

**Statement by
Director of Trade Defense
as Prepared for Delivery Before the US-International Trade
Commission**

**Public Hearing on Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-
Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses From
China and Indonesia**

Washington, DC, 27 October 2016

Chairman Williamson,

Vice Chairman Johanson,

and Members of the Commission,

Good Morning,

1. My name is Pradnyawati and I am the Director of Trade Defense in the Directorate General of Foreign Trade of the Ministry of Trade for the Government of the Republic of Indonesia. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today in support of our Indonesian Industry. As you might guess, Indonesia cares deeply about this case which is why I traveled over 10,000 miles from Jakarta to be here. In fact, the Government of Indonesia recently imposed safeguard duties on imports of coated paper which demonstrates the importance of this industry to my country. Even though I traveled a long way, my remarks will be brief because I know that Tjiwi Kimia and Pindo Deli have prepared an extensive presentation that goes into all of the facts and legal arguments supporting their case.

2. You may know that Indonesia is the world's third largest democracy, tenth-largest economy, and has the world's largest Muslim population. And you surely know that Indonesia is on the same side as the United States in the war against terrorism. You probably do not know that the terrorist attack in Jakarta earlier this year took place at a Starbucks just a block from Tjiwi Kimia's headquarters.
3. I understand from Tjiwi Kimia and Pindo Deli that, at their peak, their exports from Indonesia to the United States were approximately USD 50 million. I also understand that Tjiwi Kimia and Pindo Deli believe exports would not significantly exceed such a level if the antidumping and countervailing duty orders are revoked. While USD 50 million may not seem like a lot for a United States business to earn, that much money goes a long way in Indonesia.
4. Now, allow me to touch upon the trend which I see as a paradox of Sunset Reviews. Traditionally, the United States has revoked only a small number of outstanding antidumping and countervailing duty orders and has done so only after they have been in effect for a long period of time. Sometimes the orders and the ensuing duty remain in place all the way up to 20 (twenty) years merely based on the prediction that the revocation of the antidumping or countervailing duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the U.S. industry. I just want to touch your heart and remind us all that the purpose of an antidumping and countervailing order is to provide relief from imported goods that are unfairly competing in one's domestic market. However, an order and the ensuing duty should not remain in place for any longer than necessary. It is blatantly unjust to keep an

order in effect, whether by design or by default, when it is no longer needed as it unfairly punishes the competitive supplier in exporting country.

5. Finally, I understand that you have many facts to consider. I certainly believe that 5 (five) years of imposing anti-dumping and countervailing duties is more than enough time for an industry in a developed country like the United States to adjust and become more competitive. I hope that you keep in the back of your mind that this is an important case to a developing country and a trusted trading partner like Indonesia and a decision to allow the antidumping and countervailing duties against Indonesia to sunset would benefit both our countries.

6. Thank you.