

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN AUDIOVISUAL
COMPONENTS AND PRODUCTS
CONTAINING THE SAME**

Inv. No. 337-TA-837

Notice

On this date, the administrative law judge issued the Final Initial Determination (“ID”) on the question of violation of section 337. A public version of the ID will be available within 30 days. Further, within 14 days, the administrative law judge will issue the Recommended Determination (“RD”) concerning the remedy that may be appropriate in the event that the Commission ultimately finds a violation of section 337. A public version of the RD will follow shortly thereafter.

As explained in the ID, a violation of section 337 has been found. The ID contains, among other things, the following conclusions:

1. The Commission has subject matter, personal, and *in rem* jurisdiction in this investigation.
2. The importation requirement is satisfied as to the Funai and Realtek accused products.
3. Funai’s accused products directly infringe asserted claims 1, 5, 7-9, and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,870,087. Funai is liable for induced infringement of asserted claims 10 and 11 of the ‘087 patent.
4. Funai’s accused products do not infringe asserted claims 1-9 and 11 of U.S.

Patent No. 6,982,663; asserted claims 22-26, 29, 32, and 35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,452,958; or asserted claims 20, 23, 24, 26-35, 37-40, 47, 49-56, and 58-61 of U.S. Patent No. 6,707,867.

5. Realtek's accused products do not infringe asserted claims 22-26, 29, 32, and 35 of the '958 patent; or asserted claims 20, 23, 24, 26-35, 37-40, 47, 49-56, and 58-61 of the '867 patent.

6. It has not been shown by clear and convincing evidence that any asserted claim of the '087, '663, '958, or '867 patent is invalid.

7. The domestic industry requirement is satisfied as to all asserted patents.

8. Respondents have not prevailed on any equitable or RAND defense.

David P. Shaw
Administrative Law Judge

Issued: July 18, 2013