

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN TELEVISION SETS,  
TELEVISION RECEIVERS,  
TELEVISION TUNERS, AND  
COMPONENTS THEREOF

Inv. No. 337-TA-910

NOTICE REGARDING INITIAL DETERMINATION ON VIOLATION OF SECTION  
337 AND RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND

(February 27, 2015)

On this date, I issued an initial determination on violation of section 337 and recommended determination on remedy and bond in the above-referenced investigation. Below are the conclusions of law from said filing, which are a matter of public record. A complete public version of the Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond will be issued when all the parties have submitted their redactions and I have had an opportunity to review the redactions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has subject matter jurisdiction, *in rem* jurisdiction, and *in personam* jurisdiction.
2. There has been an importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain television receivers and television tuners by Respondents Silicon Labs and MaxLinear and certain television sets by Respondents Samsung, Sharp, VIZIO, SIO/Hon Hai, TPV, and Wistron.
3. No domestic industry exists in the United States pursuant to Section 337(a)(2) with respect to the '585 patent or the '792 patent.
4. Certain Silicon Labs television tuners infringe claims 1, 2, and 3 of the '585 patent.

5. Certain Samsung, LG, and VIZIO televisions incorporating Silicon Labs tuners infringe claims 1, 2, and 3 of the '585 patent.

6. Certain MaxLinear television tuners infringe claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 12 and 13 of the '585 patent.

7. Certain Samsung and VIZIO televisions incorporating MaxLinear television tuners infringe claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 12 and 13 of the '585 patent.

8. No accused Silicon Labs television tuners have been shown to infringe any asserted claim of the '792 patent.

9. No Samsung, LG, and VIZIO televisions incorporating Silicon Labs tuners have been shown to infringe any asserted claim of the '792 patent.

10. Certain MaxLinear television tuners infringe claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 25 and 26 of the '792 patent.

11. Certain Samsung and VIZIO televisions incorporating MaxLinear television tuners infringe 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 25 and 26 of the '792 patent.

12. Claims 1 and 2 of the '585 patent are invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102.

13. Claim 3 of the '585 patent is invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103.

14. Claims 10, 11, and 12 of the '585 patent have not been shown to be invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103.

15. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 25, 26, and 27 of the '792 patent are invalid pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102.

16. There is no violation of Section 337 with respect to the '585 patent or the '792 patent.

**SO ORDERED.**

Issued: 2/27/15  
DATE

Dee Lord  
Dee Lord  
Administrative Law Judge