

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C.

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN DIGITAL MEDIA DEVICES,  
INCLUDING TELEVISIONS, BLU-RAY  
DISC PLAYERS, HOME THEATER  
SYSTEMS, TABLETS AND MOBILE  
PHONES, COMPONENTS THEREOF  
AND ASSOCIATED SOFTWARE**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-882**

**Notice**

On this date, the administrative law judge issued the Final Initial Determination (“ID”) on the question of violation of section 337. A public version of the ID will be available within 30 days. Further, within 14 days, the administrative law judge will issue the Recommended Determination (“RD”) concerning the remedy that may be appropriate in the event that the Commission ultimately finds a violation of section 337. A public version of the RD will follow shortly thereafter.

As explained in the ID, a violation of section 337 has not been found. The ID contains, among other things, the following conclusions:

1. The Commission has subject matter, personal, and *in rem* jurisdiction in this investigation.
2. The accused Samsung, LG, and Toshiba products have been imported into the United States.
3. Samsung’s accused products do not infringe asserted claims 1, 5, 23, 30, 34, 37, and 45 of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873; asserted claims 9 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,045,952;

asserted claims 1, 11, and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652; or asserted claims 7 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,593.

4. LG's accused products do not infringe asserted claims 1, 5, 23, 30, 34, 37, and 45 of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873; asserted claims 9 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,045,952; asserted claims 1, 11, and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652; or asserted claims 7 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,593.

5. Toshiba's accused products do not infringe asserted claims 1, 5, 23, 30, 34, 37, and 45 of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873; asserted claims 9 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,045,952; asserted claims 1, 11, and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652; or asserted claims 7 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,593.

6. The domestic industry requirement has not been satisfied with respect to any asserted patent.

7. It has been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 1.

8. It has been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,593 are invalid in view of the prior art.

9. It has not been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,045,952 or U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652 are invalid.



---

David P. Shaw  
Administrative Law Judge

Issued: June 5, 2014