

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN MOBILE PHONES,
COMPONENTS THEREOF, AND
PRODUCTS CONTAINING SAME**

Inv. No. 337-TA-1375

**NOTICE: ISSUANCE OF INITIAL DETERMINATION ON
VIOLATION OF SECTION 337**

(December 17, 2024)

The Final Initial Determination (“ID”) on Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337 (“Section 337”), has been issued today.

It is a finding of this ID that Complainant Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (“Complainant” or “Ericsson”) has proven by a preponderance of evidence that Respondents Motorola Mobility LLC, Lenovo (United States) Inc. and Motorola (Wuhan) Mobility Technologies, Communication Co., Ltd. (collectively, “Respondents”) have violated subsection (b) of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile phones, components thereof, and products containing the same.

It is a finding of this ID that Respondents have infringed asserted claims 10 and 13-15 of U.S. Patent No. 10,426,817 (“the ’817 patent”). It is also a finding of this ID that the asserted claims of the ’817 patent are valid.

It is a finding of this ID that Respondents have infringed asserted claims 8, 9, 15, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 10,306,669 (“the ’669 patent”). It is also a finding of this ID that the asserted claims of the ’669 patent are valid.


It is a finding of this ID that Respondents have infringed asserted claims 34 and 39 of U.S. Patent No. 11,317,342 (“the ’342 patent”). It is also a finding of this ID that the asserted claims of the ’342 patent are valid.

It is a finding of this ID that Respondents have infringed asserted claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 11,515,893 (“the ’893 patent”). It is also a finding of this ID that the asserted claims of the ’893 patent are valid.

It is a finding of this ID that one or more of Ericsson’s domestic industry products have satisfied the technical industry prong of the domestic industry requirement for the ’817, ’669, ’342, and ’893 patents. Respondents did not dispute that Ericsson has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under Section 337(a)(3)(A) and (B), which was addressed in an Initial Determination granting Ericsson’s unopposed Motion for Summary Determination on this issue. (*See* Order No. 50 (Dec. 16, 2024).).

A recommendation on permanent relief, bonding and the public interest will be forthcoming in another document that will be filed pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 210.42(a)(1)(ii).

SO ORDERED.


MaryJoan McNamara
Administrative Law Judge