

Pursuant to the Notice of Investigation, 73 Fed. Reg. 54617 (2008), this is the Initial Determination of the in the matter of *Certain Automotive Multimedia Display and Navigation Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same*, United States International Trade Commission Investigation No. 337-TA-657. See 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(a).

It is held that no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, has occurred in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain automotive multimedia display and navigation systems, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-7 and 17 of U.S. Patent 6,308,132; claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 5,923,286; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,664,945; and claims 1, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,289,277.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has personal jurisdiction over the parties, and subject-matter jurisdiction over the accused products.
2. The importation or sale requirement of section 337 is satisfied.
3. The accused products do not literally infringe the asserted claims of the '132 Patent.
4. The accused products do not literally infringe the asserted claims of the '286 Patent.
5. The accused products do not literally infringe the asserted claims of the '945 Patent.
6. The accused products do not literally infringe the asserted claims of the '277 Patent.
7. Pioneer does not contribute to the infringement of the '132 Patent.
8. Pioneer does not induce infringement of the '286 Patent.
9. Pioneer does not induce infringement of the '945 Patent.
10. Pioneer does not induce infringement of the '277 Patent.
11. The asserted claims of the '132 Patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102 for anticipation.
12. The asserted claims of the '286 Patent are not invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102 for anticipation.
13. The asserted claims of the '945 Patent are not invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102 for anticipation.
14. The asserted claims of the '277 Patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102 for anticipation.
15. The asserted claims of the '286 Patent are not invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 for obviousness.

16. The asserted claims of the '945 Patent are not invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 for obviousness.
17. The asserted claims of the '277 Patent are not invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 for obviousness.
18. The asserted claims of the '132 Patent fail to satisfy the definiteness requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2.
19. The asserted claims of the '132 Patent are not invalid for failure to satisfy the best mode requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1
20. The asserted claims of the '286 Patent are invalid for failure to satisfy the best mode requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1.
21. The asserted claims of the '132 Patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) because it was in public use more than one year before the date of the earliest patent application.
22. The asserted claims of the '132 Patent are invalid due to the on-sale bar.
23. The asserted claims of the '286 Patent are not invalid due to the on-sale bar.
24. A domestic industry exists, as required by section 337 for the '132, the '286, the '945 and the '277 Patents.
25. It has not been established that a violation exists of section 337.