PRESIDENT'S LIST OF ARTICLES
WHICH MAY BE DESIGNATED OR
MODIFIED AS ELIGIBLE ARTICLES
FOR PURPOSES OF THE
U.S. GENERALIZED SYSTEM
OF PREFERENCES

Report to the President on Investigation Nos. TA-503(a)-15 and 332-249

TSUS-BASED DIGESTS
VOLUME II

USITC PUBLICATION 2041

NOVEMBER 1987

United States International Trade Commission / Washington, DC 20436

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

Susan Liebeler, Chairman
Anne E. Brunsdale, Vice Chairman
Alfred E. Eckes
Seeley G. Lodwick
David B. Rohr

Prepared principally by the Office of Industries

Erland Heginbotham, Director

Address all communications to
Kenneth R. Mason, Secretary to the Commission
United States International Trade Commission
Washington, DC 20436

Canned Anchovies, Packed In Water, Brine, Or Other Nonoil Medium, In Containers Weighing With Their Contents Not Over 15 Pounds Each.

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 5 percent ad valorem	
Type of action proposed (check one): Addition of GSP: X	
Removal from GSP:	
Country graduation:	
Competitive-need-limit waiver:	
Non-eligible GSP countries: <u>None</u>	
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None	
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None	
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No	
Description and uses: Anchovies are an edible fish product, nearly always marketed canned form. They are used in food preparations; one of the best-known is as a pizz	
topping; other uses include additions to salads and sandwiches, etc. This product h	nas
no significant substitutes within a wide range of its price.	

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	*3	*3	*3	*3	*0
Total U.S. employment (employees)	*100	*100	*100	*100	* 0 `
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	*7,000	*9,000	*4,000	*4,000	*-43
U.S. exports (thousand dollars) 2/	*3,680	*1,244	*748	*1,142	*-69
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	463	229	244	565	22
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	*3,783	*7,985	*3,496	*3,423	* -10
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	*12	* 3	* 7	*17	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	-

Comment: The level of U.S. production of canned anchovies depends entirely on the level of the U.S. anchovy catch, which in turn is subject to resource availability, weather conditions, etc. Variations in such catch explain the variation in production during 1981-86. Quality is an important factor in marketing, and imported supplies tend to be of slightly lower quality than domestic supplies. New suppliers may find market resistance until their reputation is developed.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is partially contained in the Digest for HS item No. 1604.16.40 (includes approximately 50 percent of the import product value contained in this digest).

^{2/} Estimated from export data for HS item 1604.16.40.

^{3/} Not available.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of	Percent of GSP	
I Cell	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		Quanti	ity (1,000 pounds	:)
Total	314	100	-	5
Imports from GSP countries:		•		
Tota1	108	34	100	2
Philippines	66	21	61	1
Malaysia	20	6	19	1/1/2
Korea	10	. 3	9	1/
Thailand	11	44	10	1/
•		. Va	lue (thousands)	
Total	\$565	100	-	17
Total	114	20	100	4
Philippines	51	· 9	45	2
Malaysia	34	6	30	1
Korea	17	3	15	1
Thailand	8	2	7	1/

Note. -- The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of the Philippines for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 4
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate X Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers?
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Below X
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Below X

TSUS item No. 112.01—Con.

Competitiveness of Malaysia for all digest products				
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986				
Price sensitivity:				
Can production in the country be easily expanded or				
contracted in the short term? Y	es No	_X		
Does the country have significant export				
markets besides the United States? Y	es X No			
Could exports from the country be readily				
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Y	es X No			
Price sensitivity of import supply High Mod	erate <u>X</u>	Low		
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this				
and other suppliers? Y				
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Mod	erate	Low		
Price level compared with:	•			
U.S. products Above E	quivalent _	8∈	low	<u></u>
Other foreign products Above X E	quivalent _	Be	low	
Quality compared with:				
U.S. products Above E				
Other foreign products Above X E	quivalent _	Be	low	
Competitiveness of Korea for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986				
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 9		•		٠
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 9 Price sensitivity:				
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	'es No	X		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	'es No	<u>X</u>		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986				
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986				
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es <u>X</u> No			
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es <u>X</u> No			
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es <u>X</u> No les <u>X</u> No lerate <u>X</u>	Low _		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es X No lerate X les X No			
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es X No lerate X les X No			
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es X No lerate X les X No lerate X No lerate	Low _		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es X No lerate X les X No lerate X No lerate	Low _		<u>.</u>
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es X No lerate X les X No lerate X No lerate	Low _		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es X No lerate X les X No lerate Y les X No lerate	Low Be Be	elow :	
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	es X No lerate X lerate X lerate quivalent _ quivalent _	Low Be Be Be	elow :	Κ

TSUS item No. 112.01—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

Petitioner. —The Petitioner, the Moroccan Center for Export Promotion, a Moroccan Government agency, seeks to "ease the access of (this product) into the American market by getting lower customs duties." The Petitioner foresees the following consequences of a successful petition: (1) improvement of the Morrocan foreign trade deficit; (2) increase of the trade between Morocco and the United States; (3) improvement of the rate of capacity utilization in Moroccan anchovy canneries; and (4) creation of new Moroccan jobs. The Petitioner expects an increase in production of canned anchovies of 50 percent above the 1986 level of 8.4 million pounds, and an increase in capacity utilization from 50 to 75 percent, if the petition is successful. The export market is vital to the Moroccan industry, accounting for about 94 percent of the total value of shipments in 1986. Most of these exports are destined for the French market, and nearly all of the remainder to other EC markets. The Petitioner states that its product is of "remarkable" quality, "fully competitive" with competing products in EC markets.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TSUS item No. 112.01--Con.

TS number: 11201

6

Product: Anchovies, not in oil, in airtight containers not over 15 pounds
U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		/alue (1,000 dol:	lars)	
Nethlds				116
Spain	64	18	. 20	93
Italy	77 ·	41	71	70
Phil R	147	83	. •	51
China P	31	40	. 9	45
Japan	1	5	31	34
Malaysa	5	_		34
Greece	70	24	22	32
Kor Rep	6		6 ·	16
Hg Kong	9	•	23	15
Portugl	21		25	13
France	<u> </u>	•	2	11
Sweden	16 .	3	2	10
Thailnd	1	3	_	8
All other total	· 9	66	27	9
Total	463	229	244	565
GSP total	172	89	42	129
		-	•	
		Percent		
Nethlds	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.6
Spain	13.9 _.	8.0	8.2	16.6
Italy	16.8	18.2	29.3	12.4
Phil R	31.8	36.5	0.0	9.1
China P	6.7	17.8	4.0	8.1
Japan	0.2	2.6	13.0	6.1
Malaysa	1.1	0.0	0.0	6.1
Greece	15.2	10.6	9.3	5.7
Kor Rep	. 1.5	0.2	2.5	3.0
dg Kong	2.1	0.0	9.7	2.8
Portugl	4.6	0.0	10.6	2.5
France	0.0	0.3	1.0	2.1
Sweden	3.6	1.7	1.0	1.9
Thailnd	0.3	1.5	0.0	1.5
All other total	2.2	2.7	11.3	1.6
Total	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	77 2	38.9	17.5	22.9

TSUS item No. 112.01--Con.

TS number: 11201 7

Product: Anchovies, not in oil, in airtight containers not over 15 pounds
U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

ource	1981	1983	1985	1980
		Quantity	(pounds)	
h11.R	176,936	92,292		65,479
taly	63,833	32,831	53,369	44,58
ethlds			•	36,370
reece	62,155	21,623	14,958	33,022
pa111	22,102	7,840	9,929	. 32,060
nina P	22,294	15,747	3,669	21,663
laysa	2,450	,		20,000
pan	1,994	1,272	14,184	14,809
ailnd	1,030	2,020	147104	11,00
r Rep	6,890	218	3,369	10,120
Kong	3,600	5.0	8,619	8,38
Germ	4,378	2 .	2,377	4,34
	9,005		670	-
eden		2,630		4,10
rtugl	4,375	9 848-	12,460	3,75
1 other total	1,017	3,515-	23,373	4,44
Total	382,059	179,990	146,977	314,14
GSP total	191,705	96,363	29,184	115,999
_	•	Percent		
11.R	46.3	51.3	0.0	20.8
aly	16.7	18.2	36.3	14.2
thlds	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.6
0000	16.3	12.0	10.2	10.5
ain	5.8	4.4	6.8	10.2
ina P	5.8	8.7	2.5	6.9
laysa	0.6	0.0	0.0	6.4
pan	0.5	0.7	9.7	4.7
ai 1nd	0.3	1.1	0.0	3.5
r Rep	1.8	0.1	2.3	3.2
Kong	0.9	0.0	5.9	2.7
Germ	1.1	0.0	1.6	1.4
	2.4	1.5	0.5	1.3
eden rtugl		0.0	8.5	1.2
l other total	1.1 0.3	2.0	15.9	1.4
e acilei, fafet'	V.3	2. 7	I 3	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: FISH, NSPF, CANNED

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
	4	Quantity	(pounds)	
4		//= =44	/44 89/	4 9/4 5/4
Israel	162,678	443,381	602,576	1,348,718
Thai Ind	704 757	78,431	190,997	873,234
Trinid	358,353	119,141 78,406	294,363 138,987	461,461
N Caldn	36,586	•		368,780
Bahamas	508,569	390,144	202,370	317,039
Singapr	58,747	34,881	53,278	288,839
Canada	4,620,775	723,122	287,414	268,459
Panama	330,194	532,849	260,118	224,868
Japan	37,800	40,652	351,624	179,302
U.King	4,002,624	162,057	34,425	142,597
Hg Kong	53,981	64,984	93,974	128,244
Greeca		33,750		105,000
Patrter			\$5,555	89,948
Mexico	70,173	6,434	265,593	83,193
All other total	6,592,675	6,393,707	791,797	424,633
Total	16,833,155	9,101,939	3,623,071	5,304,315
GSP total	6,507,880	6,702,211	2,619,366	4,380,554
		Percent		
Israel	1.0	4.9	16.6	25.4
Thailnd	0.0	0.9	5.3	16.5
Trinid	2.1	1.3	8.1	8.7
N Calda	0.2	0.9	3.8	7.0
Bahamas	3.0	4.3	5.6	6.0
Singapr	0.3	0.4	1.5	5.4
Canada	27.5	7.9	7.9	5.1
Panama	2.0	5.9	7.2	.4.2
Japan	0.2	0.4-	9.7	3.4
· · ·	23.8	1.8	1.0	2.7
U. King			2.6	
Hg Kong	0.3 0.0 ·	0.7 0.4	0.0	2.4 2.0
Greece	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.7
Patrter			1.3 7.3	1.6
Mexico	0.4 39.2	0.1 70.2	7.3 21.9	8.0
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	38.7	73.6	72.3	. 82.6_

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce 8

1/ Approximately 15-20 percent of Schedule B item No. 112.2500 has been allocated to this table.

TSUS item No. 112.01--Con.

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

9

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		/alue (1,000 dol)	lars)	
Israel	235	678	994	2,209
That Ind	*	83	167	840
Trinid	515	136	390	549
Japan	68	62	- 486	496
Canada	5,818	1,066	292	383
Panama	530	726	407	374
Bahamas	735	452	233	365
N Caldn	56	85	110	278
Singapr	91	65	94	260
U.King	6,102	114	91	166
Dom. Rep	99	84	66	119
Taiwan	74	19 .	42	118
Hg Kong	100	96	74	116
Mexico	99	4	189	90
All other total	5.576	- 3,788	757	527
Total	20,103	7,467	4,399	6,896
GSP total	5,584	4,589	3,116	5,574
·	-	Percent		
Israel	1.2	9.1	22.6	32.0
That Ind	0.0	1.1	3.8	12.2
Trinid	2.6	1.8	8.9	8.0
Japan	0.3	0.8	11.1	7.2
Canada	28.9	14.3	66	5.6
Panama	2.6	9.7	9.3	5.4
Bahamas	3. 7	6.1	5.3	5.3
N Caldn	0.3	1.1	2.5	4.0
Singapr	0.5	0.9	2.2	3.8
U.King	30.4	1.5	2.1	2.4
Dom. Rep	0.5	1.1	1.5	1.7
Taiwan	0.4	0.3	1.0	1.7
Hg Kong	0.5	1.3	1.7	1.7
Mexico	0.5	0.1	4.3	1.3
All other total	27.7	50.7	17.2	7.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	27.8	61.5	70.8	80.8

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce 9

^{1/} Approximately 15-20 percent of Schedule B item No. 112.2500 has been allocated to this table.

Milled Oats, Fit For Human Consumption, Valued over \$8.00 per Hundred Pounds

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 80¢/100 lbs (1986 AVE 1.3%)
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP: X
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver:
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: Milled oats fit for human consumption include oatmeal, oat
flour, rolled oats, flaked oats, and hulled oats. Most of the oats are used for
"oatmeal" cereals, either as cooked oatmeal or instant oatmeal. Most of these cereals
consist of rolled oats. Products processed further than milling are not included here;
such products are classified as cereal breakfast foods in TSUS item 182.30. Oat flour,
a byproduct of the manufacture of rolled oats, is used in the production of prepared
breakfast foods and infant foods. Oat flour contains an antioxidant which delays
rancidity in foods containing fat. Imports of milled oats consist mostly of rolled oats
breakfast cereals which are imported as specialty products with a limited market.

II. U.S. market profile

	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	*5	*5	*5	*5	_
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	2	2	2	2	_
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	**111,324	**127,223	**134,370	**140,010	+27
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	10,442	3,500	1,143	4, 193	-60
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	1,186	1,311	1,284	1,683	+42
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	**102,068	**125,034	**134,511	**137,500	+35
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	**]	**]	**1	**1	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	**80	**80	**80	**80	_

Comment: Food use of oat production has averaged about 8 percent in recent years as animal feed use has declined. Food use has ranged between 33 million and 45 million bushels per year. Products include oatmeal, oat flour, natural cereals, bread, cookies,

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 1104.12.00.

TSUS item No. 131.27—Con.

granola, and baby food. The principal use of food oats is breakfast food and snack products. The food use of oats is as follows: 50 percent regular oatmeal, 35 percent instant oatmeal, 8 percent oat flour, 8 percent snack products. Over 70 percent of cooked cereal in the United States is oatmeal. It is consumed particularly by people over 65 or under 5 years of age. Instant oatmeal product use has increased while standard rolled oats products have decreased. The market for all food oat products is increasing by 2 percent per year. Health benefits of oats may increase consumption in the future. Oats have a high protein and fiber content and may help lower cholesterol. Demand for food oats is price inelastic (-.1). The largest producer is Quaker Oats ([* * *] percent of the market); three or four others are believed to account for the remainder. Oatmeal cereals are characterized by high value added, high advertising costs, and product differentiation. The trend is towards products requiring higher packaging and advertising costs. The domestic market for milled oats is oligopolistic (a few companies dominate the industry). It is difficult to enter this market because of the competitive advantages held by the large firms. These advantages include marketing channels, name brand recognition of the product by consumers, economies of scale and the vertical integration of mills, packaging plants and advertising companies. It would be especially difficult for a foreign company to enter this market for these same reasons.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
		Quanti	ty (100 pounds)	
Total	35,314	100	-	<u>1</u> /
Imports from GSP countries:				
Tota1	-	58	100	<u>1</u> /
Colombia	19,508	55	96	<u>'</u> / <u>'</u> / <u>'</u> /
Venezuela	413	1	2	1/
Thailand	400	1	2	1/
Jamaica	24	1/	1/	1/
		Value	(thousands)	
Total	\$1,683	100	-	1
Total	1,234	73	100	1
Colombia	-	71	97	1
Venezuela	25	2	2	1/
Thailand	6	<u>1</u> /	1	1/
Jamaica	2	1/	1/	1/

^{1/} Less than .5 percent.

Note .-- The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

U.S. products	Competitiveness of Colombia for all digest products
Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?	Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
contracted in the short term?	Price sensitivity:
Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?	
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets?	contracted in the short term? Yes No X
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets?	Does the country have significant export
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes No X Price sensitivity of import supply	markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply	Could exports from the country be readily
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers?	redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes No X
and other suppliers?	Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate X Low
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand	Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
Price level compared with: U.S. products	and other suppliers? Yes X No
U.S. products	Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate Low _X_
Other foreign.products,	Price level compared with:
Quality compared with: U.S. products	U.S. products Above X Equivalent Below
U.S. products	Other foreign.products, Above X Equivalent Below
Other foreign products	Quality compared with:
Comment: The average price of Colombian product is \$0.61 per pound, compared to \$0.18 per pound for the domestically produced product. This is due to high packaging cost, not difference in quality. Consumers who buy the Colombian oat products are willing to pay the higher price because the product is packaged in metal containers. Most of the consumers live in tropical climates such as Puerto Rico where the metal containers protect the contents against high humidity and insects. U.S. consumers have a low demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
per pound for the domestically produced product. This is due to high packaging cost, not difference in quality. Consumers who buy the Colombian oat products are willing to pay the higher price because the product is packaged in metal containers. Most of the consumers live in tropical climates such as Puerto Rico where the metal containers protect the contents against high humidity and insects. U.S. consumers have a low demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
not difference in quality. Consumers who buy the Colombian oat products are willing to pay the higher price because the product is packaged in metal containers. Most of the consumers live in tropical climates such as Puerto Rico where the metal containers protect the contents against high humidity and insects. U.S. consumers have a low demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	Comment: The average price of Colombian product is \$0.61 per pound, compared to \$0.18
pay the higher price because the product is packaged in metal containers. Most of the consumers live in tropical climates such as Puerto Rico where the metal containers protect the contents against high humidity and insects. U.S. consumers have a low demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	per pound for the domestically produced product. This is due to high packaging cost,
consumers live in tropical climates such as Puerto Rico where the metal containers protect the contents against high humidity and insects. U.S. consumers have a low demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	not difference in quality. Consumers who buy the Colombian oat products are willing to
protect the contents against high humidity and insects. U.S. consumers have a low demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	pay the higher price because the product is packaged in metal containers. Most of the
demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	consumers live in tropical climates such as Puerto Rico where the metal containers
reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons; health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	protect the contents against high humidity and insects. U.S. consumers have a low
health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	demand elasticity for food oats. Food oats are price inelastic for the following
for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot	reasons: (1) Many consumers use oatmeal cereals and granolas for health reasons;
	health related products tend to be price inelastic. (2) There are no close substitutes
oatmeal cereal, is much lower than that of potential substitutes such as cold cereals.	for most food oat products. (3) The price of the most important food oat product, hot
The second secon	oatmeal cereal, is much lower than that of potential substitutes such as cold cereals.

TSUS item No. 131.27—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Colombian Government Trade Bureau requests GSP duty—free treatment in order to promote exports to the U.S. market. The petitioner claims that there would be no injury to the U.S. industry. Colombia needs foreign exchange earnings, increased plant utilization, and increased employment. The petitioner argues that addition would diversify choice for U.S. consumers.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TS number: 13127 TSUS item No. 131.27--Con.

Product: Oats, milled, fit for human consumption

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1980
		Quantity	(100 pounds)	
Colomb	15,843	16,781	15,070	19,508
Canada		405	5,586	11,006
Ireland	1,955	1,307	2,038	2,053
U King	. 106	1,098	408	1,340
Venez	9			413
Thai lnd				400
FR Germ				340
Nethlds			317	230
Jamaica				24
Mexico	931			
Belgium			61	
China P	5		••	
Taiwan		1		
All other total		•		
Total	18,849	19,592	23,480	35,314
GSP total	16,783	16,782	15,070	20,345
. <u> </u>		Percent		
Colomb	84.1	85.7	64.2	55.2
Canada	0.0	2.1	23.8	31.2
Ireland	10.4	6.7	8.7	5.8
U King	0.6	5.6	1.7	3.8
Venez	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
Thai Ind	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
FR Germ	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Nethlds	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.7
Jamaica	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Mexico	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Belgium	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
China P	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Taiwan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	89.0	85.7	64.2	57.6

TSUS item No. 131.27--Con.

TS number: 13127

Product: Oats, milled, fit for human consumption

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and $\underline{1986}$

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
_		/alue (1,000 dol	lars)	
Colomb	1,015	1,161	1,066	1,200
Canada		15	69	207
Ireland	104	74	126	117
U King	7	59	13	96
Venez				25
FR Germ		_		16
Nethlds			3	11
Thai Ind	-	•		5
Jamaica				2
Mexico	53			
Belgium			3	
All other total				
Total	1,182	1,311	1,283	1,682
GSP total	1,069	1,161	1,066	1,233
		Percent		-
Colomb	85.9	88.6	83.1	71.4
Canada	0.0	1.2	5.4	-12.3
Ireland	8.8	5.7	9.8	7.0
U King	0.6	4.5	1.1	5.7
Venez	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
FR Germ	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Nethlds	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7
Thailnd	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Jamaica	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Mexico	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Belgium	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	90.5	88.6	83.1	73.3

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

Product: OATS, MILLED EX BRKFST CEREAL

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(pounds)	
	18,079,839	4,409,200		9,920,700
Guatmal	3,246,700	1,741,225	832,000	7,046,778
Dom Rep	1,710,201	564,400	1,400,400	2,641,80
lexi co	17,019,593	23,333	40,310	1,341,70
Rica	87,800	129,214	1,243,873	1,208,73
londura			102,424	578,75
	13,276,312	40,000	1,873,930	. 422,80
rinid	-	8,750		232,51
Virgn				180,00
weden			301,686	78,00
Belgium				51,66
ligeria				24,46
.Antil				12,000
anada	257,337	444,917	·	2,50
all other total		13,841,866	69,631	
Total	71,448,428	21,202,905	5,864,254	23,742,42
GSP total	68.985.932	12,846,036	5,562,568	23,585,79
	3377307733	18/0-10///		
-		Percent		
Colomb	25.3	20.8	0.0	41.8
Suatmal	4.5	8.2	14.2	29.7
om Rep	2.4	2.7	23.9	11.1
lexico	23.8	0.1	0.7	5.7
Rica	0.1	0.6	21.2	5.1
londura	0.0	0.0	1.7	2.4
/enez	18.6	0.2	32.0	1.8
rinid	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Virgn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
weden	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.3
selgium	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
ligeria	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
I. Antil	0.0		0.0	0.1
Canada		0.0	0.0	0.0
anauz	0.4 24.9	2.1 65.3	1.2	0.0
\ll other total				
All other total		444	444 4	444 4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

¹/ Schedule B number 131.2600 was allocated at a level of 100 percent for purpose of this table.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Product: OATS, MILLED EX BRKFST CEREAL

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

<u> </u>	*			
Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		/alue (1,000 dol)	lars)	
Colomb	2,919	61 0		1,494
Guatmal	537	353	170	1,156
Dom.Rep	352	116	292	537
Rica	15	23	389	406
lexico	1,758	2	2	227
londura	-		39	143
enez	1,876	6	110	71
ligeria		•	•	46
Sweden			129	41
Virgn				34
rinid		1		23
Belgium				6
I.Antil	•			2
anada	32	53		1
All other total	2,949	2,330	8	·
Total	10,441	3,499	1,143	4,193
GSP total	9,993	2,138	1,013	4,097
	-	Percent	-	_
Colomb	28.0	17.4	0.0	35.6
Guatmal	5.1	10.1	14.9	27.6
Dom.Rep	3.4	3.3	25.6	12.8
Rica	0.1	0.7	34.0	9.7
lex1 co	16.8	0.1	0.3	5.4
iondura	0.0	0.0	3.5	3.4
/enez	18.0	0.2	9.7	1.7
ligeria	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Sweden	0.0	0.0	11.4	1.0
3 Virgn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
rinid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
Belgium	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
.Antil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Canada	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.0
All other total	28.2	66.6	0.7	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

^{1/} Schedule B number 131.2600 was allocated at a level of 100 percent for purpose of this table.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Beans, Except Soybeans, Pickled

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 9 percent ad valorem
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP: X
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver:
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: Pickled beans (other than soybeans) include such products a
pickled fresh green and yellow (wax) string beans, as well as pickled cooked dry
beans, often used alone or with other pickled beans in salads.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	2/	2/	<u>2</u> /	2/	-
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> / <u>2</u> /		<u>2</u> /	-
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> / <u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	-
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	2/	2/	2/	2/	
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	136	_ 9	_ 0	_ 0	-100
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	2/	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	2/	-
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	2/	2/	2/	2/	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent) 3/	**75	**75	**75	**75	-

Comment: Pickled beans are described as specialty products, often marketed as a separate item in mixtures with other pickled vegetables or in salads. Annual domestic production data are not available; such production, however, supplies the bulk of pickled bean consumption and exports are believed to be negligible. Imports, comparable in quality and appearance, are generally about the same price.

 $[\]underline{l}/$ Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 2001.90.30.

^{2/} Not available.

 $[\]underline{3}$ / Based on an estimated capacity utilization for the overall domestic vegetable processing industry.

III. GSP import situation, 1984 1/

IV.

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of
<u>Item</u>	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		Quantity (t	housands of pour	nds)
	c	100		27
otal Imports from GSP countries:	0	100	-	<u>2</u> /
Tota1	1	24	100	<u>2</u> /
Guatemala	1	16	69	<u>2</u> / 2/
Taiwan	<u>3</u> /	7	31	2/
		Val	ue (thousands)	
Total	\$9	100	-	<u>2</u> /
Total	1	9	100	<u>2</u> /
Guatemala	4/	5	55	<u>2</u> / 2/
Taiwan	4/	4	45	<u>2</u> /
<pre>2/ Not available. 3/ Less than 500 pounds.</pre>				
4/ Less than \$500. Competitiveness profiles, GS	Supplier	<u>s</u>		
4/ Less than \$500. Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Guatemala Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity:	for all d plier, 198	<u>igest products</u> 4 <u>1</u> /	<u>5</u>	
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Guatemala Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short	for all doller, 198 try be eas term?	igest products 4 1/ ily expanded or		No <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Guatemala Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit	for all doller, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States?	igest products 4 1/ ily expanded or port	Yes!	
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Guatemala Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign	for all doller, 198 try be eas term?ificant exect States?ntry be re	igest products 4 1/ ily expanded or port	Yes !	No <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Guatemala Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou	for all doller, 198 try be easterm?ificant exed States?ntry be reforeign ex	igest products 4 1/ ily expanded or port adily port markets?	Yes ! Yes !	No <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Guatemala Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou redistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor	for all doller, 198 try be easterm? ificant exed States? ntry be reforeign ext supply	igest products 4 1/ ily expanded or port adily port markets? High	Yes ! Yes !	No <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Guatemala Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou redistributed among its	for all doller, 198 try be easterm? ificant exed States? ntry be reforeign ext supply illy shift	igest products 4 1/ ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No X X Low

^{1/} The most recent year in which imports are reported.

TSUS item No. 141.15—Con.

Price level compared with: U.S. products	Relow
Other foreign products	
	De 10#
Quality compared with: U.S. products	Polou
Other foreign products Above Equivalent _X	Re I OM
Competitiveness of Taiwan for all digest products	
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1984 1/ 6	
Price sensitivity:	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or	
contracted in the short term? Yes No _X_	
Does the country have significant export	-
markets besides the United States? Yes No X	
Could exports from the country be readily	-
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes No _X_	
Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate _X Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	
and other suppliers? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate X Low	
Price level compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X	Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X	
Quality compared with:	
U.S. products Above EquivalentX	Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent _X	

^{1/} The most recent year in which imports are reported.

TSUS item No. 141.15—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The Moroccan Center for Export Promotion, the petitioner, is a Governmental center for finding new markets and promoting exports. The Center wants to ease the access of this product into the American market by getting lower customs duties. This undertaking is part of an effort to improve the Moroccan foreign trade deficit, increase overall U.S.—Moroccan trade, improve capacity utilization rate of Moroccan processing plants, and create new jobs.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TSUS item No. 141.15—Con.

Product: Beans, except soybeans, pickled

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, and 1984 $\underline{1}$ /

Source	1981	1983	1984	
		Quantity	(pounds)	
Canada	371,000	0	690	
Japan	0	198	1,302	
West Germany	0	1,238	990	
China	0	0	1,503	
Guatemala	0	0	960	
Taiwan	2,432	2,509	429	
India	0	5,583	0	
Belgium	0	1,692	0	
All other total	0	1,224	0	
Total	373,432	12,444	5,874	
GSP total	2,432	8,592	1,389	
_		Perc	ent	
Canada	99.3	0.0	11.7	
Japan	-	1.6	22.2	
West Germany	-	9.9	16.9	
China	-	-	25.6	
Guatemala	-	-	16.3	
Taiwan	.7	20.2	7.3	
India	-	44.9	•	
Belgium	_	13.6	~	
All other total	-	9.8	_	
Tota1	100.0	100.0	100.0	
GSP total	.7	69.0	23.6	

^{1/} The most recent year in which imports are reported.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

TSUS item No. 141.15--Con.

Product: Beans, except soybeans, pickled

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, and 1984 $\underline{1}$ /

Source	1981	1983	1984	
		Value (1,00	00 dollars)	
Canada	133	0	5	
Japan	-	-	2	
West Germany	- .	. •	, 1	
China	-	-	1.	
Guatemala	-	-	<u>2</u> /	
Taiwan	2	1	2/	
India	-	6	-	
Belgium	-	6	_	
All other total	1	22		
Total	136	. 9	9	
			•	
GSP total			1	
-		Perc	ent	
Canada	98.4	0.0	52.3	
Japan	-	_	21.9	
lest Germany	-	-	9.1	
China	-	-	7.8	
Guatemala	-		4.9	
aiwan	1.6	10.8	4.1	
India	_	62.8	-	
Belgium	-	6.3	-	
All other total	3/	3/		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	
GSP total	1.6	76.1	8.9	

^{1/} The most recent year in which imports are reported.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

<u>2</u>/ Less than \$500.

³/ Less than 0.5 percent.

Corn In Airtight Containers

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 12.5 percent ad valorem
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP: X
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver:
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: Corn in airtight containers is canned, fresh sweet corn, prepared
from clean, sound whole or cut kernels and packed primarily in water and a creamy liquid
made from corn kernels. Canned corn is often used alone as a cooked vegetable side
dish, in vegetable mixtures, or with other vegetables in soups, stews, and casseroles.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	*50	*45	*40	*34	*-32
Total U.S. employment (employees)	**1,000	**900	**800	**680	**-32
U.S. shipments (million dollars)	**447	**434	**436	**395	**_18
U.S. exports (million dollars)	56	53	46	56	1
U.S. imports (million dollars)	. 2	4	4	5	184
U.S. consumption (million dollars)	**393	**385	**394	**344	**-12
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	<u>2</u> /	1	1	2	300
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	**75	** 75	**75	* *75	-

Comment: Canned corn is one of the most important domestically-produced canned vegetables, accounting for over one-fifth of total canned-vegetable production in recent years. Most firms canning corn process a number of other vegetables as well, with corn representing a major share of their total production. Although processing is distributed nationwide, the bulk of production takes place in the Midwest, with most of the decline in production since 1985 occuring in the West. Whereas a distinct brand loyalty/preference exists for specific items and in certain geographic areas, most firms pack under a number of different private and house brands, with product quality, service, and price significantly affecting sales.

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 2005.80.00.

^{2/} Less than 0.5 percent.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of		
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption		
		Quantity (thousands of kilograms)				
Total Imports from GSP countries:	6,615	100	· _	1		
Total	6,419	97	100	1		
Thailand		56	58	1		
Taiwan		39	40 ·	1		
Singapore	69	1	1	1/		
		Value (thousands)				
Total	\$5,235	100	-	2		
Total	5,107	98	100	1		
Thailand	2,898	55	57	1		
Taiwan	2,119	41	41	i 1		
Singapore	44	1	1	1/		

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

Note. -- The countries shown in the table include the top GSP suppliers in 1986.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Thailand for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 1
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High ModerateX Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High ModerateX Low

TSUS item No. 141.83--Con.

Price level compared with: U.S. products
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Competitiveness of Taiwan for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 $\underline{2}$
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term?
Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate X Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate X Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above EquivalentX Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent _X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent _X Below

TSUS item No. 141.83—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The Government of Thailand, the petitioner, requested that canned corn be designated as an eligible article under the GSP. The petitioner stated that the recent import penetration ratio of all canned corn imports was under 2 percent, that Thailand has limited production capacity (due to small land masses), and a perceived diversification in the U.S. industry from canned to frozen corn.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TSUS item No. 141.83--Con.

Product: Corn in airtight containers

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

ource	1981	1983	1985	1986			
	Quantity (pounds)						
hailand	111,411	1,370,384	3,818,908	8,230,082			
aiwan	4,128,159	6,524,310	6,531,955	5,632,663			
anada	118,267	636,778	650,000	382,144			
ingapore	0	0	38,262	152,295			
ong Kong	0	18,000	0	89,100			
rance	11,020	32	4,748	21,728			
alaysia	0	0	0	38,250			
lest Germany	99	859	2,753	3,520			
ll other total	93,594	36,802	260,211	33,112			
Total	4,462,451	8,587,165	11,306,837	14,582,894			
GSP total	4,290,371	7,942,774	10,445,448	14,151,953			
		Pe	rcent				
hailand	2.5	16.0	33.8	56.4			
aiwan	92.5	76.0	57.8	38.6			
anada	2.7	7.4	5.7	2.6			
ingapore	.0	.0	.3	1.0			
ong Kong	.0	.2	.0	.6			
rance	1/	<u>1</u> /	1/	1/			
alaysia	-	-	-	1/			
lest Germany	1/	<u>l</u> /	1/	1/			
11 other total	2.1	<u>ī/</u>	2.3	<u> </u>			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
GSP total	96.1	92.5	92.4	97.0			

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

Note. -- Because of rounding, figures may not add to totals shown.

TSUS item No. 141.83--Con.

Product: Corn in airtight containers

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

ource	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value	(1,000 dollars)	
hailand	54	653	1,546	2,898
aiwan	1,698	2,732	2,577	2,119
anada	51	200	121	99
ngapore	-	-	. 11	44
ong Kong	-	8	-	33
pan	17	1/	26	11
ance	4	<u>1</u> / 1/	6	. 8
ılaysia	-	-	-	7
1 other total	19	22	90	16
Tota1	1,843	3,615	4,377	5,235
GSP total	1,770	3,410	4, 159	5,107
			Percent	·
ailand	3.0	18.1	35.3	55.4
iwan	92.1	75.6	58.9	40.5
ada	2.8	5.5	2.8	1.9
gapore	-	-	.3	.8
ng Kong	-	.2	-	.6
an	1.0	<u>2</u> /	.6	.2
nce	.2	<u>-</u> 2/	.2	.2
aysia	-	<u>-</u>	•	.2
other total	1.0	.6	2.1	3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	96.0	94.3	95.0	97.6

^{1/} Less than \$500.

Note. -- Because of rounding, figures may not add to totals shown.

^{2/} Less than 0.5 percent.

TSUS item No. 141.83—Con.

Product: Corn in airtight containers 1/

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986			
	Quantity (pounds)						
Japan	72,030,517	41,841,317	35,698,234	53,129,747			
West Germany	14,060,056	19,411,383	21,105,283	29,697,498			
United Kingdom	8,344,853	19,961,441	21,212,902	18,636,831			
France	20,466,713	22,147,103	11,156,790	13,681,627			
Switzerland	7,338,496	7,984,340	9,641,980	11,731,735			
Hong Kong	4,664,549	3,610,728	6,030,734	9,383,733			
Taiwan	5,464,697	3,954,485	5,449,832	7,617,320			
Sweden	3,687,565	3,921,585	4,885,502	4,982,426			
All other total	20,967,273	22,733,583	19,410,938	20,022,726			
Tota1	157,024,719	145,565,965	134,592,195	168,883,543			
GSP total	23,339,531	17,251,258	22,906,765	27,227,152			
	Percent						
Japan	45.9	28.7	26.5	31.5			
West Germany	9.0	13.3	15.7	17.6			
United Kingdom	5.3	13.7	15.8	11.0			
France	13.0	15.2	8.3	8.1			
Switzerland	4.7	5.5	7.2	6.9			
Hong Kong	3.0	2.5	4.5	5.6			
Taiwan	3.5	2.7	4.0	4.5			
Sweden	2.3	2.7	3.6	3.0			
All other total	13.4	15.6	14.4	11.9			
Tota1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
GSP total	14.9	11.9	17.0	16.1			

^{1/} Includes data under Schedule B No. 141.0500, comparable with 100 percent of TSUS No. 141.83.

Note. -- Because of rounding, figures may not add to totals shown.

TSUS item No. 141.83—Con.

Product: Corn in airtight containers 1/

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

ource	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (thous	sands of dollars	5)
apan	25,335	15,722	12,476	18,249
est Germany	5,210	6,959	6,966	9,499
nited Kingdom	2,974	7,327	7,420	6,654
ance	6,667	7,564	3,824	4,456
witzerland	2,591	2,826	3,244	3,632
ong Kong	1,725	1,269	2,225	3,306
aiwan	2,071	1,287	1,725	2,301
weden	1,638	1,683	1,717	1,530
ll other total	8,174	7,875	6,553	6,128
Total	56,385	52,512	46,150	55,935
GSP total	8,973	6,060	7,676	8,782
·			Percent	
apan	44.9	29.9	27.0	32.6
est Germany	9.2	13.3	15.1	17.0
ited Kingdom	5.3	14.9	16.1	11.9
ance	11.8	14.4	8.3	8.0
witzerland	4.6	5.4	7.0	6.5
ong Kong	3.1	2.4	4.8	5.9
aiwan	3.7	2.5	3.7	4.1
weden	2.9	3.2	3.7	2.7
ll other total	14.5	15.0	14.2	11.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	15.9	11.5	16.6	15.7

^{1/} Includes data under Schedule B No. 141.0500, comparable with 100 percent of TSUS No. 141.83.

Note. -- Because of rounding, figures may not add to totals shown.

Capers

I. <u>Introduction</u>

Col. 1 rate of duty: 16 percent ad valorem (1986 AVE 8.8%) 2/
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP: X
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver:
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: Capers are the unopened flower buds of the caper bush (Capparis
spinosa, L.), a climbing shrub which grows wild or semi-wild in the countries bordering
the Mediterranean Sea (mainly in Morocco and Spain). Capers are picked from each bush
several times a week during the summer and fall months, dried and stored in vinegar and
salt, and then graded according to size. Capers are not commercially grown in the
United States. 3/

Capers are a specialty product with a limited demand in the United States. They are used as pickles and as a garnish or condiment in gourmet sauces, salads and fish dishes. Capers are imported into the United States either in containers holding 7.5 pounds or less (classified under item 161.08) or in containers holding over 7.5 pounds (classified under item 161.06) and then repackaged domestically in retail-sized containers. It should be noted that the subject of this Digest is capers which enter the United States classified under item 161.08. Capers classified under item 161.06 already have GSP status.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 2001.90.20.

^{2/} The duty on capers was temporarily reduced during 1984—87, pursuant to trade agreement legislation. Temporary duty rates were 11.2 percent in 1984 and 1985, 12.4 percent in 1986, and 13.6 percent in 1987. The reduced rate terminates at the close of Dec. 31, 1987.

^{3/} One firm in California is known to have recently begun producing capers in small quantities for sale to a localized market.

TSUS item No. 161.08—Con.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	* 7	* 7	*7	*7	. 0
Total U.S. employment (employees)	** 200	** 200	**200	* 200	0
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	**4,000	**4,000	**3,700	**3,100	-23
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	2/	1,281	2,051	2,163	-
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	2/	5,281	5,751	5,263	-
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	-	24	36	41	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	-

Comment: Approximately, 7 domestic firms repack capers (from those imported in bulk) into retail-size containers. 3/[***]

]. However, capers account for a small part of the total sales of most of the other firms which repackage capers.

^{1/} Believed to be nil or negligible.

^{2/} Not available.

 $[\]overline{3}$ / One firm in California is known to grow small amounts of capers which it sells in the local market.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		- Quanti	ty (1,000 pounds	:)
Total	1,038	100	-	<u>1</u> /
Imports from GSP countries:	-			
Total	3	2/	100	<u>1</u> /
Brazil	2	2/	67	<u>1</u> /
Cyprus	1	2/	33	1/
		Val	ue (thousands)	
Total	\$2,162	100	-	41
Total	11	1	100	<u>2</u> /
Brazil	8	<u>2</u> /	73	<u>2</u> /
Cyprus	3	<u>2</u> /	27	<u>2</u> /
Competitiveness profiles, GSF	Supplier	<u>s</u>	GSP suppliers i	n 1986.
Note.—The countries shown in Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supports and the constitutions.	supplier	<u>s</u> st products		n 1986.
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supp Price sensitivity: Can production in the count	supplier all dige olier, 198	st products 6	<u>5</u>	<u>-</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supp Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short t	e all dige olier, 1980 cry be eas	st products 6ily expanded or	<u>5</u>	<u>-</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to Does the country have signi	e all digerolier, 1980 cry be easterm?	st products 6ily expanded or	Yes N	lo <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supp Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to Does the country have signimarkets besides the Unite	e supplier all dige plier, 1986 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex ed States?	st products 6ily expanded or port	Yes N	lo <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to Does the country have significant markets besides the Unite Could exports from the country have country	e supplier all dige clier, 198 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex cd States?	st products 6ily expanded or port	Yes N	lo <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of Does the country have significant markets besides the Unite Could exports from the cour redistributed among its of	e supplier: all dige blier, 198 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex ed States? atry be re- foreign ex	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets?	Yes N Yes N Yes N	lo <u>X</u> lo <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of Does the country have significantly markets besides the Unite Could exports from the cour redistributed among its of Price sensitivity of important course.	e supplier: all dige blier, 198 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex ed States? try be re- foreign ex c supply	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High	Yes N Yes N Yes N	lo <u>X</u> lo <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of Does the country have significantly have significantly because the Unite Could exports from the court redistributed among its of Price sensitivity of importicant he U.S. purchaser easi	e supplier all dige clier, 198 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex cd States? ctry be re- foreign ex c supply ily shift	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High _ among this	Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Moderate _X	lo <u>X</u> lo <u>X</u> lo <u>X</u>
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Canking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of Does the country have significant markets besides the Unite Could exports from the cour redistributed among its of Price sensitivity of important country of imp	e supplier all dige clier, 198 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex cd States? ctry be re- foreign ex c supply ily shift	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High _ among this	Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Moderate _X Yes _X N	lo X
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of Does the country have significant markets besides the Unite Could exports from the court redistributed among its of Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser easi and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of Price level compared with:	e supplier all dige clier, 1986 cry be eas cem? ficant ex cd States? ntry be re foreign ex c supply ily shift demand	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Moderate _X	lo X
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of Does the country have significant markets besides the Unite Could exports from the court redistributed among its of Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser easi and other suppliers?	e supplier all dige clier, 1986 cry be eas cem? ficant ex cd States? ntry be re foreign ex c supply ily shift demand	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Moderate _X	lo X
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of the short of the country have significantly because the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its of the U.S. purchaser easi and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of the U.S. products	e supplier: all dige blier, 1986 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex cd States? ntry be re foreign ex csupply ly shift demand	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes N Equivalent	lo X
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of the short of the country have significantly because the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its of the U.S. purchaser easi and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of the U.S. products Other foreign products Quality compared with:	e supplier: all dige blier, 198 cry be eas cerm? ficant ex ed States? try be re- foreign ex c supply ly shift demand	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this High		lo X lo X Low Low X Below X Below
Competitiveness profiles, GSF Competitiveness of Brazil for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to Does the country have significant markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its to Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser easi and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. or Price level compared with: U.S. products	e supplier all dige plier, 1986 try be eas term? ficant ex ed States? htry be re- foreign ex t supply ly shift demand	st products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High _ among this High _ Above	Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Moderate _X Yes X Moderate _X Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent	lo X lo X Low Low X Below X Below X Below

IV.

^{1/} Not available.
2/ Less than 0.5 percent.

TSUS item No. 161.08—Con.

	<u>i</u>			
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986		8		
Price sensitivity:				
Can production in the country be easily expande	ed or			
contracted in the short term?		Yes X No		_
Does the country have significant export				
markets besides the United States?		Yes X No		_
Could exports from the country be readily				
redistributed among its foreign export market	\$?	Yes X No		•
Price sensitivity of import supply	High M	oderate <u>X</u>	Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this		-		
and other suppliers?				
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand	High M	oderate <u>X</u>	Low	
Price level compared with:				
U.S. products	Above	Equivalent _	X	Below
Other foreign products	Above	Equivalent	<u>X</u>	Below
Quality compared with:				
U.S. products	Above	Equivalent _	X	Below
Other foreign products				
Competitiveness of Morocco for all digest product	<u>:s</u>			
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	ا	None		
Price sensitivity:				
Can production in the country be easily expande	ed or			
contracted in the short term?		Yes X No		-
Does the country have significant export	-			-
markets besides the United States?		Yes X No		
				-
Could exports from the country be readily	••••••			•
				-
Could exports from the country be readily	s?	Yes X No		- -
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market	:s? High <u>X</u> M	Yes X No		- -
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	:s? High <u>X</u> M	Yes <u>X</u> No oderate	Low	
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	:s? High <u>X</u> M	Yes X No oderate	Low	
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	:s? High <u>X</u> M	Yes X No oderate	Low	
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	:s? High <u>X</u> M High M	Yes X No oderate No Yes No oderate X	Low X Low	
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	:s?	Yes X No oderate Yes No oderate X Equivalent	Low X Low X	 Below
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	High X M	Yes X No oderate No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent	Low X Low X	Below
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	High X M	Yes X No oderate No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent	Low X Low X	Below
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	# Above	Yes X No oderate No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent	Low X Low X X	Below
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	# Above	Yes X No oderate No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent	Low X Low X X	Below
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	High X M High M Above	Yes X No oderate No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent 161.08 (in	Low X Low X X X cont	Below
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	High X M High M Above Above Above Above United State	Yes X No oderate No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent 161.08 (in es in 1986.	Low X Low X X X Cont	Below Below Below Below sainers
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	High X M High M Above	Yes X No oderate No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent 161.08 (in es in 1986. of capers in	X X X Cont Howen 198	Below
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export market Price sensitivity of import supply	High X Me High X Me Above Above Above Above United State 1,000 pounds 1 ng over 7.5	Yes X No oderate Yes No oderate X Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent 161.08 (in es in 1986. of capers in pounds). The	Low X Low X X X Cont Howen 198	Below

TSUS item No. 161.08—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner</u>.—The Moroccan Center for Export Promotion, a Moroccan Government advisory center, is the petitioner. The Center reports that granting GSP status would result in a 25 percent increase in exports, thereby allowing the creation of new jobs, improvement in the rate of capacity utilization, and improvement of the Moroccan foreign trade deficit.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TSUS item No. 161.08—Con.

Product: Capers, nspf

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981 1/	1983	1985	198				
	Quantity(pounds)							
Spain		453,170	978,363	958,829				
France		4,326	7,102	43,298				
U King			37,448	18,056				
FR Germ		2,604	-	6,499				
Italy		2,263	8,315	4,723				
Greece		2,200	18,892	2,447				
Brazil			,	2,361				
Cyprus		1,117	2,660	1,118				
Belgium		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,422	600				
Israel			1,586	0,01				
Moroc			35,273					
All other total								
Total		463,480	1,091,061	1,037,931				
GSP total	· .	1,117	39,519	3,479				
		Percent						
Spain	0.0	97 . 8	89.7	92.4				
France	0.0	0.9	0.7	4.2				
J King	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.7				
R Germ	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6				
Italy	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.5				
Greece	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.2				
Brazil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2				
Cyprus	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1				
Belgium	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1				
srael	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0				
loroc	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0				
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Tota1	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
GSP total	0.0	0.2	3.6	0.3				

^{1/} Not available.

Product: Capers, nspf

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981 1/	1983	1985	198
	Va	lue (1,000 dol	lars)	
Spain		1,255	1,835	1,960
France		14	13	101
U King			108	59
Italy		- 6	9	11
Brazil				7
FR Germ		2		. 7
Greece			47	- 7
Cyprus		1	3	3
Belgium	-	•	1	2
Israel			1	-
1oroc		•	30	
All other total			•	
Total		1,281	2,051	2,162
GSP total		1	35	11
-	MANAGEMENT OF THE STATE OF THE	Percent		
pain	0.0	98.0	89.5	90.7
rance	0.0	1.2	0.6	4.7
King	0.0	0.0	5.3	2.7
taly	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5
razil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
R Germ	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.4
reece	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.4
yprus	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1
elgium	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
srael	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
orac	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
ll other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	0.0	0.1	1.7	0.5

^{1/} Not available.

Certain Rum

I. Introduction

ol. 1 rate of duty: <u>for item 169.1300: \$1.40 pf. gal.</u> (1986 AVE <u>11.</u> 9%)	
for item 169.1415: \$1.44 pf. gal. (1986 AVE 21.5%)	
ype of action proposed (check one):	
Addition of GSP: X	
Removal from GSP:	
Country graduation:	
Competitive-need-limit waiver	
lon-eligible GSP countries: None	
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None	
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None	
I.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No	
escription and uses: Rum is any alcoholic distillate from the fermented juice of	
ugarcane, sugarcane sirup, sugarcane molasses, or other sugarcane byproducts distille	ed .
it less than 190-degrees proof in such manner that the distillate possesses the taste,	
croma, and characteristics generally attributed to rum. Most rum consumed in the Unit	
states is light in flavor and is used mostly in preparing cocktails. More full-bodied	
	i
ypes are used as ingredients in punch, rum toddies, and zombies.	

The requested GSP item numbers include rum in containers each holding not over 1 gallon (TSUS item No. 169.13) and rum in containers each holding over 1 gallon, valued over \$3.50 per gallon (TSUSA item No. 169.1415).

II. <u>U.S. market profile</u>

Item	981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	*12	*12	*8	*8	-33
Total U.S. employment (employees)	*1,300	*1,200	*1,100	*900	-31
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	146,328	*154,616	*165,100	*144,680	-1
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	1,847	1,916	1,644	1,997	8
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	4,369	2/ 6,615	10,704	9,663	121
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars) $3/^{\frac{1}{2}}$	141,420	*146,922	*164,712	*158,366	12
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	*3	*5	*6	* 6	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	**90	**90	**80	**80	-

^{1/} TSUS(A) items 169.13 and 169.1415 are included in this digest. Probable effects advice for these products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 2208.40.0030.

^{2/} Includes all rum imports.

^{3/} Adjusted for stocks.

TSUS item No. 169.13--Con.

Comment: Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands are the principal rum-producing areas, with some production also taking place in Florida, Massachusetts, and Hawaii. Producers in Puerto Rico account for about 85 percent of U.S. rum production, and Virgin Islands production makes up about 10 percent. There are about eight rum producers; however, Bacardi Corp. of Miami, FL, and Puerto Rico, is estimated to account for over 70 percent of production. Bacardi's size and the fact that it is its own importer and distributor (with over 200 wholesalers) allows it to enjoy significant economies of scale in the U.S. market. Many of the other U.S. rums are produced and/or distributed by large U.S. distillers that also benefit from certain economies of-scale. In addition Bacardi and certain other U.S. producers are large enough to promote brand recognition through extensive advertising. There are two markets for rum in the United States, the branded rums (usually unaged and generally used in prepared cocktails) and the private-label rums. Rum from the U.S. Virgin Islands largely supplies the private-label market, which usually sells at prices considerably less than the branded rums. Generally, the Puerto Rican brands and brands from the Caribbean area compete in the higher priced, branded market. In 1986, about 91 percent (by value) of all rum imports were from Caribbean countries which were eligible for duty-free treatment under the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item ·	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
-	-		-	
		Quanti	ty (1,000 pf gal	s.)
Total	1,306	100	-	5
Imports from GSP countries:				
Total	1,271	97	100	5
Jamaica	946	12	74	4
Barbados	216	17	17	1
Dominican Republic	37	3	3	1/
Haiti		1	1	1/
		Val	ue (thousands)	
Total	\$9,663	100	- ,	6
Total	9,279	96	100	6
Jamaica	6,750	70	73	4
Barbados	*	12	12	1
Dominican Republic	509	5	5	1/
Haitř	171	2	2	<u>ī</u> /

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

Note.—The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986. 2

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Jamaica for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 <u>1</u>
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High ModerateX Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _X Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products
Quality compared with:
U.S. products
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: Rum imports from Jamaica are presently eligible for duty-free treatment under
the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act.
Competitiveness of Barbados for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 2
Price sensitivity:
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?

TSUS item No. 169.13—Con.

Competitiveness of the Dominican Republic for all	digest prod	<u>lucts</u>			٠
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	3				
Price sensitivity:	_				
Can production in the country be easily expanded	i or				
contracted in the short term?		Yes X	No _		
Does the country have significant export					
markets besides the United States?		Yes X	No _		
Could exports from the country be readily					
redistributed among its foreign export markets	\$?	Yes X	No		
Price sensitivity of import supply	ligh Mc	oderate _	<u> X</u> Lo	w	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	-				
and other suppliers?					
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand	ligh Mo	derate _	<u> X</u> Lo	W	
Price level compared with:					
U.S. products					
Other foreign products	Above	Equivale	nt X	_ Below _	
Quality compared with:					
U.S. products	Above	Equivale	nt <u>X</u>	_ Below _	
Other foreign products		•			
Comment: Rum imports from the Dominican Republic treatment under the Caribbean Basin Economic Recov	-	ly eligi	ble fo	r duty-fr	ee
	-				

TSUS item No. 169.13—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The Government of the Republic of the Philippines is the petitioner. The Philippine Government has indicated that because of the U.S. duty of \$1.40 and \$1.44 per proof gallon and freight advantages, the Philippines cannot compete with other suppliers of rum to the U.S. market (i.e., Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands and CBI countries). The Government reports that the CBI countries' duty exemption on rum has completely altered the competitive position of their rum in the U.S. market.

Opposition.—Mr. J. Ballin, President of Classic Brands De Puerto Rico stated in a letter to the United States Trade Representative that the Philippines should not be granted GSP status for rum because U.S. consumption of rum has been declining, the domestic rum market of the Philippines has been steadily expanding, and since 1984, CBI countries have attained a favorable position in the U.S. market and would be seriously hurt by the entry of GSP rum from the Philippines.

Governor Alexander A. Farrelly of the U.S. Virgin Islands expressed strong opposition to the Philippine request for GSP status on rum in a letter to the Office of the Special Trade Representative. He states that because of increased competition from CBI countries, additional market preferences for the Philippines will come at the expense of U.S. producers in the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. He also notes the fiscal importance of rum to the treasury and the fact that U.S. rum consumption has been declining.

Honorable Ron de Lugo, Delegate to the U.S. House of Representatives from the U.S. Virgin Islands opposes the granting of GSP status for rum. Delegate de Lugo reported, in a statement sent to the Commission, that since 1984, the U.S. market for rum has been steadily decreasing and a duty-free status for GSP producers of rum will only add to the disruption and worsening economic outlook for U.S. rum producers in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Honorable Jaime B. Fuster, Member of the U.S. House of Representatives from Puerto Rico, in a statement sent to the Commission, expressed opposition to the granting of GSP status to rum and associated himself with comments received for the record from Mr. Antonio J. Colorado, of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

Mr. Antonio J. Colorado, Administrator of the Economic Development Administration of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico indicated in a statement to the Commission that the elimination of import duties under the GSP will clearly have a harmful effect on U.S. rum producers in Puerto Rico. He states that, at best it will erode the profitability of U.S. producers in a market that has already declined substantially in recent years and, at worst, it could result in the loss of hundreds of jobs for U.S. citizens in Puerto Rico.

TSUS item No. 169.13—Con.

The Government of the Virgin Islands expressed strong opposition to the Philippine request for GSP status on rum in testimony at the Commission's Hearing. The Virgin Island Government reports that the rum industry represents one of the principal sources of employment and income for the economy and that the U.S. Government has on recent occasions taken action to protect the Virgin Islands rum industry from competitive harm. They report that extending duty-free treatment would run counter to this long-standing federal policy and pose a direct threat to the fiscal autonomy of their Island Government.

Schenley Industries, Inc. and its subsidiary Islands Rum Industries, Ltd. expressed strong opposition to the Philippine request for GSP status on rum in testimony at the Commission's Hearing. They indicate that the only way such rum can make headway in the U.S. market is by underselling established rums and U.S. producers must either meet these prices or expect to lose sales. They indicate an adverse impact on profitability occurs either way and these effects are compounded by the shrinking market into which the rum is being sold.

Mr. Mario S. Belaval, President of the Puerto Rico Rum Producers Association, Inc. expressed opposition to the Philippine request for GSP status on rum in testimony at the Commission's Hearing. Mr. Belaval indicated that in the last 5 years, rum from Puerto Rico has declined by 20 percent in the U.S. market and sales from CBI countries have increased 47 percent. He states that consideration should be given to increasing the sugar quota of the Philippines instead of granting GSP to rum, because the sugar industry is a more labor intensive industry than the distilling industry.

Mr. Felix J. Serralles, President of Destileria Serralles, Inc. expressed opposition to the Philippine request for GSP status on rum in testimony at the Commission's Hearing. Mr. Serralles stated that allowing duty-free entry for rum would do great harm to the rum industry in Puerto Rico and particularly to companies which produced non-premium rums (primarily Destileria Serralles).

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

Product: Rum, in containers holding not over 1 gallon

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
_		Quantity()	proof gallons)	
Jamaica	46,572	74,466	51,477	63,422
Dom Rep		6,979	15,769	34,122
Bahamas	8,642	19,718	4,281	15,724
Guyana	14,391	16,714	9,210	14,497
laiti	17,234	21,316	16,386	13,878
J King			18,707	11,804
Barbado	16,747	7,031	8,301	6,497
rinid	5,665	3,983	4,829	5,080
Bermuda	1,419	2,326	2,868	4,885
Colomb	2,400	3,851	3,901	3,858
/enez	8,898	4,200	4,237	3,586
Canada	917	5,797	1,484	3,075
Thai Ind		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2,853
Ireland			119	2,283
All other total	31,457	34,420	40,328	11,908
Total	154,342	200,801	181,897	197,472
GSP total	147,395	185,044	155,515	173,467
		Percent		
Jamaica	30.2	37.1	28.3	32.1
Oom Rep	0.0	3.5	8.7	17.3
Bahamas	5.6	9.8	2.4	8.0
Buyana	9.3	8.3	5.1	7.3
laiti	11.2	10.6	9.0	7.0
King	0.0	0.0	10.3	6.0
Barbado	10.9	3.5	4.6	3.3
rinid	3.7	2.0	2.7	2.6
Bermuda	0.9	1.2	1.6	2.5
colomb	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.0
enez	5.8	2.1	2.3	1.8
anada	0.6	2.9	0.8	1.6
hai Ind	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
reland	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.2
11 other total	20.4	17.1	22.2	6.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	95.5	92.2	85.5	87.8

TSUS item No. 169.13--Con.

Product: Rum, in containers holding not over 1 gallon

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1 981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1,000 dol)	lars)	
Jamaica	398	600	317	493
Dom Rep		112	177	475
U King	•		416	177
Haiti	236	247	206	171
Bahamas	68	177	46	162
Guyana	115	161	103	156
Colomb	28	35	71	79
Bermuda	17	33	40	71
Barbado	94	77	114	70
Trinid	78	45	61	64
Canada	9	83	24	44
Venez	124	52	42	38
B Virgn		479	· -	
_	12	4/7	621	31
France All other total	262	227	4 151	30
wil other total	202	223	131	141
Total	1,445	2,332	2,401	2,209
GSP total	1,353	2,116	1,904	1,877
		Percent	-	_
Jamaica	27.6	25.8	13.2	22.3
Dom Rep	0.0	4.8	7.4	21.5
J King	0.0	0.0	17.4	8.0
Haiti	16.3	10.6	8.6	7.7
Bahamas	4.8	7.6	1.9	7.4
Guyana	8.0	6.9	4.3	7.1
Colomb	2.0	1.5	3.0	3.6
Bermuda	1.2	1.4	1.7	3.2
Barbado	6.5	3.3	4.8	3.2
rinid	5.4	1.9	2.6	2.9
Canada	0.6	3.6	1.0	2.0
/enez		2.3		1.7
	8.6		1.8	
3 Virgn France	0.9	20.6 0.0	25.9 0.2	1.4 1.4
	0.0			
All other total	18.1	9.6	6.3	6.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TSUS item No. 169.13- Con.

TS number: 1691415

11

Product: Rum, in containers holding over 1 gallon, valued over \$3.50/gal.

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981 1/	1983	1985	1986
	· .	Quantity(proof gallons)	
Jamaica			1,014,730	883,031
Barbado	,		203,572	209,079
Japan	•			7,31
Dom Rep			1,813	2,47
lexico			1,243	1,41
anada			466	1,33
Virgn	-			1,04
rance	-			58
taly				52
/enez	*		614	46
R Germ			511	30
uyana			2,286	24
rinid				20
Bulgar				184
All other total			12,969	
Total			1,238,204	1,108,23
GSP total			1,236,927	1,097,969
-		- Percent		
Jamaica	0.0	0.0	82.0	79.7
Barbado	0.0	0.0	16.4	18.9
lapan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
om Rep	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
lexico	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
anada	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Virgn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
rance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
taly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
/enez	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
R Germ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
iuyana	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
rinid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11 other total	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Total	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
				4

^{1/} Not available.

12

Product: Rum, in containers holding over 1 gallon, valued over \$3.50/gal.

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981 ¹ /	1983	1985	1986
	Val	ue (1,000 dolla	rs)	
Jamaica			7,174	6,257
Barbado			1,004	1,054
Dom Rep			13	34
B Virgn		•		24
lexico			16	17
Japan				17
Canada			15	12
FR Germ			4	10
/enez			9	8
Italy				5
Trinid				4
Bulgar	. •			3
France				2
Suyana	·		10	1
All other total			56	
Total			8,303	7,454
GSP total			8,282	7,402
-		Percent		
Jamaica	0.0	0.0	86.4	83.9
Barbado	0.0	0.0	12.1	14.1
			0.2	0.5
om Rep	0.0	0.0		
Virgn	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
lexico	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
Japan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
anada	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
R Germ	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
/enez	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
taly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
rinid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Bulgar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
rance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Guyana	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0
Total	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0

12

TSUS item No. 169.13--Con.

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	198
· 		Quantity(proof gallons)	
N.Antil	88,261	138,346	<i>5</i> 5,167	95,04
Nethlds	32,999	29,044	30,871	42,44
B Virgn	63,719	88,301	28,197	42,31
FR Germ	15,102	25,943	29,565	35, 08 ⁹
Canada	4,663	1,217	69,772	34,73
Japan	567	6,655	6,488	30,88
Bahamas	35,344	56,678	23,439	30,08
Panama	1,526	219	31,000	14,68
Cocos I	3,558	2,220		7,41
inland	6,837	12,155	2,605	6,20
s w Afr	0,00.	107.33	19,743	6,161
Bolivia	2,931	1,728	9,745	5,846
Chile	16,058	7,977	2,186	5,801
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		6,172	12,123	4,848
Belgium	8,683		•	23,767
All other total	105,782	62,394	48,269	23, 10
Total	386,030	439,049	369,170	385,313
GSP total	272,001	325,612	178,530	213,294
· .		Percent		
- N.Antil	22.9	31.5	14.9	24.7
lethlds	8.5	6.6	8.4	11.0
Virgn	16.5	20.1	7.6	11.0
R Germ	3.9	5.9	8.0	9.1
	1.2	0.3	18.9	9.0
anada	0.1	1.5	1.8	8.0
apan			6.3	
ahamas	9.2	12.9	8.4	7.8 3.8
anama	0.4	0.0	•	1.9
ocos I	0.9	0.5	0.0	
inland	1.8	2.8	0.7	1.6
W Afr	0.0	0.0	5. 3	1.6
olivia	0.8	0.4	2.6	1.5
hile	4.2	1.8	0.6	1.5
elgium	2.2	1.4	3.3	1.3
11 other total	27.4	14.2	13.1	6.2
Total	100.Q	100.0	100.0	100.0
•				

¹/ Schedule B numbers 168.4020 and 168.4040 were both allocated at a level of 100 percent for purposes of this table.

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
_		Value (1,000 dol	lars)	
N.Antil	332	441	247	391
Nethlds	225	159	213	282
B Virgn	317	433	160	213
Japan	3	43	28	174
FR Germ	60	67	88	148
Bahamas	163	256	117	142
Canada	18	4	233	137
Cocos I	15	4	333	137
Panama	9	1	120	- 75
Chile	82	44	16	46
s w Afr			69	32
inland	50	64	20	28
Thai Ind	27	3	15	25
laiti	22	• .		21
All other total	518	391	311	137
Total	1,847	1,915	1,643	1,996
GSP total	1,221	1,351	815	1,112
·		Percent		
l.Antil	18.0	23.0	15.0	19.6
lethlds	12.2	8.3	13.0	14.1
Virgn	17.2	22.6	9.8	10.7
_	0.2	2.3	1.7	8.7
apan R Germ	3.3	3.5	5.4	7.4
ahamas	8.8	13.4	7.1	7.1
anada	1.0	0.3	14.2	6.9
ocos I	0.8	0.3	0.0	6.9
anama	0.5	0.1	7.3	3.8
hile	4.5	2.3	1.0	2.3
W Afr	0.0	0.0	4.2	1.6
	2.7	3.3	1.2	1.4
inland		-	1.0	1.3
hai Ind	1.5 1.2	0.2 0.0	0.0	1.1
aiti	28.0	20.4	19.0	6.9
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total		70.5	49.6	55.7

¹/ Schedule B numbers 168.4020 and 168.4040 were both allocated at a level of 100 percent for purposes of this table.

Wood Shutters with Adjustable Louvers

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 8 percent ad valorem
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP:X
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver:
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: The articles included here are adjustable louver wood shutters:
wood blinds, shades, and screens other than those with fixed louvers or slats; and wood
venetian blinds. These articles are primarily used as decorative window treatments;
however, most articles also function to filter out unwanted light or provide at least a
limited degree of privacy.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	chang	entage Je, versus
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms) 2/	**70	**75	**90	**95	**36	
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees) $\frac{3}{2}$.	**]	**1	**1	**]	**20	
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars) 4/*	* 70,000	**82,000	**104,000*	*112,000	**60	
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	**398	**122	**154	**143	**-64	
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	10,906	16,622	15,710	15,175	39	
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)*	*80,508	**98,500	**119,556*	*127,032	**58	
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	** 14	** 17	**13	**12	-	
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent) 4/	*70	*80	*90	*90	-	

Comment: The domestic industry producing adjustable louver wood shutters produces both custom and stock shutters; and often also manufactures both custom and stock fixed louver shutters. Custom shutter producers manufacture articles on a special order basis; whereas, stock shutter producers manufacture for mass—marketing. Adjustable custom shutter manufacturers are located throughout the country but are centered in California

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 4421.90.40.

^{2/} Estimated number of companies with shipments over \$100,000 (SIC 24318 33 exterior shutters). There are believed to be over 1,000 companies producing the articles included herein; however, most are small custom operations.

^{3/} Estimated from official U.S. Department of Commerce data for millwork products.

 $[\]overline{4}$ / Estimated from phone conversations with industry producers and official U.S. Department of Commerce data for the millwork industry.

and Texas, and adjustable stock shutter producers are located in Michigan as well as California and Texas. Shutter manufacturers require clear, easily worked, fine grained woods, of which there are ample supplies in the western United States. Producers in foreign countries, including Mexico, have tighter supplies of such woods than do U.S. producers. The largest companies manufacture for both the stock and custom markets, and at least one major company has its own retail stores. The U.S. companies manufacturing adjustable shutters in Mexico (the petitioners) produce custom rather than stock shutters in their Mexican maquiladora operations because of the lower labor costs involved. U.S. demand for the products included herein is strongest for shutters and weakest for shades and screens; therefore, domestic manufacturers have concentrated on shutter manufacturing and, thereby, are far less competitive in the U.S. market for shades and screens, which is supplied almost entirely by foreign suppliers.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
		Ya1	ue (thousands)	
Total	15,175	100	•	12
Total	9.847	65	100	8
Taiwan		44	67	5
Hong Kong	2,544	17	26 -	2
Macau	450	3	5	1/
India	123	1	1	<u>1</u> /

Note. -The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Taiwan for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 1
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _X Low

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

TSUS item No. 206.67—Con.

Other foreign products
U.S. products
Comment: The articles from Taiwan that are similar to those produced in the United States are fastened in a manner inferior to the methods used by most U.S. companies. Also, the articles are not finished as well as those produced by most U.S. companies. However, the articles from Taiwan are very competitive in U.S. mass—market retail sales. Taiwan also exports many articles, such as shades and screens, that are not readily available from U.S. sources, but do compete in the U.S. market with imports from other foreign suppliers. Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
States are fastened in a manner inferior to the methods used by most U.S. companies. Also, the articles are not finished as well as those produced by most U.S. companies. However, the articles from Taiwan are very competitive in U.S. mass—market retail sales. Taiwan also exports many articles, such as shades and screens, that are not readily available from U.S. sources, but do compete in the U.S. market with imports from other foreign suppliers. Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Also, the articles are not finished as well as those produced by most U.S. companies. However, the articles from Taiwan are very competitive in U.S. mass—market retail sales. Taiwan also exports many articles, such as shades and screens, that are not readily available from U.S. sources, but do compete in the U.S. market with imports from other foreign suppliers. Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
However, the articles from Taiwan are very competitive in U.S. mass—market retail sales. Taiwan also exports many articles, such as shades and screens, that are not readily available from U.S. sources, but do compete in the U.S. market with imports from other foreign suppliers. Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
sales. Taiwan also exports many articles, such as shades and screens, that are not readily available from U.S. sources, but do compete in the U.S. market with imports from other foreign suppliers. Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
readily available from U.S. sources, but do compete in the U.S. market with imports from other foreign suppliers. Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
contracted in the short term?
Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?
markets besides the United States?
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X NO Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High ModerateX Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below _X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products
Other foreign products Above EquivalentX Below Comment: The articles produced in Hong Kong that are similar to those produced in the
United States are manufactured in a manner inferior to that employed by most U.S.
mills. Hong Kong also exports many articles, such as shades and screens, that are not
readily available from U.S. sources, but do compete in the U.S. market with imports from
other foreign suppliers.

TSUS item No. 206.67—Con.

Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986		<u>6</u>		
Price sensitivity:		_		
Can production in the country be easily	expanded or			
contracted in the short term?		YesX_ No		
Does the country have significant export	•	-		
markets besides the United States?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes X No		
Could exports from the country be readil				
redistributed among its foreign export	markets?	YesX_ No		
Price sensitivity of import supply	High X	Moderate	Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift amon				
and other suppliers?		Yes X No		
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand				
Price level compared with:				
U.S. products	Above	Equivalent	Be low	x
Other foreign products				
Quality compared with:				
U.S. products	Above	Fauivalent	Be low	¥
Other foreign products				
Comment: The articles produced in Macau t				<u> </u>
United States are manufactured in a manner		•		
mills. Macau also exports many articles,	such as shades a	nd screens, th	at are not	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but of	such as shades a	nd screens, th	at are not	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles,	such as shades a	nd screens, th	at are not	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but o other foreign suppliers.	such as shades a do compete in the	nd screens, th	at are not	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but o other foreign suppliers.	such as shades a do compete in the - ts	nd screens, th U.S. market w	at are not	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but o other foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	such as shades a do compete in the - ts	nd screens, th U.S. market w	at are not	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity:	such as shades a do compete in the - ts	nd screens, th U.S. market w	at are not	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or	nd screens, th U.S. market w . <u>16</u>	at are not ith imports	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term?	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or	nd screens, th U.S. market w . <u>16</u>	at are not ith imports	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or	nd screens, the U.S. market w	at are not ith imports	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. Litiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or	nd screens, the U.S. market w	at are not ith imports	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes No Yes No	at are not ith imports	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or t ly t markets?	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes No Yes No Yes No	at are not ith imports	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply	such as shades a do compete in the ts expanded or t ly t markets?	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes No Yes No Yes No	at are not ith imports	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among	such as shades a to compete in the ts expanded or t t markets? High mg this	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes X No Yes No Yes No Yes No	at are not ith imports X Low	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readil redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift amore and other suppliers?	such as shades a do compete in the ts expanded or t t Hy t markets? High ng this	nd screens, th. U.S. market w . 16 Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	at are not ith imports X Low	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. itiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift amore and other suppliers?	such as shades a do compete in the ts expanded or t t Hy t markets? High ng this	nd screens, th. U.S. market w . 16 Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	at are not ith imports X Low	from
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but of other foreign suppliers. Litiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift amore and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. demand	such as shades a do compete in the state of	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes X No Yes No Yes No Yes No Moderate X Yes X No	at are not ith imports X X Low Low	
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but cother foreign suppliers. Litiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift amore and other suppliers?	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or t markets? High High Above	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes X No Yes No Yes No Moderate X Yes X No Moderate X Equivalent	at are not ith imports X Low Low X Below	
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but of other foreign suppliers. Litiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift amore and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. demand Price level compared with: U.S. products	such as shades a do compete in the - ts expanded or t markets? High High Above	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 . Yes X No . Yes No . Yes No Moderate X . Yes X No	at are not ith imports X Low Low X Below	
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but of other foreign suppliers. titiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among and other suppliers? Price level compared with: U.S. products	such as shades a do compete in the ts expanded or t High High Above Above	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes X No Yes No Yes No Yes No Moderate X Yes X No Moderate X Equivalent X Equivalent	at are not ith imports X X Low Low Below Below	
mills. Macau also exports many articles, readily available from U.S. sources, but of other foreign suppliers. titiveness of Mexico for all digest product Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export Price sensitivity of import supply Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift amore and other suppliers?	such as shades a do compete in the ts expanded or t High High Above Above	nd screens, the U.S. market w . 16 Yes X No Yes No Yes No Yes No Moderate X Yes X No Moderate X Equivalent X Equivalent	at are not ith imports X X Low Low Below Below	

TSUS item No. 206.67—Con.

Comment: The articles manufactured in Mexico are produced by U.S. companies with maquiladora operations in Mexico. The shutters are exported to the United States either in final form or for finishing by the parent company. The shutters are primarily custom rather than stock and are equivalent in terms of quality to those produced in the United States. As a result of the establishment of maquiladora operations in Mexico, imports from Mexico during the first half of 1987 totalled \$389,000.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Both petitioners, Ohline Corporation and Eastman Bell, Inc., are U.S. corporations with manufacturing facilities in Mexico. They requested the addition of GSP eligibility for this item to become cost competitive with their maquiladora operations. The petitioners assert that such operations are presently marginal because they are operating at a low level of capacity utilization, which would be increased by GSP eligibility.

Opposition.—Representatives of two major wood shutter companies expressed their opinion to the staff of the Commission that addition of GSP for this item would cause injury to their businesses. They believe that the lower wage rates in many foreign countries, including the leading GSP suppliers, would enable foreign competitors to under price certain of their products, were it not for the current duty of 8 percent.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

Product: Wood shutters with adjustable louvers

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986		
-	Value (1,000 dollars)					
Taiwan	4,781	9,958	6,813	6,614		
Hg Kong	3,285	2,316	2,479	2,544		
Canada	212	443	2,056	2,301		
China P	1,531	2,096	1,748	1,526		
Portugl	267	466	992	1,043		
Macao	45	360	750	450		
Japan	117	85	101	135		
India	277	106	136	123		
Italy	62	59	34	111		
France	17	36	121	44		
FR Germ	4	29	23	39		
Kor Rep	64	72	50	38		
Nethlds	9	16	48	3 7		
U King	34	94	28	29		
All other total	193	478	326	134		
Total	10,906	16,622	15,710	15,175		
GSP total	8,579	12,887	10,277	9,847		
_		Percent				
Taiwan	43.8	59.9	43.4	43.6		
Hg Kong	30.1	13.9	15.8	16.8		
Canada	1.9	2.7	13.1	15.2		
China P	14.0	12.6	11.1	10.1		
Portugl	2.5	2.8	6.3	6.9		
1acao	0.4	2.2	4.8	3.0		
Japan	1.1	0.5	0.6	0.9		
India	2.5	0.6	0.9	0.8		
Italy	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.7		
rance	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.3		
R Germ	0.0	0.2	v.1	0.3		
(or Rep	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3		
lethlds	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2		
J King	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.2		
All other total	1.8	2.9	2.1	0.9		
			444	444.4		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Product: Wood shutters with adjustable louvers

TSUS item No. 206.67--Con.

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986 1/

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1,000 doll	ars)	
Canada	130	.29	57	43
Dom. Rep	130	. 49		26
Bermuda	11	23	. 1	16
Bahamas	17	6	7	11
U.King	7	6	13	7
Mexico	16	ĭ	1	7
France		7	2/	6
Trinid	1		=-	6
FR Germ	37	9	2	4
Japan	15	1	5	4
Taiwan				2
Norway			1	2
N. Antil	4	1	,	2
Iceland		2/	2/	1
All other total	159	39	66	6
Total	398	122	154	143
GSP total	140	52	41	75
		Percent		
Canada	32.7	23.6	37.4	29.6
Dom. Rep	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.1
Bermuda	2.7	18.4	0.8	11.2
Bahamas	4.3	5.0	4.8	7.5
U.King	1.7	5.0	8.2	4.8
Mexico	4.1	0.9	0.4	4.6
France	0.0	6.0	0.3	4.2
Trinid	0.2	0.0	0.0	4.2
FR Germ	9.2	7.6	1.4	3.1
Japan	3.8	0.5	2.9	2.9
Taiwan	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7
Norway	0.1	0.0	0.6	1.6
N.Antil	1.0	0.6	0.0	1.2
Iceland	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.9
All other total	40.1	32.2	43.0	4.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	35.1	42.5	26.8	52.1

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce 1/ Allocated on the basis of 20 percent of Schedule B No. 206.66.
2/ Less than 500 dollars.

9

Strips of Manmade Fibers, Often Known as Artificial Straw or Yarns, not Laminated

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 5¢ per 1b. (309.20) (1986 AVE 5.9%) 6.6% ad val. (309.21) Type of action proposed (check one): Addition of GSP: Removal from GSP: Country graduation: Competitive-need-limit waiver Non-eligible GSP countries: None Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No Description and uses: Manmade-fiber strips are defined in the TSUS as strips in continuous form (i.e., over 30 inches in length), "whether or not folded lengthwise, twisted, or crimped, which in unfolded, untwisted, and uncrimped condition are over 0.06 inch, but not over one inch in width and are not over 0.01 inch in thickness." These strips, also referred to as artificial straw or yarn, have a twist and are often similar in appearance to decorative cord or string. In many instances, the strips are put up on a roll and used as a sealant around the threaded end of a pipe. These strips have many practical applications for household, agricultural, industrial, and maritime uses, when

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983 [°]	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> / <u>3</u> /
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	<u>3</u> /	3/	3/	<u>3</u> / <u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	6,907	5,458	13,946	12,960	88
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	1,539	4,258	6,452	7,062	359
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	<u>3</u> /	3/	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> / <u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	3/	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	-

tying, sealing, reinforcement, stability, fastening, or other needs are required.

^{1/} Strips of mammade fibers are classified in the HS under item 3920.20.00, which has already been designated for GSP eligibility. Therefore, probable effects advice for this HS item will not be provided in a separate digest.

^{2/} This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

^{3/} Not available.

TSUS item No. 309.20 <u>1</u>/—Con.

Comment: There are many domestic producers of manmade-fiber yarns that manufacture or have the ability and technology to produce strips of manmade fibers. Generally, these strips account for a small segment of their overall output, particularly in comparison to the amount of manmade fiber yarns produced and used for other textile purposes. Although a large capital investment is required to produce the strips, these items are not considered "high tech." In addition, since there is no product distinction, there is no brand loyalty or preference among consumers. Price and availability are the primary factors considered when purchasing the strips, with the imported and domestic products being of comparable quality.

Although data are not available, trade sources indicated that U.S. consumption and producers' shipments have increased since 1981. This is probably a result of domestic manufacturers seeking additional markets for their output, such as in the industrial or agricultural sectors, which are not faced with as much import competition as their primary market—apparel. Although data are not available, the import-to-consumption ratio has probably remained fairly constant. The value of total imports increased by more than four fold from \$1.5 million in 1981 to \$7.1 million in 1986. A large part of the increase since 1981 was GSP eligible countries. the value of imports for GSP countries increased from \$37,000 in 1981 to \$1.2 million in 1986.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
		Qua	antity (pounds)	
Total	2,295,312	100	-	2/
Imports from GSP countries:				
Total	476,614	21	100	<u>2</u> /
Singapore	168,959	7	35	2/
Taiwan	261,107	11	6	2/ 2/ 2/ 2/ 2/ 2/
Thailand	16,755	<u>3</u> /	4	2/
Mexico	•	3/	3/	<u>2</u> /
		Va	lue (thousands)	·
Total	7,062	100	-	2/
Total	1,228	17	100	2/
Singapore	800	. 11	65	2/
Taiwan	396	6	32	<u>-</u>
Thailand	13	<u>3</u> /	1	= 2/
Mexico	3	<u>3</u> /	3/	2/ 2/ 2/ 2/

^{1/} This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

^{2/} Not available.

^{3/} Less than 0.5 percent.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Singapore for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Competitiveness of Taiwan for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 9 Price sensitivity:
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 9
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986

^{1/} This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

TSUS item No. 309.20 1/—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Cordelerias Filamentas y Costales, S.A. de C.V. (COFICSA) located in Veracruz, Mexico, believes that GSP status would make it price competitive in the U.S. market. As a result, they would be able to increase production and employment while earning more foreign capital exchange. Its product is made entirely of raw materials imported from the United States.

Opposition.—Certain U.S. cordage manufacturers—Blue Mountain Industries, Blue Mountain, AL, Bridon Cordage Inc., Albert Lea, MN, and Shuford Mills, Inc., Hickory, NC—are represented by counsel of Taft, Stettinius & Hollister, Washington, D.C., and are in opposition to the removal of duties for items under TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21 from Mexico. They are of the opinion that: (1) the description in the petition does not cover items to which such a removal would grant duty—free treatment; (2) items classified under TSUS 309.20 and 309.21 may be ineligible for such consideration, due to possible noncompliance with the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974; and (3) efficiently operated domestic producers of like and directly competitive articles would be negatively economically impacted by any such grant without any corresponding benefits to Congressionally intended parties.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

Product: Strips of manmade fibers, not laminated

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity (Pounds)	
Ireland			132,938	428,107
U King		522,432	385,542	107,514
Taiwan	28,677	13,179	94,616	100,265
Nethlds	2,416		.,,,,,,	34,233
Israel	_•			28,051
R Germ		15,915	56,886	20,465
Austral		.5,,,,	942	17,626
Thai 1nd			36,156	16,755
China P		8,813	3,636	2,952
Canada	1,764	0,015	8,735	430
Sweden	1,704	9,647	619	434
Japan		7,04/	40,826	
Hg Kong			675	
All other total			6/3	
All Other total				
Total	32,857	569,986	761,571	756,398
GSP total	28,677	13,179	131,447	145,071
_		Percent		
Ireland	0.0	0.0	17.5	56.6
U King	0.0	91.7	50.6	14.2
Taiwan	87.3	2.3	12.4	13.3
Nethlds	7.4	0.0	0.0	4.5
Israel	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7
FR Germ	0.0	2.8	7.5	2.7
Austral	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.3
Thai 1nd	0.0	0.0	4.7	2.2
China P	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.4
Canada	5.4	0.0	1.1	0.1
Sweden	0.0	1.7	0.1	0.0
Japan	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0
Hg Kong	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total		2.3	17.3	19.2

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

 $[\]underline{1}/$ This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

Product: Strips of manmade fibers, not laminated

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		/alue (1,000 doll	ars)	
Ireland			107	387
U King		362	341	96
Taiwan	23	• 9	71	73
Nethlds	3			33
[srael				17
FR Germ		14	46	16
Thai 1nd			27	12
Austral				6
China P		. 4	2	. 1
Canada	1		. 3	
Sweden		5		
Japan			22	
All other total				
Total	28	396	624	646
GSP total	23	9	99	103
·		Percent		***************************************
Ireland	0.0	0.0	17.2	60.0
U King	0.0	91.4	54.8	15.0
Taiwan	82.9	2.4	11.4	11.3
Neth1ds	13.1	0.0	0.0	5.2
Israel	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7
FR Germ	0.0	3.7	7.4	2.6
Thai 1nd	0.0	0.0	4.4	2.0
Austral	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.0
China P	0.0	1.1	0.4	0.2
Canada	4.1	0.0	0.6	0.1
Sweden	0.0	1.4	0.1	0.0
Japan	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	82.9	2.4	15.9	16.0

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce 1/ This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

Product: Strips of manmade fibers, not laminated

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(Pounds)	
Denmark			60,290	212,969
U King	45,904	68,059	79,423	178,297
Singapr	•		71,927	168,959
Taiwan	3,500	9,724	34,162	160,842
Sweden	1,214	69,290	126,533	156,797
Austral		·	117,792	142,542
Italy	4,322	916	148,567	117,866
R Germ	49,934	142,723	127,166	116,846
France	10,132	2,229	70,964	108,544
Japan	89,706	46,374	168,645	104,450
Ireland	72	15,757		39,168
Canada	673	15,689	60,051	29,243
Mexico		.2,007	88,503	1,742
Belgium			1,198	529
All other total	8,534	169,035	13,063	114
Total	213,991	539,796	1,168,284	1,538,91
GSP total	5,725	10,204	205,319	331,543
		Percent		
Denmark	0.0	0.0	5.2	13.8
U King	21.5	12.6	6.8	11.6
Singapr	0.0	0.0	6.2	11.0
raiwan	1.6	1.8	2.9	10.5
Sweden	0.6	12.8	10.8	10.2
Austral	0.0	0.0	10.1	9.3
Italy	2.0	0.2	12.7	7.7
FR Germ	23.3	26.4	10.9	7.6
rance	4.7	0.4	6.1	7.1
Japan	41.9	8.6	14.4	6.8
reland	0.0	2.9	0.0	2.5
Canada	- 0.3	2.9	5.1	1.9
lexi co	0.0	0.0	7.6	0.1
Belgium	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
All other total		31.3	1.1	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

1/ This digest includes TSUS item 309.20 and 309.21.

Product: Strips of manmade fibers, not laminated

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		/alue (1,000 dol	lars)	
Japan	300	358	1,476	1,269
FR Germ	578	1,402	966	990
Singapr			396	· 799
France	77	13	706	751
Italy	10	18	793	606
Denmark			118	523
Sweden	5	308	262	404
J King	484	668	403	323
Taiwan	4	12	127	323
Austral			228	289
Canada	3	62	192	88
Ireland		118		39
1ex1 co			104	2
Belgium			2	1
All other total	45	898	47	1
Total	1,511	3,861	5,827	6,415
GSP total	14	13	669	1,125
		Percent		
Japan	19.9	9.3	25.3	19.8
R Germ	38.3	36.3	16.6	15.4
Singapr	0.0	0.0	6.8	12.5
rance	5.1	0.3	12.1	11.7
taly	0.7	0.5	13.6	9.5
enmark	0.0	0.0	2.0	8.2
Sweden	0.4	8.0	4.5	6.3
J King	32.1	17.3	6.9	5.0
aiwan	0.3	0.3	2.2	5.0
lustral	0.0	0.0	3.9	4.5
anada	0.2	1.6	3.3	1.4
reland	0.1	3.1	0.0	0.6
lexico	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0
Belgium	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5677.J.MW. • • • • • • • • •			0.8	0.0
All other total	3.0	23.3		
		100.0	100.0	100.0

9

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce $\underline{1}$ / This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

Product: NYLON STRIPS, CONTINUOUS

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(Pounds)	
Phi 1. R	12,446	1,894	687,104	806,891
Canada	1,795,683	1,112,072	630,052	633,743
FR Germ	217,288	12,412	167,142	503,843
Japan	69,648	140,280	576,000	368,462
Cocos I	490,252	824,740	424,984	366,288
Cook Is	986	241,399	382,450	316,218
C Rica	12,677	1,645	18,551	289,314
Spain	750,054	123,345	450,892	189,076
Mexico	716,599	10,625	158,876	182,907
Venez	53,997	14,099	44,587	62,009
Italy	2,076	8,311	71,314	51,837
France	29,391	15,520	82,005	25,737
Brazil	547			19,844
Hg Kong	5,811	894	5,783	18,214
All other total	743,948	774,381	542,709	122,171
Total	4,901,403	3,281,617	4,242,449	3,956,554
GSP total	1,836,291	1,246,208	1,910,182	2,149,865
-		Percent		·
Phil.R	0.3	0.1	16.2	20.4
Canada	36.6	33.9	14.9	16.0
FR Germ	4.4	0.4	3.9	12.7
Japan	1.4	4.3	13.6	9.3
Cocos I	10.0	25.1	10.0	9.3
Cook Is	0.0	7.4	9.0	8.0
C Rica	0.3	0.1	0.4	7.3
Spain	15.3	3.8	10.6	4.8
Mexico	14.6	0.3	3.7	4.6
Venez	1.1	0.4	1.1	1.6
Italy	0.0	0.3	1.7	1.3
France	0.6	0.5	1.9	0.7
Brazil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Hg Kong	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.5
All other total	15.2	23.6	12.8	3.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	37.5	38.0	45.0	54.3

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

^{1/} This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

^{2/} These Schedule B item numbers were allocated 100 percent to this digest.

Product: NYLON STRIPS, CONTINUOUS

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
_		/alue (1,000 dol	lars)	
Phil.R	47	9	6,465-	7,619
Canada	2,182	1,326	1,090	1,044
FR Germ	493	161	604	864
Japan	173	262	1,168	573
Cocos I	606	1,147	626	561
Cook Is	4	365	572	453
Mexico	1,196	40	605	341
Spain	387	171	900	254
U King	186	403	211	220
C Rica	20	7	33	166
Venez	143	27	63	135
Italy	20	66	185	128
France	183	269	183	106
Hg Kong	35	6	108	54
All other total	1,226	1,189	1,126	434
MII Other total	1,7260	17107		
Total	6,907	5,458	13,946	12,960
GSP total	2,954	2,061	9,071	9,699
		Percent		
Phil.R	0.7	0.2	46.4	58.8
Canada	31.6	24.3	7.8	8.1
FR Germ	7.1	3.0	4.3	6.7
Japan	2.5	4.8	8.4	4.4
Cocos I	8.8	21.0	4.5	4.3
Cook Is	0.1	6.7	4.1	3.5
Mexico	17.3	0.7	4.3	2.6
Spain	5.6	3.1	6.5	2.0
U King	2.7	7.4	1.5	1.7
C Rica	0.3	0.1	0.2	1.3
Venez	2.1	0.5	0.5	1.0
Italy	0.3	1.2	1.3	1.0
France	2.7	4.9	1.3	0.8
Hg Kong	0.5	0.1	0.8	0.4
All other total	17.8	21.8	8.1	3.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

^{1/} This digest includes TSUS items 309.20 and 309.21.

 $[\]overline{2}$ / These Schedule B item numbers were allocated 100 percent to this digest.

Silk Handkerchiefs

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: <u>7.5%</u>	
Type of action proposed (check one):	
Addition of GSP: X	
Removal from GSP:	
Country graduation:	
Competitive-need-limit waiver	
Non-eligible GSP countries: None	
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: <u>Mone</u>	
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None	
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No	•
Description and uses: The silk handkerchiefs under consideration for GSP treatments	atment are
not ornamented and contain 70 percent or more by weight of silk. These handke	erchiefs,
which are currently exempt from U.S. import quotas, are classified in the TSUS	SA under
item 370.8405 (hemmed) and item 370.8450 (not hemmed).	

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	2	2	2	2	-
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3/	3/	-
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	3/	3/	<u>3</u> /	[***]	-
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	4/	4/	4/	4/	-
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	2,282	1,804	3,714	4,640	103
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	<u>5</u> /	<u>5</u> /	5/	[***]	-
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	<u>5</u> /	<u>5</u> /	<u>5</u> / <u>5</u> /	[***]	
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	3/	3/	3/	3/	

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 6213.10.00.

^{2/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

^{3/} Not available.

^{4/} Data on exports are not available but are believed to be nil.

⁵/ Although data are not available on U.S. consumption, it is believed that consumption is supplied almost entirely by imports.

TSUSA item No. 370.8405 1/--Con.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

	_	Percent of	Percent of GSP	
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		Quantit	y (1,000 dozen)	
Total	307	100	-	<u>2</u> /
Tota1	66	21	100	2/
Hong Kong	38	12	58	<u>2</u> / <u>2</u> / 2/
India	27	9	41	2/
		Val	ue (thousands)	
Total	4,640		100	[***]
Total	458	10	100	[***]
Hong Kong	350	8	76	[***]
India	95	2	21	[***]

Note. -- The countries shown in the table include the top two GSP suppliers in 1986.

Comment.—U.S. consumption of silk handkerchiefs is supplied almost entirely by imports. Silk handkerchiefs are known to be produced domestically by only two firms, one located in California and the other in Illinois. The firm in California indicated that its production of silk handkerchiefs totaled about [***] in 1981 and [***] in 1986. The handkerchiefs accounted for [***] percent of its 1986 sales, which consisted largely of men's and women's neckwear (i.e., scarves, bow ties, and neckties). The producer in Illinois stated that it produces about [***] dozen silk handkerchiefs a year, but that none of them are sold at retail. Instead, the handkerchiefs are made on contract for companies, such as a major auto manufacturer, whose corporate emblem or logo is imprinted on the handkerchiefs.

Silk handkerchiefs are used almost exclusively for adornment, whereas cotton and manmade-fiber handkerchiefs are used for both functional and decorative purposes. There are significant price differences between them, with the imported silk handkerchiefs being valued at about \$15 per dozen (f.o.b.) and the imported cotton and manmade-fiber handkerchiefs valued at about \$2 per dozen. According to several domestic producers, the wholesale price of cotton and manmade-fiber handkerchiefs produced domestically is about \$3 per dozen.

^{1/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

^{2/} Not available; however, imports are believed to account for almost all of U.S. consumption.

TSUSA item No. 370.8405 <u>1</u>/—Con.

Imports of the silk handkerchiefs under consideration for the GSP are estimated to have doubled during 1981-86 to \$4.6 million in 1986. The growth reflected the trend in fashion to the dressy look. In addition, industry sources indicated that demand for silk handkerchiefs has been stimulated by the growing use of such handkerchiefs by persons appearing on television.

Unlike most apparel imports, which come primarily from developing countries, most of the imports of silk handkerchiefs come from developed countries. About 65 percent of the total value of imports in 1986 came from Italy; another 15 percent of the total came from Portugal, the United Kingdom, Japan, and France. The competitive strengths of these countries are based on the quality and perceived fashion leadership of their products in the U.S. market. Only 10 percent of the imports in 1986 came from GSP-eligible countries, with Hong Kong and India accounting for almost all the imports. Hong Kong only recently entered the market as part of an overall effort to expand its shipments of textile products, such as these silk handkerchiefs, which are not covered by U.S. import quota. There were no imports from the petitioning country, Thailand, during 1981-86.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 3
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate _X Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _X Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products <u>2</u> / Above Equivalent Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Below X
Quality compared with:
U.S. products 2/ Above Equivalent Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Below X

^{1/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

^{2/} Because U.S. production is relatively insignificant, it is difficult to make such a comparison.

TSUSA item No. 370.8405 <u>1</u>/—Con.

Competitiveness of India for all digest products		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986		
Price sensitivity:		
Can production in the country be easily expanded or		
contracted in the short term? Yes X No		
Does the country have significant export		
markets besides the United States? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Could exports from the country be readily		
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No		•
Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate _X Low		
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this		
and other suppliers? Yes X No		*
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _X Low		
Price level compared with:		
U.S. products <u>2</u> / Be	OW	
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Be		
Quality compared with:		
U.S. products 2/ Above Equivalent Be	OW	
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Be		

^{1/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

^{2/} Because U.S. production is relatively insignificant, it is difficult to make such a comparison.

TSUSA item No. 370.8405 <u>1</u>/—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The Government of Thailand, the petitioner, indicated that GSP treatment on silk handkerchiefs would enable its country's product to compete in the U.S. market; imports from Thailand have been nil since at least 1981. It estimated that, if GSP treatment were granted, Thailand's production of silk handkerchiefs would increase by 1 percent and employment would increase by 7 percent. In addition, it would enable the Government to provide income to 500,000 silkworm farmers. In 1986, 12 companies in Thailand produced a total of 6,200 silk handkerchiefs, priced at \$6 per dozen. The 12 companies employed a total of 2,800 workers in 1986.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986				
-	Quantity (dozens)							
Italy	16,468	21,640	94,139	156,999				
Hong Kong	0	58	22,814	37,475				
Portugal	40,294	28,684	40,882	17,081				
China	29,295	37,331	34,037	30,364				
Japan	27,751	7,935	8,398	6,966				
United Kingdom	3,152	2,293	2,500	2,482				
	556	1,372	482	1,117				
France	8,746	5,693	8,239	17,860				
India	19	6	13	3,575				
Canada	0	Ö	3,558	2,820				
Ivy Coast	0	769	1,542	1,301				
S. Korea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	751	44	132				
Spain	126		4,108	2,179				
All other total	171	39 	4,100	2,179				
Total	126,578	106,571	220,756	280,351				
GSP total	8,746	6,535	33,953	56,644				
		Percent						
Italy	13.0	20.4	42.6	56.8				
Hong Kong	0.0	0.0	10.4	13.3				
Portugal	31.8	26.9	18.5	6.0				
China	23.2	35.0	15.5	10.0				
	21.9	7.4	3.8	2.4				
Japan	2.5	2.2	1.2	. 0.8				
United Kingdom	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.3				
France	6.9	5.4	3.8	6.3				
India	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3				
Canada		0.0	1.6	1.0				
Ivy Coast	0.0		0.6	0.				
S. Korea	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.				
Spain	0.0	0.7		0.				
All other total	0.1	0.0	1.8	<u> </u>				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.				
GSP total	6.9	6.1	15.4	20.				

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Dep 'tment of Commerce

 $[\]underline{1}$ / This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

Product:

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
·	Ya	lue (1,000 dollar	5)	
		•		
Italy	347	455	1,781	2,872
Hong Kong	0	1	238	347
Portugal	769	591	756	297
China	315	389	344	282
Japan	579	102	147	165
United Kingdom	112	70	116	125
France	15	28	38	86
India	51	28	28	57
Canada	1	0	· 1	32
Ivy Coast	. 0	0	30	23
S. Korea	0	9	10	12
Spain	7	15	3	7
All other total	4	1	33	26
Total	2,199	1,689	3,525	4,331
GSP total	51	40	288	41
			. •	
-		Percent		
Italy	15.8	26.9	50.5	66.3
Hong Kong	0.0	0.0	6.8	8.0
Portugal	35.0	35.0	21.4	6.9
China	14.3	23.0	9.7	6.5
Japan	26.3	6.0	4.2	3.8
United Kingdom	5.1	4.1	3.3	2.9
France	0.7	1.8	1.1	2.0
India	2.3	1.8	0.8	1.3
Canada	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Ivy Coast	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.5
S. Korea	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.3
Spain	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.2
All other total	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	2.3	2.4	8.2	9.

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Dep 'tment of Commerce

^{1/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

Product:

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

\$ource	1981	1983	1985	1986
************		Quantity (d		
Italy	1,162	2,436	5,536	5,192
China	0	1,986	4,935	10,427
India	812	2,341	1,114	8,728
United Kingdom	56	60	363	1,071
Japan	355	225	236	610
All other total	1,357	1,109	1,011	434
Total	3,742	8,157	13,195	26,462
GSP total	1,145	2,355	1.362	9,009
		Percent		
74-1	31.1	29.9	42.0	19.6
Italy	0.0	24.3	37.4	39.4
China	21.7	28.7	8.4	33.0
United Kingdom	1.5	0.7	2.8	4.0
Japan	9.4	2.8	1.8	2.4
All other total	36.3	13.6	7.6	1.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	30.6	28.9	10.3	34.0

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

^{1/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

11

TS number: 3708450

Product:

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
·		Value (\$1	000)	
Italy	27	59	99	153
China	0	16	46	. 97
India	4	11	5 .	38
United Kingdom	4	4	19	8
Japan	6	4	4	8
All other total	42	21	16	5
Total		115	189	309
GSP total	15	11	7	41
		Percent		· .
Italy	32.5	51.3	52.4	49.5
China	0.0	13.8	24.3	31.4
India	4.8	9.6	2.6	12.3
United Kingdom	4.8	3.5	10.1	2.6
Japan	7.2	3.5	2.1	2.6
All other total	50.6	18.3	8.5	1.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	18.1	9.6	3.7	13.3

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

1/ This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 370.8405 and 370.8450.

Silk Scarves

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 5.8% (372.5005)

8% (372.5505)

Type of action proposed (check one):

Addition of GSP: X

Removal from GSP: _____
Country graduation: ____

Competitive-need-limit waiver

Non-eligible GSP countries: <u>None</u>

Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None

Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None

U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No ____.

Description and uses: The products covered here are scarves, shaw

Description and uses: The products covered here are scarves, shawls, mufflers, and mantillas that contain 70 percent or more by weight of silk (hereinafter "scarves"). The scarves are classified under TSUSA item 372.5005 (knit) and item 372.5505 (certain woven silk scarves, valued not over \$5 per dozen).

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3/	<u>4</u> / *30	-
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	3/	3/	3/ 3/	3/	- ·
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	3/	3/	3/	5/	-
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	6/	<u>6</u> /	6/	6/	-
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	31	86	171	223	619
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	<u>3</u> /	3/	<u>3</u> / <u>3</u> /	3/	
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	<u>3</u> /	3/	3/	3/	_

¹/ Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item Nos. 6117.10.30 (pt.) and 6214.10.00.

^{2/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 372.5005 and 372.5505.

^{3/} Not available.

^{4/} Represents an estimate of the staff of the U.S. International Trade Commission of the total number of producers of silk scarves, including those covered in this digest.

^{5/} Although data are not available, U.S. shipments of the scarves covered here are believed to account for a relatively insignificant share of the estimated \$4.9 million in shipments of all silk scarves in 1986.

^{6/} Data on U.S. exports are not available; however, exports are believed to be relatively insignificant. Thus, an export table will not be provided for this digest.

TSUSA item No. 372.5005 <u>1</u>/—Con.

Comment: Data are not available on U.S. producers' shipments or consumption of knit silk scarves or the low-valued woven silk scarves covered in this digest. However, these scarves are believed to account for a relatively insignificant share of the estimated \$4.9 million in U.S. producers' shipments of all silk scarves in 1986. U.S. imports of the scarves covered here are estimated to have increased by 619 percent during 1981-86 to \$223,000 in 1986. They accounted for less than 1 percent of the estimated \$34 million in total imports of silk scarves in 1986.

An estimated 30 firms produce silk scarves in the United States; however, it is unknown how many of the firms produce knit silk scarves or the low-valued woven silk scarves. Silk scarves account for approximately 10 percent of the industry's total production of scarves, which consists mostly of manmade-fiber scarves. Industry sources noted that U.S. producers use imported silk fabric to make the scarves because such fabric—having an average weight of 10 ounces per square yard—is not usually manufactured domestically. They indicated that it takes about 6 to 9 months to receive the fabric from the date it was ordered.

Three-fourths of U.S. imports of silk scarves during 1981-86 were supplied by Italy, China, and France. The importance of Italy and France is attributed to their quality and perceived fashion leadership in these products. China is the world's largest source of raw silk and has been stepping up its efforts to ship more items that are exempt from U.S. import restrictions. Imports from GSP-eligible countries in 1986 totaled only \$25,000, representing 11 percent of total imports. The bulk of these imports came from India. Imports from the petitioning country, Thailand, were negligible during 1981-86. According to an industry source, Thailand's silk scarves are made of a fabric which is too stiff and heavy to drape properly and which is more suitable for making apparel such as dresses.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
	Value (thousands)			
Total Imports from GSP countries:	223	100	-	<u>2</u> /
Total	25	11	100	<u>2</u> /
India	22	10	88	<u>2</u> /
Taiwan	2	1	8	<u>2</u> /

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of India for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 19864
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X NO
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate X Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _X Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Below X
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Below X

^{1/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 372.5005 and 372.5505.

^{2/} Not available.

TSUSA item No. 372.5005 <u>1</u>/—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner</u>.—The Government of Thailand, the petitioner, indicated that GSP treatment would enable Thailand to better compete in the U.S. market. Thailand had 13 companies, employing a total of 1,000 workers, manufacturing a total of 42,000 scarves in 1986.

1/ This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 372.5005 and 372.5505.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

Product: Shawls, scarves, mufflers, mantillas, vests

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

\$oures	1981	1983	1985	1986
	Y•	lue [1.000 dollar	rs)	
Italy	7	17	59	93
France	Š	10	22	45
China	i	13	35	35
Japan	ī	1	3	7
U. Kingdom		10	23	•
Switzerland	9	12		6
India	0	1 5	$\frac{2}{1}$	5
All other total	4		-	3
All biner total		27	20	8
Total	31	86	163	. 202
GSP total	2	5	1	6
		Percent		
Italy	22.7	19.7	36.2	46.0
France	16.1	11.6	13.5	22.2
China	3.2	15.1	21.5	17.3
Japan	3.2	1.2	1.8	3.5
U. Kingdom	12.9	14.0	14.2	3.0
Switzerland	29.0	1.2	0.0	2.5
India	0.0	5.8	0.6	1.5
All other total-	12.9	31.4	11.6	4.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
•				

6.4

5.8

3.0

0.6

^{1/} This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 372.5005 and 372.5505. 2/ Less than \$500.

Source: Estimated from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Product: Shawls, scarves, mufflers, mantillas, vests

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986			
	Yalue (1.000 dollars)						
India	0 0	0 0	2 6	19 2			
Total	0	0	8	21			
GSP total	0	0	2	. 19			
		Percent					
India	0.0	0.0 0.0	25.0 75.0	90.5 9.5			
Total	0.6	0.0	100.0	100.0			
esp total	•.•	•.•	25.0	90.5			

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

 $[\]underline{1}$ / This digest includes TSUSA item Nos. 372.5005 and 372.5505.

Plastic Pot Scourers

I. Introduction

Col.	1 rate of	duty: _	12.5% ad val.
Type	of action	proposed	(check one):

Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None

Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None

U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No

Description and uses: Plastic pot scourers are used primarily in the scourering and cleaning of pots, pans, and other kitchen utensils, particularly those with teflon or plastic finishes that require the use of a less abrasive pad than the conventional steel scourering pad. Known in the industry as plastic puffs or pads, they are made of nylon or polypropylene materials and are produced on a circular knitting machine in the form of a tubular netting, which is cut into approximately 12 inch lengths. Then by hand, the knitted material is placed over a tube and then rolled off, one end being closed with a rubber band. The material is then tucked several times and the remaining end closed with another rubber band.

II. <u>U.S. market profile</u>

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
n I continue (continue of continue of cont	•	0.4	•	+15.05	
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	_	<u>2</u> /	2/	* 15–25	<u>2</u> /
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)		<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>2</u> /
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> / <u>2</u> /	*1,680	<u>2</u> / <u>2</u> /
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	2/	2/	<u>2</u> /	4/	<u>2</u> /
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	2/	2/	2/	5/ 320	2/
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	2/	2/	2/	*2,000	2/
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	2/	2/	2/	*16	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/	* 70	-

^{1/} Plastic pot scourers are classified in the HS under item 6307.90.80, which has already been designated for GSP eligibility. Therefore, probable effects advice for this HS item will not be provided in a separate digest.

^{2/} Not available.

^{3/} Less than 250 workers.

^{4/} Believe to be extremely small or nil. No export table will be provided at the end of this digest.

^{5/} Estimated by the staff of the U.S. International Trade Commission based on information provided by industry sources. No import table will be provided at the end of this digest.

TSUS item No. 389.40 (pt.)—Con.

Comment: The domestic industry consists of 2 or 3 relatively large producers that account for more than 50 percent of the plastic pad market. The remaining 12 to 22 producers operate on a much smaller scale. Virtually every producer manufactures other types of pads or pot scourers, such as metal, sponge, or nonwoven. In addition, they also produce other related household products such as brushes or cleaning utensils.

The plastic pot scourers under consideration for the GSP generally account for a small share of total industry output. Generally, they are marketed by a manufacturer as part of a broad product line of related cleaning products and are marketed almost exclusively in grocery, hardware, and drug stores. The scourering pads are purchased primarily for household purposes and, to a much lesser degree, for commercial, institutional, and industrial purposes. Brand loyalty is not usually an important selling factor, especially because retailers usually carry only one brand of the plastic scourering pad in their store. Distribution as well as low price are key factors needed to acquire a segment of the domestic market. Therefore, product availability and convenience are key nonprice purchasing incentives.

Sales in the overall scourering pad market have been flat during the past 5 years. Although the plastic pot scourers accounted for less than 10 percent of the market, their share has increased slightly at the expense of steel scourering pads, the largest segment of the overall pad market. The increase is due primarily to greater utilization of plastic and software products, microwave ovens, and teflon products, which require a less abrasive scourering pad.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Plastic pot scourers are classified in the TSUS under item 389.40, a "basket" category that consists of a large number of unrelated textile articles. Prior to August 1986, they were classified in another basket category (TSUS item 772.15). However, although data are not available, trade sources believe that the scourering pads represent an extremely small share of the imports in the basket provisions. In addition to Mexico, Haiti is believed to be an important supplier.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Mexico for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: Although most foreign suppliers, including Mexico, offer this product at a lower price than domestic producers, trade sources indicated that some domestic purchasers at the wholesale level prefer buying from U.S. producers because of their

more timely delivery, better service, and other related products.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Filtros y Mallas Industriales, S.A. de C.V. located in Guadalupe, Mexico is of the opinion that if GSP treatment is granted, they can increase their exports and contribute more to Mexico's economic growth by increasing employment and operating at full capacity. They noted that the total number of full-time workers would increase by 70 percent the next year, while the increased production would help increase wages by 20 to 30 percent over the inflation rate. With the assistance of duty-free treatment, their sales would increase, since they could offer a better quality product at a comparable price and be more competitive in the world market.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene (ABS) Resins

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: $0.3e/1b + 9.4\%$ ad val. (1986 AVE 9.9%)
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP:
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation: X (<u>Korea</u>)
Competitive-need-limit waiver
Non-eligible GSP countries: Taiwan,
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: Korea, Taiwan
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: ABS resin is a thermoplastic polymer (i.e., can be repeatedly
softened by increase of temperature and hardened by decrease of temperature) made by
grafting or polymerizing acrylonitrile and styrene onto dissolved polybutadiene. ABS
resin is not a single material, but rather comprises a family of polymers ranging in
properties from general purpose grades for lower performance applications to specialty
grades used in products where high performance is paramount. The ABS resins are used in
such applications as pipe, conduit, and fittings; transportation components; appliance
components; and business machines, including calculators.
Compositional and additions implified life (additing earliested)

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms) 1/	9	5	5	4	56
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	*4.2	2.8	2.9	3.3	*-21
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	683,145	822,882	846,228	814,213	19
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	63,229	50,134	68,503	68,576	8
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	1,426	24,504	36,751	39,878	2,696
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	621,342	797,252	814,476	785,515	26
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	0.2	3	5	5	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	*60	*70	*80	* 70	-

¹/ From 1983 to the present, three firms have annually accounted for virtually all of the domestic ABS resin production.

Comment: The three principal producers of ABS resins are large, multinational firms that produce and market a wide range of chemical and other manufactured goods. These firms are innovators in technology, produce a complete product line of ABS resins, and offer their customers local technical service to assist in solving any processing or production problems which occur with their resin. In many of the major applications for ABS resin, quality is important as the finished parts are components of high-performance articles (e.g., automobiles, business machines, refrigerators, computers).

¹/ Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS $_1$ nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 3903.30.00.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

IV.

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of
tem	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		04		
		· Quanti	ity (1,000 lbs.)	
otal	67,817	100		6
mports from GSP countries:	.,			
Total	54,399	80	100	5
Taiwan	32,230	48	59	3
Korea	14,581	22	27	1
Brazil	5,958	9 .	11	1
Mexico	1,593	2	3	2/
		Va	lue (thousands)	
otal	\$39,877	100	_	5
mports from GSP countries:	4 33,077	100	_	•
Total	28,816	72	100	4
Taiwan	16,892	42	59	2
	•	19	26	1
Korea	. 7,528 3,667	9	13	0.5
Brazili			1.3	U.J
-	•	= .	· -	
Mexico	697	2 y-free treatment	2 for TSUS item 4	2/ 08.72 under the
Mexico	697 ity for dutexceeding t	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to	2 for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits	2/ 08.72 under the
Mexico	697 ity for dutexceeding t	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to	2 for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits	2/ 08.72 under the
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of our content. ote.—The countries shown competitiveness profiles. Grant competitiveness of Korea for competitiveness of korea	697 ity for dut exceeding t in the tabl SP supplier r all diges	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits op four GSP suppl	2/ 08.72 under the
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibil SP in 1987 as a result of o / Less than 0.5 percent. ote.—The countries shown ompetitiveness profiles, G ompetitiveness of Korea fo anking as a U.S. import su	697 ity for dut exceeding t in the tabl SP supplier r all diges	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits op four GSP suppl	2/ 08.72 under the
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of competitiveness profiles, Grant in the competitiveness of Korea for anking as a U.S. import surice sensitivity:	697 ity for dut exceeding t in the tabl SP supplier r all diges pplier, 198	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits op four GSP suppl	<u>2</u> / 08.72 under the
Mexico	ity for dutexceeding to the table of t	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to st products it products	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits up four GSP suppl	<u>2/</u> 08.72 under the · iers in 1986.
Mexico	ity for dutexceeding to the table of t	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products 6	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits up four GSP suppl	<u>2/</u> 08.72 under the · iers in 1986.
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of of Less than 0.5 percent. ote.—The countries shown ompetitiveness profiles, Granting as a U.S. import surice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have signers.	ity for dutexceeding to the table of tab	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products fi	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits up four GSP suppl	2/ 08.72 under the iers in 1986.
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of of Less than 0.5 percent. ote.—The countries shown ompetitiveness profiles, Ground ompetitiveness of Korea for anking as a U.S. import surice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have signarkets besides the Uni	ity for dutexceeding to the table of t	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products ily expanded or	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits up four GSP suppl	2/ 08.72 under the iers in 1986.
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of of Less than 0.5 percent. ote.—The countries shown competitiveness profiles, Grounderitiveness of Korea for anking as a U.S. import surice sensitivity: Can production in the countries the countracted in the short Does the country have sig markets besides the Unit Could exports from the countries are supported in the countries are supported in the short could exports from the countries are supported in the short could exports from the countries are supported in the short could export from the countries are supported in the short could export from the countries are supported in the short could export from the countries are supported in the short could export from the countries are supported in the short could export from the countries are supported in the short countries are supported	ity for dutexceeding to the table of t	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s it products lily expanded or sport	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits p four GSP suppl 2 Yes X	2/ 08.72 under the iers in 1986.
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of our control of the countries shown of the countries shown of the countries o	ity for dutexceeding to the table of t	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products fily expanded or port eadily eport markets?	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits p four GSP suppl 2 Yes X Yes X	2/ 08.72 under the . iers in 1986. No No
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of of the countries of the countries shown of the countries of	ity for dutexceeding to the table of the table of the table of the table of	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products f ily expanded or port eadily cort markets?	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits p four GSP suppl 2 Yes X Yes X	2/ 08.72 under the . iers in 1986. No No
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of of the countries of the countries shown of the countries shown of the countries of the countr	ity for dutexceeding to the table of the table of the table of the table of	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products f ily expanded or port eadily port markets? High among this	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits up four GSP suppl 2 2	2/ 08.72 under the iers in 1986. No No No
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of of Less than 0.5 percent. ote.—The countries shown ompetitiveness profiles, Grompetitiveness of Korea for anking as a U.S. import surice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have signarkets besides the Unit Could exports from the conredistributed among its Price sensitivity of important of the U.S. purchaser ea and other suppliers?	ity for dut exceeding to the table of tab	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products f ily expanded or adily port markets? High among this	2 for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits p four GSP suppl 2 Yes _XYes _XModerate	2/ 08.72 under the . iers in 1986. No No No No No
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of of Less than 0.5 percent. ote.—The countries shown ompetitiveness profiles, Grompetitiveness of Korea for anking as a U.S. import surice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have signarkets besides the Unice Could exports from the contracted among its Price sensitivity of important the U.S. purchaser each in the short of the unit t	ity for dut exceeding to the table of tab	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s t products f ily expanded or adily port markets? High among this	2 for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits p four GSP suppl 2 Yes _XYes _XModerate	2/ 08.72 under the . iers in 1986. No No No No No
Mexico / Taiwan lost its eligibilish in 1987 as a result of out of the countries of the countries shown competitiveness profiles, Groupetitiveness of Korea for the countries and the countries shown in the countries sensitivity: Can production in the countries contracted in the short Does the country have signear ways and the countries of	ity for dutexceeding to the table of tabl	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s tt products it products ii) expanded or port headily port markets?	2 for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits p four GSP suppl 2 Yes _XYes _XModerateYes _XModerate	2/ 08.72 under the iers in 1986. No No No No X Low
Mexico	ity for dutexceeding to the table of the table of the table of the table of	y-free treatment he lower competi e include the to s it products if with the second or	for TSUS item 4 tive-need limits p four GSP suppl 2 Yes X Yes X Yes X Moderate We Equivaler ve Equivaler	2/ 08.72 under the iers in 1986. No No No X Low nt BelowX

TSUS item No. 408.72—Con.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers—Con.

Competitiveness of Korea for all digest products-Con.

Quality compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent	X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent	X Below
Comment: The petitioner states that the Korean ABS resin industry is strapidly, and has proven its ability to compete in the United States and markets. The petitioner further states that disproportionately large ABS resin imports from Korea have been grades directed to the pipe, shon—automotive molding markets. These are well—established ABS resin technology is known, technical service requirements are small, and, the is more homogeneous and, therefore, tends to be more price sensitve the grades suitable for use in automotive and business machine application.	rong and growing d third-country percentages of eet, and markets where e ABS resin used an ABS resin

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Borg-Warner Chemicals, Inc., of Parkersburg, West Virginia, and Dow Chemical U.S.A., of Midland, Michigan, are U.S. producers and sellers of ABS resin covered under TSUS item 408.72.

<u>Support</u>.—The petitioners believe that a graduation of Korea from GSP eligibility on ABS is warranted because, as demonstrated by the Trade Policy Staff Committee's (TPSC) action in the General Review, Korea is an internationally competitive producer of ABS. 1/The Korean ABS industry is strong and growing rapidly, and has proven its ability to compete in the U.S. and third-country market. Also Korean ABS resin reportedly has been a key factor in the erosion of ABS resin prices in certain U.S. markets. For these reasons, the petitioners believes that Korean ABS resin does not require GSP-free treatment to succeed in the U.S. market.

1/ In a statement filed in November 1985 pursuant to the TPSC's General Review of the GSP program, Borg-Warner Chemicals requested that the President seek liberalizations of certain beneficiary countries' import regimes (particularly Korea's) with respect to ABS resins and, absent attainment of such liberalizations, determine that such beneficiaries are sufficiently competitive suppliers of ABS so as to warrant the application of the program's lower competitive need limits. (Statement of Borg-Warner Chemicals, Inc., to the GSP Subcommittee of the Trade Policy Staff Committee, November 15, 1985.) As a result of the General Review, both Korea and Taiwan were found to be sufficiently competitive suppliers of ABS resins and were subjected to the lower competitive-need-limits. For additional information, see the public version of Petition for the Graduation of Korea from GSP Eligibility for Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene (ABS) Copolymers, HS. 3903.00.00, filed on June 1, 1987 by St. Maxens and Company, Washington DC before the Trade Policy Staff Committee, on behalf of the petitioners.

TSUS item No. 408.72—Con.

Opposition.—Lucky Ltd. (Lucky), a Korean manufacturer of ABS resin, opposes the graduation of Korea from GSP eligibility on ABS resin. Lucky states that imports of ABS resin from Korea are not adversely affecting ABS producers in the United States. Sales by domestic producers are reported to be at extremely high levels and prices have increased significantly in 1987. U.S. producers of ABS resin have been, and continue to be, the dominant suppliers to the U.S. market, and, as such, have grown with the growth of that market during the 1980's.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

Product: Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS)

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986		
• •	Quantity (pounds)					
aiwan	441	4,881,147	20,805,054	32,229,856		
epublic of Korea	43,991	6,671,455	8,986,440	14,581,378		
razil		4,456,519	8,138,287	5,958,14		
apan	828,849	5,281,899	4,708,999	3,538,739		
etherlands	441	1,730,059	3,626,360	3,025,199		
ederal Republic of Germany	52,063	1,265,525	2,469,276	3,013,60		
anada	840,027	6,512,758	4,646,932	2,478,389		
exico	73,115	568,271	1,325,038	1,593,03		
elgium	1,004	360,591	1,067,822	842,27		
taly	•	·	475,642	220,75		
eoples Republic of China			•	104,84		
reland			53,173	96,84		
nited Kingdom	71,414	2,470,736	159,526	87,00		
enya	•	• •		30,20		
11 other total	58,609	5,428,190	4,611,277	16,25		
Total	1,969,954	39,627,150	61,073,826	67,816,52		
GSP total	117,547	16,579,427	39,450,800	54,399,23		
		Per	cent			
aiwan	0.0	12.3	34.1	47.5		
epublic of Korea	2.2	16.8	14.7	21.5		
razil	0.0	11.2	13.3	8.8		
apan	42.1	13.3	7.7	5.2		
ederal Republic of Germany	0.0	4.4	5.9	4.5		
etherlands	2.6	3.2	4.0	4.4		
anada	42.6	16.4	7.6	3.7		
exico	3.7	1.4	2.2	2.3		
elgium	0.1	0.9	1.7	1.2		
taly	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3		
eoples Republic of China	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2		
reland	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		
nited Kingdom	3.6	6.2	0.3	0.1		
All other total	3.0	13.7	7.6	0.0		
Tota1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
GSP total	6.0	41.8	64.6	80.2		

Product: Acrylonitrile_butadiene_styrene (ABS)

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

ource	1981	1983	1985	1986	
	Value (1,000 dollars)				
aiwan	•	3,211	11,578	16,892	
epublic of Korea	26	3,748	4,634	7,528	
razil		2,449	5,247	3,667	
apan	664	4,057	3,227	3,327	
deral Republic of Germany	44	582	1,864	2,618	
therlands		1,216	2,382	2,449	
nada	519	4,238	3,476	1,786	
xico	52	397	837	697	
lgium	1	239	529	513	
aly			238	126	
eland			46	110	
ited Kingdom	61	1,261	55	61	
oples Republic of China		·		59	
nya				22	
other total	55	3,103	2,631	16	
Total	1,425	24,504	36,750	39,877	
GSP total	78	9,807	22,411	28,816	
		P	ercent		
aiwan	0.0	13.1	31.5	42.4	
epublic of Korea	1.9	15.3	12.6	18.9	
azil	0.0	10.0	14.3	9.2	
pan	46.6	16.6	8.8	8.3	
deral Republic of Germany	3.1	2.4	5.1	6.6	
therlands	0.0	5.0	6.5	6.1	
nada	36.5	17.3	9.5	4.5	
xico	3.7	1.6	2.3	1.7	
lgium	0.1	1.0	1.4	1.3	
aly	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.3	
e land	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	
ited Kingdom	4.3	5.1	0.2	0.2	
oples Republic of China	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
opres republic of diffia	3.9	12.7	7.2	0.0	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
IVEI	100.0	100.0	61.0	72.3	

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

SCH B No. 44422010-Con.

Product: Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS)

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

ource	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(Pounds)	
eoples Republic of China	30,142,925	18,942,013	39,580,837	37,637,46
aiwan	12,760,505	10,739,775	2,569,883	14,445,81
ong Kong	15,205,767	9,708,074	11,569,520	13, 139,95
exico	3,053,890	3,949,201	11,409,299	11,989,65
nnada	10,738,441	15,766,202	7,557,146	7,139,30
therlands	473,830	559,804	1,536,131	4,043,99
ingapore	383,257	823,474	1,987,247	3,956,09
cuador	3,564,052	3,534,979	4,290,855	3,892,35
enezuela	1,563,190	730,846	1,925,519	3,444,28
epublic of Korea	673,408	3,204,742	3,482,989	2,191,6
pan	430,730	376,752	1,544,170	1,251,4
cos I	4,252,614	191,826	495,483	775,8
gentina	2,167,864	1,058,743	505,212	652,7
lgium	209,911	721,545	2,425,335	583,9
1 other total	10,730,348	8,631,617	5,245,745	3,375,9
Total	96,350,732	78,939,593	96, 125, 371	108,530,4
GSP total	52,011,107	41,657,559	42,950,587	57,253,0
			cent	
eoples Republic of China	31.3	24.0	41.2	34.7
aiwan	13.2	13.6	2.7	13.3
ong Kong	15.8	12.3	12.0	12.1
xico	3.2	5.0	11.9	11.0
ınada	11.1	20.0	7.9	6.6
ngapore	0.5	0.7	1.6	3.7
therlands	0.4	1.0	2.1	3.6
cuador	3.7	4.5	4.5	3.6
enezuela	1.6	0.9	2.0	3.2
epublic of Korea	0.7	4.1	3.6	2.0
pan	0.4	0.5	1.6	1.2
cos [4.4	0.2	0.5	0.7
rgentina	2.2	1.3	0.5	0.6
elgium	0.2	0.9	2.5	3.1
ll other total	11.1	10.9	5.5	3.1
		100.0	100.0	100.0
Tota1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

SCH B No. 44422010-Con.

Product: Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS)

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

rce	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1	,000 dollars)	
ples Republic of China	17,220	10,065	23,976	18,242
wan	11,146	6,480	10,748	12, 171
g Kong	7,876	6,836	1,893	8,110
ico	1,554	2,846	7,184	7,812
ada	7,325	10,586	5,710	4,816
papore	209	642	2,086	3,294
erlands	394	549	1,272	2,702
ador	2,410	2,447	2,754	2,264
zuela	1,165	589	1,462	2,193
ublic of Korea	329	2,539	4,072	2,185
an	263	401	1,180	886
os I	3,174	141	362	582
ntina	1,534	762	352	557
mbia	1,617	1,032	1,021	449
other total	7,004	4,212	4,423	2,310
Total	63,228	50,134	68,502	68,570
SSP total	35,991	27,367	34,332	41,009
		P	ercent	
es Republic of China	27.2	20.1	35.0	26.6
ian	17.6	12.9	15.7	17.7
Kong	12.5	13.6	2.8	11.8
0	2.5	5.7	10.5	11.4
ā	11.6	21.1	8.3	7.0
oore	0.3	1.3	3.0	4.8
rlands	0.6	1.1	1.9	3.9
or	3.8	4.9	4.0	3.3
uela	1.8	1.2	2.1	3.2
lic of Korea	0.5	5.1	5.9	3.2
	0.4	0.8	1.7	1.3
1	5.0	0.3	0.5	0.8
itina	2.4	1.5	0.5	0.8
mbia	2.6	2.1	1.5	0.7
other total	11.1	8.4	6.5	3.4
otal	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TSUSA item No. 408.72—Con.

U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars; unit value in dollars per pound

ource	1981	1983	1985	1986
		First un	it quantity:	
outy-free items under GSP				
provisions:				
Argentina	0	0	156	0
Brazil	Ŏ	4,282	8,138	5,838
Hong Kong	Ŏ	0	40	0,000
Israel	Ŏ	Ŏ	*0	0
Kenya	Ŏ	Ö	Ö	. 30
South Korea	44	4,231	8,986	14,581
Mexico	0	376	1,086	1,587
Singapore	0	2	0	0
Taiwan	0	4,844	20,189	32,108
World	44	13,735	38,596	54,144
		Cust	oms value	
uty-free items under GSP provisions:				
Argentina	0	0	94	. 0
Brazil	0	2,336	5,248	3,580
Hong Kong	0	0	17	0
Israel	0	0	1	0
Kenya	0	0	0	23
South Korea	26	2,369	4,635	7,529
Mexico	0	215	611	691
Singapore	0	1	0	0
Taiwan	0	3, 191	11,240	16,847
World	26	8,112	21,846	28,670

TSUSA item No. 408.72—Con.

U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986—Con.

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars; unit value in dollars per pound)

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		. Un	it value	
uty-free items under				
GSP provisions:				
Argentina	. 0	0	0.61	0
Brazil	. 0	0.55	0.64	0.61
Hong Kong	. 0	0	0.43	0
Israel		0	3.20	0
Kenya	. 0	0	0	0.75
South Korea		0.56	0.52	0.52
Mexico		0.57	0.56	0.44
Singapore	. 0	0.68	0	0
Taiwan		0.66	0.56	0.52
World		0.59	0.57	0.53

Note: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

08/12/87

Annual Review of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal source, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986 Flow: Imports for consumption TSUSA commodity: 40872--Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styren

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars;

Time period:		•	T	
Γy pe ι	1961	1983 ı	1965 1	1986
Cty subcode		1		
Partner				
First unit of quantity	1		8	
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:				
Argentina	0 ,	0 1	156	. 0
Brazil	0 ,	4,282 :	8,138	5.838
Hong Kong	0 1	0 1	40	0
Israel	0 1	0 1	0 1	Ŏ
Kenya	0 ,	0 :	0 1	30
Korea, South	44 1	4,231	8,986 :	14,581
Mexico	0 :	376 1	1,086	1,587
Singapore	0 1	2 .	0	0
Taiwan	0 :	4,844	20,189	32,108
Horld	44 1	13,735	38,5%	54,144
Customs value :			1	
Duty-free items under QSP provisions:				
Argentina	0 1	0 1	94 i	0
Brazil	0 1	2,336 1	5,248 1	3,580
Nong Kong	0 1	0 :	17	0
Israel	. 0 .	0 1	1 .	0
Kenya	0 1	0 :	0 ,	23
Korea, South	26 1	2,369 1	4,635	7,529
Mexico	0 1	215 .	611	691
Singapore	0 1	1 .	0 1	0
Taiwan	0 1	3,191 1	11,240	16.847
Morld	26 1	8,112	21,846	28,670
Unit value :		1	1	20,010
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:	•	•		
Argentina	0 i	0 i	0.61	0
Brazil	Ō	0.55	0.64	0.61
Hong Kong	0 1	0 1	0.43	0
Israel	0 1	. 0 1	3.20	Ō
Kenya	0 1	0 1	0 1	0.75
Korea, South	0.60	0.56 ı	0.52	0.52
Hexico	0 1	0.57	0.56	0.44
Singapore	0 1	0.68	0 ;	0
Taiwan	0 1	0.66	0.56	0.52
Horld	0.60	0.59	0.57	0.53

Note: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Certain Inorganic Oxides, Hydroxides, and Peroxides

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 3.7% ad valorem
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP:
Removal from GSP: -
Country graduation: X (Brazil)
Competitive-need-limit waiver <u>None</u>
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: Because TSUSA item 423.0050 is a basket category which includes
many disparate chemical products, it is impossible in a limited listing to describe the
full range of these products. Probably the most commercially important chemical that i
included in TSUSA 423.0050 is carbon dioxide which is used principally in food freezing
and in the carbonation of beverages. Columbium oxide, the subject of the accompanying
petition, is used to produce extremely pure ferrocolumbium, known as vacuum grade
ferrocolumbium, and columbium metal, and alloys in aerospace applications, and in the

production of super-conducting magnets. Tantalum oxide which is also imported from Brazil is principally used as a starting material in the production of tantalum carbide used to make metal cutting tools and related applications. Tantalum oxide is also used

in the manufacture of optical glass and in certain proprietary applications.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	<u>1</u> /	1/	1/	100	1/
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	1/	<u>ī</u> /	<u>ī</u> /	**]	<u>1</u> /
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	<u>1</u> /	1/	1/	**350,000	1/
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	2/	2/	2/	2/	2/
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	12,881	15,963	21,399	23,989	86
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	1/	1/ .	<u>1</u> /	**350,000	1/
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	ī	Ĭ/	1/	<u>3</u> / **7	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	1/	1/	<u>1</u> /	1/	-

^{1/} Not available.

 $[\]frac{2}{2}$ / Export data that correspond to the products covered under TSUSA item 423.0050 are not available.

^{3/} About 60 percent of U.S. consumption of columbium oxide was supplied by imports.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item 2825.90.50.

TSUSA item No. 423.0050

Comment: Because of the extremely wide range of disparate products that are covered under TSUSA item 423.0050, it is impossible to present a unified market profile for the items that are under this number. Columbium oxide, the subject of the accompanying petition and tantalum oxide, however, are essentially the only chemicals that would be affected by graduating GSP treatment for imports of articles under TSUSA Item 423.0050 from Brazil. Columbium oxide is currently produced by three domestic producers located in Oregon, Pennsylvania, and Illinois. A fourth domestic columbium oxide producer located in Missouri, has recently shut down plant operations partly because of high costs that the producer incurred as a result of meeting environmental regulations and partly because of competition from Brazilian imports. This plant may reopen in late 1987.

Most columbium oxide is produced in the United States and Western Europe as a byproduct of tantalum production. In these production processes, the columbium oxide is separated out from the primary product which is a tantalum chemical, frequently a tantalum fluoride or tantalum oxide. Most U.S. producers consider the columbium oxide to be a waste product. These producers attempt to sell the columbium oxide only to cut their losses on disposal of this product. In the process used by the petitioner and the Brazilian producers which is primarily for the recovery of columbium oxide, columbium oxide is not produced as a byproduct and tantalum and columbium are not therefore separated out. The petitioner produces columbium oxide from ferrocolumbium which is mostly imported from Brazil and is produced in Brazil from the ore concentrate pyrochlore. The Brazilian producer does not permit the export of pyrochlore on the ground that the material is radioactive; however, some U.S. producers claim that the Brazilian producer is refusing to export pyrochlore to stifle competition. In contrast to the petitioner, the columbium oxide produced by the other domestic producers of columbium oxide is not primarily derived from raw materials supplied by Brazil.

U.S. consumption of columbium oxide has been estimated to range between 1.5 and 3 million pounds per year. Although U.S. consumption of columbium oxide appears to be growing at an average rate of about 3-5 percent per year, the market is extremely cyclic. In 1986, about [***] of columbium oxide was supplied to the U.S. market by domestic producers as compared with [***] which was imported from Brazil and [***] which was imported from West Germany.

Although columbium oxide from sources other than Brazil accounted for almost 50 percent of U.S. consumption in 1986, clearly, Brazil is the price leader in the U.S. market. Principally, because of Brazilian imports, the average market price for columbium oxide declined from a high of \$27 per pound in 1979 to a little more than \$6 per pound in 1987.

According to industry sources, tantalum oxide is produced by two U.S. firms and is also imported from Brazil, West Germany, and Australia. U.S. imports of tantalum oxide from Brazil (about *** in 1986) are much smaller than U.S. imports of columbium oxide from that country and these imports are believed to have had only a limited impact on the domestic industry and on the U.S. markets for tantalum products.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		Quantity (th	nousands of pound	s)
Total	132,914	100	-	1/
Tota1	1,590	1	100	1/
Brazil	1,307	1	82	<u>ī</u> /
Mexico	208	<u>2</u> /	13	<u>1</u> /
Hong Kong	47	2/	3	1/
		Val	ue (thousands of	dollars
TotalImports from GSP countries:	23,989	100	-	7
Total	7,945	33	100	2
Brazil	7,560	32	95	2
Mexico	209	1	3	2/
Hong Kong	65	2/	. 1	<u>2</u> / <u>2</u> /

^{1/} Not available.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

<u>Competitiveness of Brazil for all digest products</u> 1/
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes NoX Price sensitivity of import supply High ModerateX Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers?
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate X Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products

^{2/} Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Because tantalum oxide imports from Brazil are small relative to imports of columbia oxide,3 this profile confines itself to an analysis of the effect of columbia oxide imports from Brazil.
2/ In terms of value.

TSUSA item No. 423.0050

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers—Con.

Comment: Because Brazil has the largest and richest reserves of columbium ore in the world (almost 80 percent of the world's supply) and has in recent years added major refining capacity for columbium products, no other producing country can even remotely compete with Brazil in being able to supply low-cost columbium raw materials and key columbium-based minerals and chemicals to the U.S. and other world markets. Brazilian production capacity for columbium oxide is already enough to meet world demand and additional production capacity may be installed. Brazilian imports to the United States, therefore, set U.S. prices for columbium oxide. Although columbium oxide produced in Brazil cannot be used in certain specialized markets because of special purity requirements, at least 90 percent of the columbium oxide consumed in the United States can use the Brazilian material. About [***] percent of the columbium oxide produced in Brazil is exported to the United States either as columbium oxide or products made from columbium oxide (very little columbium oxide is consumed in Brazil); most of the remainder goes to the U.S.S.R., Western Europe, and Japan. Because all but about [***] percent of the columbium oxide exported from Brazil is shipped to the United States and because end-use demand is relatively price insensitive, columbium oxide exports cannot be redistributed among other foreign export markets should Brazilian exports to the United States cease or decline sharply.

TSUSA item No. 423.0050

V. Position of interested parties

Petitioner.—According to the petitioner, Teledyne Industries, the Brazilian producer of columbium oxide and other columbium products who is dominant in the U.S. and world export markets, does not need or qualify for duty-free treatment under the GSP. According to the petitioner, because of the production cost advantages that the Brazilian producer enjoys, U.S. columbium oxide producers who are unable to compete have been forced to lay off workers and to lose an ever-growing portion of their market share to the Brazilian producer. According to the petitioner, these problems will be exacerbated in the next few years as the Brazilian producer expands its processing capabilities, thus posing a direct threat to the domestic columbium processing industry and to the national security. To ensure that U.S. processors of columbium minerals do not obtain basic raw materials, the petitioner claims that the Brazilian producer is refusing to export the mineral pyrochlore to the United States using the pretext that the material is radioactive. Ultimately, whatever remains of the domestic columbium processing industry may be acquired by the Brazilian producer, unless steps are taken to prevent further erosion of the domestic columbium processing industry.

<u>Support</u>.—In addition to the petitioner, two other U.S. producers support the motion to deny Brazil duty-free treatment for columbium oxide under the GSP. Although these producers have produced columbium oxide by a radically different process than the method used by the petitioner, these other producers concur with the petitioner that the domestic columbium processing industry could ultimately disappear in the face of intense competition from the Brazilian producer unless steps are taken to control the unchecked expansion of the Brazilian producer in the U.S. market.

Opposition. 1/—According to the U.S. representative of the Brazilian importer who readily concedes that Brazil is the price leader for columbium oxide and other columbium-based products, the low prices charged by Brazil in the U.S. market is a result of the natural advantages that Brazil enjoys in having the world's richest deposits of columbium- containing ores. Because of these lower costs, U.S. consumers of columbium products are able to obtain high-quality columbium metal and alloys at a reasonable price; were Brazilian columbium minerals and chemicals not available, U.S. consumers of columbium products in high-tech applications such as in jet engines would either have to look for substitutes or prices for these high-tech products would have to be raised. Accordingly, columbium oxide imported from Brazil does not represent a threat to the U.S. economy but, on the contrary, these imports are a stable source of inexpensive raw materials and downstream products to the U.S. aerospace and other high-tech industries. Moreover, the importer claims that the columbium oxide that is imported to the United States from Brazil does not compete with the colombium oxide produced by the domestic industry which is virtually all consumed internally. According to the importer, the petitioner who is the dominant supplier of columbium alloys and vacuum-grade ferrocolumbium to the United States (Brazilian exports of these products to the United States are either small or nil) is seeking to raise the cost and price of columbium oxide from Brazil so that potential competitors would find it more difficult to market upgraded columbium products in competition with the petitioner.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TS number: 4230050

Product: Certain inorganic oxides, hydroxides, and peroxides

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantit	v (pounds)	
Canada1	76,305,509	254,054,510	172,929,374	125,718,091
J King	164,468	716,435	3,729,271	2,711,398
Brazil	158,730	371,841	725,074	1,306,896
Jap an	213,907	375,402	463,277	903,127
R Germ	359,529	334,515	1,095,153	697,543
elgium	245,974	333,063	506,627	477,584
witzld	1,343	1,501	52,769	264,827
exico	6,614	19,233,965	211,772	208,169
ustria	562,682	67,590	79,176	202,880
rance	28,032	14,490	26,118	125,081
ungary	8,956	25,001	18,500	124,180
g Kong		2,205	37,478	46,623
ethlds	40,013	18,912	4,887	44,10
abon				39,931
11 other total	3,501,232	100.453	495,622	43,52
Total1	1,596,989	275,649,883	180,375,098	132,913,97
GSP total	3,422,588	19,609,113	1,030,412	1,589,500
-		Percent		
anada	97.1	92.2	95.9	94.6
King	0.1	0.3	2.1	2.0
raz11	0.1	0.1	0.4	1.0
apan	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.7
R Germ	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.5
elgium	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4
witzld	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
ex1 co	0.0	7.0	0.1	0.2
ustria	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
ranca	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
ungary	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
g Kong	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ethlds	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11 other total	1.9	0.0	0.3	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

TS number: 4230050

Product: Certain inorganic oxides, hydroxides, and peroxides

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Value (1,000 dol)	lars)	
Brazil	1,271	1,757	4,736	7,560
FR Germ	5,188	4,260	3,459	5,378
Canada	3,394	5,107	4,933	4,091
U King	223	638	2,824	2,191
Japan	455	931	1,394	1,877
Belgium	482	300	723	546
Switzld	228	180	215	487
lorway	929	200	524	482
lustria	349	166	192	332
lexi co	8	763	182	209
ranca	56	856	177	188
China P	-	422	651	177
lungary	16	40	22	161
da Kong	• •	70	26	65
11 other total	279	266	1,335	237
			11994	
Total	12.881	15.963	21,399	23,989
GSP total	1.308	2,594	4,996	7,945
		Percent		
Brazil	9.9	11.0	22.1	31.5
FR Germ	40.3	26.7	16.2	22.6
anada	26.3	32.0	23.1	17.1
King	1.7	4.0	13.2	9.1
Japan	3.5	5.8	6.5	7.8
elgium	3.7	1.9	3.4	2.3
witzld	1.8	1.1	1.0	2.0
iorway	7.2	1.3	2.5	2.0
lustria	2.7	1.0	0.9	1.4
	0.1	4.8	0.9	0.9
lex1 co			• • •	,
rance	0.4	5.4	0.8	0.8
hina P	0.0	2.6	3.0	0.7
lungary	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.7
ig Kong	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.3
111 other total	2.2	1.7	6.2	1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	10.2	16.3	23.3	33.1

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

TSUSA item No. 423.0050

Annual Review of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal source, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986 Flow: Imports for consumption

TSUSA commodity: 4230050—Certain Inorganic Oxides, Hydroxides, and Peroxides

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars; unit value in dollars per pound)

Type Time period					
Cty subcode					
Partner	1981	1983	1985	1986	
First unit quantity:					
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:					
Brazil	159	370	725	1,241	
Israel	0	0	0	0	
Mexico	7	19,234	212	208	
Taiwan	0	1	19	20	
World	165	19,605	955	1,469	
Customs value		•	•	•	
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:				•	
Brazil	1.271	1,689	4,734	7,210	
Israel	0	0	6	0	
Mexico	8	764	182	210	
Taiwan	0	2	18	23	
World	1.280	2,455	4,940	7,443	
Jnit value	•		•	·	
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:					
Brazil	8.01	4.57	6.53	5.81	
Israel	0	0	560.00	0	
Mexico	1.24	0.04	0.86	1.01	
Taiwan	0	1.97	0.99	1.16	
World	7.74	0.13	5.17	5.07	

Note: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Black Pepper Oleoresin

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 6% ad val.

Type of action proposed (check one): Addition of GSP:
Removal from GSP: X
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: Black pepper oleoresin is a material consisting of volatile
and fixed oils in combination with other plant substances extracted from the source by
using organic solvents. Oleoresins are used by food processing companies in place of
spices because of the ease of handling for the industrial user and other charateristics
such as longer self-life. Oleoresins account for a very small share of the cost of
producing processed foods, the market that absorbs almost all oleoresin production.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms) 2/	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3	3	0
Total U.S. employment (employees)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	10	10	0
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	3/	3/	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	-
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	4/	4/	4/	4/	<u>.</u>
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	1,860	1,809	3, 137	4,681	152
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	3/	3/	3/	3/	•
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	3/	3/	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3/	<u>3</u> /	- .

Comment: U.S. producers of oleoresins do not produce oleoresin black pepper as a major

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for TSUS item No. 450.2015.

^{2/} The production of black pepper oleoresin is a batch process that is not performed on an ongoing basis at 2 of the 3 domestic producers' facilities. As such, there is only one domestic producer, the petitioner, competing continuously on a commercial scale in the domestic market. 3/ Not available.

^{4/} Historical data indicate that annual exports of black pepper oleoresin range from a value of about \$300,000 to \$600,00.

Comments--Cont.

product line. Additionally, the trend in production of all oleoresins has been to relocate production facilities more closely to the source of the spice. Several U.S. firms, including the petitioner, have established foreign subsidiaries (the petitioner's foreign subsidiary has since been nationalized by the host country) to produce oleoresins. The oleoresins that have been imported have, in somes cases, been reported to be of superior quality to the domestic product. Purchases from foreign sources, however, do not allow for the degree of service possibly available from a domestic source. It is believed that imported oleoresins account for at least half of the oleoresins consumed domestically.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		Quant	city (pounds)	
Total	397, 192	100	-	N
Total	358,420	90	100	1/
India	190,735	48	53	1/
Singapore	167,685	42	47	1/
		Val	ue (thousands)	
Total	\$4,682	100	-	1/
Total	4,310	92	100	1/
India	2,236	48	52	1/
Singapore	2,074	44	48	ī

Note.—The countries shown in the table include the top two GSP suppliers in 1986.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of India for all digest products				
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 1		_		
Price sensitivity:			• '	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or				
contracted in the short term?	Yes		No	X
Does the country have significant export				
markets besides the United States?	Yes	<u>X</u>	No	

1/ Not available.

TSUSA item No. 450.2015---Con.

Competitiveness of India for all digest products——Con.
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes No X
Price sensitivity of import supply High ModerateX Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _X Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: Some U.S. customers have, in the past, claimed that the Indian product had
different flavor characteristics and that it was not interchangeable with the U.S.
product.
Competitiveness of Singapore for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 2
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes No X
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? YesNo χ
Price sensitivity of import supply High ModerateX Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers?
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _X Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below _X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: It is believed that the Singapore oleoresin is closer in flavor
characteristics to other foreign-produced oleoresins than to the U.S. product.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The petitioner, Kalsec, Inc. of Kalamozoo, MI, maintains that the Indian producers are either selling their product in the U.S. market at a price level below their own cost, or are receiving a subsidy of some type from their Government. Therefore, they believe India, which according to the petition has a labor surplus, should not be eligible for GSP-status in relation to a capital-intensive product such as oleoresins.

Opposition.—The opposition, the Spices Board, located in Cochin, India, maintains that the removal of black pepper oleoresin from the GSP is not justified as there has been no negative effect on the petitioner's interests (or of any other U.S. producer of oleoresins). The Spices Board also maintains that the current agricultural problems being experienced in India associated with a great drought would be compounded by the removal of oleoresin black pepper from the GSP. Subsequent to the hearing, a brief was filed by McCormick & Co., an importer of black pepper oleoresin and other spice oleoresins, in opposition to the removal of black pepper oleoresin from GSP-eligibility. This brief reiterated the statements made earlier by the Spices Board of India in opposition to the original petition.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

Product: Black pepper oleoresin

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

source	1981	1983	1985	1986		
	Quantity (pounds)					
India	110,920	121,487	194,704	190,735		
Singapr	99,124	138,893	134,083	167,685		
anada		9,840	13,118	21,713		
pain		1,210	5,319	12,650		
thiop			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,409		
ethlds			1,124			
King	4,400	352				
lg Kong	10,229					
lalaysa	8,056	•				
11 other total						
Total	232,729	271,782	348,348	397,19		
GSP total	228,329	260,380	328.787	358,42		
				•		
		Percent				
India	47.7	44.7	55.9	48.0		
Singapr	42.6	51.1	38.5	42.2		
anada	0.0	3.6	3.8	5.5		
Spain	0.0	0.4	1.5	3.2		
thiop	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1		
iethlds	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0		
J King	1.9	0.1	0.0	0.0		
ig Kong	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Malay sa	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		
All other total	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
GSP total	98.1	95.8	94.4	90.2		

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

Product: Black pepper oleoresin

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986		
· ·	Value (1,000 dollars)					
`						
India	863	805	1,753	2,236		
Singapore	830	927	1,215	2,074		
Canada		65	122	297		
Ethiopia				66		
Spain		2	23	8		
Jetherlands			25			
Jnited Kingdom	20	10				
Hong Kong	92					
Malaysia	56					
All other total						
Total	1,861	1,810	3,138	4,682		
GSP total	1,841	1,733	2,968	4,310		
	(Percent)					
India	46.4	44.5	55.9	47.8		
Singapore	44.6	51.2	38.7	44.3		
Canada	0.0	3.6	3.9	6.4		
Ethiopia	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4		
Spain	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.2		
Wetherlands	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0		
Jnited Kingdom	1.1	0.6	0.0	0.0		
long Kong	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Malaysia	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
GSP total	98.9	95 . 8 ⁻	94.6	92.1		

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce

08/12/87

Annual Review of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal source, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986 Flow: Imports for consumption TSUSA commodity: 4502015--Black pepper

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars;

unit value in dollars per pound)							
Time period:	1		1				
:Type :	1981 ı	1983 :	1985	1986			
: Cty subcode :	1						
Partner	1	1	•				
First unit of quantity :							
Duty-free items under GSP :	1	.8					
provisions i	1						
Hong Kong	10 :	0 :	0 ,	0			
India	109 :	119 :	193 ı	191			
Malaysia	8 :	O 1	0 1	0			
Singapore	99 1	139 :	134 1	168			
World	226 :	25 8 ı	327 ı	358			
Customs value		1					
Duty-free items under GSP							
provisions :			• 1.				
Hong Kong	92 1	O 1	0 1	0			
India	849 :	78 8 :	1,740 :	2,233			
Malaysia	56 :	O t	0 1	0			
Singapore	830 :	927 ı	1,215 :	2,074			
Horld	1,826 :	1,715 1	2,955	4,307			
Unit value			•				
Duty-free items under GSP	t	·					
provisions :	1	1		•			
Hong Kong	8.97	0 :	0 .	0			
India	7.79 1	6.62 1	9.00	11.72			
Malaysia	6.95	0 1	0 . :	0			
Singapore	8.37	6.67 :	9.06	12.37			
ı Horld	8.07 t	6.65 ı	9.03 t	12.02			
1	•						

Note: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Pectin

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: <u>5% ad valorem</u>
Type of action proposed (check one):

Addition of GSP: X	and the second second
Removal from GSP:	
Country graduation:	
Competitive-need-limit waiver	
Non-eligible GSP countries: None	
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None	
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None	
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No	
Description and uses: Pectin is a yellowish-white powder which i	s a polysaccharide
based on polygalacturonic acids. It is commercially obtained b	y dilute-acid
extraction of citrus peels—lime peels are the major source of	Mexican pectin. Pectir
is used as a thickening, emulsifying, or gelling agent in jams,	jellies, and other
food products. Minor uses include cosmetics and pharmaceutical	•
component of dental adhesives and antidiarrhetics.	· · · · · ·

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	1	1	1	1	0
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	1,869	2,497	750	1,289	-31
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	12,810	8,791	14,086	15,779	23
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]

Comment: The sole U.S. producer is also a major importer (from developed countries). Its imports [***], reportedly come from affiliated companies in Denmark and West Germany. The domestic producer did not answer our questions about these imports but from its (and the Mexicans') general comments we infer [***]. Based on available information it appears that the domestic producer, adding its imports to its production, supplies about [***] of total U.S. consumption.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for this and closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 1302.20.00.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

sugar.

I tem	•	Percent of	Percent of GSP	_
	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumptio
		Quantity (th	nousands of pound	is)
Total	4,580	100	- .	[***]
Imports from GSP countries:				•
Total	607	13	100	[***]
Mexico	567	12	93	[***]
Israel	41	1 .		[***]
		Value (ti	housands of doll	ars)
	15,779	100	-	[***]
Imports from GSP countries:		10	100	C.L.
Total	1,615	10	100	[***]
Mexico	1,518	10	94	[***]
Israel	97	1	6	[***]
Ranki <mark>ng as a U.S. import</mark> supp	plier, 198	36	4	
contracted in the short	plier, 198 try be eas term?	sily expanded or		No
Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign	try be easterm?	sily expanded or	Yes <u>X</u>	
Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun- contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit	try be easterm? ificant exected States:	sily expanded or kport	Yes <u>X</u>	
Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun- contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou	try be easterm? ificant exect States; ntry be re	sily expanded or xport ?	Yes <u>X</u>	No
Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun- contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit	try be easterm? ificant executes intry be referred to the states in	sily expanded or xport ?	Yes <u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u>	No
Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun- contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou- redistributed among its	try be easterm? ificant execution ed States; ntry be reforeign ext	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets?	Yes <u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u>	No
Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun- contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou- redistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers?	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this	YesX	No No Low
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports support supports supports and production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the councedistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S.	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this	YesX	No No Low
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports support supports supports and production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the councedistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with:	try be easterm? ificant exed States ntry be re foreign ext supply. ily shift import des	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this	YesX	No No No derate _X Low _
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports support supports supports and production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the councedistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with:	try be easterm? ificant exed States ntry be re foreign ext supply. ily shift import des	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this	YesX	No No No derate _X Low _
Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun- contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou- redistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	try be easterm? ificant exed States ntry be re foreign ext supply. ily shift import des	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this	YesX	No No No derate _X Low _
Ranking as a U.S. import supports es an an an arrest series the Unit. Con production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit. Could exports from the councedistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this mand from Mexico. Abov	YesX	No No No BerateX Low tX Below x Below
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports sensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit. Could exports from the councedistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this mand from Mexico. Abov	YesX	No No No derateX _ Low ntX _ Below ntX _ Below ntX _ Below
Ranking as a U.S. import supports ensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit. Could exports from the couredistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products Other foreign products	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift import der	sily expanded or xport ? eadily xport markets? High among this Abov Abov	YesX	No No No derate _X Low _ nt _X Below nt _X Below nt _X Below nt _X Below
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports sensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit. Could exports from the councedistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this mand from Mexico. Abov Abov Abov Exports to United	YesX	No No No No derateX _ Low ntX _ Below ntX _ Below ntX _ Below ntX _ Below crease 50 percent
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports sensitivity: Can production in the counce contracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit. Could exports from the councedistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this mand from Mexico. Abov Abov Abov exports to United ports to the Unit	Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Moderate Yes X High Moderate Equivalence Equivalence Equivalence States will income the States are re-	No No No derateX _ Low ntX _ Below ntX _ Below ntX _ Below ntX _ Below crease 50 percent aw pectin which
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports sensitivity: Can production in the counce contracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit. Could exports from the councedistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift Mexican exican	sily expanded or xport eadily xport markets? High among this mand from Mexico. Abov Abov exports to United ports to the United ed States before	Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Moderate Yes X High Moderate Equivalence Equivalence Equivalence States will income the States are rait can be used.	No No No No derateX _ Low ntX _ Below ntX _ Below ntX _ Below ntX _ Below crease 50 percent aw pectin which Much of this
Ranking as a U.S. import support supports sensitivity: Can production in the counce contracted in the short. Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit. Could exports from the councedistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	try be easterm? ificant exed States: ntry be reforeign ext supply. ily shift Mexican executed the Unit	sily expanded or xport ? eadily xport markets? High among thisAbovAbovAbov exports to United ports to the Unit ed States before y the petitioner,	Yes X Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X X Moderate Yes X High Moderate Equivalence Equivalence Equivalence States will incompleted States are rait can be used. near Kansas Cit	No No No No No MerateX Low ntX Below ntX Below ntX Below crease 50 percent aw pectin which Much of this ty, which employs

TSUS item No. 455.04—Con.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers—Con.

Competitiveness of Mexico for all digest products—Con.

Comment—Con. High price sensitivity of (Mexican) import supply: In 1986, a typical year, Mexico produced about [***] million pounds of pectin and exported about [***] percent of this to the United States. The remaining [***] percent was sold into the Mexican, South American, and Danish markets. [***].

Price sensitivity of U.S. demand: Because pectin is a minor component of the food products it is added to, changes in its price would have little effect on its consumption. Regarding sensitivity of demand for pectin from a particular country—in this case Mexico—price sensitivity would be moderate to high if the Mexicans increased (or decreased) their price appreciably (which they have not done).

Price level: Import statistics show that Mexican pectin, at \$2.68 per pound, is priced [***] domestically produced pectin and imports from larger sources (e.g., pectin from Denmark, the largest source, valued at \$3.64 per pound, and the average unit value of all imports of \$3.45 per pound). However, after the addition of sugar and blending to achieve a uniform gel strength and other critical properties—which is done in the United States—the product that is sold to customers is reportedly a high-quality commodity that is [***] competing products.

Quality and competitiveness: The best raw material for pectin is wet lime peel, which gives the highest quality and lowest cost pectin as compared with other citrus and apple pomace sources. Mexico's "excellent citrus peel resources for the production of pectin, . . . are greater than those found in Florida. In fact, Mexico is the largest center for lime growing in the world. Pectin producers worldwide are constantly buying peel in the Mexican market." (Quote from statement of domestic producer.) Mexico exports a considerable fraction of its lime peel resources, to the extent that [***].

TSUS item No. 455.04—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The Mexican petitioner is expanding capacity and hopes to increase exports to the United States by about 50 percent if GSP status is granted. GSP status for pectin would have a favorable impact on the farm economy in the lime-growing Mexican district of Tecoman.

Note: The Mexican company which produces pectin is owned by Danish interests.

Support. -- None known.

Opposition.—The sole U.S. producer alleges that "the Mexican pectin industry is truly competitive and does not require preferential treatment in order to develop and expand." Mexico's advantages include high quality raw material (lime peel), low labor and energy costs, and environmental requirements which are less stringent than those in the United States (which caused the shutdown of an earlier U.S. producer's pectin plants because of waste treatment problems in California). The U.S. producer also refers to the fact that the Mexican pectin market, with an import duty of 30.6 percent ad valorem, is far less open than that of the United States.

Note: As discussed above, the U.S. producer of pectin is also a major importer of pectin from non-GSP-beneficiary sources.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TS number: 45504

Product: Pectin

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
_		Quantity	(1000 pound	ls)
Denmark	1,681,193	946,989	1,578,508	2,210,701
U King	831,122	720,006	828,653	1,026,983
FR Germ	52,549	30,591	1,064,244	630,240
Mexico	497,802	450,284	656,050	566,553
France	110	3,086	75,729	82,670
Isra el	94,985	38,736	43,017	40,841
Norway				15,538
Switzld	1,101	•	3,748	2,954
Spain	3,307	7,276	13,227	2,645
Canada				1,013
Dominca			23,810	
Greece			22,216	
Belgium	404 000	992		
Italy	181,879	271,054	81,774	
All other total	600			
Total	3,344,648	2,469,014	4,390,976	4,580,138
GSP total	592,787	489,020	722.877	607,394
· -		Percent		
Denmark	50.3	38.4	35.9	48.3
U King	24.8	29. 2	18.9	22.4
FR Germ	1.6	1.2	24.2	13.8
Mexico	14.9	18.2	14.9	12.4
France	0.0	0.1	1.7	1.8
Israel	2.8	1.6	1.0	0.9
Norway	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Switzid	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Spain	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1
Canada	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dominca	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
Greece	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
Belgium	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Italy	5.4	11.0	1.9	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	17.7			

TS number: 45504

Product: Pectin

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
,		/alue (1,000 dol	lars)	
Denmark	5,988	3,504	5,546	8,049
U King	3,495	2,351	2,455	3,275
FR Germ	188	88	3,611	2,480
1ex1 co	2,149	1,614	1,719	1,518
ranc e		10	222	284
srael	278	107	101	97
iorway				48
Switzld	3	•	15	11
pain	6	17	7	7
anada				.4
ominca			57	
Belgium		4		
Greece			45	
11 other total	698	1,091	304	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total	12,810	8,790	14,085	15,779
GSP total	2,428	1.722	1,878	1,615
		Percent		
Denmark	46.7	39.9	39.4	51.0
J King	27.3	26.7	17.4	20.8
R Germ	1.5	1.0	25.6	15.7
lexico	16.8	18.4	12.2	9.6
rance	0.0	0.1	1.6	1.8
srael	2.2	1.2	0.7	0.6
iorway	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
	0.0		0.1	0.3
witzld		0.0	0.1	0.0
pain	0.1	0.2		
anada	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ominca	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
selgium	. 0. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0
reece	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
All other total	5.5	12.4	2.2	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

SCH B no. 4550300

Product: PECTIN

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(1000 pounds	5)
Denmark	30,865	999	74,487	138,445
Canada	109,237	358,269	57,482	92,883
U.King				31,900
Italy	742			11,783
Cook Is	5,000	400		5,965
Japan	62,100	134,250	5,338	4,470
Greece	2,670	200	1,600	4,000
Peru	2,611	1,713	2,020	3,979
Ph11. R	4,922	2,615	3,800	3,907
Bahamas	47 704			2,935
Venez	14,791	2,590	5,532	2,500
Colomb	7,386	10,223	3,010	2,287
Trinid		-		1,664
Dom Rep		239		1,503
All other total	252,551	94,824	36,565	5,630
Total	492,875	606,322	189,834	313,851
GSP total	191,494	64,948	30.767	29,400
		Percent		
Denmark	6.3	0.2	39.2	44.1
Canada	22.2	59.1	30.3	29.6
U.King	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.2
Italy	0.2	0.0	0.0	3.8
Cook Is	1.0	0.1	0.0	1.9
Japan	12.6	22.1	2.8	1.4
Greece	0.5	0.0	0.8	1.3
Peru	0.5	0.3	1.1	1.3
Phil.R	1.0	0.4	2.0	1.2
Bahamas	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
Venez	3.0	0.4	2.9	0.8
Colomb	1.5	1.7	1.6	0.7
Trinid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Dom Rep	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
All other total	51.2	15.6	19.3	1.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	38.9	10.7	16.2	9.4

SCH B no. 4550300

Product: PECTIN

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	198
		/alue (1,000 dol]	(ars)	
		. •		
Canada	419	1,503	207	589
Denmark	97	3	226	429
J. K1ng				70
Peru	15	15	13	38
Phil. R	24	16	18	23
/enez	42	19	36	22
Japan	243	431	25	21
Italy	2			21
Colomb	31	· 41	16	17
Argent	. 5	17	2	7
Cook Is	19	1	•	6
lexi co	11	4	6	4
Greece	15	1	5	4
Baham as				4
All other total	940	440	189	27
Total	1,869	2,496	749	1,289
GSP total	618	295	137	140
		Percent		
Canada	22.4	60.2	27.7	45.7
Denmark	5.2	0.1	30.3	33.3
J. King	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4
Peru	0.8	0.6	1.8	3.0
hi 1. R	1.3	0.6	2.5	1.8
/enez	2.3	0.8	4.9	1.8
Japan	13.0	17.3	3.4	1.
Italy	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.6
Colomb	1.7	1.7	2.1	1.3
Argent	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.6
cook Is	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
1ex1co	0.6	0.2	0.9	0.4
3reece	0.8	0.1	0.8	0.4
All other total	50.3	17.6	25.3	2.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	77 1	11.9	18.4	11.6

1

Certain China Household Ware Not Available in Specified Sets

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 26%
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP: X
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver
Non-eligible GSP countries: None.
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None.
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None.
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: The products included in this digest are low-valued household
chinaware articles not available in specified sets, chiefly used for preparing, serving
or storing food or beverages or their ingredients, included in SIC 3262, Vitreous China
Food Utensils. Included in this category is transparent, nonplazed place ceramic ware

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percei change 1986 v 1981	₽,
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	**10 - 15	**10-15	**10-15	**10-15	0	
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees) $2/$	**3_4	**3_4	**3_4	**3_4	0	•
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3/	-	
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	434	600	570	512	18	
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	25,037	23,674	22,017	17,656	-29	
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	3/	3/	3/	3/	-	
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3/	-	
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	**85	**85	**85	**85	_	

Comment: U.S. production of all household chinaware is generally concentrated on high-value articles, where many U.S. producers have developed market niches. Therefore, the market for low-value articles, such as these, is usually dominated by low-cost countries such as Japan, China, and Taiwan. These articles are most likely to be sold in discount or bulk merchandise stores, with customer preferences and cost the determining purchase factors.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 7013.10.00.

^{2/} Data applies to U.S. producers, not specifically those articles being reviewed.

^{3/} Not available.

2

III. GSP import situation, 1986

		Percer	it of	Percent of GSP	Perc	ent of
Item	Imports	total	imports	imports	U.S.	consumption
			_•	-1		
			Quanti	ty (1,000 dozen	prece	<u>,</u>
Total	3,097	100		_	_	
Imports from GSP countries:	·					
Total	477	15		100	-	
Taiwan	134	4		28	_	
Hong Kong	223	7		47	_	
Brazil	-74	2		16		
Korea	32	1		7		1/
			Value	(thousands)		
Total Imports from GSP countries:	17,656	100		-	- .	
Tota1	2, 192	12		100	_	
Taiwan	968	5		44	-	
Hong Kong	69.1	4		32	_	
Brazil	390	2		18		<u>1</u> /
Korea	47		1/	2		<u>ī</u> /

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

Note.—The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Taiwan for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 19863
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below

TSUSA item No. 533.79—Con.

Competitiveness of Hong Kong for all digest products	•
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	
Price sensitivity:	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or	
contracted in the short term? Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export	
markets besides the United States? Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily	
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X ModerateLow _	·
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	
and other suppliers? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low	
Price level compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X B	elow
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X B	elow
Quality compared with:	
U.S. products	elow
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X B	elow
Competitiveness of Brazil for all digest products	
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 19866	
Price sensitivity:	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or	
contracted in the short term? Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export	
markets besides the United States? Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily	
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low _	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	
and other suppliers? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low _	
Price level compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X B	elow
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X B	
Equitation	elow
Quality compared with:	elow
Quality compared with: U.S. products Equivalent X B	elow
Quality compared with: U.S. products Equivalent X B	elow
Quality compared with:	elow

TSUS item No. 533.79—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Corning Glass Works (Corning, NY) is seeking GSP treatment for TSUS item 533.79 to continue duty-free treatment for these products despite a proposed Customs reclassification which would raise the duty rate to 26 percent ad valorem, and to ensure the lowest possible costs [* * *.].

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TSUS item No. 533.79--Con.

TS number: 53379

Product: Articles of nonbone chinaware

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source .	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(dozen pieces)	·
China. P	2,259,511	2,323,120	2,036,301	1,708,249
Japan	1,757,697	1,415,814	1,463,187	814,099
ig Kong	136,732	252,763	261,334	223,284
Taiwan	277,154	222,115	284,814	133,54
Brazil	46,063	46,954	157,471	73,91
(or Rep	14,432	89,999	9,038	31,52
rance	67,296	22,578	53,668	23,16
taly	23,545	10,103	17,885	16,01
R Germ	33,500	33,051	37,732	14,79
Germ DR	254	30,151	25,857	11,37
lungary	8,959	4,985	7,325	8,26
Belgium	30	19	358	7,48
Switzld	137	17	9,230	6,90
	137		9,230	
Turk Is	450 769	20 070	70 056	5,24
All other total	158,762	28,830	30,954	19,39
Total	4,784,072	4,480,482	4,395,154	3,097,27
GSP total	482,901	615,225	722,622	476,59
		Percent		
Chi na. P	47.2	51.8	46.3	55.2
Japan	36.7	31.6	33.3	26.3
lg Kong	2.9	5.6	5.9	7.2
Taiwan	5.8	5.0	6.5	4.3
Brazil	1.0	1.0	3.6	2.4
Kor Rep	0.3	2.0	0.2	1.0
France	1.4	0.5	1.2	0.7
Italy	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.5
-	0.7	0.7	0.9	
FR Germ		0.7	0.6	0.5
Germ DR	0.0			0.4
1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3
	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.2	0.2
Belgium	· ·	A A	nz	0.2
Belgium Switzld	0.0	0.0		
HungaryBelgiumSwitzldAll other total	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
Belgium Switzld	0.0 3.3			

TSUS item No. 533.79--Con.

TS number: 53379

7

Product: Articles of nonbone chinaware

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		lars)	···	
Japan	13,744	11,157	11,054	7,689
China P	6,233	7,299	6,102	5,991
Taiwan	1,209	1,551	1,273	968
France	1,446	526	705	758
Hg Kong	439	771	751	691
Brazil	218	290	865	390
FR Germ	316	474	384	258
Italy	99	114	170	253
Hungary	79	144	181	155
Germ DR	15	127	63	81
U King	325	265	102	81
Portugl	108	67	54	50
•	64	696	. 61	47
Kor Rep	3		8	41
Ireland	-	1	235	
All other total	731	183	235	195
Total	25,037	23,674	22,017	17,656
GSP total	2,062	3,394	3,049	2,192
		Percent		
Japan	54.9	47.1	50.2	43.5
China P	24.9	30.8	27.7	33.9
Tai wan	4.8	6.6	5.8	5.5
France	5.8	2.2	3.2	4.3
Hg Kong	1.8	3.3	3.4	3.9
Brazil	0.9	1.2	3.9	2.2
FR Germ	1.3	2.0	1.7	1.5
Italy	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.4
Hungary	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.9
Germ DR	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.5
U King	1.3	1.1	0.5	0.5
Portugl	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3
•	0.3	2.9	0.3	0.3
Kor Rep		0.0		
Ireland	0.0		0.0	0.2
All other total	2.9	0.8	1,1	1.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	8.2	14.3	13.8	12.4

Product: CERAMIC HH WARE EX EARTHENWR

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(dozen pieces	
Cocos.I	2,416	18,853	19,666	21,635
Belgium		9,270	10,088	13,031
U.King	742	6,259	25,555	9,887
Rep Saf	28	5,735	4,597	4,487
Singapr	237	3,477	3,828	3,695
France	54	731	1,457	2,298
Canada	7,186	4,624	2,131	1,653
Sweden	5	3	180	1,519
Nethlds	13	138	82	1,113
Japan	180	5,938	2,540	1,076
Arab Em	21	303	462	819
Taiwan	31	547	316	688
S.Arab	1,991	2,580	335	615
Trinid	120	1,303	516	503
All other total	7,991	5,949	5,184	4,876
Total	21,015	65,710	76,937	67,895
GSP total	10,065	29,896	29,258	30,917
Cocos.I	11.5	28.7	25.6	31.9
Belgium	0.0	14.1	13.1	19.2
U.King	3.5	9.5	33.2	14.6
Rep Saf	0.1	8.7	6.0	6.6
Singapr	1.1	5.3	5.0	5.4
France	0.3	1.1	1.9	3.4
Canada	34.2	7.0	2.8	
Sweden	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.4 2.2
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.1	0.2	0.1	
Nethlds	0.9	9.0	3.3	1.6
Japan		0.5		1.6
Arab Em	0.1		0.6	1.2
Taiwan	0.1	0.8	0.4	1.0
S.Arab	9.5	3.9	0.4	0.9
Trinid	0.6 38.0	2.0 9.1	0.7 6.7	0.7 7.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	47.9	45.5	38.0	45.5

Product: CERAMIC HH WARE EX EARTHENWR

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	198
		/alue (1,000 doll	ars)	
		00	07	400
Cocos.I	23 17	99 60	97 159	100
J.King	17			71 58
Selgium	7	41	45	
Singapr	3	31 61	59 44	38
Japan Rep Saf	1	62	31	34
rance	2	8	13	30
anada	113	84	17	21 13
	113	. 04	17	
Norway		•	47	11
dg Kong	68	8 29	17	10
	· -		7	10
Taiwan	1	, 7	8	8
Nethlds	1	3	2	7
Mexico	42	4	12	7
All other total	150	97	54	87
Total	434	600	570	512
GSP total	196	229	238	232
		Percent		
Cocos.I	5.4	16.6	17.1	19.5
J.King	4.1	10.0	28.0	14.0
Belgium	0.0	6.8	8.0	11.4
Singapr	1.6	5.3	10.4	7.6
Japan	0.9	10.2	7.8	6.7
Rep Saf	0.4	10.4	5.5	6.0
France	0.6	1.4	2.3	4.2
Canada	26.0	14.1	3.1	2.7
Norway	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
dg Kong	0.1	1.4	3.0	2.1
Arab	15.8	4.9	1.2	2.0
Taiwan	0.3	1.3	1.4	1.7
Nethlds	0.4	0.5	0.4	1.5
Mexico	9.8	0.7	2.2	1.4
All other total	34.7	16.2	9.6	17.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TSUS item No. 534.97 1/ 2/

Miscellaneous Ceramic Art and Ornamental Articles

I. Introduction

Corning Glass Works produced the glass ceramic ware included in this item prior to and on January 3, 1985. The narrower category of transparent, nonglazed glass ceramic kitchenware, however, was not produced in the United States until February 1985.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS
nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 7013.10.00.
2/ Section 504(d) waiver requested.

Glass Envelopes for Cathode-Ray Tubes

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 6.6%	
Type of action proposed (check one):	
Addition of GSP:	
Removal from GSP:	
Country graduation:	
Competitive-need-limit waiver X (Taiwan)	
Non-eligible GSP countries: Taiwan	
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None	
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: Taiwan	
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No .	ē
Description and uses: The glass envelopes covered in this digest	are without fittings
and designed for use in cathode-ray tubes (CRT's) for computer te	•
processors, televisions, and so forth. These items are part of S	
Blown Glass, N.E.C. Included in this category are monochrome gla	

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Perce chang 1986 1981	•
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	**15	**13	**18	**18	20	
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/	-	•
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/	2/	-	
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	41,993	7,220	6,069	4,130	-9 0	,
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	11,636	12,290	9,107	6,733	-42	
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	2/	2/	<u>2</u> /	2/	-	
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	2/	2/	<u> 2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	-	
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	2/	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/	-	

Comment: According to the Bureau of the Census, fewer than 18 firms produced the type of glass envelopes included in this digest. Shipments of electronic tube blanks and television tube blanks and parts by these firms in 1985 totaled \$346.6 million, part of which represents cathode ray tube manufacturing. These blanks are generally manufactured to customer specifications for use in a particular type of television, computer terminal, word processor, or other terminal, with quality and price principal purchasing factors. The movement offshore of television and computer production has adversely impacted the demand for CRT's produced by domestic manufacturers.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS
nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 7011.20.00.
2/ Not available.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

•	_	Percent of	Percent of GSP	· · · · · · ·
tem	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		Quanti	ty (1,000 pieces	1
			ty (11000 pieces	
otal	7,052	100	-	-
Total	476	7	100	-
Taiwan	470	7	99	-
Brazil	6	<u>1</u> /	1	1/
•		N-1	///	
		Val	lue (thousands)	
otal	6,733	100		-
Total	2,868	43	100	-
Taiwan		41	97	-
Brazil	90	1	3	1/
Competitiveness profiles, GS	Psupplier	<u>'S</u>	n 1986.	
Note.—Taiwan and Brazil were Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import sup	P supplier	est products		
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity:	P supplier r all dige plier, 198	est products		
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan fo Ranking as a U.S. import sup	P supplier r all dige plier, 198 try be eas	est products 6	2	No
Competitiveness profiles, GSC Competitiveness of Taiwan for Canking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun- contracted in the short Does the country have sign	P supplier r all dige plier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex	est products 6ily expanded or	<u>2</u> Yes <u>X</u> !	
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit	r all dige plier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States?	est products 6ily expanded or port	<u>2</u> Yes <u>X</u> !	
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou	r all diger plier, 198 try be easterm?ificant exect States?	est products 6ily expanded or eport	Yes <u>X</u> ! Yes <u>X</u> !	No
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou redistributed among its	r all diger plier, 198 try be easterm?ificant exect States?ntry be reforeign ex	est products ily expanded or port adily port markets?	Yes <u>X</u> ! Yes <u>X</u> ! Yes <u>X</u> !	No
Competitiveness profiles, GSC Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou redistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor	r all diger plier, 198 try be easterm? ificant exect exect for eight exect to the supply	est products if y expanded or sport adily sport markets? High	Yes <u>X</u> ! Yes <u>X</u> ! Yes <u>X</u> !	No
Competitiveness profiles, GSC Competitiveness of Taiwan for Canking as a U.S. import supprice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the couredistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas	P supplier r all dige plier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	est products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X X Moderate	No
Competitiveness profiles, GSC Competitiveness of Taiwan for Canking as a U.S. import supprice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the couredistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers?	P supplier r all dige plier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	est products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X X Yes X Xes X Yes X Xes X Yes X Xes X Yes X Xes Xes X Xes	No No No
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Canking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the councedistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S.	P supplier r all dige plier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	est products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X X Yes X Xes X Yes X Xes X Yes X Xes X Yes X Xes Xes X Xes	No
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou redistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with:	r all diger plier, 198 try be easterm? ificant exed States? ntry be reforeign ext supply ily shift	est products if y expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X Yes X Yes X X Moderate X Moderate	No Low
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the councontracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the couredistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	r all diger plier, 198 try be easterm? ificant exect States? ntry be reforeign ext supply ily shift	est products 6	Yes X Yes X Yes X X	No No Low Low tX Below
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the cou redistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price level compared with: U.S. products Other foreign products	r all diger plier, 198 try be easterm? ificant exect States? ntry be reforeign ext supply ily shift	est products 6	Yes X Yes X Yes X X	No No Low Low tX Below
Competitiveness profiles, GS Competitiveness of Taiwan for Ranking as a U.S. import support support support support supports for the councontracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the councedistributed among its Price sensitivity of import from the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	r all diger plier, 198 try be easterm? ificant exect states? ntry be reforeign ext supply ily shift demand	est products if we spanded or sport adily sport markets? High among this Abov Abov	Yes X Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X X Moderate Equivalence Equivalence	No Low t _X Below t _X Below

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

IV.

TSUSA item No. 547.3720—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Clinton Electronics Corp. (Rockford, IL), states that the type of CRT envelope used in their operations (a monochrome tube) is not manufactured in the United States, and that duty-free treatment of imports from its Taiwan plant would improve its competitive position in the U.S. market.

Opposition.—Lancaster Glass Corp. (Lancaster, OH) cites a shrinking market for glass envelopes for CRT's and the potential adverse impact on the U.S. industry should a GSP waiver be granted to Taiwan as reasons to respond cautiously to this waiver request.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

5

TSUSA item No. 547.3720--Con.

TS number: 5473720

Product: Glass envelopes for cathode-ray tubes

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(pieces)	
Belgium		2,200		3,068,064
FR Germ	1,106,021	275,239	1,742,494	2,587,759
Nethids	14,143	23,230	3,478	525,312
Taiwan	761,245	771,182	579,615	469,860
Japan	20,299	164,857	367,677	213,64
J King		141,552	44,636	181,04
Brazil			•	5,67
ortugl				32
Canada			43	
Oom Rep			1,026	
1exi co		152,974	75,945	
Italy		3,600		
China. P			3,000	
All other total				
Total	1,901,708	1,534,834	2,817,914	7,051,68
GSP total	761,245	924,156	656,586	475,54
_		Percent		
_			•	
Belgium	0.0	0.1	0.0	43.5
R Germ	58.2	17.9	61.8	36.7
lethlds	0.7	1.5	0.1	7.4
Taiwan	40.0	50.2	20.6	6.7
Japan	1.1	10.7	13.0	3.0
J King	0.0	9.2	1.6	2.6
Brazil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Portugl	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dom Rep	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
lexico	0.0	10.0	2.7	0.0
Italy	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
China.P	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tota1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: Glass envelopes for cathode-ray tubes

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
_		Value (1,000 dol	lars)	
Japan	158	1,261	3,796	3,100
Taiwan	4,831	6,039	4,146	2,778
R Germ	6,578	3,736	775	561
razil	•		- '	90
King		49	23	85
ethlds	68	68	. 14	68
elgium		32		43
ortugl				4
anada		•	6	
lexi co		1,101	31,9	
om Rep	.•		23	
hina P			1	
all other total		·		
Total	11,636	12,290	9,107	6,733
GSP total	4,831	7,141	4,489	2,868
		Percent		
Japan	1.4	10.3	41.7	46.0
raiwan	41.5	49.1	45.5	41.3
R Germ	56.5	30.4	8.5	8.3
Brazil	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
/ King	0.0	0.4	0.3	1.3
lethlds	0.6	0.6	0.2	1.0
Belgium	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.6
Portugl	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Canada	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
lexico	0.0	9.0	3.5	0.0
om Rep	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
China P	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	41.5	58.1	49.3	42.6

Product: CATHODE RAY TUBE GLS ENVELOP

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
	,	/alue (1,000 dol:	lars)	•
Canada	22,638	171	3,353	1,896
Mexico	5,851	1,242	1,927	1,109
FR Germ	1,190	191	176	499
Nethlds	718	85	18	195
U.King	388	626	246	148
Japan	185	33	21	148
France	490	1,375	151	68
India	•	1	2	20
Uruguay				15
Italy	35	46	16	9
Switzld	2	4		8
Kor Rep	101	75		5
Brazil	9,522	3,140	99	1
Panama	7,566	3,140	77	
	040	225	+ /	1
All other total	868	225	54	1
Total	41,993	7,220	6,069	4,130
GSP total	16,132	4,682	2,052	1,156
		Percent		
Canada	53.9	2.4	55.3	45.9
Mexico	13.9	17.2	31.8	26.9
FR Germ	2.8	2.7	2.9	12.1
Nethlds	1.7	1.2	0.3	4.7
U.King	0.9	8.7	4.1	3.6
Japan	0.4	0.5	0.4	3.6
France	1.2	19.1	2.5	1.7
India	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Uruguay	0.1	0.7		0.4
Italy			0.3	0.2
Switzld	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2
Kor Rep	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.1
Brazil	22.7	43.5	1.6	0.0
All other total	2.1	3.1	0.9	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

8

.

,

. *

Certain Ferrochromium

I. Introduction

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	. 3	3	1	1	-67
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/	-70
J.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
J.S. exports (thousand dollars) $3/\ldots$	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	43,202	15,274	24,975	32,706	-24
J.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	[xxx]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	. 74	71	80	89	_
Capacity utilization (percent)		20	5	5	_

Comment: U.S. consumption of low carbon ferrochromium declined due to the decline in demand for steel and due to technological changes in steel production. Further, U.S. producers could not compete with the basically interchangable and lower priced imported product, although they had the advantage of quick delivery and technical services. The only remaining U.S. company currently has a narrow price and specialty product advantage over imports.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the digest for HS item No. 7202.49.50.
2/ Less than 500.

³/ Estimated to represent [***] percent of the export values shown in the U.S. export tables under Sch. B no. 607.2600.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
	Quantity (1,000 lbs.)			
Total Imports from GSP countries:	79,938	100	-	99
Tota1	21,987	28 ·	100	28
Zimbabwe	12,066	15	55	15
Turkey	9,920	12	45	12
)		
Total	32,706	100	-	89
Total	10,355	32	100	-28
Zimbabwe	5,319	16	51	14
Turkey	5,035	15	49	14

IV. <u>Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers</u>

Competitiveness of Zimbabwe for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 19864
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: Although imports are generally priced lower than domestic products, U.S. producers maintain an advantage in shorter delivery time and technical services.

TSUS item No. 606.22—Con.

Competitiveness of Turkey for all digest products	
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 19865	
Price sensitivity:	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or	
contracted in the short term? Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export	
markets besides the United States? Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily	
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	
and other suppliers? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low	_
Price level compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Be	
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Be	10w
Quality compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Be	low
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Be	low
Comment: Although imports are generally priced lower than domestic products, U producers maintain an advantage in shorter delivery time and technical services	

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The Government of Zimbabwe is requesting that certain ferrochromium be included in the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). The reason for the request is that; Zimbabwe is a developing country and this operation earns foreign exchange and sustains employment; and Zimbabwe is a viable and traditional supplier of this product to the United States. Currently, the petitioner argues, U.S. steelmakers are at some competitive disadvantage with EC steelmakers, since the EC does not impose duties on the ferrochromium imported from Zimbabwe.

<u>Opposition</u>.—The Ferroalloys Association opposes the addition of the ferrochromium to the GSP. The Association argues that these products are of strategic importance and they are essential for the production of products used in defense items for the national security. The Association believes that such action will adversely affect domestic production and may cause producers to cease production and commit their equipment to other uses. GSP is viewed as adversely affecting the ability of domestic producers to obtain reasonable profits from the production of these products.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TS number: 60622

Product: Ferrochromium not containing over 3 percent carbon

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986		
-	Quantity (1000 pounds)					
Rep.Saf	22,416,378	1,203,096	10,340,203	32,941,324		
FR Germ	6,267,405	1,169,154	8,890,944	14,313,895		
[mbabwe	10,963,208	5,666,424	9,523,689	12,066,790		
Turkey	329,722	1,049,390	8,187,921	9,920,700		
Sweden	11,362,486	11,068,850	14,008,662	9,560,231		
taly	1,055,677	209,173	337,658	907,85		
lorway	1,556,433	892,794	,	113,260		
apan	1,888,505	2,088,615	1,026,280	76,08		
enmark				38,03		
J King		49,889				
ethlds			156,000			
/ugos1v	5,588,321					
rance	3,390,859	28,926				
Belgium	38,224					
11 other total						
Total	64,857,218	23,426,311	52,471,357	79,938,17		
GSP total	16,881,251	6,715,814	17,711,610	21,987,49		
		Percent		. •		
•						
Rep.Saf	34.6	5.1	19.7	41.2		
R Germ	9.7	5.0	16.9	17.9		
Imbabw e	16.9	24.2	18.2	15.1		
Turkey	0.5	4.5	15.6	12.4		
Sweden	17.5	47.2	26.7	12.0		
Italy	1.6	0.9	0.6	1.1		
Norway	2.4	3.8	0.0	0.1		
Japan	2.9	8.9	2.0	0.1		
Denmark	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
J King	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0		
lethlds	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0		
/ugoslv	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		
rance	5.2	0.1	0.0	0.0		
Belgium	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		

TS number: 60622

Product: Ferrochromium not containing over 3 percent carbon

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Value (1,000 dollars)					
Rep.Saf	13,304	746	3,903	8,234		
FR Germ	5,405	743	4,803	8,029		
Sweden	9,046	7,185	7,062	5,404		
Zmbabwe	7,402	3,448	4,238	5,319		
Turkey	208	587	4,134	5,035		
Italy	891	164	205	580		
Japan	2,123	1,687	541	47		
enmark		•		28		
lorway	1,042	647	•	27		
J King		39				
rance	2,452	25				
Belgium	30					
/ugoslv	1,294					
Nethlds			85			
all other total						
Total	43,202	15,274	24,975	32,706		
GSP total	8,905	4,035	8,373	10,355		
				•		
		Percent				
Rep.Saf	30.8	4.9	15.6	25.2		
R Germ	12.5	4.9	19.2	24.5		
Sweden	20.9	47.0	28.3	16.5		
Imbabwe	17.1	22.6	17.0	16.3		
Turkey	0.5	3.8	16.6	15.4		
Italy	2.1	1.1	0.8	1.8		
Japan	4.9	11.0	2.2	0.1		
Denmark	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		
lorway	2.4	4.2	0.0	0.1		
J King	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0		
rance	5.7	0.2	0.0	0.0		
Belgium	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		
/ugosly	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Vethlds	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0		
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0		
GSP total	20.6	26.4	33.5	31.7		

SCH B no. 6072600

Product: FERROCHROM OVER 30% CHROMIUM

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(1000 pounds)	
Canad a	11,121,016	5,461,129	6,303,762	7,339,791
Canada Mexico	1,069,410	384,081	609,742	1,170,109
	10,670	10,522	133,018	1,114,710
Venez	10,670	10,322	1,179,636	820,83
Spain	E 497 E47	F43 40E	10,069,436	
FR Germ	5,123,543	562,195	10,007,430	470,81
Sweden	40 /4/	42,680		287,279
Argent	10,414			158,39
Iceland	05 (0)	444 499	*** ***	83,67
Chile	85,694	101,677	460,937	72,868
France				46,560
Taiwan	26,190	37,457	38,412	44,81
Malaysa	61,562	16,374	25,574	29,430
Peru		2,173	11,074	22,01
Colomb	11,640	23,496	45,008	15,13
All other total	9,829,272	1,596,694	1,031,837	31,04
Total	27,349,411	8,238,478	19,908,436	11,707,469
GSP total	1,390,515	2,065,531	1,334,640	2,630,38
		Percent	•	·
Canada	40.7	66.3	31.7	62.7
Mexico	3.9	4.7	3.1	10.0
Venez	0.0	. 0.1	0.7	9.5
Spain	0.0	0.0	5.9	7.0
FR Germ	18.7	6.8	50.6	4.0
Sweden	0.0	0.5	0.0	2.5
Argent	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Iceland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Chile	0.3	1.2	2.3	0.6
France	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Taiwan	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.4
Malaysa	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3
Peru	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
Colomb	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.1
All other total		19.4	5.2	0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: FERROCHROM OVER 30% CHROMIUM

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 498

Source	1981	1983	1985	198
		/alue (1,000 dol)	lars)	
Canada	5,630	2,648	2,786	2,976
Venez	9	7	124	798
Mexico	741	241	404	627
FR Germ	1,200	445	2,939	297
	1,200	442		
Spain			322	221
Sweden	-	33		208
Argent	7		,	115
Iceland				77
Taiwan	33	45	44	51
Chile	29	36	202	37
France		•		32
Malays a	40	11	17	17
Peru		1	13	· 15
U. King				. 14
All other total	2,355	1,206	602	30
Total	10,050	4,677	7,457	5,522
GSP total	935	1,515	851	1,677
_		Percent		
Canada	56.0	56.6	37.4	53.9
Venez	0.1	0.2	1.7	14.5
Mexico	7.4	5.2	5.4	11.4
FR Germ	11.9	9.5	39.4	5.4
Spain	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.0
Sweden	0.0	0.7	0.0	3.8
Argent	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.1
Iceland	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Taiwan	0.3	1.0	0.6	0.
Chile	0.3	0.8	2.7	0.
France	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
Malaysa Peru	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.:
All other total	0.0 23.4	0.0 25.8	0.2 8.1	0.:
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
GSP total	9.3	32.4	11.4	30.

Ferrosilicon Chromium

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 10%	
Type of action proposed (check one):	
Addition of GSP: X	:
Removal from GSP:	
Country graduation:	
Competitive-need-limit waiver	
Non-eligible GSP countries: None	
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None	
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None	•
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No	
Description and uses: Ferrosilicon chromium is a low-carbon content alloy	of chromium
and silicon: the chromium and silicon content fall in the range of 30 to	40 percent for
each element. Ferrosilicon chromium is used in the production of alloy, s	stainless, and
specialty steels.	•

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	2	2	1	1	-50
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	2/	2/	2/	<u>2</u> /	-70
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
U.S. exports (thousand dollars) 3/	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	5,224	669	2,084	5,742	+10
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]	[***]
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	17	12	45	61	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	10	10	5	5	-

Comment: U.S. production of ferrosilicon chromium has declined substantially due to technological changes in stainless steel production. The advantages offered by U.S. producers, such as on-demand delivery, technical assistance, customer loyalty have all been outweighted by the price advantage of imports. The only remaining producer relies on relatively inexpensive electric power to maintain its competitiveness.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 7202.50.00.

^{2/} Less than 500.
3/ Estimated to represent [***] percent of the export value, shown in

³/ Estimated to represent [***] percent of the export value, shown in the U.S. export tables under Sch. B no. 607.2600.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

IV.

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
				:
		uantity (thousand	i pounas, gross w	eignt)
Total Imports from GSP countries:	12,519	100	-	64
Total	6,469	52	100	33 .
Zimbabwe	-	52	100	33
		Val	ue (thousands)	•
			de (chodsands)	
Total Imports from GSP countries:	5,742	100	-	61
Total	3,859	67	100	41
Zimbabwe	3,859	67	100	41
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Zimbabwe Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity:	for all die	gest products	1	
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe Ranking as a U.S. import sup Price sensitivity: Can production in the coun	for all di olier, 1986 try be eas	gest products 6 ily expanded or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import support support support support sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short :	for all digolier, 1986 try be easterm?	gest products 6 ily expanded or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import support support support support : Can production in the count contracted in the short : Does the country have sign	for all digolier, 1986 try be eas term? ificant exp	gest products 6 ily expanded or port	Yes <u>X</u> N	
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe (Ranking as a U.S. import support support support (Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short (Does the country have signimarkets besides the Unite	for all divoler, 1980 try be easterm? ificant exped States?	gest products 6ily expanded or port	Yes <u>X</u> N	
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import support support support support : Can production in the count contracted in the short : Does the country have sign	for all divoler, 1980 try be easiterm? ificant exped States? ntry be re-	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily	Yes <u>X</u> A	lo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import supportion in the councilon in the councilon tracted in the short: Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the councilon in	for all divoler, 1980 try be easiterm? ificant exped States? ntry be reforeign expet supply	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High	Yes <u>X</u> F	lo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import support support sensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short: Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the councedistributed among its portice sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas	for all divoler, 1980 try be easterm? ificant exped States? ntry be reforeign expet supply illy shift	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes <u>X</u> Moderate <u>X</u> Moderate <u>X</u>	lo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short: Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the councentributed among its: Price sensitivity of importice the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers?	for all divoler, 1980 try be easterm? ificant exped States? ntry be reforeign expet supply ily shift	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High ammong this	Yes <u>X</u> A	io io Low
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe Ranking as a U.S. import support supports ensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short of Does the country have significant markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its of Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. (1986)	for all divoler, 1980 try be easterm? ificant exped States? ntry be reforeign expet supply ily shift	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High ammong this	Yes <u>X</u> A	io io Low
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the countenanced in the short: Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countenanced among its: Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of	for all divident place of the states? Introduction of the states? Introduction of the states of the states of the states of the supply. It is supply. It is supply. It is supply.	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X F Yes X F X Moderate X Moderate	10 10 10
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe searching as a U.S. import supportion and production in the counteracted in the short support of the counteracted in the counteracted among its support of the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. or	for all diverse least term? ificant exped States? ntry be reforeign experience least term terms. ily shift demand	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this High	Yes X F Yes X F X Moderate X X Moderate Equivalent	lo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe Ranking as a U.S. import supports ensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short: Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the councedistributed among its: Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of Price level compared with: U.S. products	for all diverse least term? ificant exped States? ntry be reforeign experience least term terms. ily shift demand	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this High	Yes X F Yes X F X Moderate X X Moderate Equivalent	lo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe Ranking as a U.S. import support supports ensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have signing markets besides the Unite Could exports from the councedistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price level compared with: U.S. products Quality compared with:	for all display and all displa	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this High	Yes X P Yes X P X Moderate X Moderate Equivalent Equivalent	lololo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe : Ranking as a U.S. import support sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short: Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its: Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price level compared with: U.S. products Other foreign products	for all die olier, 1980 try be easterm? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re- foreign ex t supply ily shift	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this Above	Yes X P Yes X P X Moderate Yes X P X Moderate Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent	lo
Competitiveness of Zimbabwe Ranking as a U.S. import supports ensitivity: Can production in the councentracted in the short. Does the country have signing markets besides the Unite Could exports from the councedistributed among its. Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser east and other suppliers? Price level compared with: U.S. products Quality compared with: U.S. products	for all divident place of the control of the contro	gest products 6 ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this High Above Above	YesX YesX YesX X	Low Below X Below X Below

V. Position of interested parties

Petitioner.—The Government of Zimbabwe is requesting that ferrosilicon chromium be included in the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). The reason for the request is that Zimbabwe is a developing country whose ferrosilicon chromium operation earns foreign exchange and sustains employment; also Zimbabwe is a viable and traditional supplier of this product to the United States. Currently, the petitioner agrees, U.S. steelmakers are at some competitive disadvantage with EC steelmakers, since the EC does not impose duties on ferrosilicon chromium from Zimbabwe.

Opposition.—The Ferroalloys Association opposes the addition of certain ferrosilicon chromium to the GSP. The Association agrees that these products are of strategic importance and they are essential for the production of products used in defense items for the national security. The Association believes that such action will adversely affect domestic production and may cause U.S. producers to cease production and commit their equipment to other uses. GSP is viewed as adversely affecting the ability of domestic producers to obtain reasonable profitability from the production of these products.

5

TS number: 60642

Product: Ferrosilicon chromium

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(pounds)	
Zmbabwe	6,630,732	1,116,838	2,916,603	6,469,062
Rep.Saf	2,172,493			6,046,467
Canada Italy All other total		40,389	70,098	2,975
Total	8,803,225	1,157,227	2,986,701	12,518,504
GSP total	6,630,732	1,116,838	2,916,603	6,469,062
-		Percent		·
Zmbabws	75.3	96.5	97.7	51.7
Rep.Saf	24.7	0.0	0.0	48.3
Canada	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0
Italy	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	75.3	96.5	97.7	51.7

TS number: 60642

Product: Ferrosilicon chromium

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
	v	<u>alue (1,000 dol)</u>	lars)	
Zmbabwe	3,985	653	2,016	3,859
Rep.Saf	1,238			1,882
Canada		15		1
ItalyAll other total			67	
Total	5,224	669	2,084	5,742
GSP total	3,985	653	2,016	3,859
		Percent		
Zmbabwe	76.3	97.6	96.8	67.2
Rep. Saf	23.7	. 0.0	0.0	32.8
Canada	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0
Italy	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	76.3	97.6	96.8	67.2

Product: FERROCHROM OVER 30% CHROMIUM

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
	·	Quantity	(pounds)	
Canada	11,121,016	5,461,129	6,303,762	7,339,791
Mexico	1,069,410	384,081	609,742	1,170,109
Venez	10,670	10,522	133,018	1,114,710
Spain			1,179,636	820,833
FR Germ	5,123,543	562,195	10,069,436	470,815
Sweden		42,680		287,279
Argent	10,414			158,396
celand				83,671
Chi 1e	85,694	101,677	460,937	72,868
France	32,37	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		46,560
raiwan	26,190	37,457	38,412	44,814
dalaysa	61,562	16,374	25,574	29,430
Peru	01,500	2,173	11,074	22,016
Colomb	11,640	23,496	45,008	15,132
All other total	-	1,596,694	1,031,837	31.045
		•		
Total	27,349,411	8,238,478	19,908,436	11,707,469
GSP total	1,390,515	2,065,531	1,334,640	2,630,385
·		Percent		
Canada	40.7	66.3	31.7	62.7
Mexico	3.9	4.7	3.1	10.0
Venez	0.0	0.1	0.7	9.5
Spain	0.0	0.0	5.9	7.0
FR Germ	18.7	6.8	50.6	4.0
Sweden	0.0	0.5	0.0	2.5
Argent	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Iceland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Chile	0.3	1.2	2.3	0.6
France	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Taiwan	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.4
Malaysa	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3
Peru	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
Colomb	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.1
All other total		19.4	5.2	0.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
esp total	8.1	25.1	6.7	22.5

Product: FERROCHROM OVER 30% CHROMIUM

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1980
		/alue (1,000 doll	ars)	
Canada	5,630	2,648	2,786	2,976
Venez	9	7	124	798
Mexico	741	241	404	627
FR Germ	1,200	445	2,939	297
Spain			322	221
Sweden		33		208
Argent	7			115
Iceland				77
Taiwan	33	45	44	51
Chile	29	36	202	37
France				32
Malaysa	40	11	17	17
Peru		. 1	13	15
U.King		4 004	4.44	14
All other total	2,355	1,206	602	30
Total	10,050	4,677	7,457	5,522
GSP total	935	1,515	851	1,677
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Percent		
Canada	56.0	56.6	37.4	53.9
Venez	0.1	0.2	1.7	14.5
Mexico	7.4	5.2	5.4	11.4
FR Germ	11.9	9.5	39.4	5.4
Spain	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.0
Sweden	0.0	0.7	0.0	3.8
Argent	0.1	0.0	0.0	2.1
Celand	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Taiwan	0.3	1.0	0.6	0.9
chile	0.3	0.8	2.7	0.7
France	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
dalaysa	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3
Peru	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3
All other total	23.4	25.8	8.1	0.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Malleable Cast Iron Pipe and Tube Fittings Advanced in Condition by Operation or Processes Subsequent to the Casting Process

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: <u>6.2 % ad val.</u> Type of action proposed (check one):

Addition of GSP:

Removal from GSP:

Country graduation:

Competitive-need-limit waiver

Non-eligible GSP countries: <u>Korea</u>, <u>Taiwan</u> Current competitive-need-limit waivers: <u>None</u>

Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: Korea, Taiwan

U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No _

Description and uses: Malleable iron pipe fittings, which are produced from molten grey iron, have gone through an annealing process giving them greater tensile strength and more ductility. End users use pipe fittings in piping systems to do three specific things: (1) change, divert, divide, or direct the flow of liquid gas or steam, (2) provide access for cleaning and branching, and (3) reduce or increase the diameter of the system. Malleable iron fittings are used where shock and vibration resistance is required and where fittings are subject to quick temperature changes. The principal uses of malleable iron pipe fittings are in gas lines, piping systems of oil refineries, and gas and water systems of building.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	6	6	6	5	-17
Total U.S. employment (employees)	2,751	2,586	2,601	2,371	-14
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	153,989	111,246	101,520	93,553	-39
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	20,201	13,587	7,991	9,126	-55
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	19,305	21,163	27,420	22,771	18
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	153,093	118,822	120,822	120,949	-30
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	13	18	23	21	
Capacity utilization (percent)	55	47	47	44	•

Comment: The following 5 firms produce malleable iron pipe fittings: Grinnell Corp., with headquarters in Exeter, NH, and a plant in Columbia, PA,; Stanley G. Flagg & Co., Inc., Stowe, PA; Stockham Valves & Fittings Co., Birmingham, AL; U—Brand Corp., Ashland, OH; and Ward Manufacturing Inc., Blossburg, PA. These firms accounted for 69 percent of apparent U.S. consumption of malleable iron pipe fittings in 1986. Each of these firms has been producing cast iron pipe fitting for at least 35 years and offers an essentially complete line of fittings.

¹/ Probable effects advice for these products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 7307.19.90.

Comment -- Continued

According to a recent report on the U.S. Foundry Industry done by the U.S.I.T.C. (Competitive Assessment of the U.S. Foundry Industry, Investigation No. 332-176, U.S.I.T.C. Pub. 1582), competition is influenced by a variety of factors, the most important of which are labor costs, marketing capability, investment, technology, and government involvement. The U.S. industry indicates that its competitive edge largely stems from a reliable distribution system and responsive service capabilities. In addition, the United States is viewed as equal to or better than its foreign competitors in the application of production technology. Producers evaluated all of their principal competitors as having competitive advantages in lower labor cost and availability, as well as in most facets of government assistance — especially alleged subsidies and R&D funding.

The report states that U.S. producers indicate that foreign producers generally have the competitive advantage in the cost and availability of capital, (which affects the level of capital investment). The relatively lower level of investment in the United States has tended to restrict expanded use of computer technology and further improvements in labor-saving equipment; both (computer technology and labor-saving equipment) are especially important to U.S. producers whose operations are becoming more capital intensive in an effort to more effectively compete in their domestic and export markets.

Although production technology in the malleable—iron pipe fittings industry is rated the same in the United States as it is in Japan and India, domestic producers are believed to have the technological advantage compared with Taiwan and Korea by industry sources. State—of—the—art foundry techniques in the U.S. industry include automated molding and automated machining centers which minimize labor costs. However, the industry believes that it has still not reached the level of capital—intensive required in order to more successfully challenge the developing nations that have rather significant cost advantages due to cheaper labor costs and the absence of environmental, safety, and labor benefit costs.

The domestic industry has a competitive advantage in all facets of marketing structure compared with Taiwan, Japan, India, and Korea. Marketing by U.S. producers is accomplished by their own sales forces through distributors and directly to end users. Foreign producers also sell to distributors, or in the case of large foreign manufacturers, through their wholly owned subsidiaries in the United States. A unique ability of U.S. producers is their ability to provide products on short notice to their customers in domestic markets. This is made possible by the large inventories they carry.

U.S. cast-iron pipe and tube fittings producers allege that foreign producers have a competitive advantage in government subsidies which are designed to facilitate their exports. The countries that were cited as benefiting from subsidies were Japan, Taiwan, India, and Korea.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

		Perce	nt of	Percent of GSP	Percent of
Item	Imports	total	imports	imports	U.S. consumption
			0	(1 000	
			Quantity	(1,000 pounds)	
Total	37,507	100		-	32
Imports from GSP countries:					
Total	21,495	57		100	18
Thailand	9,262	25		43	8
Taiwan	3,809	10		18	3
South Korea	2,666	7		12	2
India	2,700	7		13	2
			Val	ue (thousands)	
Total	22,771	100	•	-	21
Imports from GSP countries:	•				
Total	11,229	49		100	10
Thailand	5.074	22	•	45	5
Taiwan	2,593	- 11	-	23	2
South Korea	1.373	6		12	1
India	777	3		1	1

Note. -The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Thailand for all digest products		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	2	•
Price sensitivity:		
Can production in the country be easily expanded or		
contracted in the short term?	Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export		
markets besides the United States?	Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily		
redistributed among its foreign export markets?	Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X M	oderate	Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this		
and other suppliers?	Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X M	oderate	Low
Price level compared with:		
U.S. products Above	Equivalent _	Below X
Other foreign products Above	Equivalent _	X Below
Quality compared with:		
U.S. products Above	Equivalent _	X Below
Other foreign products Above	Equivalent _	X Below

Comment: Both production and production capacity of malleable pipe fitting in Thailand have been increasing in recent years. From 1985 to 1986 production of malleable iron pipe fittings increased by 66 percent. Capacity to produce malleable pipe fittings increased by 14 percent during 1984—86. In 1986 capacity utilization stood at 70 percent indicating that production could be expanded significantly. Thailand, which has significant non—U.S. sales, has been facing severe competition from Japan and Taiwan in third country markets and as a result has shifted exports to the United States.

In the United States, pipe fittings used for a given application generally must meet standards established by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Any imports that expect to compete in the U.S. market must also meet these standards, so there is generally uniformity in product quality. Despite the fact that malleable iron pipe fittings from Thailand are generally less expensive than U.S. produced fittings, many purchasers will buy the U.S. fittings. Important considerations for buying decisions, other than price and quality, are the reliability of the supplier, the ability a supplier to have prompt delivery, and warranty or service terms. Other factors include whether the supplier is a traditional or alternate source of supply, the availability of a complete product line, and transport costs. Generally U.S. producers of malleable iron pipe fittings rank favorably when all of the considerations are taken into account. The main reasons why U.S. produced fittings are chosen over Thai fittings are because domestic sources are generally a more reliable source of supply, and provide support personnel; in addition distributors' purchase decision are influenced by the need to be consistant with the Buy American policies of some customers.

Competitiveness of Talwan for all digest products			
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 3			
Price sensitivity:			
Can production in the country be easily expanded or			
contracted in the short term? Yes X No			
Does the country have significant export			
markets besides the United States? Yes X No			
Could exports from the country be readily			
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No			
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate	Low		
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this			
and other suppliers? Yes X No			
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate	Low		
Price level compared with:			
U.S. products Above Equivalent _		Below	<u> </u>
Other foreign products Above Equivalent _	X_	Be I ow	
Quality compared with:			
U.S. products Above Equivalent _			
Other foreign products Above Equivalent _	<u>X</u>	Below	

Comment: Taiwan's exports of malleable iron fittings to the United States account for about 20 percent of its total malleable iron pipe fitting exports. Other significant export markets include Japan, Hong Kong, and Saudi Arabia. Exports to countries other than the United States account for approximately 75 percent of total Taiwan shipments of malleable pipe fittings. In 1986 the capacity utilization rate was nearly 80 percent; although production has recently declined however, due to an influx of imports and pollution control regulations by the Taiwan government. Over the long run the industry plans significant capacity expansion. The reasons why a U.S. consumer would be willing to pay more for domestic malleable iron pipe fittings than Taiwan fittings are the same as for Thailand. An additional factor is the type of purchaser involved. Pipe fittings are purchased by two distinct groups, distributors and end users. Distributors are basically "middle-men" who are far more concerned with price than end users. End users are primarily concerned more with non-price factors like reliability of supply and prompt delivery.

Competitiveness of Korea for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 19864
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: There are two large producers of malleable iron pipe fittings in Korea who
export significant amounts, of the product to the United States. Specific production,
capacity and market data are confidential. The fact that the USITC ruled affirmatively
in an antidumping investigation against Korea in 1986 (USITC Investigation No.
731-TA-279 (Final)) indicates a likelihood that capacity could be expanded and that
underselling of the U.S. product has occurred. Another indication of South Korea's
industry's ability to expand capacity is its capital expenditures in malleable iron
facilities. The reasons why a U.S. consumer would be willing to pay more for domestic
malleable pipe fittings than Korean produced malleable pipe iron fittings are the same
as for Thailand and Taiwan. Purchasers often give preference to distributors or
producers who offer complete product lines, in their buying decisions.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The American Pipe Fittings Association Iron Pipe Fittings and Unions Product group (APFA). The petitioner feels that recent import trends, price information obtained by the ITC, and unit value import data indicates that GSP imports, especially those from Thailand, Mexico, and Brazil, are competitive with imports from other foreign sources and U.S. produced fittings. GSP imports are likely to continue to increase as importers of fittings from producers in countries subject to high dumping duties shift to sources in GSP countries. Therefore the petitioner feels that malleable iron pipe fittings should be removed from GSP treatment.

<u>Support</u>.—In addition to the APFA member firms, U-Brand Corporation of Ashland Ohio supports the petition.

Oppose.—Tupy American Foundry Corporation opposes the withdrawal of GSP treatment for malleable cast iron pipe fittings from Brazil. The company feels that the International Trade Commission (ITC) should advise the U.S. Trade Representative that (i) the continuation of GSP treatment for malleable cast—iron pipe fittings imported from Brazil would have no economic effect on U.S. producers of pipe fittings, but (ii) the removal of GSP treatment for malleable cast—iron pipe fittings imported from Brazil would have adverse economic effects on U.S. purchasers and consumers of this Brazilian merchandise.

- CIFUNSA, a Mexican producer of malleable iron pipe fittings, opposes the withdrawal of GSP treatment for pipe fittings from Mexico. The company feels that the withdrawal of GSP benefits from malleable cast iron fittings produced in Mexico will have a very detrimental effect on CIFUNSA's continued ability to export pipe fittings to the U.S. market, but a negligible impact on the U.S. producers.
- Three Thai manufacturers of malleable iron pipe fittings, Siam Fittings Co., Ltd., Thai Malleable Iron and Steel Co., Ltd. and BIS Pipe Fittings Industry Co., Ltd., as well as the following U.S. importers of pipe fittings from Thailand; Calsak Corp., of Los Angeles, CA, Mundo Corp., of San Francisco, CA, Barnett Brass and Copper, of Jacksonville, FL., and Norca Corp., of Raleigh, NC, all oppose the withdrawal of GSP treatment for malleable iron pipe fittings from Thailand. These companies feel that the withdrawal of GSP treatment for malleable iron pipe fittings from all countries would hurt U.S. consumers because without alternative import sources, the U.S. pipe fittings producers would have an effective oligopoly resulting in higher prices, limited selection, and poor service to U.S. consumers of malleable iron pipe fittings.

tan de Archine de La Leted]

8

3

Product: Cast iron pipe and tube fittings

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

		·		· .
Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(counds)	
Japan	18,765,921	17,431,118	14,094,903	13,838,922
Thai Ind	644,225	1,338,619	5,587,816	9,262,362
Taiwan	6,014,764	7,418,853	11,032,448	3,809,129
India	1,936,408	3,145,506	2,447,033	2,699,882
(or Rep	1,053,613	2,879,313	10,095,477	2,665,932
hina. P		353,644	432,830	1,194,579
lexico	,	39,942		841,043
razil	475,516	1,395,826	475,574	816,312
Singapr		115,971	237,739	694,743
/enez		******	23.,,,,,,	673,030
King	358,627	431,343	491,606	478,192
rance	363,092	12,864	409,033	329,715
anada	248,661	126,594	24,483	94,508
R Germ	159,165	50,038	91,982	70,607
11 other total		623,990	221,676	37,918
		333777	331777	377719
Total	31,293,298	35,363,621	45,642,600	37,506,874
GSP total	11,358,176	16,909,071	29,959,888	21,495,059
		Percent		
Jap an	60.0	49.3	30.9	36.9
Thai Ind	2.1	3.8	12.2	24.7
aiwan	19.2	21.0	24.2	10.2
India	6.2	8.9	5.4	7.2
(or Rep	3.4	8.1	22.1	7.1
hina.P	0.0	1.0	0.9	3.2
1ex1 co	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.2
Brazil	1.5	3.9	1.0	2.2
ingapr	0.0	0.3	0.5	1.9
/enez	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8
/ King	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.3
rance	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.9
anada	· · · -	0.4	0.1	0.3
R Germ		0.1	0.2	0.2
All other total		1.8	0.5	0.1
. Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	36.3	47.8	65.6	57.3
				

TS number: 61074

Product: Cast iron pipe and tube fittings

TSUS item No. 610.74--Con.

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

	4001	4000		
Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1,000 dol	lars)	
Japan	11,769	11,024	9,478	10,189
hailnd	313	756	3,114	5,074
'aiwan	3,984	4,946	7,345	2,593
or Rep	556	1,465	4,869	1,373
ndia	484	769	736	777
King	501	498	584	638
razil	289	794	249	480
exico		25		393
ingapr	. •	106	127	356
R Germ	270	79	376	227
rance	259	7	287	226
hina P		100	85	224
enez				162
anada	261	116	. 14	28
11 other total	613	471	150	25
Total	19,305	21,163	27,420	22,771
GSP total	6,208	9,294	16,475	11,229
_		Percent		
apan	61.0	52.1	34.6	44.7
hailnd	1.6	3.6	11.4	22.3
aiwan	20.6	23.4	26.8	11.4
or Rep	2.9	6.9	17.8	6.0
ndia	2.5	3.6	2.7	3.4
King	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.8
razil	1.5	3.8	0.9	2.1
exico	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.7
ingapr	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.6
R Germ	1.4	0.4	1.4	1.0
ance	1.3	0.0	1.0	1.0
nina P	0.0	0.5	0.3	1.0
enez	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
anada	1.4	0.6	0.1	0.1
ll other total	3.2	2.2	0.5	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: UNIONS CST IR FITTGS MALEABL

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(Pounds)	
;anada	5,287,423	3,098,714	3,509,436	3,564,755
Panama	61,832	7,816	6,631	495,625
tex1 co	1,628,636	807,456	233,076	439,649
Arab	2,836,415	1,604,389	98,829	175,244
rab Em	25,565	198,641		125,179
apan	14,373	214,474	100,926	93,075
or Rep	35,378	331,197	96,29 9	90,771
rinid	93,306	32,731	60,342	56,390
:olomb	94,817	91,507	49,902	46,576
uatmal	35,958	42,516	57,045	44,108
gypt	39,774	51,281	24,866	30,931
King	36,843	31,950	38,438	26,091
enez	510,803	33,440	98,102	22,299
Rica	20,246	7,807	11,254	21,859
11 other total	- •	1,059,606	438,964	254,69
Total	13,030,880	7,613,525	4,824,110	5,487,25
GSP total	3,806,875	2,135,610	1,004,781	1,375,46
		Percent		
anada	40.6	40.7	72.7	65.0
anama	0.5	0.1	0.1	9.0
lex1co	12.5	10.6	4.8	8.0
Arab	21.8	21.1	2.0	3.2
rab Em	0.2	2.6	0.0	2.3
Japan	0.1	2.8	2.1	1.7
(or Rep	0.3	4.4	2.0	1.7
rinid	0.7	0.4	1.3	1.0
colomb	0.7	1.2	1.0	0.8
Guatmal	0.3	0.6	1.2	0.8
gypt	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.6
King	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.5
/enez	3.9	0.4	2.0	0.4
Rica	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4
all other total		13.9	9.1	4.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

12

Product: UNIONS CST IR FITTGS MALEABL

TSUS item No. 610.74--Con.

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

0017C@	1981	1983	1985	1986
_		/alue (1,000 dol]	(ars)	
anada	6,154	3,893	4,585	3,944
lex1 co	3,033	1,556	652	1,178
India	5	5	83	805
or Rep	74	576	592	643
Arab	4,570	2,479	295	490
anama	108	23	20	281
rinid	136	121	92	238
apan	27	558	145	235
rab Em	78 '	· 380		192
olomb	434	354	224	120
King	272	79	84	105
rance	13	36	17	72
enez	953	89	229	64
uwait	44	17	21	45
11 other total	4,292	3,413	946	709
Total	20,201	13,587	7,991	9,126
GSP total	7,419	5,213	2,630	3,774
	·	Percent		
anada	30.5	28.7	57.4	43.2
anaua	15.0	11.5	8.2	12.9
	0.0	0.0	1.0	8.8
ndia or Rep	0.4	4.2	7.4	7.1
· · · · · · · ·	22.6	18.3	3.7	5.4
Arab	0.5	0.2	0.3	3.1
	0.7	0.9	1.2	2.6
rinid	0.1	4.1	1.8	2.6
apan	0.4	2.8	0.0	2.1
rab Em	2.2	2.6	2.8	1.3
olomb	1.4	0.6	1.1	1.3
King			0.2	0.8
rance	0.1	0.3		0.7
enez	4.7	0.7	2.9	
uwait	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.5
11 other total	21.2	25.1	11.8	7.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	36.7	38.4	32.9	41.0

Annual Review of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)
U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal source, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986
Flow: Imports for consumption
TSUSA commodity: 61874--Cast iron pipe and tube fittin

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars)

Time period:	100	1		
Type	1961 ,	1905 ,	1165	1966
Cty subcode	•	•	1	
Partner			•	
First unit of quantity	•			
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:	•	€.	•	
Belize	10	•	1	
Brasil	30 i		0 1	0
None Kone	4/3 /	1.396	476	816
India	1,736	3.146	34 (33
Israel	. 6	70	2,447	2,692
Korea, South	1.054	2.879	126	• •
Malaysia	1,204	505		~
Mexico	0 ,	40 1		841
Philippines	0 . 1	•	30	-
Portugal	18 .			ì
Singapore	• .	102	234	678
Talwan	5,149	7,291	243	£11
Thailand	644 1	1,339	5,478	9,048
Venesuela	0 1	0 1	0 1	473
Moz1d	11,328	16,767	9,153	15.057
Customs value	1	•	1	
Duty-free items under ESP provisions:	1	_	1	
Belise	13 1	.		
Brasil	286 1	794 1	849	441
Nong Keng	1		21 1	17
India	484	769 1	736 1	774
Korea. South		51 1		•
Malaysia	557 : 567 :	1,466	M 1	18
Mexico	30/ 1	378 i 26 i		
Philippines		60 1	•	393
Portugal			7	•
Singapore	, ,	100	128	335
Taivan	3,959	4,845	126	110
Thailand	313	757	3,053	4,961
Venezuela		0 1	0 1	162
World	4.191	1,206	4,419	7,251
Init value	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1,740	* .7 6.2 6
Duty-free items under GSP provisions.		·	· ·	
Belize	0.45	•	0 ;	•
Brazil	0.61	0.57	0.52	0.59
Hong Kong	0	0 1	0.55	0.53
India	0.25	0.24	0.30	9.21
Israel	•	0.73	0.75	•
Korea, South	0.53	0.51	0.50 ı	0.40
Malaysia	0.47	0.75	0 1	•
Mexico	. •	0.64	• •	0.47
Philippines	0 1	• •	0.17	•
Portugal	0.46		0 1	•
Singapore	0 .	0.96 ı	0.54	0.49
Talwan	0.44	0.57 1	0.52 ·	0.52
Thailand	0.49	0.57	0.56 I	0.55
Venezuela	• •	. •	0 1	0.24
Morld	0.55	0.55	0.46 1	0.44

Aluminum Rods

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 2.6% Type of action proposed (check one): Addition of GSP: Removal from GSP:
Country graduation: X (Countries—Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, Taiwan, Venezuela, 2/ Yugoslavia)
Competitive—need—limit waiver: Non—eligible GSP countries: Venezuelä Current competitive—need—limit waivers: None. Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None. U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No Description and uses: Aluminum rods, classified in SIC 3355, Aluminum Rolling and Drawing, N.E.C., are generally drawn into wire for use in fencing or machine stock (mechanical) or for use in cable for electrical transmission purposes (electrical conductor).

II. U.S. market profile

<u>Item</u>	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms) 3/	**22	**22	** 18	** 18	-18
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	4/	4/	4/	4/	-
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	4/	4/	**357,439	**345,579	•
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	62,868	17,321	20,256	14,362	-77
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	54,719	22,823	80,241	79,081	45
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	4/	4/	**417,424	**410,298	_
Import to consumption ratio (percent)		4/	** 19	** 19	_
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)		4/	*60	*60	-

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item Nos. 7604.10.30 and 7604.29.30.
2/ Advice is requested on the probable economic effect of redesignation of Venezuela as eligible under the GSP.

^{3/} These figures represent the number of plants.

^{4/} Not available.

TSUS item No. 618.15—Con.

Comment: Fewer than 18 plants manufactured aluminum rod in 1986, with more than **\$345.6 million of rod produced. Most aluminum rod is produced for captive consumption and manufactured into wire and cable. Price is the principal purchasing factor when determining to buy rod from domestic or foreign sources when sold on the open market, providing customer specifications have been met. The principal market for rod, electrical cable, is relatively mature due to the nearly complete electrification of the United States. The price is directly affected by the cost of primary aluminum, which represents over 85 percent of the cost of rod. For this reason, low-cost energy companies or countries have a cost advantage in rod production. This product was recently the subject of countervailing duty and antidumping investigations, with the USITC issuing affirmative preliminary determinations against imports from Venezuela.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption
		Quanti	ity (1,000 pounds	5)
Total	133,632	100	-	-
Total	123,426	92	100	•
Venezuela	100,044	75	81	-
Argentina		4	5	
Yugoslavia		2	2	-
Brazil	•	1	1	1/
Taiwan	-	1	1	1/
Mexico	46	1/	1/	1/
		Va1	lue (thousands)	
Total	79,081	100	-	**19
Total	67,699	86	100	**16
Venezuela		74	87	**14
Argentina	•	5	6	**1
Yugoslavia	•	4	4	**]
Brazil	•	1	1	1/
Taiwan	699	1	1	1/ 1/ 1/
Mexico		1/	<u>1</u> /	1/

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

Note.—The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986 and any additional countries proposed for "graduation" or "waiver."

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Venezuela for all digest products	•		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986			
Price sensitivity:			
Can production in the country be easily expanded or			
contracted in the short term?	Yes X No		
Does the country have significant export			
markets besides the United States?	Yes X No		
Could exports from the country be readily			
redistributed among its foreign export markets?			
Price sensitivity of import supply High X M	oderate	LOW	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this			
	Yes <u>X</u> No		
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X M	oderate	Low	
Price level compared with:	_		
U.S. products Above			
Other foreign products Above	Equivalent _	X Below .	
Quality compared with:	_		
U.S. products Above			
Other foreign products Above			
Comment: Information collected in the course of the USITC's			
investigations indicate that Venezuela plans wire and rod ca			
	rimarv alımir		
metric tons per year by Sural to be completed in 3 years. P			y
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production	since Venezu	uelan rod	y
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the	since Venezu ey would like	uelan rod e from	
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is $**$	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V	uelan rod e from Venezuela's	
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as ba	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as ba	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as ba	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.]	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as ba	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms the United S	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as ba	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms the United S	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as ba	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms the United S	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as ba	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or	since Venezuey would like] percent. Ver basic forms the United S	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as ba States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?	since Venezuey would like] percent. Ver basic forms the United S	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as ba States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export	since Venezu ey would like] percent. N r basic forms the United S	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as b States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?	since Venezu ey would like] percent. N r basic forms the United S	uelan rod e from Venezuela's s such as b States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States?	since Venezuey would like] percent. Ver basic forms the United S 3 Yes X No	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as bo States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets?	since Venezuey would like] percent. Ver basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as ba States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply High X M	since Venezuey would like] percent. Ver basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as ba States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply High X M Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No Oderate	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as ba States, Euro	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply High X M Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers?	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No oderate Yes X No	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as bo states, Euro Low	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply High X M Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X M	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No oderate Yes X No	uelan rod e from /enezuela's s such as bo states, Euro Low	ar,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply High X M Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X M Price level compared with:	since Venezu ey would like] percent. No r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Oderate Yes X No Oderate No Oderate No Oderate No	uelan rod e from //enezuela's s such as bo States, Euro Low Low	ar, ope,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply High X M Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers?	since Venezu ey would like] percent. No r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No oderate Yes X No oderate Equivalent	LOW	ar, ope,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply	since Venezu ey would like] percent. No r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No oderate Yes X No oderate Equivalent	LOW	ar, ope,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986 Price sensitivity: Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term? Does the country have significant export markets besides the United States? Could exports from the country be readily redistributed among its foreign export markets? Price sensitivity of import supply High X M Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X M Price level compared with: U.S. products Above Other foreign products	since Venezu ey would like] percent. V r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No oderate Yes X No oderate Equivalent Equivalent	x Below	ar, ope,
expansion will likely contribute to increased rod production producers have had trouble purchasing as much aluminum as the Venezuelan smelters. Current capacity utilization is [* * * longer-term plan includes additional fabricating capacity for rod, and extrusion billet, with primary export markets being and Asia. [* * *.] Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	since Venezu ey would like] percent. No r basic forms the United S Yes X No Yes X No Oderate Yes X No oderate Equivalent Equivalent Equivalent	x Below X Below X Below	ar, ope,

TSUS item No. ⁻ 618.15—Con.

Competitiveness of Yugoslavia for all digest products	
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	
Price sensitivity:	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or	
contracted in the short term? Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export	
markets besides the United States? Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily	
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	
and other suppliers? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low	
Price level compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below _	
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below	
Quality compared with:	
U.S. products	
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below	
Comment: Imports from Yugoslavia have reportedly been driven by the fluctuating nee	ds
of the country for hard currencies.	
Compatibility of Pramil for all disease amodusts	
Competitiveness of Brazil for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	
Price sensitivity:	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or	
contracted in the short term? Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export	
markets besides the United States? Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily	
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	
and other suppliers? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low	
Price level compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below	
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below	
Quality compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below	
Other foreign products Ahove Fauivalent Y Relow	

TSUS item No. 618.15—Con.

Competitiveness of Taiwan for all digest products		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	12	
Price sensitivity:		
Can production in the country be easily expanded or		
contracted in the short term?	Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export		
markets besides the United States?	Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily		
redistributed among its foreign export markets?	Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X		
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this		-
and other suppliers?	Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X	ModerateLow	_
Price level compared with:	•	
U.S. products Above _	Equivalent X Bel	OW
Other foreign products Above _	Equivalent X Bel	OW
Quality compared with:		
U.S. products Above _	Equivalent X Bel	OW
Other foreign products Above	Equivalent X Bel	ow
Competitiveness of Mexico for all digest products		
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	17	
Price sensitivity:		
Can production in the country be easily expanded or		
contracted in the short term?	Yes X No	
Does the country have significant export		
markets besides the United States?	Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily		
redistributed among its foreign export markets?	Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High X	Moderate Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this		
and other suppliers?	Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X	_ Moderate Low	_
Price level compared with:		
U.S. products Above _	Equivalent <u>X</u> Bel	OW
Other foreign products Above		
Quality compared with:		
U.S. products Above _	Equivalent X Bel	OM
Other foreign products Above	Equivalent <u>X</u> Bel	OW

TSUS item No. 618.15—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—Southwire Company (Carrollton, GA) claims that GSP imports of aluminum rod are adversely affecting the U.S. industry and that the specified countries are highly competitive in the U.S. market, no longer justifying the granting of GSP treatment.

Opposition.—Camara Argentina de la Industria del Alumino y Metales Afines, an Argentine association including producers of aluminum rod, states that the U.S. aluminum rod industry is not adversely affected by GSP imports from Argentina (which account for 4 percent of total imports) and that the Argentine industry would be adversely impacted by the loss of GSP benefits through graduation.

Impol Aluminum Mill, a Yugoslavian rod producer, opposes Yugoslavia's graduation for this item citing the low level of U.S. rod imports from Yugoslavia (which account for 2 percent of total imports) and Yugoslavia's relatively poor economic development that warrants GSP status.

14

TS number: 61815

TSUS item No. 618.15--Con.

Product: Wrought rods of aluminum

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantit	v (pounds)	
/enez	24,947,345	13,672,155	112,954,750	100,044,075
Argent		1,465,921	2,700,645	5,890,930
/ugoslv	4,841,133	5,861,869	4,525,096	2,935,631
J King	50,370	486,886	1,457,012	2,783,623
Belgium	804,716	1,763,245	3,106,289	2,305,312
razil		762,909	2,719,252	1,240,847
aiwan			262,725	896,308
rance	647,059	257,394	1,292,568	890,231
pain		15,119	977,654	730,555
taly	1,287,190	1,569,206	1,095,332	725,378
anada	15,728	131,298	563,637	656,346
Japan	168,319	114,855	1,471,179	472,208
R Germ	63,130	119,241	58,228	61,759
lexico	1,892			45,746
11 other total		334,028	447,574	82,84
Total	33,047,711	26,554,126	133,631,941	119,761,791
GSP total	29,790,370	21,762,854	123,426,202	111,101,94
		Percent		
/enez	75.5	51.5	84.5	83.5
	0.0	5.5	2.0	4.9
rgent	14.6	22.1	3.4	2.5
/ugoslv	0.2	1.8	1.1	2.3
King		6.6	2.3	1.9
Belgium		2.9	2.0	
Brazil			·	1.0
raiwan	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.7
France		1.0	1.0	0.7
Spain	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.6
Italy		5.9	0.8	0.6
anada		0.5	0.4	0.5
Japan		0.4	1.1	0.4
R Germ		0.4	0.0	0.1
Mexico	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
All other total	0.7	1.3	0.3	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: Wrought rods of aluminum

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

15

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1,000 dol	lars)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ven ez	45,443	9,966	59,321	58,803
U King	89	791	2,369	5,181
Argent		1,113	2,377	4,190
Yugoslv	5,671	5,466	4,735	2,980
Belgium	882	1,543	2,721	2,082
Brazil		604	1,884	966
Canada	17	163	616	941
France	739	258	1,141	853
Italy	1,237	1,252	1,155	832
Spain		6	1,006	763
Taiwan			215	699
Japan	181	168	1,569	543
FR Germ	201	1,235	567	145
Antigua		•		34
All other total	255	253	560	61
Total	54,719	22,823	80,241	79,081
GSP total	51,119	17,150	68,886	67,699
		Percent		
			-	
Venez	83.0	43.7	73.9	74.4
U King	0.2	3.5	3.0	6.6
Argent	0.0	4.9	3.0	5.3
Yugoslv	10.4	23.9	5.9	3.8
Belgium	1.6	6.8	3.4	2.6
Brazil	0.0	2.6	2.3	1.2
Canada	0.0	0.7	0.8	1.2
France	1.4	1.1	1.4	. 1.1
Italy	2.3	5.5	1.4	1.1
Spain	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.0
Taiwan	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.9
Japan	0.3	0.7	2.0	0.7
FR Germ	0.4	5.4	0.7	0.2
All other total	0.5	1.1	0.7	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: WRT RDS ALUM UN .375 IN DIAM

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(pounds)	
	1,050,046	1,265,620	4,848,873	4,973,868
Italy	991,001	1,839,736	1,920,591	2,439,672
	1,943,341	1,609,942	972,048	1,076,983
	5,572,154	3,300,924	2,600,962	679,437
Thai 1nd	35,249	775,420	1,102,753	634,430
Mexico 43	3,824,733	3,645,881	4,431,928	553,561
Japan	678,638	2,645,559	536,221	534,470
Salvadr	440,831	84	67,739	477,622
Nigeria	224,856			389,655
Ghana	·-	,	62,470	204,199
	1,635,027	50,980	1,030,259	197,021
France	3,716	8,321		158,857
FR Germ	75,738	644	264,780	147,684
Phil.R	37	186,908	1,004	97,446
All other total.		817,090	720,448	328,973
All Other total	0) 00 6) 1 1 3	<u></u>		
Tota1 <u>6</u>	4,478,142	16,147,109	18,560,076	12,893,878
GSP total <u>5</u>	8,683,070	10,024,427	9,934,815	4,019,631
·		Percent		
Canada	1.6	7.8	26.1	38.6
Italy	1.5	11.4	10.3	18.9
Cocos I	3.0	10.0	5.2	8.4
Israel	8.6	20.4	14.0	5.3
Thai Ind	0.1	4.8	5.9	4.9
Mexico	68.0	22.6	23.9	4.3
Japan	1.1	16.4	2.9	4.1
Salvadr	0.7	0.0	0.4	3.7
Nigeria	0.3	0.0	0.0	3.0
	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.6
Ghana	2.5	0.3	5.6	1.5
U King	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.2
France			1.4	1.1
FR Germ	0.1	0.0		0.8
Phil.R	0.0	1.2	0.0	
All other total	12,4	5,1	3.9	2.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	91.0	62.1	53.5	31.2

SCH B no. 6181520 6181540

Product: WRT RDS ALUM UN .375 IN DIAM

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1,000 dol	lars)	·
Canada	1,281	1,421	5,425	5,197
Italy	1,259	2,017	2,129	2,480
Cocos I	2,296	1,914	1,114	1,234
Thai lnd	30	1,023	1,623	909
Japan	933	2,289	902	908
Israel	9,320	3,924	3,245	864
Mexico	37,744	3,011	3,517	662
Salvadr	330		99	· 330
Nigeria	185			280
U King	1,702	68	1,067	241
France	6	11		220
Ghana	•		64	208
FR Germ	95		294	192
Phil.R	1	291	5	157
All other total	7,679	1,347	767	472
Total	62,868	17,321	20,256	14,362
GSP total	55,903	10,947	10,402	4,760
		Percent		
_				·
Canada	2.0	8.2	26.8	36.2
Italy	2.0	11.6	10.5	17.3
Cocos I	3.7	11.1	5.5	8.6
Thailnd	0.0	5.9	8.0	6.3
Japan	. 1.5	13.2	4.5	6.3
Israel	14.8	22.7	16.0	6.0
Mexico	60.0	17.4	17.4	4.6
Salvadr	0.5	0.0	0.5	2.3
Nigeria	0.3	0.0	0.0	2.0
U King	2.7	0.4	5.3	1.7
France	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.5
Gha na	0.0	0.0	0.3	1.5
FR Germ	0.2	0.0	1.5	1.3
Phil.R	0.0	· 1.7	0.0	1.1
All other total	12.2	7.8	3.8	3.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	88.9	63.2	51.4	33.1

Source: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce $^{17}\,$

Aluminum Wire Not Coated or Plated

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: <u>4.2%</u>
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP:
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation: X (Countries—Argentina, Brazil, Venezuela)
Competitive-need-limit waiver
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No .
Description and uses: Aluminum wire, classified in SIC 3355, Aluminum Rolling and
Drawing, N.E.C., is used for mechanical purposes (fencing or screw machine stock) or
electrical purposes (transmission cables). The wire is drawn from aluminum rod or bar
into a square, round, rectangular, hexagonal, or octagonal shape, with a diameter less
than 0.375 inch.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms) 2/	**69	**69	**61	**61	-12
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	3/	3/	3/	<u>3</u> /	-
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	3/	3/	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	•
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	18,742	6,756	7,574	8,870	-53
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	2,682	3,417	7,813	8,799	288
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	3/	3/	3/	<u>3</u> /	-
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	37	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	-
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	3/	3/	3/	<u>3</u> /	•

Comment: Fewer than ***61 plants produced bare wire in 1986. Wire is an intermediate product in the manufacture of transmission cable, fencing, screws, and so forth. Wire is a product made to specific customer requirements on a contract basis, not generally manufactured and sold on a free market basis. When sold on the merchant market, price is the principal competitive factor between domestic and foreign sources within wire types (mechanical and electrical). Most wire is for captive consumption for the manufacture of the aforementioned items. Wire facilities are often located in proximity to rod/bar facilities due to the integral nature of their production processes. The major integrated aluminum producers have traditionally dominated the market, but several of these producers have closed or have announced plans to close electrical wire (and cable) facilities due to the low valued—added nature of the product and plans to emphasize production of higher value products.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item Nos. 7605.11.00, 7605.19.00, 7605.21.00, and 7605.29.00.

^{2/} These figures represent the number of plants.

^{3/} Not available.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
		Quanti	ity (1,000 pounds	3)
Total Imports from GSP countries:	6,152	100	-	-
Total	1,109	13	100	-
Yugos lavia	492	8	44	•
Venezuela	539	9	49	-
Taiwan	78	1	7	· <u>1</u> /
Korea	1	1/	1/	<u>1</u> /
Argentina	0	Ō	0	0
Brazil	0	<u> </u>	0	0
		Val	ue (thousands)	
Total Imports from GSP countries:	8,799	100	-	· -
Total	1,106	13	100	-
Yugos lavia	773	. 9	70	-
Venezuela	265	3	24	-
Taiwan	59	1	5	1/
Korea	3	1/	1/	<u>1</u> /
Argentina	•	0	0	0
Brazi 1	-	0	0	0

Note.—The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986 and any additional countries proposed for "graduation" or "waiver."

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Yugoslavia for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term?
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States?
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Competitiveness of Venezuela for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States?
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes <u>X</u> No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers?
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
U.S. products
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: Information collected in the course of the USITC's countervailing and
antidumping investigations on electrical conductor rod from Venezuela indicate that
Venezuela plans wire and rod capacity expansions of 60,000 metric tons per year by Sural
to be completed in 3 years. [* * *.]

TSUS item No. 618.20—Cor

Competitiveness of Argentina for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent _X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent _X Below
Comment: There were no imports of aluminum wire from Argentina in 1986. Three Argentina
wire producers account for about 49 percent of wire and cable capacity.
Competitiveness of Brazil for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States? Yes X No
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Con Abo II C murchage spails shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Quality compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Below
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Below
Comment: There were no imports of aluminum wire from Mexico in 1986. The three
principal Brazilian producers of wire accounted for 57 percent of wire and cable
capacity.

TSUS item No. 618.20—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner</u>.—Southwire Company (Carrollton, GA) included aluminum wire in the petition to prevent any diversion of aluminum rod production to wire production if GSP treatment was withdrawn from those countries being considered for graduation.

Opposition.—Camara Argentina de la Industria del Alumino y Metales Afines, an Argentine association including producers of aluminum wire, states that the U.S. aluminum wire industry is not adversely affected by GSP imports from Argentina (of which there were none in 1986) and that the Argentine industry would be adversely impacted by the loss of GSP benefits through graduation.

Product: Aluminum wire, not plated or coated

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source `	1981	1983	1985	. 1986
-		Quantity	(pounds)	
Canada	174,316	2,118,806	1,865,427	2,801,825
U King	307	264,949	686,230	1,132,851
Venez		204,747	4,160,407	538,500
Yugoslv	224,297	85,367	235,800	492,366
Japan	1,533,082	427,421	174,271	389,065
FR Germ	56,147	126,953	171,818	251,461
France	21,862	206,496	684,006	227,113
Belgium	2.,,,,,	37,148	367,107	219,149
raiwan	30	1,877	307,101	78,17
Italy		128	4,461	19,840
Neth1ds	•		21,389	1,460
Spain			276	6
Thai Ind				30
Hg Kong	. 10	1,372	26	2
All other total	39,783	11,519	810,762	4
-11 Other totalii		117917		
Total	2,049,834	3,282,036	9,181,980	6,151,98
GSP total	263,612	88,616	5,206,995	1,109,14
•	•			
-		Percent		
Canada	8.5	64.6	20.3	45.5
U King	0.0	8.1	7.5	18.4
Venez	0.0	0.0	45.3	8.8
Yugoslv	10.9	2.6	2.6	8.0
Japan	74.8	13.0	1.9	6.3
FR Germ	2.7	3.9	1.9	4.1
France	1.1	6.3	7.4	3.7
Belgium	0.0	1.1	4.0	3.6
Taiwan	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.3
Italy	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Nethlds	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
Spain	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Thai Ind	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hg Kong	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
All other total		0.4	8.8	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TS number: 61820

TSUS item No. 618.20--Con.

Product: Aluminum wire, not plated or coated

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		/alue (1,000 dol:	lars)	
Canada	198	1,712	2,237	3,995
U King	12	342	1,021	2,182
Yugoslv	239	96	408	773
FR Germ	87	243	242	502
Japan	2,057	719	428	493
France	42	243	762	265
Venez			1,760	265
Belgium		33	322	213
raiwan	· 1	5	733	59
Italy	•	_	8	34
Kor Rep			13	. 3
			12	. 3
Spain			45	
Nethlds				2
Hg Kong	49	4	1	1
All other total	43	15	547	2
Total	2,682	3,417	7,813	8,799
GSP total	282	106	2,731	1,106
		Percent		
Canada	7.4	50.1	28.6	45.4
U King	0.5	10.0	13.1	24.8
Yugoslv	8.9	2.8	5.2	8.8
FR Germ	3.2	7.1	3.1	5.7
	76.7	21.0	5.5	5.6
Japan	1.6	7.1	9.8	3.0
France			22.5	3.0
Venez	0.0	0.0	4.1	
Belgium	0.0	1.0		2.4
Taiwan	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.7
Italy	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4
Kor Rep	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
Spain	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
Nethlds	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
Hg Kong	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
All other total	1.6	0.5	7.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

SCH B no. 6182100

TSUS item No. 618.20--Con.

Product: ALUMINUM WIRE

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
-		Quantity	(pounds)	
	2,518,423	1,407,702	1,487,424	1,895,410
Canada	4,427,526	268,577	909,999	944,810
lexico	3,914	77,807	52,687	462,670
laiti	3,714	//,60/	32,007	321,726
ran	246 F/6	OF 44A	47 207	128,59
R Germ	216,546	95,440 24,774	47,203	
(or Rep	458,795	24,376	364,021	93,960
raiwan	218,345	49,549	19,854	75,93
Argent	28,847	2,675	44,522	74,12
londura	5,219	11,446	19,874	68,234
J.King	251,913	59,844	51,651	67,950
Cocos.I	111,009	34,076	43,452	64,048
Panama	13,649	4,971	83,738	62,263
Neth1ds	155,673	50,922	33,280	61,92
Ecuador	47,469	82,083	72,681	59,80
all other total	7,273,629	2,253,083	2,116,337	754,55
Total	15,730,957	4,422,551	5,346,723	5,136,01
GSP total	9,945,774	1,372,114	2,449,284	2,435,92
-		Percent		
Canada	16.0	31.8	27.8	36.9
1exi co	28.1	6.1	17.0	18.4
laiti	0.0	1.8	1.0	9.0
Iran	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3
FR Germ	1.4	2.2	0.9	2.5
Kor Rep	2.9	0.6	6.8	1.8
Taiwan	1.4	1.1	0.4	1.5
Argent	0.2	0.1	0.8	1.4
Hondura	0.0	0.3	0.4	1.3
J.King	1.6	1.4	1.0	1.3
Cocos. I	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.2
Panama	0.1	0.1	1.6	1.2
Nethlds	1.0	1.2	0.6	1.2
Ecuador	0.3	1.9	1.4	1.2
All other total		50.9	39.6	14.
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: ALUMINUM WIRE

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		/alue (1,000 dol]	lars)	
Canada	3,386	1,849	1,711	2,802
Mexico	4,701	368	1,339	1,548
Haiti	9	100 -	58	437
U.King	462	168	100	309
Nethlds	145	235	228	274
FR Germ	292	123	146	240
Hg Kong	193	368	248	229
Iran			- 10	228
Argent	61	11	90	215
Phil.R	405	226	78	205
Kor Rep	406	50	111	196
Malaysa	109	26	145	180
Venez	432	65	174	148
	218	86	88	144
Cocos.I				1,707
All other total	7,917	3,075	3,052	1,707
Total	18,742	6,756	7,574	8,870
GSP total	11,237	2,525	3,967	4,450
		Percent		
Canada	18.1	27.4	22.6	31.6
Mexico	25.1	5.5	17.7	17.5
	0.0	1.5	0.8	4.9
Haiti	2.5	2.5	1.3	3.5
U.King	0.8	2.5 3.5	3.0	3.1
Nethlds		1.8	1.9	2.7
FR Germ	1.6		3.3	
Hg Kong	1.0	5.5		2.6
Iran	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
Argent	0.3	0.2	1.2	2.4
Phil.R	2.2	3.4	1.0	2.3
Kor Rep	2.2	0.7	1.5	2.2
Malaysa	0.6	0.4	1.9	2.0
Venez	2.3	1.0	2.3	1.7
Cocos.I	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.6
All other total	42.2	45.5	40.3	19.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Ropes, Cables, or Cordage Fitted With Fittings

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 5.7%
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP:
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation: X (Countries — Korea)
Competitive-need-limit waiver
Non-eligible GSP countries: None
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: None
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: The products included in this digest consist of slings, strands, ropes, cables and cordage of wire, fitted with fittings or made up into articles. Wire ropes are used in oil and gas drilling, mining, elevators, industrial cranes and hoists
maritime industry application and general construction. Specialty cables are used in aircraft, automobiles, pleasure craft and many other varied applications.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	. *12	* 12	*11	*9	-25
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	. <u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	
J.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	.**22,440	**14,000	**13,362	**11,322	-50
J.S. exports (thousand dollars)3/	. **5,596	**2,768	**2,824	**3,302	-41
J.S. imports (thousand dollars) 4/	.** 10,345	** 12,781	±= 16,476	**23,608	128
J.S. consumption (thousand dollars)					16
Import to consumption ratio (percent)		53	61	75	97
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)		**54	**62	**47	-33

Comment: U.S. shipments are down 50 percent, while imports increased 128 percent between 1981 and 1986. The strength of the U.S. industry has declined over the period. Domestic production and capacity utilization have decreased, facilities have been were shut down, and the number of production workers has decreased. The U.S. industry's strength is in its quick response time to orders, long standing customer relations, and the technical services offered. Imports offered a price advantage over the domestic product.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 7312.10.50 and 7312.10.70.

^{2/} Less than 500.

^{3/} Estimated to represent 60 percent of U.S. exports of schedule B., No. 642.000.

^{4/} TSUS item 642.2010—ropes, cables or cordage fitted with fittings came into existance on January 1, 1987, and did not exist before that date. Import values for item 642.2010 for the period 1981-1986 are estimated to represent 60 percent or more of the import values of TSUS item 642.2000.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

IV.

		Percent of	Percent of GSP	Percent of				
Item	Imports	total imports	imports	U.S. consumption				
·	Quantity (1,000 of pounds) 2/							
Total	18 453	100		73				
Imports from GSP countries:	10,455	100						
Total	6,490	35	100	26				
Taiwan	1,721	9	27	7				
Singapore	897	5	14	4				
_		_	• •	Ī				
Korea	2,147	12	33	8				
Yugos lavia	373	2	6					
		Val	ue (thousand dol	llars) 2/				
Total	23,608	100	_	75				
Imports from GSP countries:		· · · ·		-				
Total	8,014	34	100	25				
Taiwan	3,160	13	39	10				
Singapore	1,889	8	24	6				
Korea	1,279	5	16	4				
	-		· [•				
Yugos lavia	280	1	3	· ·				
Note.—The countries shown in	the tabl	e include the top	four GSP suppl	iers in 1986.				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI	Supplier	<u>'s</u> :	four GSP suppl	iers in 1986.				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for	Supplier all diges	s: t products		iers in 1986.				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import sup	Supplier all diges	s: t products		iers in 1986.				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import sup	Supplier all diges	s: t products		iers in 1986.				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supperice sensitivity: Can production in the county	supplier all diges blier, 198 try be eas	s: t products 6	6					
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import suppersive sensitivity:	supplier all diges blier, 198 try be eas	s: t products 6	6					
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supperice sensitivity: Can production in the county	Supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term?	s: it products 6 ily expanded or	6					
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supported sensitivity: Can production in the counterprofile contracted in the short of the counterprofile contracted in the counterprofi	Supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term?	s: it products if expanded or	<u>6</u> Yes <u>X</u> !	No				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import support Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the count	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? htry be re	it products ily expanded or port	Yes <u>X</u> !	No				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supporting sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex	es: it products ily expanded or sport adily sport markets?	<u>6</u> Yes <u>X</u> ! Yes <u>X</u> !	No No No				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import support Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unit Could exports from the count	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex	es: it products ily expanded or sport adily sport markets?	<u>6</u> Yes <u>X</u> ! Yes <u>X</u> !	No No No				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to the country have significant markets besides the Unital Could exports from the countredistributed among its price sensitivity of important the U.S. purchaser eas	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply illy shift	es: t products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes <u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u> Yes <u>X</u> X Moderate	No No Low				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supportice sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to the country have significant markets besides the Unital Could exports from the countredistributed among its price sensitivity of important the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers?	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	es: t products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X	No No No Low				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import support su	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	es: t products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X	No No No Low				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supply Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short Does the country have signing markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of Price level compared with:	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this	Yes X Yes X X Moderate X Moderate X Moderate	No No No No				
contracted in the short Does the country have sign markets besides the Unite Could exports from the coun redistributed among its Price sensitivity of impor Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. Price level compared with: U.S. products	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	t products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this Above		No No No No Low t Below _X_				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supply Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short Does the country have signing markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its Price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of Price level compared with:	e supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	t products ily expanded or port adily port markets? High among this Above		No No No No Low t Below _X_				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supply Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to the country have signing markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price level compared with: U.S. products Other foreign products Quality compared with:	supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	est products if p	6 Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X X Moderate Equivalence Equivalence	No No Low t Below _X tX Below				
Competitiveness profiles, GSI Competitiveness of Korea for Ranking as a U.S. import supply Price sensitivity: Can production in the count contracted in the short to the country have signing markets besides the Unite Could exports from the countredistributed among its price sensitivity of import Can the U.S. purchaser eas and other suppliers? Price sensitivity of U.S. of Price level compared with: U.S. products Other foreign products	supplier all diges olier, 198 try be eas term? ificant ex ed States? ntry be re foreign ex t supply ily shift	est products if p	6 Yes X Yes X X Moderate Yes X X Moderate Equivalence Equivalence	No No Low t Below _X tX Below				

^{1/} TSUS item 642.2010—ropes, cables or cordage fitted with fittings came into existance on January 1, 1987 and did not exist before that date.
2/ Quantities and values for item 642.2010 is estimated to comprise 60 percent or more of item 642.2000—strands, ropes, cables, or made up into articles.

TSUSA item No. 642.2010—Con.

Comment: Although imports are generally priced lower than domestic products, U.S. producers maintain an advantage in shorter delivery time and technical services.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner.</u>—The Committee of Domestic Steel Wire Rope and Specialty Steel Manufacturers, (the "Committee") request that TSUS item 642.2010 imported from Korea be removed from duty free treatment under the GSP.

The Committee's request is based on the contention that, (1) the steel wire rope industry is no longer a developing industry in Korea; (2) TSUS item 642.2010 is not included under the country's voluntary restraint agreement (which limits certain steel imports) and therefore may be used to circumvent the VRA; and (3) steel wire rope is import sensitive. Steel wire rope (TSUS item 642.14 and 642.16) was removed from GSP eligibility in 1981. To make that decision all inclusive the industry agrees that it is essential to remove GSP treatment for all wire ropes including those under item 642.2010.

Opposition.—The Korean Trade Center (Center) opposes the removal of TSUSA item 642.2010 from duty-free treatment under the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP).

The Center's opposition is based on the contention that, (1) the manufacturers of fittings are small companies with old facilities and are separate entities from the steel wire rope makers (2) the granting or withdrawal of GSP status is governed by the Title V of the Trade Act of 1974 and Voluntary Restraint Agreements do not enter into the issue, and (3) since the fitting accessory industry is a developing industry the import sensitivity is not a justifiable issue.

TSUSA number: 642.2010 1/

Product: Ropes, cables and cordage fitted with fittings

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

ource	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(1,000 pounds	3) 2/
pan	1,720	1,655	2,364	2,065
anada	3,875	4,214	5,744	6,740
. Germ	766	940	1,874	6,697
iwan	1,065	1,348	2,343	2,868
ngapore	282	180	1,673	1,495
Rep	260	319	1,388	3,578
u			120	1,400
ince	48	52	99	394
ico		235	65	80
ted Kingdom	296	4,997	145	325
lly	88	172	293	379
gium	4	44	38	1,101
herlands	66	371	4	542
oslavia				622
other total	433	319	871	2,502
Total		14,846	17,021	30,788
GSP total		2,307	5,893	10,817
· -		Pe	ercent	
en	19.3	11.2	13.9	6.7
ada	43.5	28.4	33.8	21.9
Germ	8.6	6.3	11.0	21.8
wan	12.0	9.1	13.8	9.3
gapore	3.3	1.2	9.8	4.9
. Rep	2.9	2.2	8.2	11.6
u			.0.7	4.6
ince	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.3
ico		1.6	0.4	0.3
ted Kingdom	3.3	33.7	0.9	1.1
ly	1.1	1.2	1.73	1.2
Rium	0.1	0.3	0.2	3.6
herlands	0.8	2.5	0.1	1.8
oslavia	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
•	4.9	2.6	5.1	8.1
other total				
other total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

^{1/} TSUS item 642.2010—ropes, cables or cordage fitted with fittings came into existance on January 1, 1987 and did not exist before that date.
2/ Quantities are for U.S. imports of TSUS item 642.2000—strands, ropes, cables, or made up into articles. Item 642.2010 is estimated to comprise 60 percent or more of item 642.2000.

TSUSA number: 642.2010 1/

Product: Ropes, cables and cordage fitted with

fittings

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1	000 dollars)	2/
pan	4,510	4.924	7,201	7,559
anada	3.751	4,545	5,411	6,938
R. Germ	3,749	2,655	4,125	6,481
aiwan	1,320	1,574	3,858	5,270
ingapore	1,038	316	3,156	3,270
r. Rep	124	259	786	2.132
ru	124	239		•
ance	197	199	991	1,064
	17/	199 59	230	911
Xico	776		295	655
ited Kingdom	716	5,369	616	641
aly	368	342	416	603
elgium and Luxembourg	16	19	33	577
therlands	205	255	11	534
goslavia	•			466
1 other total		783	12	24
Total		21,302	27,460	39,347
GSP total	3,081	2,731	8,694	13,358
		Pe	ercent	
pan	26.6	23.1	26.2	19.2
inada	22.1	21.3	19.7	17.6
. Germ	22.1	12.5	15.0	16.4
iwan	7.8	7.4	14.0	13.4
ngapore	6.1	1.5	11.5	7.7
r. Rep	0.7	1.2	2.9	5.4
ru	0.0	0.0	0.3	2.7
ance	1.2	0.9	0.8	2.3
xico	0.0	0.3	1.1	1.7
ited Kingdom	4.2	25.2	2.2	1.6
aly	2.2	1.6	1.5	1.5
lgium and Luxembourg	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.5
therlands	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.4
goslavia			4.6	1.3
ll other total	5.7	3.7	4.5	6.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	18.2	12.8	31.7	34.0

^{1/} TSUS item 642.2010--ropes, cables or cordage fitted with fittings came into existance on January 1, 1987 and did not exist before that date.
2/ Values are for U.S. imports of TSUS item 642.2000--strands, ropes, cables,

and cordage, fitted with fittings, or made up into articles. Item 642.2010 is estimated to comprise 60 perent or more of item 642.2000.

TSUSA number: 642.2000

Product: Ropes, cables ad cordage fitted with fittings

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986	
		Quantity (1	,000 pounds)		
Canada	754	260	523	590	
lexico	211	79	75	161	
Japan	87	40	59	173	
Singapore	6	12	111	435	
lest Germany	15	2	. 1	20	
Inited Kingdom	40	35	15	89	
Costa Rica	1	0	78	147	
lustralia	185	14	23	18	
Guyana	0	37	8	57	
aiwan	45	18	47	7	
Italy	9	0	72	54	
Saudi Arabia	423	287	79	19	•
[srael	14	4	15	41	
enmark	21	35	2	13	
ll other total	1,811	547	546	239	
Total	3,191	1,330	1,657	2,063	
GSP total	1,116	519	746	961	
		n			
· ·			ercent		
Canada	23.6	19.6	31.6	28.6	
lexico	6.6	5.9	4.5	7.8	
apan	2.7	3.0	3.7	8.4	
Singapore	0.2	0.9	6.7	21.1	
West Germany	0.5	0.2	0.1	1.0	
Inited Kingdom	1.3	2.6	0.9	4.3	
Costa Rica	0.1	. 0	4.7	7.1	
Australia	5.8	1.1	1.4	0.9	
Guyana	0.0	2.8	5.0	2.8	
Caiwan	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.3	
[taly	0.3	0	4.4	2.6	
Saudi Arabia	13.3	21.6	4.8	0.9	
[srael	0.4	0.3	0.9	2.0	
Denmark	0.7	2.6	0.1	-0.6	
All other total	56.8	41.1	33.0	-11.6	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
GSP total	35.0	39.0	450	46.6	

TSUSA number: 642.2000

Product: Ropes, cables and cordage fitted with fittings

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

rce	1981	1983	1985	1986
,		Value (1,000 dollars	3)
ida	1,875	1,217	1,620	1,768
ico	460	385	400	724
ın	338	144	245	537
apore	48	50	106	474
Germany	102	236	138	322
d Kingdom	220	265	65	298
Rica	3	8	108	264
alia	249	51	115	98
1	0	36	25	85
l	116	66	462	76
	50	5	66	65
Arabia	816	752	254	51
	53	10	29	46
k	96	182	30 °	42
her total	4,901	1,216	1,044	653
tal		4,613	4,707	5,503
total		1,356	1,869	2,043
_		F	ercent	
	20.1	26.4	34.4	32.1
	4.9	8.4	8.5	13.2
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3.6	3.1	5.2	11.4
re	0.5	1.1	2.3	8.6
ermany	1.1	5.1	2.9	6.8
Kingdom	2.4	5.8	1.4	6.3
Rica	0.1	0.2	2.3	5.6
lia	2.7	1.1	2.4	1.8
	0	2.2	0.5	1.5
••••	1.3	1.4	9.8	1.4
•••••	0.5	0.1	1.4	1.2
rabia	8.8	16.1	5.4	0.9
	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.8
k	1.0	4.0	-0.6	-0.7
her total	52.6	26.4	22.2	11.9
tal		100.0	100.0	100.0
P total	24.0	29.4	39.7	37.1

09/14/87

Annual Review of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)
U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal source, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986
Flow: General imports
TSUSA commodity: 6422000--Wir strnd, rpe, etc fit or art

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars) Time period: 1983 1961 1985 . 1986 Type Cty subcode Partner Duty-free items under GSP provisions: Belize..... Brazil....... 166 263 274 0 Chile..... 0 0 163 Colombia..... 46 0 ٥ 0 Dominican Republic..... 0 O 7 0 Hong Kong..... 14 79 47 0 India..... 16 0 0 2 Israel..... 65 0 123 77 Korea, South..... 60 0 627 1,428 Neth Antilles.... 22 Ω 0 0 Peru..... 91 0 1,064 Philippines..... ٥ ٥ Ω 1 Romania..... 0 0 0 Singapore..... 3,150 663 2,836 0 Taiwan..... 936 0 3,721 5,026 Thailand.... C 0 ٥ 0 Venezuela..... 49 0 ٥ 24 Yugoslavia..... 466 2,069 8,049 11,445 Norld..... 0 :First unit of quantity Duty-free items under GSP provisions: Belize..... 0 300 792 Brazil..... 74,714 0 126,935 164,364 Chile..... 436,556 0 109,827 Colombia.... 56,901 Dominican Republic.....
Hong Kong.... 17,000 0 0 ٥ 30,132 0 6,258 46,086 India.... 6,533 45 0 Israel..... 33,038 22,709 14,366 ۵ 46,300 Korea, South..... 0 964,600 3,086,144 Neth Antilles..... 854 0 Peru..... 0 119,645 1,399,884 Philippines..... 0 0 ٥ 66 Romania ٥ 0 • 1,515 Singapore...... 1,670,033 1,219,866 178,589 0 Taiwan..... 0 1 2,257,773 778.700 2,751,921 Thailand...... 308 0 Venezuela..... ٥ 1,543 33,763 Yugoslavia..... ٥ 621,513 Morld..... 1,229,503 0 1 5,305,454 9,744,616 :Unit value Duty-free items under GSP provisions: Ω 23.09 Belize...... 3.35 Brazil....... 2.22 2.07 1.66 Chile..... 0.40 0 0.37 ٥ 0 Colombia..... 0.81 0 Dominican Republic..... 0 0.41 0 ٥ Hong Kong..... 1.56 0 2.23 1.71 India..... 2.38 0 34.00 ٥ Israel....... 2.86 0 3.71 5.38 0 0.65 Korea, South..... 1.30 0.46

Note: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

09/14/87

Annual Review of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)
U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal source, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986
Flow: General imports
TSUSA commodity: 6422000--Hir strnd, rpe, etc fit or art

(1b)

(Quantity in thousands of pounds; value in thousands of dollars)

Time period:	1981		1983		1985		1986
Гуре		1					
Cty subcode							
Partner				•			
		i		i		<u> </u>	
•		1		1			
nit value :		1	,	1		1	
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:							
Neth Antilles	25.34		0		0		(
Peru	0		0		0.76		0.7
Philippines	0		0		0		18.5
Romania	0		Ō		Ō	1	2.0
Singapore	3.71		Ŏ		1.89		2.3
Taivan	1.20	•	Ŏ.		1.65		1.8
Thailand	1.09	-	ŏ	:	0		
Venezuela	1.45	-	ŏ		ō		15.4
Yugoslavia	0				ŏ		0.7
World	1.68	:	ŏ		1.52	:	1.1
		•	•	•		: .	

Note: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

1

Expanded Metal of Base Metal

I. Introduction

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	* 15	*15	* 16	*14	-7
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	2/	-
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	2/	<u>3</u> /	80,217	64,372	<u>3</u> /
U.S. exports (thousand dollars) 2/	4,535	3,073	1,550	1,004	- 78
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	1,324	1,563	2,810	3,950	198
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	<u>3</u> /	3/	81,377	67,318	<u>3</u> /
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3	6	<u>3</u> /
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	<u>3</u> /	3/	* 50	*4 5	<u>3</u> /

Comment: The domestic expanded metal industry, although relatively small, has sought to improve its competitiveness amid increasing import competition largely through changes of ownership and the acquisition of assets. Imports from Mexico and Korea (the principal GSP supplying countries) increased significantly during 1981-86, reportedly due to advantages in price and more favorable purchasing terms. Although there are no distinct differences in the methods used to distribute imported expanded metal (or that which is produced domestically) in the U.S. market, U.S. producers generally have advantages in shorter delivery time, product availability, and historical supplier relationship.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS nomenclature is contained in the digests for HS item Nos. 7314.50.00 and 7414.90.00.
2/ Less than 500.

^{3/} Not available.

TSUS item No. 652.80 -- Con.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Item	Imports	Percent of total imports	Percent of GSP imports	Percent of U.S. consumption
Z-2711			ty (square feet)	
	10 202 272	100		
Total Imports from GSP countries:	10,282,273	100	-	5
Total	1,880,962	18	100	1
Mexico	1,252,546	12	67	1/
Korea	448,980	4	24	<u>1</u> / <u>1</u> / 1/
Venezuela	151,687	1	8	1/
Taiwan	27,749	1/	1	<u>ī/</u>
		Val	lue (thousands)	
Total	3,950	100	-	6
Tota1	951	24	100	. 1
Mexico	711	18	75	1
Korea	122	3	13	1/
Venezuela	86	2	9	1/
Taiwan	31	1 .	3	1/

Note. —The countries shown in the table include the top four GSP suppliers in 1986.

^{1/} Less than 0.5 percent.

TSUS item No. 652.80 —Con.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Mexico for all digest products
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Price sensitivity:
Can production in the country be easily expanded or
contracted in the short term? Yes X No
Does the country have significant export
markets besides the United States?
Could exports from the country be readily
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of import supply High X Moderate Low
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this
and other suppliers? Yes X No
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High X Moderate Low
Price level compared with:
U.S. products Above Equivalent Below X
Other foreign products Above Equivalent Below X
Quality compared with:
U.S. products
Comment: Imports of expanded metal from Mexico are generally priced lower than imports
from developed countries and expanded metal produced in the United States. There are no
major quality differences with respect to imports from Mexico, the United States, and
other countries. In many instances, the purchaser in the U.S. market will pay a higher
price for domestically produced expanded metal because of product warranties and other
services rendered by the U.S. producer.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Competitiveness of Korea for all digest products
Competitiveness of Korea for all digest products Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986

3

TSUS item No. 652.80 —Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner</u>.—The Expanded Metal Fair Trade Coalition (EMFTC) has requested that Mexico and Korea, which the Coalition maintains are two relatively advanced GSP supplying countries, should be graduated from the Generalized System of Preferences. According to EMFTC, Mexico and Korea have exhibited an ability to compete very effectively in the U.S. market at a time when the number of U.S. firms is declining, profitability is low or negative, and prices are weakening.

<u>Support.</u>— Congressman James A. Traficant, Jr., of Ohio and Senator David L. Boren of Oklahoma have expressed support for the petition filed by EMFTC. In addition, Mr. Rock Logiodice, President of Central Expanded Metal Inc., requests that normal tariffs be reimposed on expanded metal from Mexico and Korea.

Opposition.—Maintaining that imports from Korea have an insignificant place in the U.S. market and have no impact on market conditions in the U.S. industry, Dong Sung Steel Ind. Co., Ltd., opposes the petition to graduate Korea from GSP eligibility with respect to expanded metal of base metal.

Counsel for Larmina Desplegada, S.A., asserts that removal of Mexico from GSP eligibility will not provide any appreciable benefit or have any impact upon the domestic expanded metal industry.

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

8

TSUS item No. 652.80--Con.

TS number: 65280

Product: Expanded metal, of base metal

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
 -		Quantity	(square feet)	
Canada	1,600,362 _	1,700,424	3,688,377	5,962,921
Japan	1,054,161	1,355,096	2,539,682	1,868,084
Mexico			214,831	1,252,546
U King	96,298	. 296,221	122,531	~482 , 283
Kor Rep	68,352	• • •		448,980
Venez		20,000	.175,742	151,687
Italy	i	19	77,032	88,284
Taiwan	682		164,933	27,749
FR Germ	· 132	2,120	45	739
Brazil	640	3	45	•
Ireland		21		•
Denmark	*	325		
Sweden		15,365		
Spain		205	•	
All other total			165	
Total	2,820,627	3,389,799	6,983,383	10,283,273
10(41	2,020,027	3,307,177	0,703,383	10,283,273
GSP total	69,674	20,003	555,716	1,880,962
<u>-</u>		Percent		
Canada	56.7	50.2 ·	52.8	58.0
Japan	37.4	40.0	36.4	18.2
Mexico	0.0	0.0	3.1	12.2
U King	3.4	8.7	1.8	4.7
Kor Rep	2.4	0.0	0.0	4.4.
Venez	0.0	0.6	2.5	1.5
Italy	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.9
Taiwan	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.3
FR Germ	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Brazil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ireland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Denmark	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sweden	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
Spain	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
•				
All other total Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TS number: 65280

Product: Expanded metal, of base metal

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
	v	alue (1,000 dol]	lars)	
	•			
Canada	672	644	1,392	1,988
Japan	568	524	1,072	795
lexico			68	711.
J King	56	1 21	147	189
(or Rep	24			122
/enez	•.	10	65	86
aiwan	1		38	31
Italy	•	. 1	19	14
R Germ	. 1	51	1 1	12
Brazil			2	
Ireland		. 1		
Denmark		2		
Sweden		205		•
All other total			2	
Total	1,324	1,563	. 2,810	3,950
GSP total	26	11	177	951
		Percent		. •
		rer cente		
Canada	50.7	41.2	49.5	50.3
Japan	42.9	33.5	38.1	20.1
Mexico	0.0	0.0	2.4	18.0
U King	4.3	7.8	5.3	4.8
Kor Rep	1.9	0.0	0.0	3.1
Venez	0.0	0.7	2.3	2.2
Taiwan	0.1	0.0	1.4	0.8
Italy	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.4
FR Germ	0.1	3.3	0.0	0.3
Brazil	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Ireland	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Denmark	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Sweden	0.0	13.1	0.0	0.0
All other total	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
 GSP total	2.0	0.7	6.3	24.4

Product: EXPANDED METAL, OF BASE METL

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Quantity	(square feet)	·
· .	•			
Panama	7,764	220		114,468
Trinid	25,702	12,012	10,780	113,216
Canada	1,079,069	407,423	364,280	107,287
U.King	30,253	26,398	183,294	70,612
Dom Rep	6,760	11,491		59,616
Kor Rep	178	9,015		47,951
Mexico	84,895	10,090	50,180	30,627
Japan	11,392	5,713	11,317	20,056
Indnsia		300		18,215
Italy	19,249	•	3,340	14,795
Phil.R	612	4,813	4,355	9,197
France		410	5,394	6,540
Guatmal	5,787		1,831	6,000
Colomb	17,400	116,590	621	4,475
All other total		1,066,963	340,233	33,070
Total	2,855,589	1,671,438	975,625	656,125
GSP total	1,136,161	605,996	185,303	430,618
_		Percent		
Panama	0.3	0.0	0.0	17.4
Trinid	0.9	0.7	1.1	17.3
Canada	37.8	24.4	37.3	16.4
U.King	1.1	1.6	18.8	10.8
Dom Rep	0.2	0.7	0.0	9.1
Kor Rep	0.0	0.5	0.0	7.3
Mexico	3.0	0.6	5.1	4.7
Japan	0.4	0.3	1.2	3.1
Indnsia	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
Italy	0.7	0.0	0.3	2.3
Phil. R	0.0	0.3	0.4	1.4
France	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.0
Guatmal	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.9
Colomb	0.6	7.0	0.1	0.7
All other total	54.9	. 63.8 ~	34.9	5.0
		· ·		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Product: EXPANDED METAL, OF BASE METL

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1,000 dol)	lars)	
Canada	1,433	607	463	154
U.King	68	67	268	139
Trinid	72	76	8	110
Kor Rep	• 1	43		1.09
Mexico	137 ·	25	35	84
Japan	62	37	32	60
Panama	18	1		48
Indnsia	7 1	2		46
France	• •	5	38	38
Italy	38	•	22	36
Dom.Rep	1	6		26
Phil.R	6	5	13	23
FR Germ	732	157	38	14
Ireland	3		125	13
All other total	1,957	2,033	504	97
Total	4,535	3,073	1,550	1,004
GSP total	1,665	756	216	528
		Percent	·····	
Canada	31.6	19.8	29.9	15.4
U.King	1.5	2.2	17.3	13.9
Trinid	1.6	2.5	0.6	11.0
Kor Rep	0.0	1.4	0.0	10.9
Mexico	3.0	0.8	2.3	8.4
Japan	1.4	1.2	2.1	6.0
Panama	0.4	0.0	0.0	4.9
Indnsia	0.0	0.1	0.0	4.6
France	0.0	0.2	2.5	3.8
Italy	0.8	0.0	1.4	3.7
Dom.Rep	0.0	0.2	0.0	2.7
Phil. R	0.1	0.2	0.9	2.3
FR Germ	16.1	5.1	2.5	1.4
Ireland	0.1	0.0	8.1	1.3
All other total	43, 2	66.2	32.5	9.7
		~ ·-		
· 'Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Annual Review of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP)
U.S. imports for consumption under the GSP, by principal source, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986
Flow: Imports for consumption
TSUSA commodity: 65280--Expanded metal, of base metal

(Quantity in thousands o				
уре :	1981	1983	1985	1986
Cty subcode				
Partner				
			<u></u>	
irst unit of quantity	(1	1	
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:			•	
Brazil	• '			•
India			0	•
	31			449
Korea, South	. 31			• • •
Mexico	U		215 :	1,238
Taiwan	1 1		165	12
Venezuela	0 :	20 1	176 1	152
Horld	. 31	20 1	556 1	1,850
Customs value	1	1	1.	
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:	1	1		
Brazil	0	. O :	. 3 1	. 0
India	O _.	0 1	. 3 .	0
Korea, South	14	0 :	. 0 .	122
Mexico	0	0 :	ı 69 ı	630
Taiwan	2	0 :	. 38 .	29
Venezuela:	0	11 :	. 66 .	86
World	. 16	11	. 178 :	867
Init value :	0	!	•	*
Duty-free items under GSP provisions:)		
Brazil	. 0	. 0	55.56	0
India	0	. 0 :	16.38	0
Korea, South	0.46	0 :	. 0 .	0.27
Mexico	0	0 :	0.32	0.51
Taiwan	2.60	. 0	0.23	2.46
Venezuela	0	0.54	0.37	0.57
World	0.50	0.54	0.32	0.47

Note: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Aluminum Luggage Frames

I. Introduction

Col. 1 rate of duty: 5.7%
Type of action proposed (check one):
Addition of GSP:
Removal from GSP:
Country graduation:
Competitive-need-limit waiver X (Countries- Taiwan)
Non-eligible GSP countries: Taiwan
Current competitive-need-limit waivers: None.
Current "sufficiently competitive" designation: Taiwan
U.S. production on Jan. 3, 1985? Yes X No
Description and uses: Aluminum luggage frames are the skeletons of luggage, providing
its shape and supporting the textiles, locks, plywood, and so forth used to manufacture
pieces of luggage. The aluminum frame is extruded and bent to the desired shape.

II. U.S. market profile

Item	1981	1983	1985	1986	Percentage change, 1986 versus 1981
Number of U.S. producers (number of firms)	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/	<u>2</u> /	-
Total U.S. employment (thousand employees)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	· <u>2</u> /	-
U.S. shipments (thousand dollars)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	2/	2/	-
U.S. exports (thousand dollars)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	· .
U.S. imports (thousand dollars)	<u>3</u> /	<u>3</u> /	3/	<u>3</u> /	,
U.S. consumption (thousand dollars)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	2/	<u>2</u> /	-
Import to consumption ratio (percent)	<u>2</u> /	<u>-</u> 2/	<u>2</u> /		
Capacity utilization (nearest 10 percent)	<u>2</u> /	<u>2</u> /	2/	<u>2</u> / <u>2</u> /	•

Comment: There are believed to be fewer than 5 companies that manufacture aluminum luggage frames in addition to a wide variety of other aluminum extrusions. Such frames are manufactured to exact customer specifications, often involving extrusion of the frame by an aluminum extruder and its bending and ancillary operations completed by a different facility. [* * *.] It should be noted that the decline of the U.S. luggage industry and its movement offshore has adversely impacted the frame industry.

^{1/} Probable effects advice for these or closely related products on the basis of the HS
nomenclature is contained in the Digest for HS item No. 7616.90.00(pt.).
2/ Not available.

^{3/} Aggregate data for TSUS item 657.40, miscellaneous aluminum articles, n.s.p.f., are provided in attached tables. Import and export data specific to aluminum luggage frames are not available.

TSUS item No. 657.40(pt.)—Con.

III. GSP import situation, 1986

Aggregate data for TSUS item 657.40, miscellaneous aluminum articles, n.s.p.f., are provided in attached tables. Import and export data specific to aluminum luggage frames are not available.

IV. Competitiveness profiles, GSP suppliers

Competitiveness of Taiwan for all digest products	
Ranking as a U.S. import supplier, 1986	
Price sensitivity:	
Can production in the country be easily expanded or contracted in the short term?	
Does the country have significant export	
markets besides the United States? Yes X No	
Could exports from the country be readily	
redistributed among its foreign export markets? Yes X No	
Price sensitivity of import supply High Moderate X Low	
Can the U.S. purchaser easily shift among this	
and other suppliers? Yes X No	•
Price sensitivity of U.S. demand High Moderate _XLow	
Price level compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Belo	W
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Belo	
Quality compared with:	
U.S. products Above Equivalent X Belo	W
Other foreign products Above Equivalent X Belo	

TSUS item No. 657.40(pt.)—Con.

V. Position of interested parties

<u>Petitioner</u>. --Skyway Luggage Company (Seattle, WA) requests a waiver for Taiwan applicable to aluminum luggage frames (part of item 657.40) to obtain the lowest possible cost for the frames to remain competitive in the U.S. luggage market. The company alleges that [* * *.]

[Probable economic effects advice deleted]

TS number: 65740 5

TSUS item No.. 657.40--Con.

Product: Aluminum articles, not specifically

U.S. imports for consumption, by principal sources 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
	•	Value (1,000 dol	lars)	
Taiwan	4,421	11,412	28,582	36,658
FR Germ	6,665	10,676	16,268	27,701
Canada	24,699	19,915	27,566	27,573
Japan	21,401	12,552	17,430	18,238
1exico	5,353	4,129	8,446	14,880
J King	2,326	1,813	4,180	7,380
Italy	1,914	4,368	5,055	6,552
rance	2,138	1,299	5,110	5,260
(or Rep	536	689	2,978	5,072
Brazil	190	999	8,104	4,488
reland	47	332	3,414	4,215
lg Kong	1,179	1,112	1,248	3,388
[srael	458	621	1,438	2,438
Nethlds	468	718	1,250	2,052
All other total	4,682	4,900	10,188	13,001
Total	76,483	75,542	141,263	178,903
GSP total	12,485	19,374	53,953	71,694
		Percent		
		4-4	•	
Taiwan	5.8	15.1	20.2	20.5
FR Germ	8.7	14.1	11.5	15.5
Canada	32.3	26.4	19.5	15.4
Japan	28.0	16.6	12.3	10.2
Mexico	. 7.0	5.5	6.0	8.3
J King	3.0	2.4	3.0	4.1
Italy	2.5	5.8	3.6	3.7
France	2.8	1.7	3.6	2.9
Kor Rep	0.7	0.9	2.1	2.8
Brazil	0.2	1.3	5.7	2.5
Ireland	0.1	0.4	2.4	2.4
Hg Kong	1.5	1.5	0.9	1.9
Israel	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.4
Nethlds	0.6	1.0	0.9	1.1
All other total	6.1	6.5	7.2	7.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GSP total	16.3	25.6	38.2	40.1

Product: ARTICLES OF ALUMINUM, NSPF

U.S. exports of domestic merchandise, by principal markets, 1981, 1983, 1985, and 1986

 				
Source	1981	1983	1985	1986
		Value (1,000 doll	ars)	
•				
Canada	162	225	306	283
Mexico	133	212	58	39
U.King	61	36	15	31
Japan	15	25	30	28
S Arab	153	48	18	22
Hg Kong	76	61	12	19
Kor Rep	10	12	4	16
FR Germ	17	10	7	14
Colomb	14	22	1	12
Bahamas	1	3	8	9
Barbado	4	23	•	8
Singapr	6	15	2	7
Trinid	21	49	23	7.
France	20	11	5	5
All other total	386	239	97	73
Total	1,087	997	592	579
GSP total	446	487	186	170
		Percent		
Canada	14.9	22.6	51.7	48.9
Mexico	12.3	21.3	9.8	6.8
U.King	5.6	3.7	2.7	5.3
Japan	1.5	2.6	5.1	4.9
S Arab	14.1	4.9	3.2	3.9
Hg Kong	7.0	6.2	2.2	3.3
Kor Rep	1.0	1.2	0.7	2.9
FR Germ	1.6	1.0	1.2	2.5
Colomb	1.4	2.3	0.2	2.1
Bahamas	0.2	0.3	1.4	1.6
Barbado	0.4	2.3	0.1	1.4
Singapr	0.6	1.6	0.5	1.3
Trinid	2.0	5.0	3.9	1.3
France	1.8	1.1	0.9	1.0
All other total	35.5	24.0	16.4	12.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0