

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN VIDEO DISPLAYS, COMPONENTS
THEREOF, AND PRODUCTS CONTAINING
THE SAME**

Inv. No. 337-TA-687

**NOTICE REGARDING ISSUANCE OF FINAL INITIAL DETERMINATION AND
RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND**

(September 17, 2010)

On this date, the undersigned issued an Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337 and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond in the above-referenced Investigation. Attached are the opening pages from said filing, which are a matter of public record. A complete public version of the Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337 and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond will be issued when all the parties have submitted, and the undersigned has had an opportunity to review, the proposed redactions.

SO ORDERED.


E. James Gildea
Administrative Law Judge

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

CERTAIN VIDEO DISPLAYS, COMPONENTS
THEREOF, AND PRODUCTS CONTAINING
THE SAME

Inv. No. 337-TA-687

INITIAL DETERMINATION ON VIOLATION OF SECTION 337 AND
RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON REMEDY AND BOND

Administrative Law Judge E. James Gildea

(September 17, 2010)

Appearances:

For the Complainant LG Electronics, Inc.:

Ruffin B. Cordell, Esq.; Ralph A. Phillips, Esq.; Richard A. Sterba, Esq.; and Joshua Pond, Esq.
of Fish & Richardson of Washington, D.C.

For the Respondents Vizio, Inc., AmTran Technology Co., Ltd. and AmTran Logistics, Inc.:

Blaney Harper, Esq.; and Ric Macchiaroli, Esq. of Jones Day of Washington, D.C.

Ryan B. McCrum, Esq. of Jones Day of Cleveland, OH.

For the Commission Investigative Staff:

Lynn I. Levine, Esq., Director; Thomas Fusco, Esq., Supervisory Attorney; Jeffrey T. Hsu, Esq.,
Investigative Attorney, of the Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, of Washington, D.C.

Pursuant to the Notice of Investigation, 74 Fed. Reg. 47616 (September 16, 2009), this is the Initial Determination of the Investigation in the Matter of Certain Video Displays, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same, United States International Trade Commission Investigation No. 337-TA-687. *See* 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(a).

With respect to Respondents Vizio, Inc., AmTran Technology Co. Ltd., and AmTran Logistics, Inc., it is held that no violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain liquid crystal display and plasma flat screen televisions by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 24 and 25 of United States Patent No. 5,790,096; claim 1 of United States Patent No. 5,459,522; and claims 1, 4, 5, and 11-13 of United States Patent No. 7,154,564.

With respect to Respondents Vizio, Inc., AmTran Technology Co. Ltd., and AmTran Logistics, Inc., it is held that a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain liquid crystal display and plasma flat screen televisions by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 4, 6, and 7 of United States Patent No. 5,537,612.

It is further held that a domestic industry exists that practices U.S. Patent Nos. 5,537,612, 5,459,522, and 7,154,564, but not U.S. Patent No. 5,790,096.