly divided vote determined (Commissioners Dorfman, Talbot, and Fenn dissenting) that an industry in the United States was likely to be injured by reason of the importa- tion of steel reinforcing bars from Canada, manufactured by Western Canada Steel Ltd. through its subsidiary, the Vancouver Rolling Mills Ltd. of Van- couver, Canada, sold at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 122) published in the Federal Register: Mar. 27, 1964 (29 F.R. 3840).</u>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
<p>34. Peat moss, horticultural and poultry grades, from Atkins &amp; Durbrow Ltd., Vancouver, British Columbia, and Western Peat Co. Ltd., New Westminster, British Columbia (shipments from Manitoba plant only), Canada (1964).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Jan. 14, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Jan. 16, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: Mar. 16, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Mar. 31, 1964.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of peat moss, horticultural and poultry grades, from Atkins &amp; Durbrow Ltd., Vancouver, British Columbia, and Western Peat Co. Ltd., New Westminster, British Columbia (shipments from Manitoba plant only), Canada, sold at less than fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 123) published in the Federal Register: Apr. 4, 1964 (29 F.R. 4843).</u></p>
<p>35. Cast iron soil pipe from Australia (1964).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Jan. 15, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Jan. 16, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: None.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Apr. 13, 1964.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of cast iron soil pipe from Australia sold at less than fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 124) published in the Federal Register: Apr. 16, 1964 (29 F.R. 5253).</u></p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
36. Titanium dioxide from Japan (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: Jan. 21, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Jan. 24, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: Mar. 23, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>Apr. 21, 1964.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The  Commission unanimously determined that  an industry in the United States was  not being, and was not likely to be,  injured or prevented from being estab-  lished by reason of the importation of  titanium dioxide from Japan sold at  less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 125) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: Apr. 24, 1964</u>  <u>(29 F.R. 5522).</u></p>
37. Vital wheat gluten from Canada, manufactured by The Ogilvie Flour Mills Co., Ltd., or its subsidiary, Industrial Grain Products, Ltd. (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: Jan. 31, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Feb. 3, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: Mar. 31, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>Apr. 30, 1964.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The  Commission unanimously determined that  an industry in the United States was not  being, and was not likely to be, injured  or prevented from being established by  reason of the importation of vital wheat  gluten from Canada, manufactured by  The Ogilvie Flour Mills Co., Ltd., or  its subsidiary, Industrial Grain Products,  Ltd., sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 126) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: May 5, 1964</u>  <u>(29 F.R. 5921).</u></p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
38. White portland cement from Japan, manufactured by Nihon Cement Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: Apr. 9, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: Apr. 10, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: May 26, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: July 9, 1964.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Culliton and Sutton dissenting) that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of white portland cement from Japan, manufactured by Nihon Cement Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, sold at less than fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 129) published in the Federal Register: July 16, 1964 (29 F.R. 9636).</u></p>
39. Carbon steel bars, bars-shapes under 3 inches, and structural shapes 3 inches and over, manufactured by Western Canada Steel Ltd. and/or its subsidiary, the Vancouver Rolling Mills Ltd. of Vancouver, Canada (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: June 1, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: June 2, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing scheduled: July 14, 1964;</u>  <u>rescheduled for July 27, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: July 27, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Sept. 1, 1964.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Chairman Dorfman and Commissioner Talbot dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of carbon steel bars, bars-shapes under 3 inches, and structural shapes 3 inches and over, manufactured by Western Canada Steel Ltd. and/or its subsidiary, the Vancouver Rolling Mills Ltd. of Vancouver, Canada, sold at less than fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 135) published in the Federal Register: Sept. 4, 1964 (29 F.R. 12599).</u></p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
40. Window glass, 16-ounce through 28-ounce thicknesses, from the U.S.S.R. (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> June 29, 1964.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> June 29, 1964.  <u>Hearing held:</u> None  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  Sept. 29, 1964.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of window glass, 16-ounce through 28-ounce thickness, from the U.S.S.R. sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 140) published in the Federal Register:</u> Oct. 2, 1964 (29 F.R. 13581).</p>
41. Plastic baby carriers from Japan manufactured by Marui Corporation, Tokyo, Japan (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> July 6, 1964.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> July 8, 1964.  <u>Hearing held:</u> None  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  October 6, 1964.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously found that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of plastic baby carriers from Japan manufactured by Marui Corporation, Tokyo, Japan sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 141) published in the Federal Register:</u> Oct. 9, 1964 (29 F.R. 13990).</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
42. Cast acrylic plastic sheet, "Perspex", from the United Kingdom (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: July 14, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: July 17, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: Sept. 9, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: Sept. 22, 1964.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission: The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of cast acrylic plastic sheet, "Perspex", from the United Kingdom sold at less than fair value.</u>  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 138) published in the Federal Register: Sept. 25, 1964 (29 F.R. 13354).</u></p>
43. Window glass, 16-ounce through 28-ounce thicknesses from Czechoslovakia (1964).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: September 23, 1964.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: September 24, 1964.</u>  <u>Hearing held: None.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: November 16, 1964.</u>  <u>Determination of the Commission: The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of window glass, 16-ounce through 28-ounce thicknesses from Czechoslovakia sold at less than fair value.</u>  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 144) published in the Federal Register: November 19, 1964 (29 F.R. 15549).</u></p>



Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
<p>44. Bicycles from Hungary, manufactured by Pannonia, Budapest, Hungary (1965).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> Dec. 31, 1964.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> January 5, 1965  <u>Hearing held:</u> None.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  March 8, 1965.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission unanimously determined that an  industry in the United States was not  being, and was not likely to be, injured  or prevented from being established by  reason of the importation of bicycles  from Hungary, manufactured by Pannonia,  Budapest, Hungary, sold at less than fair  value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 151) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register:</u> March 11, 1965  (30 F.R. 3341).</p>
<p>45. Azobisformamide from Japan (1965).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> January 27, 1965.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> January 28, 1965  <u>Hearing held:</u> None  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  April 27, 1965.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission determined (Commissioners Dorfman  and Talbot dissenting) that an industry in  the United States was being injured by  reason of the importation of azobisforma-  mide from Japan sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 153) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register:</u> April 30, 1965  (30 F.R. 6130 and 6131).</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
<p>46. Titanium dioxide, pigment grade, from West Germany; manufactured by Farbenfabriken Bayer A.G., Leverkusen, Germany (1966).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> January 14, 1966.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> January 14, 1966  <u>Hearing held:</u> March 1 and 2, 1966  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> April 12, 1966.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of titanium dioxide, pigment grade, from West Germany, manufactured by Farbenfabriken Bayer A.G., Leverkusen, Germany sold at less than fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 172) published in the Federal Register:</u> April 15, 1966 (31 F.R. 5852 and 5853).</p>
<p>47. Titanium dioxide, pigment grade, rutile type, from Japan, exported by Sakai Trading Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan, Kouyoh Trading Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan, and Marubeni-Iida Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan (1966).</p>	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> February 24, 1966.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> February 24, 1966  <u>Hearing held:</u> April 18, 1966  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> May 18, 1966.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of titanium dioxide, pigment grade, rutile type, from Japan, exported by Sakai Trading Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan, Kouyoh Trading Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan and Marubeni-Iida Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan sold at less than fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 174) published in the Federal Register:</u> May 24, 1966 (31 F.R. 7495).</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
48. Work shoes, leather, men's and boys', from Czechoslovakia (1966).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> May 11, 1966.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> May 12, 1966  <u>Hearing held:</u> June 21 and 22, 1966  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  August 11, 1966.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission unanimously determined that an  industry in the United States was not  being, and was not likely to be, injured  or prevented from being established by  reason of the importation of work shoes,  leather, men's and boys', from Czecho-  slovakia sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 185) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register:</u> August 16, 1966  (31 F.R. 0906).</p>
49. Steel jacks from Canada, manufactured by J.C. Hallman Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Kitchner, Ontario, Canada (1966).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> May 19, 1966.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> May 19, 1966  <u>Hearing held:</u> July 6, 1966  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  August 19, 1966.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission determined (Commissioners Fenn and  Thunberg dissenting) that an industry in  the United States was likely to be injured  by reason of the importation of steel jacks  from J.C. Hallman Manufacturing Co., Ltd.,  Kitchner, Ontario, Canada sold at less than  fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 186) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register:</u> August 24, 1966  (31 F.R. 11197 and 11198).</p>

Investigation number commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
50. Cast iron soil pipe from Poland (1967).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> June 5, 1967.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> June 6, 1967.  <u>Hearing held:</u> August 4, 1967.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  September 5, 1967.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Culliton and Thunberg dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of cast iron soil pipe from Poland sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  therefor (TC Publication 214) published  in the Federal Register: September 9, 1967  (32 F.R. 12925 and 12928).</p>
51. Titanium sponge from the U.S.S.R. (1968).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> April 23, 1968.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> April 24, 1968.  <u>Hearing held:</u> June 4 and 5, 1968.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  July 23, 1968.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission by an evenly divided vote determined (Chairman Metzger and Commissioner Thunberg dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of titanium sponge from the U.S.S.R. sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  therefor (TC Publication 255) published  in the Federal Register: July 27, 1968  (33 F.R. 10769 and 10772).</p>
52. Pig iron from East Germany (1968).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> June 25, 1968.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> June 25, 1968.  <u>Hearing held:</u> July 29 and 30, 1968.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  September 25, 1968.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
52. Pig iron from East Germany (1968)--Continued	<p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission by an evenly divided vote determined (Chairman Metzger and Commissioner Thunberg dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of pig iron from East Germany sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 265) published in the Federal Register:</u> October 1, 1968 (33 F.R. 14664 and 14668).</p>
53. Pig iron from Czechoslovakia (1968).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> June 25, 1968.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> June 25, 1968.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> July 29 and 30, 1968</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> September 25, 1968.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission by an evenly divided vote determined (Chairman Metzger and Commissioner Thunberg dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of pig iron from Czechoslovakia sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 265) published in the Federal Register:</u> October 1, 1968 (33 F.R. 14664 and 14668).</p>
54. Pig iron from Romania (1968).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> June 25, 1968.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> June 25, 1968.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> July 29 and 30, 1968.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> September 25, 1968.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission by an evenly divided vote (Chairman Metzger and Commissioner Thunberg dissenting) determined that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of pig iron from Romania sold at less than fair value.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
54. Pig iron from Romania (1968)--Continued	<u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 265) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: October 1, 1968</u> <u>(33 F.R. 14664 and 14668).</u>
55. Pig iron from the U.S.S.R. (1968).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u> <u>Department received: June 25, 1968.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: June 25, 1968.</u> <u>Hearing held: July 29 and 30, 1968.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification</u> <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> <u>September 25, 1968.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Com-</u> <u>mission by an evenly divided vote (Chair-</u> <u>man Metzger and Commissioner Thunberg</u> <u>dissenting) determined that an industry</u> <u>in the United States was being injured by</u> <u>reason of the importation of pig iron</u> <u>from the U.S.S.R. sold at less than fair</u> <u>value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 265) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: October 1, 1968</u> <u>(33 F.R. 14664 and 14668).</u>
56. Concord grapes from Canada (1969).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u> <u>Department received: May 5, 1969.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: May 7, 1969.</u> <u>Hearing held: June 24 and 25, 1969.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification</u> <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> <u>August 5, 1969.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Com-</u> <u>mission unanimously determined that an</u> <u>industry in the United States was not</u> <u>being, and was not likely to be, injured</u> <u>or prevented from being established by</u> <u>reason of the importation of Concord</u> <u>grapes from Canada sold at less than fair</u> <u>value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 292) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: August 8, 1969</u> <u>(34 F.R. 12925 and 12928).</u>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
57. Plastic mattress handles (manufac- tured by Fibre Con- version Co., Ltd.) from Canada (1969).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: July 17, 1969.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: July 22, 1969</u>  <u>Hearing held: September 4, 1969.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>October 17, 1969.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission determined (Commissioner Leonard  dissenting) that an industry in the  United States was not being, and was not  likely to be, injured or prevented from  being established by reason of the im-  portation of plastic mattress handles  (manufactured by Fibre Conversion Co.,  Ltd.) from Canada sold at less than  fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 296) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: October 22, 1969.</u>  <u>(34 F.R. 17130 and 17132).</u></p>
58. Potassium chloride (muriate of potash) from Canada (1969).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received: August 22, 1969</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: August 22, 1969.</u>  <u>Hearing held: October 7, 10 and 13, 1969.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>November 21, 1969.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission determined (Commissioners Thunberg  and Newsom dissenting) that an industry in  the United States was being, and was  likely to be injured on a continuing basis,  by reason of the importation of potassium  chloride, otherwise known as muriate of  potash, from Canada sold at less than fair  value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 303) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: November 27, 1969</u>  <u>(34 F.R. 19003 and 19009).</u></p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
59. Potassium chloride (muriate of potash) from France (1969).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: August 22, 1969.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: August 22, 1969.</u>  <u>Hearing held: October 7-10 and 13, 1969.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: November 21, 1969.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Thunberg and Newsom dissenting) that an industry of the United States was being injured and was likely to be injured on a continuing basis by reason of the importation of potassium chloride, otherwise known as muriate of potash from France sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 303) published in the Federal Register: November 27, 1969 (34 F.R. 19003 and 19009).</u></p>
60. Potassium chloride (muriate of potash) from West Germany (1969).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received: August 22, 1969</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: August 22, 1969.</u>  <u>Hearing held: October 7-10 and 13, 1969.</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury: November 21, 1969.</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Thunberg and Newsom dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured and was likely to be injured on a continuing basis, by reason of the importation of potassium chloride, otherwise known as muriate of potash, from West Germany sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 303) published in the Federal Register: November 27, 1969 (34 F.R. 19003 and 19009).</u></p>



Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
61. Aminoacetic acid (Glycine) from France (1970).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> November 17, 1969.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> November 18, 1969.  <u>Hearing held:</u> January 13, 1970.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  February 19, 1970.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Thunberg and Leonard dissenting) that an industry was being injured by reason of the importation of aminoacetic acid sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 313) published in the Federal Register:</u> March 17, 1970 (35 F.R. 4677 and 4682).</p>
62. Steel bars, reinforcing bars, and shapes manufactured by the Broken Hill Proprietary Co., Melbourne, Australia (1970).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> November 28, 1969.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> December 1, 1969.  <u>Hearing held:</u> December 20, 21, 1969.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  February 27, 1970.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Thunberg and Newsom dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of steel bars, reinforcing bars, and shapes manufactured by the Broken Hill Proprietary Co., Melbourne, Australia, sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 314) published in the Federal Register:</u> March 5, 1970 (35 F.R. 4161-4163).</p>
63. Whole dried eggs from Holland (1970).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> May 1, 1970.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> May 1, 1970.  <u>Hearing held:</u> June 9, 1970  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  July 31, 1970.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
63. Whole dried eggs from Holland (1970)--Continued	<p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission by an evenly divided vote determined (Commissioners Sutton and Leonard dissenting) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of whole dried eggs from Holland sold, or likely to be sold, at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 332) published in the Federal Register:</u> August 5, 1970 (35 F.R. 12500-12502).</p>
64. Tuners (of the type used in consumer electronic products) from Japan (1970).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> July 15, 1970 <sup>1/</sup></p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> July 16, 1970.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> September 16, 1970.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> November 3, 1970.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of tuners (of the type used in consumer electronic products) from Japan, sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 341) published in the Federal Register:</u> November 6, 1970 (35 F.R. 17156-17158)</p>
65. Ferrite cores (of the type used in con- sumer electronic products) from Japan (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> October 28, 1970.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> October 30, 1970.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> December 8, 1970.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> January 28, 1971.</p>

<sup>1/</sup> By letter received August 3, 1970, the Treasury Department amended the scope of its original determination.

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
65. Ferrite cores (of the type used in consumer electronic products) from Japan (1971)--Continued	<p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission determined (Commissioners Leonard and Young dissenting and Chairman Mize not participating) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of ferrite cores (of the type used in consumer electronic products) from Japan sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 360) published in the Federal Register:</u> February 3, 1971 (36 F.R. 1934-1936).</p>
66. TV receiving sets, monochrome and color, from Japan (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> December 4, 1970.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> December 7, 1970.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> January 26-28, 1971.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> March 4, 1971.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined (Chairman Mize not participating) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of television receiving sets, monochrome and color, from Japan sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 367) published in the Federal Register:</u> March 9, 1971 (36 F.R. 4576-4578).</p>
67. Aluminum electrolytic and ceramic capacitors from Japan (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> December 8, 1970.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> December 9, 1970.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> January 19, 1971</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> March 8, 1971</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of aluminum electrolytic and ceramic capacitors from Japan sold at less than fair value.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
67. Aluminum electrolytic and ceramic capaci- tors from Japan (1971)--Continued	<u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 368) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: March 11, 1971</u> <u>(36 F.R. 4731-4732)</u>
68. Ceramic wall tile from the United Kingdom (1971).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u> <u>Department received: January 7, 1971.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: January 7, 1971</u> <u>Hearing held: March 2,3, 1971.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification</u> <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> <u>April 7, 1971.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Com-</u> <u>mission determined (Commissioner Leonard</u> <u>dissenting) that an industry in the United</u> <u>States was being injured by reason of the</u> <u>importation of ceramic wall tile from the</u> <u>United Kingdom sold at less than fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 381) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: April 13, 1971</u> <u>(36 F.R. 7036-7038).</u>
69. Clear plate and clear float glass from Japan (1971).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u> <u>Department received: January 7, 1971</u> <u>Investigation instituted: January 27, 1971</u> <u>Hearing held: February 24, 1971</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification</u> <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> <u>April 7, 1971</u> <u>Determination of the Commission: The Com-</u> <u>mission determined (Commissioner Leonard</u> <u>dissenting) that an industry in the United</u> <u>States was being injured by reason of the</u> <u>importation of clear plate and clear</u> <u>float glass from Japan sold at less than</u> <u>fair value.</u> <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 382) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: April 17, 1971</u> <u>(36 F.R. 7330-7333).</u>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
70. Clear sheet glass from Japan (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> January 7, 1971.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> January 27, 1971.  <u>Hearing held:</u> February 25, 1971.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  April 7, 1971.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission determined (Commissioner Leonard  dissenting) that an industry in the  United States was being injured by reason  of the importation of clear sheet glass  from Japan sold at less than fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 382) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register:</u> April 17, 1971  (36 F.R. 7330-7333).</p>
71. Brass key blanks from Canada (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> February 3, 1971.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> February 5, 1971.  <u>Hearing held:</u> March 23, 1971.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  May 3, 1971.  <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission unanimously determined that an  industry in the United States was not  being, and was not likely to be, injured  or prevented from being established by  reason of the importation of brass key  blanks from Canada sold at less than  fair value.  <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 392) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register:</u> May 7, 1971  (36 F.R. 8537-8538).</p>
72. Pig iron from Canada (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u>  <u>Department received:</u> March 15, 1971.  <u>Investigation instituted:</u> March 15, 1971.  <u>Hearing held:</u> May 11 and 12, 1971.  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  June 15, 1971.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the investigation
72. Pig iron from Canada (1971)--Continued	<p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined (Commissioner Clubb not participating) that an industry in the United States was being and was likely to be injured by reason of the importation of pig iron from Canada sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 398) published in the Federal Register:</u> June 19, 1971 (36 F.R. 11835-11836).</p>
73. Pig iron from Finland (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> March 15, 1971.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> March 15, 1971.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> May 11 and 12, 1971.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> June 15, 1971.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission by an evenly divided vote determined (Commissioners Leonard and Young dissenting and Commissioner Clubb not participating) that an industry in the United States was being and was likely to be injured by reason of the importation of pig iron from Finland sold at less than fair value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons therefor (TC Publication 398) published in the Federal Register:</u> June 19, 1971 (36 F.R. 11835-11836).</p>
74. Pig iron from West Germany (1971).	<p><u>Notice of determination by the Treasury Department received:</u> March 15, 1971.</p> <p><u>Investigation instituted:</u> March 15, 1971.</p> <p><u>Hearing held:</u> May 11 and 12, 1971.</p> <p><u>Investigation completed and notification sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> June 15, 1971.</p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Commission unanimously determined (Commissioner Clubb not participating) that an industry in the United States was being and was likely to be injured by reason of the importation of pig iron from West Germany sold at less than fair value.</p>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
74. Pig iron from West Germay (1971)--Con- tinued	<u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 398) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: June 19, 1971</u> <u>(36 F.R. 11835-11835)</u>
75. Chicken eggs in the shell from Mexico (1971).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u> <u>Department received: March 22, 1971.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: March 24, 1971.</u> <u>Hearing held: May 17, 1971.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification</u> <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> <u>June 22, 1971.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com- mission unanimously determined (Commis- sioner Clubb not participating) that an industry in the United States was not being, and was not likely to be, injured or prevented from being established by reason of the importation of chicken eggs in the shell from Mexico sold at less than fair value. <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 400) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: June 25, 1971</u> <u>(36 F.R. 12147-12148).</u>
76. Clear sheet glass from Taiwan (1971).	<u>Notice of determination by the Treasury</u> <u>Department received: April 21, 1971.</u> <u>Investigation instituted: April 27, 1971.</u> <u>Hearing held: June 9, 1971.</u> <u>Investigation completed and notification</u> <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u> <u>July 21, 1971.</u> <u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com- mission by an evenly divided vote deter- mined (Commissioners Leonard and Young dissenting and Chairman Bedell not participating) that an industry in the United States was being injured by reason of the importation of clear sheet glass from Taiwan sold at less than fair value. <u>Determination and statement of reasons</u> <u>therefor (TC Publication 407) published</u> <u>in the Federal Register: July 27, 1971</u> <u>(36 F.R. 13869).</u>

Investigation number, commodity, and country	Information relating to the Investigation
77. Tempered glass from Japan (1971).	<p>Notice of determination by the Treasury  <u>Department received: May 3, 1971.</u>  <u>Investigation instituted: May 4, 1971.</u>  <u>Hearing held: June 22, 1971</u>  <u>Investigation completed and notification</u>  <u>sent to the Secretary of the Treasury:</u>  <u>August 3, 1971</u></p> <p><u>Determination of the Commission:</u> The Com-  mission by an evenly divided vote deter-  mined (Commissioners Leonard and Young  dissenting and Chairman Bedell not  participating) that an industry in the  United States was being injured by  reason of the importation of tempered  glass from Japan sold at less than fair  value.</p> <p><u>Determination and statement of reasons</u>  <u>therefor (TC Publication 410) published</u>  <u>in the Federal Register: August 7, 1971</u>  <u>(36 F.R. 14682).</u></p>